

Interplay among Spin, Orbital and Lattice Degrees of Freedom in t_{2g} Electron Systems with Edge-Sharing Network of Octahedra

Yukitoshi Motome¹, Hirokazu Tsunetsugu², Toshiya Hikihara³,
Nic Shannon⁴ and Karlo Penc⁵

¹RIKEN (The Institute of Physical and Chemical Research), Wako, Saitama
351-0198, Japan

²Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502,
Japan

³Division of Physics, Graduate School of Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo
060-0810, Japan

⁴Max-Planck-Institut für Physik komplexer Systeme, Nothnitzer Str. 38, 01187
Dresden, Germany

⁵Research Institute for Theoretical Solid State Physics and Optics, H-1525
Budapest, P.O.B. 49, Hungary

Transition metal oxides whose lattice structure has edge-sharing network of octahedra constitute a diverse group of intriguing materials besides compounds with corner-sharing octahedra such as perovskites. We present a theoretical investigation of the interplay among spin, orbital and lattice degrees of freedom in these materials. We focus on t_{2g} electron systems where a keen competition among those degrees of freedom is expected to emerge under a relatively weak Jahn-Teller coupling. We study the interplay between spin and orbital degrees of freedom in vanadium spinels and titanium pyroxenes. We clarify the important role of the strong anisotropy in the orbital interactions due to the edge-sharing geometry. We also discuss the interplay between spin and lattice in chromium spinels focusing on the magnetization process under the external magnetic field.

1. Introduction

Transition metal oxides, in many cases, consist of the basic unit of octahedron where a metal is surrounded by six oxygens. One of the famous families is the perovskite such as high- T_C cuprates and CMR manganites, in which octahedra form two-dimensional (2D) or three-dimensional (3D) network by sharing oxygens at their corner. Another typical geometry is the edge-sharing network of octahedra. An old but still intriguing example is the spinel in which octahedra form 3D edge-sharing network. A 2D example is found in the sodium cobaltite which has a triangular lattice of Co cations. There, a large thermoelectric effect or superconductivity is recently attracting much interests.

In this paper, we present our recent theoretical efforts to understand remarkable properties in several edge-sharing materials with focusing on a keen competition among spin, orbital and lattice degrees of freedom. In the octahedral coordinate, the fivefold energy levels of d electrons of transition metals split into lower threefold t_{2g} levels and higher twofold e_g levels. Here, we consider the systems in which electrons in the t_{2g} levels play a central role. In the t_{2g} electron systems, it is

known that the Jahn-Teller interaction is rather weak compared to the e_g systems. Therefore, it is expected that the energy scales in spin, orbital and lattice degrees of freedom become closer to each other and that a keen competition among them seriously affects physical properties of the system.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, we mainly address the interplay between spin and orbital degrees of freedom. In Sec. 2.1, we discuss the mechanism of lifting the degeneracy due to the geometrical frustration in vanadium spinels. We remark how the magnetic ordering pattern is changed depending on the sign of the third-neighbor spin coupling. In Sec. 2.2, we discuss the non spin-Peierls mechanism of the spin-singlet formation in titanium pyroxenes. We observe an interesting phase competition between different spin-orbital orderings. In Sec. 3, we discuss the interplay between spin and lattice degrees of freedom in chromium spinels to understand the unusual magnetization process under the external magnetic field.

x2. Interplay between spin and orbital

2.1. Vanadium spinels

Vanadium spinels AV_2O_4 with nonmagnetic A cations such as Zn, Mg or Cd have 3D edge-sharing network of VO_6 octahedra, and consequently, magnetic V cations form the geometrically frustrated pyrochlore lattice. In general, the geometrical frustration results in (nearly) degenerate ground states and suppresses a long-range ordering. Nevertheless, these compounds exhibit two successive transitions at low temperatures: One is the structural transition at $T_{c1} \sim 50K$ from high-temperature cubic phase to low-temperature tetragonal phase, and the other is the antiferromagnetic (AF) transition at $T_{c2} \sim 40K$.¹⁾ The issue is the microscopic mechanism of these two transitions, that is, how the frustration is reduced and how the long-range orders are stabilized.

We have studied this problem by taking into account the orbital degree of freedom of t_{2g} electrons, because V^{3+} cation has two d electrons in threefold t_{2g} levels.^{2),3)} The important point is the spatial anisotropy of the t_{2g} orbitals. In the edge-sharing configuration of VO_6 octahedra, the most relevant contribution in the hopping integrals is given by the overlap between the same orbitals lying in the same plane, that is, the so-called π -bond. We derived an effective spin-orbital coupled model in the strong correlation limit with considering only the π -bond contribution in the perturbation for the multi-orbital Hubbard model. We found that the effective model shows the strong anisotropy (three-state Potts type) in the orbital intersite interactions. This strong anisotropy plays a crucial role in the keen competition between spin and orbital, and finally reduces the frustration. That is, the degeneracy is lifted in the orbital sector first, with accompanied by the tetragonal lattice distortion. This explains well the structural transition at T_{c1} in experiments.³⁾

The mechanism of the magnetic transition at T_{c2} is also interesting. In the orbital ordered state, d_{xy} orbital is singly occupied at every V site. On the other hand, d_{yz} and d_{zx} orbitals are occupied in the staggered way in the z direction. The uniform occupation of d_{xy} orbitals leads to a large enhancement of the AF spin corre-

lation along one-dimensional (1D) chains lying in the xy planes. Thus, the magnetic frustration is partially lifted by the orbital ordering. However, the relative angles of the staggered moments between different xy chains are not yet determined because of the pyrochlore structure. We proposed that the third-neighbor spin exchange J_3^0 as well as thermal and/or quantum fluctuations can lift the remaining degeneracy and establish the 3D magnetic long-range order.^{2),3)}

The 3D magnetic ordering pattern is hence determined by the way of stacking the 1D AF xy chains in the z direction, and strongly depends on the sign of J_3^0 . When J_3^0 is AF as in our effective model, the spin configuration is up-up-down-down... (four-times period) along the yz and zx chains as shown in Fig. 1 (a). This pattern is consistent with the neutron scattering results by Niziol⁴⁾ and Lee et al.⁵⁾ On the other hand, if we suppose the ferromagnetic J_3^0 , the primitive unit cell (four-site tetrahedra) corresponds to the magnetic unit cell, and hence a different spin configuration may be obtained; up-down-up-down... in the yz (or zx) chains and ferromagnetic in the others as shown in Fig. 1 (b). However, we note that it is rather difficult to obtain the ferromagnetic J_3^0 by the perturbation for the multiorbital Hubbard model and that the latter spin ordering may lead to further lowering of lattice symmetry through the spin-lattice coupling. Thus, theoretical predictions depend on the sign of the small energy scale J_3^0 , but the spin configuration in Fig. 1 (a) is the most likely within our theoretical model. The point to be stressed is that the small coupling J_3^0 becomes crucial only when the frustration is reduced by the orbital ordering.




Fig. 1. Magnetic ordering structure predicted in the effective spin-orbital coupled model for vanadium spinels with (a) antiferromagnetic J_3^0 and (b) ferromagnetic J_3^0 .

2.2. Titanium pyroxenes

Titanium pyroxenes ATiSi_2O_6 ($A = \text{Na}$ or Li) are another typical compounds with edge-sharing octahedra where the orbital degree of freedom may play a crucial role. These materials have quasi 1D structure in which the octahedra share their edges alternatively to form zig-zag chains. Due to this peculiar structure, threefold t_{2g} levels split into a lower doublet and a higher singlet. Ti^{3+} cation has one d

electron in the lower twofold levels. The compounds show a phase transition at $T_c \sim 200\text{K}$ where the magnetic susceptibility suddenly drops and the lattice structure is dimerized along the 1D zig-zag chains.^{6),7)} The temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility as well as the estimate of the spin gap compared to T_c suggests that the transition cannot be understood by the usual spin-Peierls mechanism.⁶⁾

We have considered the mechanism of this peculiar transition by taking account of the twofold orbital degeneracy explicitly. As in the case of V spinels, the spatial anisotropy of the t_{2g} orbitals and the edge-sharing geometry play an important role, resulting in the Ising-type orbital interaction in the effective spin-orbital model. We found that the model exhibits two different ground states; one is the spin-dimer and orbital-ferro state and the other is the spin-ferro and orbital-antiferro state. The transition between them can be controlled by the Hund's-rule coupling J_H and/or the external magnetic field h . For the realistic values of parameters, the ground state is the former one. The obtained temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility explains the experimental result semiquantitatively.⁸⁾

Let us focus on the spin-orbital phase competition in the parameter space of J_H and/or h . A schematic phase diagram is shown in Fig. 2. In the multicritical regime (the hatched area in Fig. 2), the competition becomes conspicuous; both spin and orbital correlations are suppressed as the temperature decreases. This severe competition suppresses T_c in the multicritical regime, and enables us to see effects of the competition more clearly above T_c . The details will be reported elsewhere.⁹⁾ In order to observe the intrinsic effects of the spin-orbital competition, it is desired to realize an experimental situation in the vicinity of the multicritical point.




Fig. 2. Schematic multicritical phase diagram of the effective spin-orbital model for titanium pyrochlores. The hatched area shows the multicritical competing regime.

x3. Interplay between spin and lattice: Chromium spinels

Chromium spinels ACr_2O_4 with nonmagnetic A cations show only one phase transition¹⁰⁾ in contrast to the two transitions in V spinels in Sec. 2.1 nevertheless the lattice structures are isomorphic. The difference is in the orbital state: Because

Cr^{3+} has three d electrons in threefold t_{2g} orbitals, the orbital degree of freedom is inactive in Cr spinels. At the transition temperature, an AF spin ordering occurs simultaneously with the structural change from cubic to tetragonal. The origin of this transition has been discussed in terms of the spin Jahn-Teller mechanism; the geometrical frustration is reduced by the lattice distortion which gains the magnetic exchange energy.^{11),12)}

Very recently, the compounds with $A = \text{Hg}$ or Cd are found to exhibit the half-magnetization plateau, which is unusually stable, under the external magnetic field.^{13),14)} This plateau phenomenon has been also discussed by using the AF Heisenberg model with the spin-lattice coupling.¹⁵⁾ The plateau is well reproduced by the mean-field results in the ground state for finite spin-lattice couplings.

Following this idea, we have extensively studied finite-temperature properties of the spin-lattice coupled model on the pyrochlore lattice under the magnetic field by using the Monte Carlo simulation. We found that the half-magnetization plateau remains robust at finite temperatures, and that the temperature dependence of the magnetization curve is favorably compared with the experimental results.¹⁶⁾ We also found that the plateau phase is induced by thermal fluctuations even in the absence of the spin-lattice coupling. The details of the results and the comparison with the experimental results will be reported elsewhere.¹⁷⁾

Acknowledgements

Y.M. would like to thank D.I. Khomskii and S.-H. Lee for stimulating discussions. This work is supported by a Grant-in-Aid and NAREGI from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports, and Culture of Japan, and the Hungarian OTKA Grant No. T 038162 and the JSPS-HAS joint project.

References

- 1) Y. Ueda, N. Fujiwara and H. Yasuoka, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 66 (1997), 778.
- 2) H. T. sunetsugu and Y. Motome, Phys. Rev. B 68 (2003), 060405(R).
- 3) Y. Motome and H. T. sunetsugu, Phys. Rev. B 70 (2004), 184427.
- 4) S. Niziol, Phys. Status Solidi A 18 (1973), K 11.
- 5) S.-H. Lee et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 93 (2004), 156407.
- 6) M. Isobe et al., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 71 (2002), 1423.
- 7) E. Noinomiyama et al., Physica B 329-333 (2003), 884.
- 8) T. Hikiyama and Y. Motome, Phys. Rev. B 70 (2004), 214404.
- 9) T. Hikiyama and Y. Motome, preprint (cond-mat/0410411).
- 10) Y. Kikuchi and B. Luthi, Solid State Commun. 9 (1971), 805.
- 11) Y. Yamashita and K. Ueda, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 (2000), 4960.
- 12) O. Tchernyshyov, R. Moessner and S.L. Shondt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002), 067203.
- 13) H. Ueda, H. A. Katori, H. Mitamura, T. Goto and H. Takagi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 (2005), 047202.
- 14) H. Ueda, H. Mitamura, T. Goto and Y. Ueda, unpublished.
- 15) K. Penc, N. Shannon and H. Shiba, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93 (2004), 197203.
- 16) Y. Motome, K. Penc and N. Shannon, preprint (cond-mat/0506500).
- 17) Y. Motome, N. Shannon and K. Penc, unpublished.