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#### Abstract

W e propose that by exciting ultra cold atom $s$ from the zeroth to the rst $B$ loch band in an optical lattice, novelm ulti- avor bosonic $H$ ubbard $H$ am iltonians can be realized in a new way. In these system $s$, each avor hops in a separate direction and on-site exchange term $s$ allow pairw ise conversion betw een di erent avors. U sing band structure calcu lations, w e determ ine the param eters entering these $H$ am iltonians and derive the $m$ ean eld ground state phase diagram for tw o ective H am iltonians (2D, tw o- avors and 3D, three avors). Further, we estim ate the stability of atom $s$ in the rst band using second order perturbation theory and nd lifetim es that can be considerably (10-100 tim es) longer than the relevant tim e scale associated $w$ ith inter-site hopping dynam ics, suggesting that quasi-equilibrium can be ach ieved in these $m$ eta-stable states.


## I. IN TRODUCTION

$T$ he possibility to trap and $m$ anipulate the atom $s$ in a Bose E instein $C$ ondensate using standing wave laser
 terest in basic solid state m odels. In such system $s$, the atom sexperience a periodic potential from an opticallattice leading to form ation ofband structure in the energy spectrum. These bands have been investigated in experim ents $\overline{\underline{1}} \overline{1} 1$.

In the spectroscopy experim ents in $\mathrm{Ref}$. [ $[\underline{1} 1]$, the atom $s$ experienced a periodic potentialin only one direction, being free to $m$ ove on a $m$ uch larger length scale in the other directions. $T$ h is im plied that interactions betw een atom $s$ could be ignored. If the atom $s$ are con ned to reside on the sites of a lattice in three dim ensions, interactions becom e im portant. A s a result, it was shown theoretically $\left[\begin{array}{l}-1 \\ -1\end{array}\right]$, and subsequently also experim entally $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[31]}\end{array}\right]$, that a system of interacting cold atom s , residing in the lowest $B l o c h$ band of the periodic potential, $m$ aps onto a bosonic $H$ ubbard $m$ odel. This $m$ odel is of great theoretical interest since it exhibits a quantum phase transi-
 are localized (M ott-Insulator) and where they are delocalized (super uid) as the strength of the hopping relative to the inter-atom ic interaction is varied. The dynam ics of particles under the in uence of changes in the H am iltonian (such as lattioe tilts or rapid changes in the particle interaction strength) has also proved interesting


A nother developm ent is an interest in the idea of $m$ ixing bosonic atom $s$ of di erent avors in the lat-
 tiple avorshave been suggested including using atom sof di erent species and exploiting di erent intemal atom ic states.

So far, experim ents on strongly interacting atom $s$ in three dim ensional optical lattioes have been restricted to atom $s$ in the lowest (zeroth) B loch band. Recently Scarola and D asSarm a considered the possibility of novel
supersolid phases within the rst excited B loch band of an optical lattice. [20 $\left.{ }_{2}^{\prime}{ }^{1}\right]$

In this paper, the theory of atom $s$ in the two lowest (zeroth and rst) $B$ loch bands of a three dim ensional optical lattice is considered. W e show here, that due to the lack of available phase space for the decay products, such excited states can (in som e param eter ranges) have life tim es much longer than the characteristic tim e scales for inter-site hopping. Thus it should be possible to establish quasi-equilibrium within the $m$ anifold of these $m$ etastable states.

We nd that it is possible in this way to realize noveleffective $m$ ulti-species bosonic $H$ ubbard $H$ am iltonians. D epending on the choice of lattioe depths the number of degenerate bands varies and we nd e ective models involving $n$ avors of bosons, where $n$ can be 1,2 , or 3 . These avors correspond to the three di erent possible nodal planes in the excited state $w$ ave function such as the one illustrated in Fig. (III). W e w ill show that a characteristic of these H am iltonians is that (to a good approxim ation) each avor can only hop in one direction (i.e., X (nodal plane) particles can only hop in the x direction, etc.). Neglecting interactions we would then have $n$ interpenetrating one-dim ensional free bose gases, one for each colum $n$ (or row) in the lattice. A llow ing intra-species interactions converts these one-dim ensional gases into Luttinger liquids (or, if the interactions are strong enough, and the $m$ ean particle num ber per site is an integer, into $M$ ott insulators). W e show below that, besides intra-species interactions, the full interaction also includes on-site inter-species conversion term sthat allow atom $s$ to change avor in pairs. Thus for exam ple, two $X$ particles constrained to $m$ ove along a single $x$ colum $n$ can collide, tum into $Y$ particles and $m$ ove aw ay along a y column. Such processes lead to novel quantum dynam ics for this coupled set of intenpenetrating Luttinger liquids.

As will be seen, the anisotropic nature of the hopping in conjunction $w$ ith the pairw ise conversion leads to H am iltoniansw ith an in nite but subextensive set of $\mathrm{Z}_{2}-$


F IG .1: (C olor on line) O n-site W annier wave functions in the H arm onic oscillator approxim ation. T he localized w ave functions are to a good approxim ation described by harm onic oscillator w ave functions localized in each well. A bove is drawn the $w$ ave functions $(0 ; 0 ; 0)(r)$ (plotted in the plane $z=0$ ) form ed by the zeroth band $B$ loch functions and the $w$ ave function (1;0;0)(r) form ed by the zeroth band Bloch functions in the $y^{-}$and $z$ - directions and the Bloch functions from the rst band in the $x$-direction. These are approxim ately har$m$ on ic oscillator states, $(0 ; 0 ; 0)(r) \quad \exp \left[\left(x+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)\right]$, and $(1 ; 0 ; 0)(r) \quad x \exp \left[\left(x+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)\right] w$ here the param eter is determ ined by the curvature of the optical lattice potential near its m in im a. Sim ilarly $(0 ; 1 ; 0)(\mathrm{r}) \quad \mathrm{y}_{(0 ; 0 ; 0)}(\mathrm{r})$ and $(0 ; 0 ; 1)(r) \quad z_{(0 ; 0 ; 0)}^{(r)}$.
gauge sym m etries interm ediate betw een local and global. Such in nite sym $m$ etries have been found in certain frus-
 $m$ odel $[2.3]$ and are known to cause dim ensional reduction in som e cases. $\left[21_{1}^{\prime}, 2_{2}^{2}, 124\right]$ W e w ill see below how this dim ensional reduction appears in a sim ple way in this system .

A related global $Z_{2}$ sym $m$ etry and associated Ising order param eter appear in problem $s$ involving boson pairing due to attractive interactions $m$ ediated by Feshbach resonances. In that case the sym $m$ etry appears due to a conversion term that connects pairs of bosons w ith a distinct m olecular eld. This can lead to exotic states in which pairs ofbosons are condensed but single bosons are not and in which half vortioes are perm itted $\left.26,27_{1}\right]$

Further, due to strong interatom ic repulsion, the ground state in 3D (three avors) breaks a kind of chiral sym m etry and displays an additional accidental ground state degeneracy at the m ean eld level. A sim ilar situation occurs for special param eter values in frustrated X Y m odels, where parallel zero energy dom ain walls can be inserted $[2]=$ in $T$ he outline of this paper is as follow s: In section iII the appropriate generalization of the bosonic $H$ ubbard $m$ odel is introduced along w ith num er-
ical values of the param eters entering the H am iltonians obtained from band-structure calculations for various lattioe depths. Then, in section III, the aforem entioned e ective $H$ am ittonians for atom $s$ in the rst band are derived for three particular choiges of relative lattice depths in the xyz directions. U sing sim ple m ean- eld theory we sketch the ground state phase-diagram $s$ in section 'IVI', and in section ${ }^{5}$ iw e discuss how the super uid phases are re ected in the interference pattem in an experim ental situation. Finally, in section $V=$ perturbatively to second order, we estim ate the lifetim e of a population inverted state (allatom s residing entirely in the rst excited band).

## II. GENERAL LATTICE HAM ILTONIAN

$T$ he starting point is the $H$ am iltonian for w eakly interacting bosons ofm ass $m$ in an extemalpotential [2] ["]

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{H} & =d^{3} x^{\wedge} y(x) \quad \frac{h^{2}}{2 m} r^{2}+V_{O}(x)+V_{T}(x){ }^{\wedge}(x) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \frac{4 a_{S} h^{2}}{m} d^{3} x^{\wedge} y(x)^{\wedge} y(x)^{\wedge}(x)^{\wedge}(x) ; \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $a_{s}$ is the $s-w$ ave scattering length. T he extemal potentialhas tw o contributions $V_{O}$ and $V_{T}$ corresponding to the lattice potential and the $m$ agnetic trapping potential. D enoting the wavelength of the lasens by $2 a, ~ a$ being the lattige spacing, the form er can be w rilten

$$
V_{0}(x)=V_{i=x ; y ; z}^{X} V_{0 i} \sin ^{2} \quad \frac{2}{-} x_{i} \quad ; f x_{i} g_{i=x ; y ; z}=(x ; y ; z):
$$

T he positional deperpdence of the $m$ agnetic trapping potential $V_{T}=\frac{1}{2} m_{i=x ; y ; z} \quad{ }_{i}^{2} x_{i}^{2} ;$ is $m$ uch weaker than that of the lattioe, i.e. i $\quad \varepsilon^{\underline{q}} \frac{2 \mathrm{~V}_{0 i}}{\mathrm{~m}}$ and $w i l l$ be ignored in the rem ainder of this paper. O ne should be aw are though, that this term has been shown to in uence for instance the phase diagram of the single avor bosonic H ubbard m odel [3Q, i3n

For the cubic lattices considered here, the $W$ annier functions corresponding to the noninteracting part of the H am iltonian in Eq. (11) can be w ritten

$$
\mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)=\underbrace{\mathrm{Y}}_{\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{z}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}^{(\mathrm{i})}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{i}} a\right):
$$

$H$ ere the bold face vectors $n$ and $m$ are integer triplets $\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{z}}\right)$ and ( $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{z}}$ ) which represent band indices and lattice sites respectively, i.e.

$$
R_{m}=m_{x} a \hat{x}+m_{y} a \hat{y}+m_{z} a \hat{z}:
$$

These functions are to a good approxim ation described by localized harm onic oscillator w ave functions sketched
in $F$ ig. $\overline{111}$. The com pleteness of the $W$ annier functions allow s the eld operators to be expanded as

$$
\hat{}(x)=\begin{array}{ll}
x & X \\
m & \hat{d}_{n}(m){ }_{n}\left(x \quad R_{m}\right): ~
\end{array}
$$

The operators ${\hat{d_{n}^{y}}}^{( } m$ ) and $\hat{d_{n}}(m)$, which are the cre-
ation and annihilation operators of bosons at site $m$ and with band index $n$, obey Bose com $m$ utation relations $\left[\hat{\alpha_{n}}(m) ; \hat{\hat{a}_{0}^{y}}\left(m^{0}\right)\right]=n_{n} n^{0} m ; m^{0}$ : Ignoring all hopping other than nearest neighbor hopping and all interactions other than on-site interactions, the H am iltonian in Eq. (IIT) can be w ritten

H ere, the on-site interaction energies are de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2} ; \mathrm{n}_{3} ; \mathrm{n}_{4}\right) \quad \frac{4 \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{~h}^{2}}{\mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{~d}^{3} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}_{1}}(\mathrm{x})_{\mathrm{n}_{2}}(\mathrm{x})_{\mathrm{n}_{3}}(\mathrm{x})_{\mathrm{n}_{4}}(\mathrm{x}) ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the energies $E_{n}(m)$ and the hopping energies $t_{n}^{(i)}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{n}(m) \quad d^{3} x_{n}(x) \quad \frac{h^{2}}{2 m} r^{2}+V_{0}(x) \quad n(x)  \tag{4}\\
& t_{n}^{(i)} \quad Z \quad d x_{i}{ }_{n_{i}}^{(i)}\left(x_{i}\right) \quad \frac{h^{2}}{2 m} \frac{@^{2}}{\varrho x_{i}^{2}}+V_{0 i}\left(x_{i}\right) \quad \underset{n_{i}}{(i)}\left(x_{i}+a\right):
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote that the energies $t_{n}^{(i)}$ for hopping in the $x_{i}$-direction depend only on the lattice depth $V_{0 i}$ in the corresponding direction and the i.th com ponent $n_{i}$ of the band index $n$. The notation $\mathrm{mm} ; \mathrm{m}^{{ }^{0} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}} \text { in Eq. }(\overline{\mathrm{L}}}$ ) indicates that the sum should be carried out over nearest neighbor sites $m$ and $m^{0}$ in the $x_{i}$-direction. O ne could for instance $w$ rite,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{X} \\
& m_{x} ; m_{x}^{0} m_{z} ; m_{z}^{0} m_{y} m_{y}^{0}+1 \\
& h m ; m^{0} i_{y} \quad m \quad m^{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is straight forw ard to num erically solve the noninteracting Schrodinger equation and nd the energies in expressions ( $\bar{W}_{1}$ ) - ( to rst sw itch to dim ensionless units. Thus, we m easure length in units of the inverse $w$ ave vector and potential depth in units of the recoilenergy $E_{R}$, i.e, $i \quad \underline{2} x_{i}$ and $V_{0 i} \quad V_{0 i}=E_{R}$, with $E_{R} \quad \frac{h^{2}}{2 m} \underline{2}^{2}$ :

The hopping energies for the two lowest bands, obtained from band-structure calculations, are shown in Fig. interaction (Eq. ${ }^{-1}$ ) in a suitable form to use later on in the paper we de ne dim ension less overlap integrals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.O_{n n} 0(v) \quad P \overline{2}^{Z} d \tilde{\jmath}_{n}(v ;)\right\} \tilde{j}_{n} 0(v ;)\right\}: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where dim ensionless $W$ annier $w$ ave functions

$$
\sim_{n}(v ;) \quad \bar{v}_{n}\left(v E_{R} ; \quad=2\right)
$$

have been introduced. The dependence on $v$ in these functions is param etric, i.e. $n\left(\mathrm{vE}_{\mathrm{R}} ;=2\right)$ is the W annier function corresponding to the one-dim ensional noninteracting problem $w$ th potentialdepth $\mathrm{vE}_{\mathrm{R}}$. T he vari$a b l e n$ denotes the band index.

A pproxim ating the $W$ annier functions $w$ ith harm onic oscillator wave functions corresponding to the curvature at the bottom ofeach well, one nds approxim ate values for the overlap integrals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{nn} \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{o}}}^{\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{O}}(\mathrm{v})=\frac{3^{\mathrm{nn} \mathrm{n}^{0}}}{2^{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{n}^{0}}} \mathrm{v}^{1=4}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

A com parison between these values for the overlap integrals and those obtained from band-structure calcula-

III. EFFECTIVEHAM ILTONIANS FOR ATOMS IN THE FIRSTEXCITED BAND.

In this section we will focus on the m eta-stable situation having all atom $s$ in the rst $B$ loch band (s) of the lattice. It is quite easy to achieve such a situation, i.e. consider an initialm om ent of tim e when the optical lattice has been loaded w ith atom $s$ in the low est $B$ loch band, $n=(0 ; 0 ; 0)$. The anharm onicity of the lattice well potential allow s one to treat the vibrational degree of freedom as a two level system. If one singles out, say, the $x$-direction, then, by applying an appropriate


FIG. 2: H opping energies $t_{1}^{(i)}$ and $t_{0}^{(\mathrm{i})}$ in units of the recoil energy as functions of lattice depth $V_{0 i}$ in the hopping direction. The upper line is the hopping energy $t_{1}^{(i)}$ for atom $s$ in the rst B loch band hopping betw een nearest neighbor wells while the lower line, $t_{0}^{(i)}$, corresponds to atom $s$ in the zeroth band.
vibrational -pulse, i.e. \shaking" the lattice in this direction w ith a frequency on resonance $w$ th the transition $h!=E_{(1 ; 0 ; 0)} \mathrm{E}_{(0 ; 0 ; 0)}$, the state can be inverted and the atom $s$ excited to states $w$ th band index $n=(1 ; 0 ; 0)$. A strong -pulse can achieve this inversion in a tim e short com pared to the inter-w ell hopping time so that the dispersion of the upper band is not an issue in the inversion process. T he sim plest starting state would be the M ott insulator state $w$ ith one boson per site in the low est band. In a typical experim ental setup, the parabolic con ning potential will cause the population of each well to vary and the system $w i l l$ be in a state $w$ ith regions of $M$ ott insulators $w$ ith di erent lling factors. It is how ever easy to con m (by direct sim ulation) that even in this case, taking interactions into account, a pulse shape can be tailored that will invert the population sim ultaneously for regions w ith di erent lling factors provided a deep enough lattice is used.

A nother w ay of preparing the initial state is to use the $m$ ethod recently dem onstrated by $B$ row aeys et al. [32']. By loading a condensate into a moving 1D lattice and applying a subsequent acceleration the condensate can be prepared in the low est energy state (quasim om entum $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{a}$ ) in the rst B loch band. T he situation desired in this paper can then be obtained by ram ping up the lattice in the two rem aining (penpendicular) directions adiabatically. The naturalquestion regarding the lifetim e


FIG. 3: O verlap integrals $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{n}} 0(\mathrm{v})$ de ned in Eq. (6) . Solid lines, from top to bottom, $\mathrm{O}_{00} ; \mathrm{O}_{01} ; \mathrm{O}_{11}$, obtained from nu$m$ erical calculations. T he dashed lines corresp ond to the values in Eq. $\left(\bar{T}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)$ obtained by using harm onic oscillator wave functions determ ined from the curvature of the potential at the well bottom .
of the resulting $m$ eta-stable state $w i l l$ be considered in Sec.
$T$ he subsequent dynam ics of atom $s$ in the rst band (s) is then predom inantly govemed by som e subset of the term s in Eq. (2, ). This relevant subset w ill.be referred to as the e ective $H$ am iltonian. W ew ill treat three di erent regim es of values for the lattioe potentials $V_{0 i}$ which lead to e ective H am iltonians w ith one, two, and three avors respectively. The three scenarios are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1. } \mathrm{V}_{0 \mathrm{x}} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{0 \mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{V}_{0 \mathrm{z}} \text { (1D, single avor). } \\
& \text { 2. } \mathrm{V}_{0 \mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{V}_{0 \mathrm{y}} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{0 \mathrm{z}} \text { (2D, two avors). } \\
& \text { 3. } \mathrm{V}_{0 \mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{V}_{0 \mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{V}_{0 \mathrm{z}} \text { (3D, three avors). }
\end{aligned}
$$

A s indicated, the num ber of particle avors as wellas the dim ensionalities in the e ective $H$ am iltonians vary.
$T$ he reason for the di erent num bers of avors becom es clear if one considers the restrictions on the nal states into which two atom sm ay scatter due to the interatom ic interaction; $T$ he presence or absence of such states can be inferred from the presence or absence of degenerate, or nearly degenerate, levels in the energy spectrum of the noninteracting system. Take, for exam ple the second scenario above w ith $\mathrm{V}_{0 \mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{V}_{0 \mathrm{y}} \quad \mathrm{V}_{0 \mathrm{z}}$ and all atom s initially in a state $w$ th index $n=(1 ; 0 ; 0)$, then, due to the on-site inter-atom ic interaction these atom $s$ can scatter elastically into a state w th index $\mathrm{n}=(0 ; 1 ; 0)$ through
a rst order process the connecting di erent degenerate states. Further, it is easy to show that scattering resulting in states $w$ th other indiges, for instance $n=(0 ; 0 ; 1)$, is only possible through higher order processes if energy (and also parity) is to be conserved and can safely be ignored if the gas is dihute. H ence, the atom s can, at a form al level, be divided into two avors: an X avor corresponding to atom $s$ in $n=(1 ; 0 ; 0)$ and a $Y$ avor in $\mathrm{n}=(0 ; 1 ; 0)$. By the sam e argum ent one can see how the one-and three- avor situations arise.

A part from having di erent num ber of avors the dim ensionalities of the e ective H am iltonians di er. To understand this consider again the second case above, $\mathrm{V}_{0 \mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{V}_{0 \mathrm{y}} \quad \mathrm{V}_{0 \mathrm{z}}$, w ith particles in the excited bands $\mathrm{n}=(1 ; 0 ; 0)$ and $\mathrm{n}=(0 ; 1 ; 0)$ corresponding to X -and Y avors. For the $X$ avor, hopping in the $x$-direction has a matrix elem ent $t_{1}^{(x)}\left(V_{0 x}\right)$ while hopping in the $y-$ and $z$-direction have $m$ atrix elem ents $t_{0}^{(y)}\left(V_{0 x}\right)$ and $t_{0}^{(z)}\left(V_{0 z}\right)$ respectively. Looking at Fig . $\overline{\mathrm{L}} 1 \mathrm{it}$ is then clear that, to a good approxim ation, the X particles can only hop in the $x$-direction while hopping in the $y$-and $z$-directions is strongly (exponentially) suppressed. Sim ilarly the Y particles can only hop in the $y$-direction and allhopping occurs only in the $x$ y plane, hence the 2D character. A sim ilar argum ent holds for the three avor case where in addition to the $X$ and $Y$ particles, there are $Z$-particles hopping in the $z$-direction.

The e ective $H$ am iltonians also contain term $s$ arising from the on-site interaction. A part from the term $s$ that repelatom $s$ from each other, the sym $m$ etry of the on-site interaction allow s, say, tw o $X$ particles $m$ oving in the $x$ direction to collide and convert into tw o Y particlesw hich thereafter $m$ ove o in the $y$-direction. The tim e reversed process can of course also occur. Thus, the num ber of particles of each avor is not conserved and there is a pairw ise exchange of particles of di erent avors.

The anisotropy of hopping and the avor conversion process is schem atically depicted for the 2D (two avors) case in Fig. I'l. Particles of $X$ avor are shown in gray while the $Y$ avor is drawn in black.

Below, we give the e ective H am iltonians for all three di erent cases listed above.
A. 1D $H$ am iltonian, single avor, $\left(V_{0 x} \quad V_{0 y}=V_{0 z}\right)$

The rst case to be considered is when $V_{0 x} \quad V_{0 y}=$ $\mathrm{V}_{0 \mathrm{z}}$ and only states w ith band index $\mathrm{n}=(1 ; 0 ; 0)$ (and possibly som e residual atom $s$ in $n=(0 ; 0 ; 0)$ ) are occupied. In anticipation of the other e ective H am iltonians it is convenient to introduce for the $X$ avor the creation and destruction operators $\widehat{X^{y}}$ and $\hat{X}$, i.e.:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\hat{X}_{m} & \hat{d}_{(1 ; 0 ; 0)}(m) ; \hat{X_{m}^{y}} \quad \hat{d}_{(1 ; 0 ; 0)}^{y}(m) \\
\hat{\mathrm{A}}_{\mathrm{m}}^{(\mathrm{x})} & \hat{X}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{y}} \hat{X}_{\mathrm{m}} ; \hat{X}_{m}^{(0)} \quad \hat{d}_{(0 ; 0 ; 0)}^{y}(m) \hat{\mathrm{d}}_{(0 ; 0 ; 0)}(m): \tag{8}
\end{array}
$$



FIG. 4: (a) The H am iltonian in Eq. (1-1 1 ) describes a 2 D system where atom $s$, which can form ally $\bar{b} \bar{e}$ thought of as having two di erent avors (sam e type ofatom sbut in di erent localized on-site orbitals), hop around sub ject to on-site repulsive interactions. O ne avor, the X - avor, can only hop in the $x$-direction whereas the other, $Y$ - avor, can only hop in the y-direction. (b) C onversion process. T he conversion term in Eq. (11) takes tw o X -atom s on the sam e lattice site and tums them ínto two Y -atom s or vige versa.

The e ective H am iltonian is then essentially that of a quasi one-dim ensionalbosonic H ubbard m odel

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{1 D}=X_{m} \hat{n}_{m}^{(x)} E_{x}(m)+U_{0 x} \hat{n}_{m}^{(0)}+\frac{U_{x x}}{2}\left[\hat{n}_{m}^{(x)}\right. \\
& { }^{m} X \hat{h} \hat{x} \quad i \\
& t \quad \hat{X} \hat{X}_{m}^{y} \hat{X}_{m} 0+h: C: \\
& \mathrm{hm} ; \mathrm{m}^{0} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{x}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The energiest $t^{(x)}, U_{0 x}$, and $U_{x x}$ arise from the interwell tunneling and the inter-atom ic interaction respectively. The presence of atom s residing in the low est band leads to an additionale ective on-site energy and can be absorbed in the on-site energies $E_{x}(m)$, $(s=x ; y ; z)$. A 1though this single- avor $m$ odel is equivalent to a singleavor m odel in the zeroth Bloch band, the additional random on-site potential resulting from residual atom $s$ could be exploited in the study of the disordered B oseH ubbard system .

The param eters entering the H am iltonian ( $\overline{\mathrm{d}}$ ) are conveniently expressed as

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{x x}=2^{p} \overline{2}_{E_{R}} \frac{a_{s}}{a} O_{00}\left(v_{0 y}\right) O_{00}\left(v_{0 z}\right) O_{11}\left(v_{0 x}\right) ;  \tag{9}\\
U_{0 x}=2 U_{x x} O_{01}\left(v_{0 x}\right)=O_{11}\left(v_{0 x}\right): \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

B. 2D H am iltonian, two avors, ( $\mathrm{V}_{0 \mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{V}_{0 \mathrm{y}} \quad \mathrm{V}_{0 \mathrm{z}}$ )

To sim plify the notation for the case $V_{0 x}=V_{0 y} \quad V_{0 z}$, we introduce new letters for the creation/annihilation
operators $\hat{Y}_{m} \quad \hat{d}_{(0 ; 1 ; 0)}(m), \hat{n}_{m}^{(y)} \quad \hat{Y}_{m}^{y} \hat{Y}_{m}$. Then, the H am iltonian goveming atom $s$ in the excited bands becom es

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{mm}, \mathrm{~m}^{0} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{Mm} ; \mathrm{m}^{0} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{x}} \\
& \left.+U_{X Y}{ }_{m}^{X} \hat{n}_{m}^{(X)} \hat{n}_{m}^{(Y)}+\frac{U_{X Y}}{2}{ }_{m}^{X} \hat{X_{m}^{y}} \hat{X}_{m}^{Y} \hat{Y}_{m} \hat{Y}_{m}+h: C:\right]: \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

A gain, the energy $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{xy}}$ arises from the interatom ic interaction and depends on the lattioe depth. N ote that this two avor bosonic H ubbard Ham iltonian di ers in an im portant aspect from previously studied two avor system $s$ : the presence of the last term that $m$ ixes the two avors. Hence, the inter-atom ic interaction leads to a \Josephson term " that allow s for the conversion of tw o $X$-atom $s$ into two $Y$-atom $s$ and vioe versa. The coe cients $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{Yy}}=\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{xx}}$ are given by the sam e expression as in the 1D case while

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{XY}}=2^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{2}_{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{R}}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{s}}}{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{O}_{00}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{Oz}}\right) \mathrm{O}_{01}\left(\mathrm{v}_{0 \mathrm{x}}\right)^{2}: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figure, $\overline{4} / \overline{1}$ ilhustrates the dynam ics in the 2D (two avors) situation.

$$
\text { C. } \left.3 \mathrm{D} \text { H am iltonian, three avors, ( } \mathrm{V}_{0 \mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{V}_{0 \mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{V}_{0 \mathrm{z}}\right)
$$

The generalization of the above H am iltonian to the case when $V_{0 x}=V_{0 y}=V_{0 z}$ is straight forw ard. Introducing a third avor $\hat{Z}_{m} \quad \hat{d}_{(0 ; 0 ; 1)}(m), \hat{\mathrm{A}}_{\mathrm{m}}^{(\mathrm{z})} \quad \hat{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{y}}} \hat{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{m}}}$, one $m$ ay w rite an e ective $H$ am iltonian as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{3 D}=X_{s=x, y i z m} \quad E_{s}(m) \hat{n}_{m}^{(s)}+\frac{U_{s s}}{2} \hat{n}_{m}^{(s)} \widehat{A}_{m}^{(s)} \\
& +\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{s} \in \mathrm{~s}^{0} \mathrm{~m}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{Ss}{ }^{0}} \hat{\mathrm{~A}}_{\mathrm{m}}^{(\mathrm{s})} \hat{A}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\left(\mathrm{s}^{0}\right)}+\frac{1}{2}\left[\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{Y}} \hat{S}_{\mathrm{m}}^{0} \hat{S}_{\mathrm{m}}^{0}+\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{C}:\right] \\
& \text { sf } \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{o}}^{\mathrm{m}} \quad \mathrm{X} \\
& t^{X} \quad S_{m}^{y} s_{m} 0+h: c:: \\
& \mathrm{s}=\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{Y} ; \mathrm{Z} \mathrm{hm} \mathrm{~m}^{0} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{s}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $U_{s s^{0}}=s s^{0} U_{x \underline{x}}+\left(1 \quad s s^{0}\right) U_{x y} w i t h U_{x x}$ and $U_{x y}$


$$
\text { D. } Z_{2} \text { G auge sym m etry }
$$

Because of overall num ber conservation the $H$ am iltonian has the usual global U (1) sym m etry. H ow ever, because the avor conversion occurs pairw ise and locally (i.e., on site), the H am iltonians described above also exhibit an in nite num ber of $Z_{2}$ gauge symm etries corresponding to conservation $m$ odulo 2 of the num ber of $X$
particles in any given colum $n$ of the lattice running in the $x$-direction (and sim ilarly for $Y$ and $Z$ particles). These sym $m$ etries correspond to invariance under each of the transform ations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 23 \\
& U_{Y}^{\left(m_{x}, m_{z}\right)}=\exp ^{4} i_{m_{y}}^{X} \hat{Y}_{\left(m_{x} ; m_{y} ; m_{z}\right)}^{Y} \hat{Y}_{\left(m_{x} ; m_{y} ; m_{z}\right)} 5
\end{aligned}
$$

where the integer pair ( $m_{i} ; m_{j}$ ) in the superscript ofeach $U$ determ ine the location of a colum $n$. The rst transfor$m$ ation for exam ple takes $\hat{X}_{\left(m_{x}, m_{y} ; m_{z}\right)}!\quad \hat{X}_{\left(m_{x}, m_{y}, m_{z}\right)}$ for all $m_{x}$ in the colum $n$ speci ed by $m_{y}$ and $m_{z}$. Since $\hat{X}^{y}$ and $\hat{X}$ operators alw ays appear pairw ise, the H am iltonian is invariant under th is class of $Z_{2}$ transform ations. $T$ hese $Z_{2}$ sym $m$ etries are in a sense interm ediate betw een local and global. W hile the num ber of such sym $m$ etries is in nite (in the them odynam ic lim it) it is of course sub extensive and thus not large enough to fiully constrain the system (or to $m$ ake it integrable for exam ple). A s m entioned in the introduction, such sym $m$ etries have been found in certain frustrated spin $m$ odels $21,22,123$ and in a bose $m$ etal' $m$ odel [251] and are known to cause
 cause introducing a defect across which the sign of the $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$ order param eter changes along any given single colum n costs only nite energy, the system will, like the 1D Ising $m$ odel, disorder at any nite tem perature thereby restoring the $Z_{2}$ sym $m$ etry. W e w ill see below how th is reduced dim ensionality physics appears in a sim ple way in this system.

> IV. MEAN FIELD THEORY PHASE D IAGRAMSFOR THEEFFECTIVE HAM ILTON IANS

H aving derived e ective H am iltonians in one, tw O , and three dim ensions, we tum now to the investigation of their ground states. The 1D, single avor H am iltonian has been extensively studied (see for instance $R$ ef. [3] and references therein) and needs no further discussion here. The other tw o H am iltonians in Eqs. (11 $\left.\bar{I}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and (13) deserve som e attention though.
A. Phase diagram 2D, two avors
 bard H am iltonian, a system that has recently received
much attention and shown to have a rich phase di-
 w ill investigate the ground state of the $H$ am iltonian in Eq. (11) using sim ple m ean eld theory. The H am iltonian (11') di ers from those previously studied in two aspects: the presence of pairw ise inter avorm ixing and the anisotropic tunneling.
$W$ e follow here the $m$ ethod suggested in $R$ ef. [B]_] (see also Refs. $\left[\bar{q}_{1}, 1\right]$ global U (1) and colum nar $Z_{2}$-sym m etries discussed in section 'IIID', are spontaneously broken by introducing com plex scalar colum nar order param eter elds $x\left(m_{y}\right)$ and $y\left(m_{x}\right)$, i.e. one for each $x-c o l u m n$ and one for each y -column. These elds should then satisfy the self consistency conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{x}\left(m_{y}\right)=\hat{X}_{\left(m_{x}, m_{y}\right)} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $m_{x}$ in the $x-c o l u m n$ speci ed by $m_{y}$, and,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{y}\left(m_{x}\right)=\hat{S}_{\left(m_{x}, m_{y}\right)}{ }^{E} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $m_{y}$ in the $y$-colum $n$ speci ed by $m_{x}$. For sim plicity we om it in this discussion of the 2D (two avors) case the $z$ com ponent $m z$ of the position vector $m$. T he possibility that uctuations restore the sym $m$ etry $w$ illbe discussed further below.
$M$ ean eld theory results from decoupling sites in the sam e colum $n$ by neglecting uctuations in the kinetic energy. For instance, for the $x$-colum $n$ speci ed by a particular value ofm $y_{y}$ one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\hat{X}_{\left(m_{x} m_{y}\right)}^{y} \hat{X}_{\left(m_{x}+1 ; m_{y}\right)}=\hat{X}_{\left(m_{x} m_{y}\right)}^{y} \quad x_{x}\left(m_{y}\right)+\quad x_{x}\left(m_{y}\right)\right)\left(\hat{X}_{\left(m_{x}+1 ; m_{y}\right)}^{x}\left(m_{y}\right)+x_{x}\left(m_{y}\right)\right) \\
& x_{x}\left(m_{y}\right) \hat{X}_{\left(m_{x} ; m_{y}\right)}+{ }_{x}\left(m_{y}\right) \hat{X}_{\left(m_{x}+1 ; m_{y}\right)} \quad j_{x}\left(m_{y}\right) f^{2} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$T$ hus the sites along each colum $n$ decouple. D oing the sam efor the $Y: s$ and $w r i t i n g$ the $H$ am iltonian in dim ensionless form where all energies are scaled by $U_{x x}$, i.e., $h_{2 d} \quad H_{2 D}=U_{x x}, t \quad t=U_{x x}$ and $U_{x y} \quad U_{x y}=U_{x x}$, we obtain $h_{2 D}$
$h_{2 d}^{M}\left(m ; x\left(m_{y}\right) ; y_{y}\left(m_{x}\right)\right): H$ ere, the on-site $m$ ean eld $H$ am iltonians are given by m

$$
\begin{align*}
& +X_{s=x ; y}^{X} \frac{1}{2} \hat{n}_{m}^{(s)}\left[\hat{n}_{m}^{(s)} \quad 1\right] \quad \sim f_{n}^{(s)}+2 \sum_{j}(m) \mathcal{J}^{2}+\frac{U_{x y}}{2}\left[\hat{X}_{m}^{y} \hat{X}_{m}^{y} \hat{Y}_{m} \hat{Y}_{m}+\hat{Y}_{m}^{y} \hat{Y}_{m}^{y} \hat{X}_{m} \hat{X}_{m}\right] ; \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where ~ $=U_{x x}$ serves as a com $m$ on chem icalpotential. The on site H am iltonians satisfy the eigenvalue relations
for tw $o$ arbitrary com plex elds. A $n$ eigenstate of the full $m$ ean eld ham iltonian can be w ritten as a product state of such eigenstates

$$
j i=Y_{m}^{Y} j_{n_{m}}\left(x_{x}\left(m_{y}\right) i_{y}\left(m_{x}\right)\right) i
$$

where the elds satisfy the self consistency conditions in Eqs. (14) $-(\overline{1}-\overline{5})$. The $m$ ean eld ground state is obtained by globally m inim izing the energy

$$
E=X_{m}^{X} n_{m}\left(x_{x}\left(m_{y}\right) ;_{y}\left(m_{x}\right)\right)
$$

w ith respect to the elds and the set ofeigen states $\mathrm{fn}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{g}$. $T$ his is $m$ ost easily done by num erical diagonalization in
a truncated H ibert space where each site can hold at m ost a total of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax atom s . Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underset{\left[n_{m} ; \ln _{\left(m_{y}\right) ;}\right.}{\left.y_{y}\left(m_{x}\right)\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

it is enough to $m$ in im ize the ground state energy of a single site w ith respect to the elds and then nd the largest m anifold of states com patible w ith having colum nar order param eters elds. C arrying out this schem e reveals two di erent scenarios for the $m$ inim um of each on-site energy $n(x ; y)$; either $x=y_{y}=0$ and $n_{x}+n_{y}$ is integer (incom pressible), or, $j x j=j y j \neq 0$ (compressible). The form er case corresponds to a $M$ ott insulating state while the latter suggests a super uid phase.
$D$ ue to the positivity of $U_{x y}$ the last term in the $m$ ean eld $H$ am iltonian ism inim alwhenever $x$ and $y$, on the sam e site, have a phase di erence of $=2$.

For the ( $m$ ean eld) ground state $m$ anifold we m ust have in this phase $j_{x}\left(m_{y}\right) j=j_{y}\left(m_{x}\right) j$ for all $x$ and $y$ colum $n$ order param eters. Requiring the phases of all $x$ in each $x$ and all $y$ in the $y$ colum ns to be the sam ewhile xing the relativephasebetween $x$ and $y$ to
$=2$ results in con gurations as the one show $n$ in $F$ ig $H$ ere the phases of $x$ and $y$ are show $n$ represented as arrow s (planar spins). The direction of the arrow s de $n-$ ing the angle. C learly, this phase show s a breaking of the global U (1) sym metry. The meaning of the quasi-local nature of the $Z_{2}$ sym $m$ etries discussed above becom es clear. A though the phases of $x$ in each $x$ colum $n$ are the sam e there is no energy cost associated w ith ipping all the spins $x$-spins in a single colum $n$ or all the $y$-spins in a $y$-colum $n$. The ordering betw een di erent colum ns is thus nem atic.

O ne should note here, that since the only energy cost associated $w$ ith ipping a single spin, say an $x$-spin in an x colum $n$, is given by the states of the neighboring x spins in the colum $n$, the situation is essentially that of a 1D Ising modelalong each colum $n$. Hence, at any nite tem perature, dom ains of ipped spinsw illproliferate and the $Z_{2}$ sym $m$ etries $w i l l$ be restored. $T$ his essentially one dim ensional behavior is an exam ple of the dim ensional reduction $m$ entioned above.

The m odel under consideration is highly anisotropic. $M$ ainly since $X$ particles can only hop in the $x$-direction, it seem $s$ to be im possible to develop phase coherence am ong $X$ particles in di erent $x$-colum ns (and sim ilarly for the other avors). Suppose how ever that, as discussed above, the avor exchange interaction term causes the relative phase of two avors, say $X$ and $Y$, to lock to-


FIG. 5: Colum nar phase ordering in 2d super uid phase. The directions of the arrow s correspond to the phase angles $x_{x}\left(m_{y}\right)$ and $y\left(m_{x}\right)$ of the order param eter elds $x^{\prime}\left(m_{y}\right)=$ $j x^{\prime}\left(m_{y}\right) \dot{j}^{i x\left(m_{y}\right)}$ and $y\left(m_{x}\right)=j j_{y}\left(m_{x}\right) \dot{j}^{i y\left(m_{x}\right)}$. Solid arrow s correspond to $x$ and dashed to $y$.
gether so that $\hat{Y}^{y} \hat{X}^{\prime}$ condenses
${ }_{\hat{Y}^{y} \hat{X}^{\mathrm{X}}}^{\mathrm{E}} 0:$

In this case them ean eld decom position of the exchange interaction yields term $s$ of the form

$$
V \quad \hat{Y}^{Y} \hat{X}+\hat{X}^{Y} \hat{Y}
$$

which perm it individual particles to change avor and hence phase coherence can freely propagate in all directions throughout the lattice via a kind of A ndreev' proœess (i.e. self-energy o -diagonalin avorindex) in which an $X$ particle can tum into a $Y$ particle when it needs to travel in the $y$ direction.

To understand this isotropic super uid phase, it is convenient to consider a phase only representation $w$ ith com pact phase variables on each site $\hat{X}_{m}!e^{i^{\prime}{ }_{m}^{x}}$ and $\hat{Y}_{m}!e^{\stackrel{i^{\prime}}{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{m}}$. The avor exchange ('Josephson') term then becom es (for the 2D (two avors) case)


De ning ${ }^{\prime} \underset{m}{\prime x} \underset{m}{\prime x} \underset{m}{\prime}$ we have

$$
V=\sigma_{X Y}^{X} \cos \left(2_{m}^{\prime}\right):
$$

A ssum ing that the relative phase of the condensates is locked together by this Josephson term is equivalent to assum ing that (for $U_{X Y}>0$ ) the uctuations of' aw ay from the ground state value $=2$ (or its equivalent $=2$ under the $Z_{2}$ gauge sym $m$ etry) are $m$ assive and can be ignored. Thuswe obtain ${ }_{\mathrm{m}}^{(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y})}={ }^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{m}}^{+}=2 \quad=4: \mathrm{T}$ he continuum lim it of the anisotropickinetic energy $T=\left(@_{x}{ }^{\prime x}\right)^{2}+$ $\left(\complement_{\mathrm{y}}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\mathrm{y}}\right)^{2}$ then becom es T $\quad\left(\complement_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{\prime+}\right)^{2}+\left(\complement_{\mathrm{y}}{ }^{\prime+}\right)^{2}$ and we im m ediately see that the anisotropy has e ectively disappeared at long w avelengths and we have a super uid.

A vortex con guration in ${ }^{\prime}+$ can be view ed as a bound state of tw o half vortices in the ${ }^{x}$ and ${ }^{\prime} y$ elds. The colum nar $Z_{2}$ sym $m$ etry allow sthe $x$ eld to have a phase jum $p$ of across a cut parallelto the $x$ axis and sim ilarly for y . T hus half vortices are perm itted. If the tw o order param eter phases are locked together ( $r$ uctuations are $m$ assive) then the two half vortices are con ned to each other as shown in Fig. ( $\overline{-1})$. Such a vortex has an energy which scales (as usual) only logarithm ically w ith system size, despite the sem i-in nitebranch cut ( phase jump) of $x$ running horizontally out to the right from the vortex center and of the sim ilar branch cut in $y$ running vertically out above the vortex center. To see that such a vortex is topologically w ellde ned despite the $Z_{2}$ sym $m$ etry one can consider a loop around the vortex core as shown in $F$ ig. (G) . In going around the loop we add up the phase tw ist and $m$ ap onto the com plex plane. To calculate along the loop the changes in ' y has to be added when going vertically and the changes in ${ }^{\prime} x$ when going horizontally. The net results is that


FIG.6: (color online) Phase con guration for x (black solid arrow s) and $y$ (red dashed arrow s) containing a half vortex. $N$ otice the branch cuts indicated by the long solid black and dashed red lines. $T$ he $Z_{2}$ sym $m$ etry $m$ eans that these branch cuts have zero string tension' and contribute only a nite core energy to the vortex. The half $w$ inding num ber can be seen by going around a loop $A-B-C-D-A$ and calculating the total phase tw ist. . This tw ist is calculated by sum $m$ ing the changes in 'y when going vertically and ${ }^{\prime x}$ when going horizontally.
going once around the vortex core the phase w inds by . If one applies a - ip in all the ${ }^{x}$ ( ${ }^{y}$ y) phases in any row (colum $n$ ) the $m$ apping onto the com plex plane $w$ ill rem ain invariant.

The M ott insulating states, having integer num ber of atom $s$ in each well, are best characterized by the $e=0$ eigenstates. T hese are product states

$$
0(\varkappa=0)=Y_{m}^{Y} j_{\mathrm{mi}}(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{i} \text {; }
$$

where $h_{2 D}^{M}(0 ; 0) j_{N i} i=N_{i} j_{N i} i$ and the integer $N$ is the total num ber of particles $N=n_{x}+n_{y}$ in each well. $T$ he index i runs from 0 to $N$ for each $N$ and for the three low est values of $N$ the eigenstates are

In $F$ ig. $\overline{1}$ I, the $m$ ean eld phase diagram has been draw $n$ for the physically relevant value $\sigma_{X Y}=1=3 \mathrm{which}$ is characteristic for the proposed setup. T he lobes $m$ arked M I correspond to incom pressibleM ott insulating phasesw ith integer lling factors. The rem aining part of the diagram, $m$ arked $S F$, corresponds to a super uid phase $w$ th the


FIG.7: M ean eld ground state phase diagram for the 2D (two avors) H am iltonian in Eq. $1 \sigma_{1}^{\prime}$ in the plane of $\sim$, the scaled chem ical potential $=\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{xx}}$, and $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{xx}}$, the scaled hopping energy. $H$ ere calculated for the experim entally relevant ratio $U_{x y} \quad U_{x y}=U_{x x}=1=3$ using a truncated $H$ ibbert space with at m ost 10 particles per site. Lobes of M ottinsulating states, of successively increasing integer lling factor w ith increasing chem ical potential ~, are surrounded by a super uid phase. The super uid phase is characterized by colum nar order param eter elds $x\left(m_{y}\right)$ and $y\left(m_{x}\right)$, one for each $x$-and $y$-colum $n$ respectively. A 11 x and y have equal, nonzero, $m$ agnitudes while their relative phases are either 0 or . (see Fig. ${ }^{\text {rith }}$ ) .
colum nar nem atic ordering (see Fig. ${ }^{\left.-1 / \bar{W}_{1}^{\prime}\right)}$ ) discussed above. $C$ onsidering the $t=0$ eigenstates above two things becom e clear. T rivially, if $\tilde{U}_{x y}!0$ the low est lobe, and all other odd lling lobes, vanish and the $m$ odel reduces to two noninteracting single avor models as expected. Secondly, at $U_{x y}=0: 5$ there is a level crossing betw een j 20 i and $j{ }_{21} i$. It follow $s$ that the size of the low est odd lling lobes increases with increasing values of $U_{x y}$ up until $\tilde{U}_{x y}=0: 5$ after which it starts to decrease again.

By considering uctuation e ects higher order in the tunneling am plitude, we can dem onstrate that the per$m$ utationalsym $m$ etry betw een the $X$-and $Y$ - avors can be broken in the M ott insulator phase. In the absence of tunneling, the single-particle states $j_{10 i} i$ and $j_{11} i$ are degenerate. Taking tunneling into account breaks this degeneracy and to second order in e (using for instance the Schrie er-W ol transform ation ( $\left.\overline{[3} \overline{6}_{1}^{2}\right)$ an e ective (pseudo) spin $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{H}$ am iltonian for the interaction betw een neighboring sites can be found

$$
H_{e f f}=\overleftarrow{w}_{\mathrm{ff}} \mathrm{Xm}_{\mathrm{hm} \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{i}}}^{\hat{m}_{\mathrm{m}}^{(\mathrm{z})} \wedge_{\mathrm{m}}^{(z)}}
$$

The up-and down-states of the pseudo spin operators $\wedge_{m}^{(z)}$ correspond to the site $m$ being occupied by one $X$ atom or one $Y$-atom respectively. Thee ective $m$ agnetic


FIG. 8: (C olor online) Phase ordering in 3d super uid phase. The directions of the arrow s correspond to the phase angles $x\left(m_{y} ; m_{z}\right), y\left(m_{x} ; m_{z}\right)$ and $z_{z}\left(m_{x} ; m_{y}\right)$ of the order param eter elds $x\left(m_{y} ; m_{z}\right)=j_{x}\left(m_{y} ; m_{z}\right) \dot{j}^{i} x^{\left(m_{y} ; m_{z}\right)}$, $y\left(m_{x} ; m_{z}\right)=j_{y}\left(m_{x} ; m_{z}\right) j^{i y}\left(m_{x} ; m_{z}\right)$ and $z_{z}\left(m_{x} ; m_{y}\right)=$ $j_{y}\left(m_{x} ; m_{y}\right) \dot{j}^{i y\left(m_{x} ; m_{y}\right)}$. As in the 2D (two avors) case the underlying sym $m$ etry of the $H$ am iltonian allows for ipping say all the $x$ along any $x$-colum $n$ by to obtain another ground state con guration. In addition to the ground state degeneracy obtained from such operations, an accidental degeneracy associated w ith parallel planes of di erent chirality is present. In this gure the middle $x \quad z$ plane has a di erent chirality than the other two x $\quad$ z planes.
interaction is

$$
J_{e f f}=\frac{e^{2}\left(\widetilde{U}_{x y}^{2}+2 \widetilde{U}_{x y} \quad 1\right)}{\widetilde{U}_{x y}\left(1 \quad \widetilde{U}_{x y}^{2}\right)}:
$$

There is a critical value of the inter avor interaction $U_{x y}^{c}=\frac{1}{2} \quad 1 \quad 0: 414$ for which $U_{f f}$ vanishes. For $\tilde{U}_{x y}>\mathcal{U}_{x y}^{c}$, the system is ferrom agnetic and spontaneously favors one avor over the other. For $\mathcal{U}_{x y}<\mathcal{U}_{x y}^{c}$ the system is anti-ferrom agnetic and favors an ordering w ith $X$ - and $Y$-atom $s$ on altemating sites. Thus, we conclude that at integer lling factor the perm utational sym $m$ etry betw een $X$ and $Y$ avors, (or equivalently, the cubic sym $m$ etry of the underlying lattiae) is alw ays broken in the $m$ ean eld ground state. Further, in the antiferrom agnetic state, sublattice (i.e. translation) sym $m e-$ try is broken as well.

## B. Phase diagram 3D, three avors

Using the sam e type of $m$ ean eld theory as for the 2D (two avors) case, the 3D (three avors) case can be treated as well. The resulting phase diagram for $U_{x y}=$ $1=3$ is shown in $F$ ig. 1 .1. A gain, $M$ ott-lobes $w$ ith integer
lling factors are seen surrounded by a super uid phase where all order param eters $x ; y ; z$ have equalm agnitude, i.e., $j_{x} j=j x j=j_{z} j 0$. Due to the positivity of the coe cient $U_{x y}$ in the Josephson term in Eq. (13) the


FIG. 9: M ean eld ground state phase diagram for the 3D (three avors) H am iltonian.
relative phases of the three condensates are frustrated. Thus, writing $s=j s \dot{j}^{i} s, s=x ; y ; z$ one nds $x$ $y=y \quad z=z \quad x=2=3 \quad$.
An interesting e ect here is that the on site frustrated phase con gurations come in two di erent thiralities' that cannot be converted into each other by shifting any one of the phases by the shift allowed by the $Z_{2}$ gauge sym $m$ etry. To see this one $m$ ay consider the current ow ing between the condensates of di erent avors on a given site. The current ow ing betw een the $X$ and $Y$ condensates on a particular site is determ ined by $\sin (2[x \quad y])$. In a right handed con guration $w$ ith, say, $\mathrm{x}=0 ; \mathrm{y}=2=3 ; \mathrm{z}=4=3$ there is an on-site current ow ing from

$$
X \quad!~ Y ~!~ Z ~!~ X ~: ~
$$

The situation is di erent in a left handed con guration w ith $x=0 ; y=4=3 ; z_{z}=2=3$, where the current is now ow ing in the opposite direction, i.e.

$$
X \quad Y \quad Z \quad X:
$$

Adding an arbitrary phase of (i.e. invoking the $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$ sym m etry) to any of the phases does not a ect these currents.

Starting from a ground state w ith the sam e chirality throughout the system one can choose a set of parallel planes and change the chirality of each plane individually. Such changing of chirality of a plane requires that the whole plane has the sam e chirality. This additional ground state degeneracy is not associated w ith any sym $m$ etry of the H am iltonian but is an accidental one. A sim ilar situation occurs for specialparam eter values in frustrated $X Y$ m odels, where parallel zero energy dom ain walls can be inserted [2d]. O ne should note that such accidental degeneracies at the m ean eld levelm ay be lifted by uctuation e ects associated w ith collective m odes such as spin waves.


F IG . 10: (C olor online) Exam ple of broken perm utational sym $m$ etry. If one increases the interspecies interaction $\mathcal{U}_{x y}$ beyond $1=3$, super uid phases w ith broken perm utational sym $m$ etry can be achieved. Show $n$ here are the order param eters sorted according to $m$ agnitude, $1=m a x(x ; y ; z)$, $3=m$ in $(x ; y ; z)$ for an interspecies interaction $U_{x y}=$ $0: 8$ as a function oft. The total num ber of particles is show $n$ as a dashed line.

A s in the 2D (tw o avors) case, the sm allerM ott-lobes, corresponding to integer lling factors not divisible by the dim ensionality of the system, are degenerate in the $t=0 \mathrm{~lm}$ it. This degeneracy is lifted due to tunneling, leading to (pseudo) $m$ agnetic ordering like that dem onstrated for the 2D (two avors) case. To fully lift the degeneracy one has to em ploy fourth order perturbation theory. T he resulting $H$ am iltonian $w$ ill include term sacting sim ultaneously on three and four sites. H ow ever, such fourth order corrections are very sm all and $m$ ay be difcult to observe in the proposed experim ental situation. $T$ hey can how ever lead to novel physics and can be intentionally generated $[371,138,1]$.

Before leaving this section, we com $m$ ent on the possibility ofbreaking the perm utationalsym $m$ etry am ong the avors in the super uid phase. A $s$ is well know $n$, large interspecies interaction strength in the two avor bosonic H ubbard model leads to phase separation. A phenom ena occurring also here if $\widetilde{U}_{\mathrm{xy}} \quad 0: 5$. H ow ever, due to the positive constant in front of the 'Josephson' ( avor changing) term, another phenom enon can take place in the 3D (three avors) model.

As an exam ple consider Fig. 1 $U_{X Y}=0: 8$. A s can be seen for sm alle the system is in a $M$ ott insulating state $w$ th lling factor 2 . As $t$ increases the system becom es super uid. This occurs in two steps. First, m ean eld theory predicts a second order transition to a state w ith only one avor super uid and then a rst order transition to a state w th tw o nonzero superuid order param eters of equal m agnitude. Increasing the hopping strength further does not seem to $m$ ake the
third avor super uid. W e attribute this to the large energy cost associated w ith having the phases of the three order param eters in a frustrated con guration.
V. INTERFERENCE PATTERNSAND DENSITY CORRELATIONS

The traditionalway of detecting super uidity is by releasing the trap and looking at the density distribution of the expanding cloud. Provided that the cloud expands $m$ any tim es its intial diam eter, the nal position of a particle is determ ined by its m om entum rather than its initial position. H ence this expanded real-space density distribution provides a direct picture of the $m$ om entum space distribution of the trapped system. M ore precisely, the density distribution a tim e t after trap release is related to the $m$ om entum density of the trapped state $j i$ as

$$
h n(r ; t) i=\frac{m}{h t}^{3} \quad \dot{n}_{Q(r)} j
$$

where $Q(r)=m r=(h t)$. It is useful to think of this spatial distribution as resulting from interference of $m$ atter w aves radiated by the di erent lattice sites w hen the trap is released. The one-dim ensional character of the $Z_{2}$ gauge sym $m$ etry $m$ eans that therm al uctuations can destroy the long range order phase order by allow ing the phase on an arbitrary site to ip by . If the system disorders in this way, any interference pattem in the radiated $m$ atter $w$ aves $w i l l$ be destroyed as well. In this case, further inform ation about the correlations in the system can be obtained by looking at the density uc-
 H anbury B rown T w iss like statisticalm easurem ent.

W e begin this section by looking at the zero tem perature $m$ om entum distribution and then consider the density uctuations of the expanded cloud around its $m$ ean.

## A. Interference patterns

A though any real experim ent is conducted at nite tem perature, the zero tem perature colum nar phase ordering $m$ ay prevail for a nite system at low enough tem peratures. The zero tem peraturem om entum distribution is thus of interest and wew illestim ate it by using a single m acroscopically occupied w ave function corresponding to the super uid states in the two- and three- avor cases. The details of the calculations can be found in the Appendix and we here only state the $m$ ain results.
W e begin by considering a single 2D plane w th $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{N}$ sites at zero tem perature in the two-avor system and m odel the super uid state w ith a m acroscopically occupied wavefunction

$$
j i=\frac{a_{S F}^{Y}}{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{M}}}-j 0 i:
$$

Here $j 0 i$ is the vacuum state of the lattice, i.e. no atom $s$ present, while $a_{S F}^{y}$ is the creation operator

$$
a_{S F}^{Y} \quad P_{\overline{2} N}^{m=1 n=1} X_{m}^{X} X_{m}^{N} X_{m}^{Y}+m_{n} Y_{m n}^{Y}:
$$

$T$ he subscriptsm and $n$ denote the coordinates, row sand columns, in the lattioe while and are phase factors ( $j=j j=1$ ) determ ining the phase of the wavefunction on a given site. At zero tem perature the phases of X - particles are ordered along row s while the phases of Y -particles are ordered along colum ns, i.e., $\mathrm{mn}=\mathrm{m}$ and $\mathrm{mn}=\mathrm{n}$ (cf. Fig. ${ }^{15}$ and F ig. $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ ) . For a m acroscopic occupation $M$ the observed density distribution in a single shot in the x y plane after expansion is proportional to the momentum distribution $h \underset{Q}{\mathrm{y}}$ Q ji: As show $n$ in the appendix we have for a single 2 D plane in the two- avor system

$$
h j \underset{Q}{y} Q j i=j \sim_{x}(Q) f+j_{y}^{\sim}(Q) f
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sim_{x}{ }^{2}=M \underset{0}{\sim}(Q)^{2} f_{1}\left(Q_{y} ; m\right)^{X} \quad\left(a Q_{x} \quad n\right) \\
& \sim_{y}^{2}=M \underset{0}{\sim}(Q)^{2} f_{1}\left(Q_{x} ; n_{n}\right) \quad X_{\text {odd } m}\left(a Q_{y} \quad m \quad\right):
\end{aligned}
$$

The functions $\underset{0}{\sim} \underset{0}{\sim}$ and $\underset{0}{\sim}$ are the Fourier transform $s$ of the on-site $W$ annier functions and $f_{1}\left(Q_{y} ; m\right)$ and $f_{1}\left(Q_{x} ; m\right)$ are $2=$-periodic random functionsw th typicalm agnitude of order unity which depend on which of the degenerate ground states is observed (see Fig. '1" the A ppendix for details). From the above equations the interference pattem from a single 2D plane in the twoavor system can bee seen to be a grid like structure as shown in $F$ ig. 11 been calculated num erically for a $40 \times 40$ lattice. T he appearance of lines, rather than points as in a single avor 2D system, stem sfrom the one-dim ensional character of the super uid state $w$ th phases only being aligned along row s ( colum ns ) but random ly distributed betw een row s (colum ns). T he random ness in the distribution betw een the rows (colum ns) show up as the random interference pattem along grid lines.

In an experim ent one typically does not probe a single plane but it is the integrated density of a large num ber of planes that is im aged. For im aging in the plane parallel to the 2D planes the integrated colum $n$ density (intensity in the absorption im age) is for a $N \quad N \quad N$ lattice $w$ ith $M$ atom $s$ in each 2D plane
$H$ ere the line over the quantum $m$ echanicalaveraging denotes the averaging over the di erent ground state con $g-$ urations allow ed by the $Z_{2}$ sym $m$ etry. Since $\overline{f_{1}(Q ; ~ m)}=$


F IG.11: C alculated m om entum distribution for a 40x40 lattice. The $m$ om entum distribution $w$ as calculated by num erically sum $m$ ing the contributions to the distribution function.
 FIG. 12: (color online) (a) $T$ he random function
$f_{2}\left(Q_{y} ; Q_{z} ;{\underset{n}{x}}_{n}\right)$ for a $40 \quad 40$ lattice for a speci c realization of $\underset{n}{x} \mathrm{~N}$ N ote that $f_{2}$ is sym $m$ etric under inversion. (b) $T$ he random function $g_{2}^{y}\left(Q_{x} ; Q_{z} ;{ }_{n o} ; m\right)$ for a $40 \quad 40$ lattice for a speci c realization of $\underset{n}{\mathrm{y}}$, and $m$. N ote that $g_{2}$ is not sym $m$ etric under inversion.

1 (see A ppendix) the random interferences seen in $F$ ig. ${ }^{111} \overline{1}_{1}^{1}$ will be averaged out and a grid of sm ooth lines, void of interference, w ill be seen. A nother source of sm oothing out the random interferences com es from lim ited detector precision. For a large system, the random oscillations becom es increasingly rapid and only an average over nearby m om enta can be probed.

In the 3D (i.e. three avors) case, the situation is very sim ilar. Special care have to be taken with accidentalsym $m$ etry breaking of the ground state giving rise to planes of di erent chirality. If we assum e that planes w th uniform chirality have norm als in the x direction
the $m$ om entum distribution can be w ritten

$$
\begin{align*}
& +2 \operatorname{Re} \sim_{x}(Q) \sim_{y}(Q)+2 R e \sim_{y}(Q) \sim_{z}(Q) \\
& h \quad i \\
& +2 \operatorname{Re} \sim_{z}(Q) \sim_{x}(Q) \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sim_{x}^{2}=2 \underset{0}{\sim}{ }_{0}^{2} \frac{M}{3} f_{2}\left(Q_{y} ; Q_{z} ; \underset{\text { no }}{x}\right) \quad X \quad\left(a Q_{x} \quad n\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

A gain, since long range order is only aligned along 1D strips, the released cloud willbe a set of intersecting perpendicular planes $w$ th intersections at positions corresponding to odd $m$ om enta $Q_{x ; y ; z}=(2 n+1)=a$. The planes in each direction $w i l l$ have a random intensity $m$ odulation speci ed by the random functions $f_{2}, g_{2}^{y}$ and $g_{2}^{2}$ (see A ppendix for details). Exam ples of these distribution functions $f_{2}$ and $g_{2}$ are show $n$ in $F$ ig. '121. The last three term s in $\mathrm{Eq} .1 \overline{1}$, random ly m odulate the distribution along the intersections of the planes.

If a single shot $m$ easurem ent is $m$ ade, the integrated colum $n$ density will show a pattem of grid lines sim ilar to that in $F$ ig. '11 11 , the grid lines show ing random interference pattems. Betw een the lines a periodic random distribution (of lesser intensity than the lines) w ill be present. This latter distribution will be either $f_{2}$ or $g_{2}$ depending on the orientation of the planes $w$ th uniform chirality. Thus if the absorbtion im age is taken in the sam e plane as the planes w ith uniform chirality this background m odulation $w$ ill be sym $m$ etric under space inversion (cf. Fig in' (a)) whereas if it is taken penpendicular there $w$ ill be no such symm etry in the random m odulation (cf. Fig ${ }^{1} 12 \mathcal{Z}^{\prime}(\mathrm{b})$ ).

## B . D ensity-density correlations

As pointed out above, the dim ensional reduction present in the system $m$ eans that nite tem peratures can destroy the 1D Ising-like ordering of phases along colum ns and that the individual phases at any one site can be ipped , i.e., the $Z_{2}$ gauge sym $m$ etry is restored. In this case there $w i l l$ be no visible interference pattem although atom $s$ are delocalized, i.e. the delta peaks w illbe sm eared and a random density distribution w ill be seen each shot.

To ilhustrate the usefilness of correlation m easure$m$ ents we consider a single $N \quad N$ 2D plane in the twoavor system at unit lling ( $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{N}^{2}$ ). If the tem perature is nite, not only $m$ ay the $Z_{2}$ sym $m$ etry in the
super uid state be restored but it is also possible for the unit lling $M$ ott state to be disordered. There are then four di erent possible states the system can be in

1. Super uid $w$ ith restored $Z_{2}$ sym $m$ etry.
2. Ferrom agnetic $M$ ott insulator (all atom $s$ of the same avor).
3. A nti-ferrom agnetic $M$ ott insulator (altemating avors on altemating sites).
4. D isorder $M$ ott insulator (each site having one atom but w th random avor).

If one $m$ akes $m$ ultiple single shot $m$ easurem ents and averages the density distribution obtained in each shot, one obtains a $m$ easure of the average $m$ om entum distribution (see A ppendix)

$$
\overline{h \mathfrak{n}_{Q} j i}=\frac{M}{2}{\underset{j}{ }{ }^{\sim x}(Q) \jmath^{2}+j_{j}^{\sim y}(Q) \jmath^{i}}_{i}^{i}
$$

which is the sam e for each of the four states $1-4$. W e w ill henceforth refer to averages $\bar{h} i$ as disorder averages. To distinguish the four states one can instead $m$ easure the HBT-like density-density correlations of the expanding


$$
G\left(r ; r^{0}\right) \overline{\mathrm{hn}(r) n\left(r^{0}\right) i_{t}} \overline{\mathrm{hn}(r)} \overline{t_{\mathrm{hn}}\left(r^{0}\right) i_{t}}:
$$

Here $\overline{\mathrm{hn}(r) i_{t}}$ is the density of atom $s$ at point $r$ a time $t$ after the trap has been sw itched o averaged over many experim ental realizations (see A ppendix). To m easure $\overline{\mathrm{hn}(r) \mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{r}^{0}\right) i_{t}}$ one calculates the product of the observed densities $n(r) n\left(r^{0}\right)$ in each shot and averages over several experim ental runs. Just as for the density distribution, the correlation function $G$ provides a $m$ easure of the $m o-$ $m$ entum correlations

$$
G\left(r ; r^{0}\right)=\frac{m}{h t}{ }^{6} \overline{h n_{Q} n_{Q} \circ i} \overline{h n_{Q} i} \overline{h n_{Q} \circ i}{ }^{i}
$$

prior to trap release.
To get a qualitative understanding of how the superuid state can be detected by correlation $m$ easurem ents we rst retum to the $T=0$ result in the previous section and look at the periodic function $f_{1}\left(Q_{y}\right)$. This random $m$ odulation arose because phases of $Y$ :s were uncorrelated betw een row $s$. Since the relative phases of the $Y$ :s in row $s$ is this function is even in $Q$ and along any given grid line the quantity $\mathrm{hn}_{Q} \mathrm{n}_{Q}$ oi is thus strongly correlated when $Q_{y}+Q_{y}^{0}=2 m=a$. A veraging over $m$ any realizations one sees that (along a grid line of constant $\left.Q_{x}=2 n=a\right)$
$\overline{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Q}} 0^{i j}}{ }_{\mathrm{T}=0} /{ }_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{X}} \quad\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{Y}}+\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{Y}}^{0} \quad 2 \mathrm{~m}=\mathrm{a}\right)+$ otherterm $\mathrm{s}:$
In the them ally disordered super uid state the phase on any site is allowed to ip by (restoring $Z_{2}$ sym $m$ etry). This destroys the delta peaks in $j^{\sim}{ }_{(x ; y)}{ }^{\rho}$ and
gives instead a random $m$ odulation given by the function $f_{2}\left(Q_{x} ; Q_{y}\right)$. Since only - ips are allowed this m odulation is symmetric $f_{2}\left(Q_{x} ; Q_{y}\right)=f_{2}\left(Q_{\mathrm{x}} ; Q_{\mathrm{y}}\right)$ and we get strong correlations in $\mathrm{hn}_{Q} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Q}}$ oi

where $G_{n}$ is a reciprocal lattice vector. On a technical level (see A ppendix) this can be seen to arise since the disorder averaged propagator for single particles is necessarily short-ranged due to the random phase changes at nite tem perature, while the disorder averaged propagators for pairs of particles can still be long-ranged.

In A ppendix we have calculated $G\left(Q(r) ; Q^{0}\left(r^{0}\right)\right)$ for the four scenarios for a single plane in the tw o- avor system and we here give the qualitative results. T he superuid state is, con m ing the qualitative discussion above, characterized by peaks at $Q \quad Q^{0}=G_{n}$ (see Eq. ( (6)_3)) $w$ hile the ferrom agnetic $M$ ott state and the disordered $M$ ott state has peaks only at $Q \quad Q^{0}=G_{n}$ (see Eqs. $\bar{\sigma}_{6} \bar{T}_{1}$ ) and (82)). The correlation function in these $M$ ott states are distinguished by having di erent background intensities betw een peaks and di erent peak strengths. T he antiferrom agnetic $M$ ott state has peaks at half reciprocal lattice vectors $Q \quad Q^{0}=G_{n}=2$ (see Eq. (7乌)).

In the three avor system the situation is sim ilar to the two avor scenario discussed above. In the presence of them al disordering of the super uid state the interference pattems of the $M$ ott state and the super uid states becom e indistinguishable. A gain, in the three avor case the pair propagators $w$ ill be nonzero in the disordered super uid state and $G\left(Q_{-}(r) ; Q^{0}\left(r^{0}\right)\right)$ w ill have peaks at Q $\quad Q^{0}=G_{n}$ (see Eq. (93id))

W hile there should be no problem to $m$ easure the correlation functions for a system with three avors, the two- avor system poses a problem of technical nature since in an experim ent several uncorrelated 2D planes w illbe created. Suppose one has N uncorrelated planes. If one detects one atom at position $r$ and another at $r^{0}$ in a single experim ent the atom scould have com e from either the sam e plane or di erent planes. M easuring the product of densities in each shot and averaging over several experim ents one $w$ ill for the case $w$ ith $N$ 2D planes actually $m$ easure

$$
N \overline{\mathrm{hn}(r) \mathrm{n}\left(r^{0}\right) \dot{i}_{t}}+N(\mathbb{N} \quad 1) \overline{\mathrm{hn}(r)} \dot{\underline{\mathrm{hn}}\left(r^{0}\right) \dot{i}_{t}}
$$

rather than $N \overline{\mathrm{hn}(r) n\left(r^{0}\right) i_{t}}$ :Thus the signal-to-noise ratio scales as $1=\mathrm{N}$ requiring $m$ any experim ental runs for large system s.
VI. LIFETMMESTIMATE1D

In the previous sections, e ective H am iltonians for atom $s$ in the rst band ( $s$ ) of the optical lattice were introduced and the $m$ ean eld ground state phase diagram $s$
drawn. In doing so, it was assum ed that the interaction term $s$ in the original H am iltonian ( $\overline{\mathbf{L}}$ ) responsible for scattering particles betw een bands could be ignored. In this section, these interactions are taken into account perturbatively and the lifetim e of atom $s$ in the rst band is estim ated. The obtained (inverse) lifetim e should be com pared to other energy scales in the problem, m ost im portantly the sm allest one, the hopping energy. If the lifetim e tums out to be long com pared to the tim e scale of hopping, the novel states described in the previous sections should be possible to realize in experim ent.

To sim plify $m$ atters, the discussion $w$ ill.be restricted to the 1D-case. The ensuing results are expected to agree well, both qualitatively as wellas quantitatively, w ith the 2D and 3D cases to lowest order in perturbation theory. This follows from taking parity considerations into account when determ ining the allowed transitions. Thus, ignoring tunneling in the $y \quad z$ directions and $m$ easuring distance in units of the lattige spacing (see section (1, إ1) the 1D H am iltonian can be written $\hat{H}=\hat{H_{0}}+\hat{V}$ with

$$
\hat{H}_{0}=E_{R} X_{n}^{Z} \quad d \underset{n}{\hat{y}_{n}}() \quad \frac{@^{2}}{@^{2}}+v_{0 x} \sin ^{2}() \quad \hat{n}_{n}()
$$

and

Here ${ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{y}()$ creates an atom at in the $n$th band of the $1 D$-system and U $4 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{R}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{s}}}{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{O}_{00}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{0 \mathrm{y}}\right) \mathrm{O}_{00}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{Oz}}\right)$.

A part from the eld operators $\hat{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{n}}()$ it is convenient to de ne boson operators in two other bases. First, we have the basis of $B$ loch functions $u_{n k}()$ with band index $n$ and lattioe-m om entum $k$. These functions satisfy $\hat{H}_{0} u_{n k}()={ }_{n}(k) u_{n k}()$ and are associated $w$ ith the
eld operators $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{nk}} ; \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{nk}}^{\mathrm{Y}}$. Second, we have the W annierfunctions $\sim_{n}(\mathrm{~m})$ de ned in section $\frac{\pi}{1 I}$. In this section we w ill denote the corresponding eld operators by $a_{n}(m) ; a_{n}^{y}(m)$. N ote that these de nitions depart from the conventions in previous sections and that operators corresponding to $B$ loch functions and $W$ annier functions are distinguished in the num ber of subscripts.

## A. W ide-band lim it

Begin by looking at the case when the second term $\hat{V}$ in eq. $(\underline{1} \underline{\underline{1}})$ is sm all com pared to $\hat{H_{0}}$ and consider an in itial state $w$ here all N atom s reside in the low est lying Bloch state of the rst band,

$$
\ddot{\mathrm{ji}}=(\mathbb{N}!)^{1=2} \quad \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}=1 ; \mathrm{k}=}^{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{~N} \quad \text { ji }:
$$

A rst order decay process is then one where tw o atom s in the rst band collide, prom oting one to the second
band, the other to the zeroth band, i.e. the nalstate is

The rst order m atrix elem ent for this transition is


F IG .13: (Solid line) Inverse of the coe cient occurring in Eq. (20) for the rst order decay rate calculated in the wideband ${ }^{-1}$ im it. In this lim it, there are no available energy states for the rst-order decay provided $19<\mathrm{V}_{0 \mathrm{x}}$. (D ashed line) T he dashed line show s the $m$ axim um value of the ratio $a_{s}=a$ for which the wide-band analysis is valid.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{u}_{0 \mathrm{k}_{0}}() \mathrm{u}_{2 \mathrm{k}_{2}}() \mathrm{u}_{1 \mathrm{k}=}=\mathrm{a}()^{2} \mathrm{~d}:
\end{aligned}
$$

If the lling factor (atom $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{well}$ ) of the rst band is 1 , and the density of states of the $n$th band is $\left({ }_{n}(k)\right)$ the transition rate per well becom es

$$
\mathrm{w} \quad \frac{2}{\mathrm{~h}} \frac{\left({ }_{1} \mathrm{U}\right)^{2}{ }^{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{u}_{0 \mathrm{k}_{0}}() \mathrm{u}_{2 \mathrm{k}_{2}}()_{1 \mathrm{k}=}=\mathrm{a}()^{2} \mathrm{~d}^{2}}{\left(0\left(\mathrm{k}_{0}\right)\right)^{1}+\left({ }_{2}\left(\mathrm{k}_{2}\right)\right)^{1}}:
$$

De ning

$$
w^{W}\left(v_{0 z}\right) \frac{32^{3} E_{R}}{(0)^{1}+(2)^{1}} u_{0 k_{0}} u_{2 k_{2}} u_{1 k=}^{2}={ }_{a} d
$$

this can be com pactly w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}=\frac{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{R}}}{\mathrm{~h}}{\underset{1}{2}{\frac{a_{\mathrm{s}}}{\mathrm{a}}}^{2} \mathrm{O}_{00}\left(\mathrm{v}_{0 \mathrm{y}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{O}_{00}\left(\mathrm{v}_{0 \mathrm{z}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{w}\left(v_{0 \mathrm{x}}\right): ~: ~}_{\text {in }} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In $F$ ig. 1 shown. For convenience the inverse of whas been plotted. A s can be seen, the lifetim e goes to zero for sm all and large $v$. This is a result of the diverging density of states at the band edges. Above v 19 the rst order process is no longer energetically possible and higher order perturbation theory has to be applied.

The validity of the wide-band calculation relies upon the assumption that the inequality ${ }_{1} \mathrm{U}<\mathrm{t}$ is satis ed. $T$ his condition can be used to obtain an upper bound on the ratio $a_{s}=a$ by assum ing the lattice depth to be the sam e in all directions, i.e. $\mathrm{v}_{0 \mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{v}_{0 \mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{v}_{0 \mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{v}_{0}$, which yields

$$
\frac{a_{s}}{a}<\frac{a_{s}}{a} \quad \frac{t}{{ }_{1} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{R}}} \frac{1}{(2)^{3=2} \mathrm{O}_{00}\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}\right)^{2} \mathrm{O}_{11}\left(\mathrm{v}_{0}\right)}:
$$

This quantity is show $n$ for lling factor $1=1$ as the dashed line in Fig.'13는.

## B. N arrow band lim it

From the discussion above it is clear that for deep enough potentials the validity of the w ide-band analysis breaks down unless $1\left(a_{s}=a\right)$ is extrem ely sm all. An altemative starting point is when ${ }_{1} U \quad t$ while the $l l-$ ing factors for the zeroth and the second band are sm all, i.e., 0 ; 2 . K eeping term soforder ${ }_{1} U$, the relevant unperturbed H am ittonian to start from is in this case one where tunneling events in the two low est bands are com pletely ignored whereas interactions betw een atom s is only considered for atom s interacting $w$ ith particles in the rst band. Hence, one nds,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{H}_{0}=\mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}(m) \hat{n}_{\mathrm{n}}(m)+\mathrm{U}_{0 \mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \hat{\mathrm{n}}_{0}(m) \hat{\mathrm{n}}_{1}(\mathrm{~m}) \\
& \begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{n}=0 ; 1 \mathrm{~m} \\
1 & \mathrm{X}
\end{array} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} U_{x x} X^{X} \quad \hat{n}_{1}(m)\left(\hat{H}_{1}(m) \quad 1\right) \\
& X^{m} Z \\
& +E_{R}^{X>1} d \underset{n}{\hat{y}^{y}}() \quad \frac{@^{2}}{@^{2}}+v_{0 x}() \hat{n}_{n}() \\
& \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}>1} \mathrm{Z} \\
& +2 U_{n>1}^{X} d \hat{1}_{y_{1}}()_{1}()^{\wedge_{y}^{y}}()_{n}(): \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Here the num ber operators $\hat{n}_{n}(m) \quad \|_{n}(m) \hat{A}_{n}(m)$ have been introduced.

The initial state is a product ofFock-states w ith de nite num bers of particles in the rst band ofeach well as depicted in $F$ ig. '14 1 '. H ere, each well $m$ initially has $n_{m}$ atom $s$ in the rst band, i.e.

$$
\ddot{\mu} i=\sum_{m}^{Y} \frac{\mathrm{a}_{1}^{y}(m)^{n_{m}}}{P_{m}} \overline{n_{m}!} j i:
$$

The nal state is one where the population has changed such that, for a particular well, denoted by $r$, one particle has decayed from the rst band down to the zeroth while another atom, in order to conserve energy, ends up in a $B$ loch state of the $n$th ( $n>1$ ) band, i.e.

This state is not an exact eigenstate of the unperturbed H am iltonian in Eq. (211) but an approxim ate one. The correction to the $B$ loch wave fiunctions for $n>1$, which w ill later occur in the overlap integrals, is how ever only of the order $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{V}_{0 \mathrm{x}} \quad 1$ and can thusbe ignored. W hat is $m$ ore im portant is the associated energy shift since this a ects the position of the band edges of the $n$ : th band. This, in tum, can have im pact on the lifetim e since it a ects the naldensity of states. H ence, one can replage the tw o last tem $s$ in the unperturbed $H$ am iltonian (211) by a term diagonalin the band index $n$;

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{H}_{0}=\mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~m}) \hat{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{~m})+\mathrm{U}_{0 \mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{X}} \hat{\mathrm{n}}_{0}(\mathrm{~m}) \hat{\mathrm{n}}_{1}(\mathrm{~m}) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} U_{x x}^{X} \hat{\mathrm{n}}_{1}(\mathrm{~m})\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}(m) \quad 1\right) \\
& +\quad(\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{k})+1 \mathrm{nk}) \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{nk}}:  \tag{22}\\
& n>1 ; k
\end{align*}
$$

Here, the rst order (H artree) shift $n k$ in energy due to interactions between an atom in the $n$ : th $B$ loch band and the atom $s$ in the rst band have been inconporated.

$$
{ }_{n k} \quad U^{Z} d \quad j_{n k}()+u_{n} ; k()^{2} \sim_{1}()^{2}
$$



FIG.14: Typical in itial state firi for the lifetim e estim ate in the narrow band $\lim$ 过. All atom s are residing in the rst band, localized in the wells. In this particular case the lling factors are $0=0,1=1$ and $n>1=0$.

For rst order decay one needs only the $m$ atrix elem ent hf $j \hat{V}$ ïi from which the rate follow $s$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\frac{2}{h} U^{2} n_{r}\left(n_{r} \quad 1\right)^{Z} \quad d u_{n k}()_{0}^{\sim_{0}}()^{\sim_{1}}()^{2} \quad(n(k)): \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

To present a com prehensive num erical analysis of this decay rate is prohibitive due to the large num ber of param eters entering expression Eq. (23). Thus, for sake of illustration, we will here restrict the discussion to unit
lling factor in the second band, i.e. $1=1$. Further, we use $\left(a_{s}=a\right)=1=100 \mathrm{which}$ is a reasonable value from an experim entalpoint of view. The lattice depths in the transverse directions w ill be chosen slightly larger than in the x -direction, i.e. chose $\mathrm{v}_{0 \mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{v}_{0 \mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{v}_{0 \mathrm{x}}+1$ :
$T$ he results of the calculation, using $w$ ave functions obtained from band-structure calculations, are show $n$ in Fig. 11 and decay rate, $t_{1}=(h w)$. The di erent solid lines correspond to di erent num ber of particles initially in the well. The cases $n_{r}=2 ; 3 ; 4 ; 5$ are show $n, n_{r}=2$ having the longest lifetim $e$ and $n_{r}=5$ having the shortest.


F IG. 15: (C olor online) First order lifetim e w ${ }^{1}$ for a 1D system w ith lling factor $1=1$ and $\left(a_{s}=a\right)=1=100$ in the narrow -band lim it according to E q. (23). T he solid lines show the ratio betw een the lifetim e and the tim e scale for hopping $\left(h t_{1}{ }^{1}\right.$ ) for $n_{r}$ particles in well r. From top to bottom; (blue) $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}=2$; (green) $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}=3$; (red) $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}=4$; (black) $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}=5$. T he dashed line show s the ratio $t_{1}={ }_{1} U \mathrm{w}$ hich should be less than unity for perturbation theory to be valid. T he dot-dashed line shows the result obtain by using the w ide-band form ula in Eq. (2 $\mathbf{Q}^{\mathbf{a}}$ ) using the sam e param eters.
$T$ he dashed line show $s$ the ratio $t_{1}=\left({ }_{1} U\right)$ which should be less than unity for the expression to be valid. A s a com parison, the resulting lifetim e obtained in the wideband $\lim$ it Eq. (2 $\mathbf{I N}^{(1)}$ ) is also shown as the dash-dotted line.

The most interesting part of the result show $n$ in $F$ ig. ( $\left.{ }^{(15} \bar{S}_{1}\right)$ is the sudden decay of the lifetime. This is, as was the case in the wide-band lim it, a result of the diverging density of states $(2(k))$ near the band edge. For lattice potentials deeper than $\mathrm{v}_{0 \mathrm{x}} \quad 20$, there is no phase space (no available nal energy levels for the excited particle), available for the rst order decay. To nd out the lifetim e for larger values of $\mathrm{v}_{0 \mathrm{x}}$, second order perturbation theory is needed.

Consider again the same initial state $\ddot{i} i$ as above. A dhering to energy conservation argum ents, there are three di erent, mutually orthogonal, nal states reachable through a second order process,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\dot{f}_{1} i=p \frac{\hat{a}_{n k}^{y} \hat{a}_{0}^{y}(r)^{2} \hat{a}_{1}(r)^{3}}{2 n_{r}\left(n_{r}\right.} 1 \text { 1)( } n_{r} \quad 2\right) \quad \ddot{7 i} ; \\
& \left.\dot{f}_{2} i=p \frac{\hat{a}_{n k}^{y} a_{0}^{y}(r)^{3} \hat{a}_{1}(r)^{4}}{6 n_{r}\left(n_{r}\right.} 10\right)\left(n_{r} \quad 2\right)\left(n_{r} \quad 3\right) \quad \text { \#in } ;
\end{aligned}
$$



F IG. 16: (C olor on line) Second order lifetim $\mathrm{e} \mathrm{w}_{1}{ }^{1}$ for a 1D system w ith lling factor $1=1$ and $\left(a_{s}=a\right)=1=100$ in the narrow -band lim it according to Eq. (23). T he solid lines show the ratio betw een the lifetim e and the tim e scale for hopping $\left(h t_{1}{ }^{1}\right.$ ) for $n_{r}$ particles in wellr. From top to bottom ; (green) $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}=3$; (red) $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}=4$.

The corresponding decay rates $\mathrm{w}_{1 ; 2 ; 3}$ are obtained from the text-book relation

N um erical evaluations of the decay rates reveal that the dom inant contribution to the total decay rate $\mathrm{w}_{\text {tot }}=$ $\mathrm{w}_{1}+\mathrm{w}_{2}+\mathrm{w}_{3}$ com es from $\mathrm{w}_{1}$. The reason for this is easily understood; the contribution from $\mathrm{w}_{2}$ is sm all due to destructive interference of tim e reversed processes w hile the sm allness of $\mathrm{w}_{3}$, is due to sm allness of overlap integrals, which in tum can be understood from parity considerations.
$T$ he decay rate $w_{1}$ is show in $F$ ig. 1 İG. As can be seen, it is possible to achieve lifetim es considerably larger than the inverse of the hopping energy, thus justifying the validity of the H am iltonians in eqs. (112) and (1).
VII. CONCLUSIONS

By extending the usualm apping to the bosonic $\mathrm{Hub}-$ bard model of ultra cold atom $s$ in an optical lattioe to inconporate higher $B$ loch bands, e ective $H$ am iltonians goveming the dynam ics of atom $s$ in the rst $B$ loch band (s) have been obtained. T hese H am iltonians resem ble previously studied bosonic H ubbard H am iltonian but di er in two im portant respects:

A tom $s$ in the rst excited band are labelled by three possible avors $X ; Y ; Z$. The dynam ics is such that $X$ particles can (to a good approxim ation) $m$ ove only in the x direction, etc.

F lavor changing collisions of atom son the sam e site leading to conversion of the form X X ! YY, etc. occur.

By appropriate choioes of the lattice depths in the different directions the num ber of avors and the e ective dim ensionality (equal to the number of avors) of the system can be changed. To obtain values of the relevant param eters, such as hopping energy and interaction energies, entering these e ective H am iltonians we have solved the tim e independent Schrodinger equation (M athieu equation).

The e ective $H$ am iltonians in two and three dim ensions also show, apart from the usualglobalU (1) gauge sym $m$ etry, a set of $Z_{2}$-gauge sym $m$ etries interm ediate betw een local and global. T he ground state in the 3D (three avors) case also displays a chiralsym $m$ etry breaking and an additionalaccidentalground state degeneracy associated w ith di erent planar chiral ordering.

The phase diagram s for two particular cases relevant for experim ent have been sketched using $m$ ean eld theory, indicating quantum phase transitions betw een M ottinsulating and super uid states.

U sing tim e dependent perturbation theory up to second order in the interatom ic interactions the lifetim e of the atom $s$ in the excited bands have been estim ated. $T$ he results show that life tim es considerably longer (orders of $m$ agnitude) than relevant dynam ical tim e scales can obtain. This suggests that it $m$ ay be possible to realize quasi-equilibrium in the subspaces of $m$ eta stable states spanned by the e ective H am iltonians. Finally, we would like to stress that the $m$ ean eld theory used to draw the phase diagram is only able to describe the most simple scenario $w$ ith a transition from a M ott-state to a super uid state with order param e-
 otherm ulti- avorbosonic $H$ ubbard $m$ odels such as the 2species B ose H ubbard $m$ odel show sa rich phase diagram w ith phases which cannot be described in this simple approxim ation. The present $m$ odel, already rich at the $m$ ean- eld levelw arrants further study. In particular, we have pointed out potential connections to certain classes of $m$ odels of frustrated spins $[21,122,123,124]$ and bose $m$ etals $\left[2^{2} 5_{1}\right]$ that also have an in nite but subextensive num ber of $Z_{2}$ gauge sym $m$ etries and as a result exhibit dim ensional reduction and exotic phases. W ith the $m$ icroscopic H am iltonian developed here, these connections can and should now be pursued in detail.
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## APPEND IX

Here we provide a detailed derivation of the density distribution one expects to observe in the various phases and the di erent ways of $m$ easuring the density density correlations in the released cloud of atom s.

If the system is in a many body quantum state $j i$ $w$ hen the trap is released at tim e $t=0$ the density distribution of atom $s$ at a later tim $e t$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h n(r) i_{t}=h j^{v}(t) n(r) U(t) j i ; \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U(t)$ is the tim e-evolution operator of the released system $U_{-}(t)=\exp \left(\right.$ ih $\left.{ }^{1} H t\right)$. To m easure the quantity in Eq. (24) one has to, in general, perform severalm easurem ents starting w ith the sam e trapped state $j$ i each tim e. An exception to this is when the ground state is to a good approxim ation a m acroscopically occupied single particle state. $T$ his is typically the case for a super uid system and a single $m$ easurem ent gives a good approxim ation of $\mathrm{hn}(r) i_{t}$. For a weakly interacting dihute gas of atom s the interactions betw een atom s can be ignored during the expansion of the cloud and the tim e evolution operator in Eq. (24) can be replaced by the free tim eevolution operator $U_{0}(t)$. Expanding in the $m$ om entum com ponents one nds

$$
\left.\ln (r) i_{t}=\frac{Z}{d_{1}}{ }^{Z}\right)^{3} \frac{d k_{2}}{(2)^{3}} e^{i\left(k_{1} k_{2}\right) \quad\left(r \frac{h t}{2 m}\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)\right)}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
h j_{k_{1}}^{y} k_{2} j i: \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a system of linear size $L$ and for tim es ht $m L^{2}$ the stationary phase approxim ation gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{hn}(r) i_{t} \quad \frac{m}{h t}{ }^{3} h \ln _{Q(r)} j i \quad Q(r) \quad \frac{m r}{h t}: \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

$M$ easuring the density of atom s after a long tim e of ight $t$ thus corresponds to a $m$ easurem ent of $m$ om entum distribution of the state $j$ i prior to trap release.

In a typicalexperim ent one takes an absorbtion im age of the released cloud. This $m$ eans that the only the Integrated colum $n$ density is $m$ easured, i.e., if an im age of, say, the $x$ y plane is taken, one $m$ easures

$$
I(x ; y)={ }^{Z} d z h(r) i_{t}=\frac{m}{h t}^{Z} \frac{d Q_{z}}{2} h \ln _{Q(r)} j i:
$$

In the next subsection we derive the m om entum distribution $h \mathrm{jn}_{Q(r)} j$ i for the super uid states in the twoand three- avor system $s$ at zero tem perature where $Z_{2}$ sym $m$ etry is broken.

## 1. 2 D , two avors, super uid state, $\mathrm{T}=0$

For the two- avor case the system is com prised of 2D planes w ith uncorrelated ground states. A super uid state of a single 2D plane can be described by a wave function $w$ th $M$ particles in a single state

$$
\begin{gather*}
j i=(M!)^{1=2} a_{S F}^{y}{ }^{M} j 0 i:  \tag{27}\\
a_{S F}^{Y} \quad \frac{1}{2} N_{m=1 n=1}^{X^{N}} X^{N} \quad m n X_{m n}^{Y}+m_{n} Y_{m n}^{y}:
\end{gather*}
$$

$T$ he subscriptsm and $n$ denote the coordinates, row $s$ and columns, in the lattice while and are phase factors $j j=j j=1$ determ ining the phase of the wavefunction on a given site.

To evaluate them om entum distribution we expand the eld operators ${ }_{Q}^{Y}$ and $Q$ in term $s$ of the localized creation and destruction operators $X_{m} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n}} ; \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{m} n}$ etc. where the subscripts $m$ and $n$ respectively denote the row and colum $n$ for the site on which the operator is acting. For a general state $j$ i (not necessarily the state in Eq. (른기) we nd

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{m}_{1} \mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{~m}_{2} \mathrm{n}_{2} \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

The localized $W$ annier orbitals $\underset{n m}{x}(r)$ and $\underset{n m}{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{r})$ can be rew ritten
w th the prefactors $(1)^{(m)}$ com ing from the gauge choice in the initalw ay of $r$ riting the $H$ am iltonian in equation $\bar{\prime}$. C arrying out the Fourier integrals we nd

$$
\begin{align*}
& \stackrel{m}{h}_{h_{1}^{n_{1} m_{2} n_{2}}}^{X_{m_{2} n_{2}}(1)^{n_{2} \sim x} \sim_{0}^{(Q)}+Y_{m_{2} n_{2}}(1)^{m_{2} \sim y} \sim_{0}^{i}(Q)^{i}:} \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

$H$ ere the position vectors $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ are shorthand for the lattice vectors

$$
R_{1} \quad n_{1} a \hat{x}+m_{1} a \hat{y} ; \quad R_{2} \quad n_{2} a \hat{x}+m_{2} a \hat{y}
$$

and ${\underset{0}{\sim}(y)(Q) \text { denote the Fourier transform of the onsite }}^{\sim}$ w avefunctions. In the H arm onic oscillator approxim ation these are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sim_{0}^{x}(Q)=\quad 3=4 \quad{ }^{5=2} Q_{x} e^{\frac{Q_{x}^{2}+Q_{y}^{2}+Q_{z}^{2}}{2}} ; \quad 2=\frac{2^{P} \overline{m V_{0}}}{h} \\
& \sim_{0}^{Y}(Q)=\quad 3=4 \quad{ }^{5=2} Q_{y} e^{\frac{Q_{x}^{2}+Q_{y}^{2}+Q_{z}^{2}}{2^{2}}} \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

To evaluateh $j \underset{Q}{y}$ e jiwe need to calculate the expectation values of the kind $h{ }_{j} X^{y} Y j i$. For the super uid state $j$ i in Eq. (27긴 it is easily veri ed that in term s of the in-plane density $\quad M=N^{2}$ one gets

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
D & E & \\
& X_{m_{1} n_{1}}^{y} X_{m_{2} n_{2}} & =\overline{2} m_{1} n_{1} m_{2} n_{2} \\
& X_{m_{1} n_{1}}^{y} Y_{m_{2} n_{2}} & =\overline{2}_{m_{1} n_{1}} m_{2} n_{2}
\end{array}
$$

etc. Hence the term $s$ in Eq. $\left(2 \mathbf{2}_{1}\right)$ factors and one can w rite it conveniently as

$$
\begin{align*}
h j \sum_{Q}^{y} Q j i & =j \sim_{x}(Q) j+j \sim_{y}(Q) j_{i}^{Z} \\
& +2 R e^{\sim_{x}(Q)} \sim_{y}(Q) \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have de ned

$$
\begin{align*}
& r-\mathrm{x}  \tag{32}\\
& \sim_{y}(Q) \quad \sim_{0}^{y}(Q) \quad \overline{2}_{m n} e^{i \ell R_{m n}}(1)^{m} m n \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

For a system at absolute zero the phase factors and are aligned along row s and colum ns respectively but are random ly distributed betw een the lines and colum ns. To describe this situation we introduce tw o sets of elds, $\underset{m}{x}$ and $\underset{n}{y}$ which can take on values 1 . The relation betw een these values of the elds and the phases along row $s$ and colum ns is show $n$ in $F$ ig.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{nm}=\underset{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{x}} \quad \mathrm{~nm}=\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{y}}: \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

C onsider now the sum $m$ ations needed to evaluate ${ }^{\sim}{ }_{x}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim_{x}(Q)=\sim_{0}^{\sim x}(Q) \overline{2}_{m n}^{r} e^{i Q} \operatorname{Rnn}^{R_{m}}(1)^{r} \underset{m}{x} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sum $m$ ation over colum $n s$ ( $n$-sum $m$ ation) converges in the large $N$ lim it to a sequence of delta-fiunctions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim_{x} \quad 2{\underset{0}{\sim x} \frac{N}{2}_{m n} \quad\left(a Q_{x} \quad(2 n+1)\right) e^{i m Q_{y} a} \underset{m}{x}}_{m}^{\sim_{m}} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG.17: Samplecon guration ofphases and the elds $\underset{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{x}}$ and ${ }_{n}^{\mathrm{y}}$ for a plane in the two- avor system at zero tem perature.
and a sim ilar equation can be obtained for ${ }^{\sim}{ }_{\mathrm{y}}$. H ence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{mm}{ }^{0} \\
& \text { Introducing }=m \quad m^{0} \text { the last sum } m \text { ations can be }
\end{aligned}
$$ rew ritten

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{X}^{m}{ }^{0} & e^{\text {i } Q_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{X}} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{x} \\
\mathrm{~m}
\end{array} \underset{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{x}}
\end{array} \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have de ned the random m om entum distribution function $f_{1}$. W th the aid ofEq. (3] ${ }^{1}$ ) we now deduce som e properties of $f_{1}$. We begin $w$ ith the $m$ agnitude of the function for any value of $Q_{y}$. For each nonzero value of the last sum $m$ ation is over an uncorrelated sequence of integens 1 and can be view ed as a 1D random walk for which we have that

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{X}(\underset{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{x}} \underset{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{x}}, \quad \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{P})}
$$

The summation over contains $N \quad 1$ temps which for each value of $Q_{y}$ are random ofm agnitude $\bar{N}$. This is again a random walk with $\mathrm{N} \quad 1$ steps and we conclude that the whole expression in Eq. (32-1) is oforder N. This can also be seen by noting that

$$
\frac{a^{Z}}{2}=a \quad d Q_{y} f_{1}\left(Q_{y} ;{ }_{m}^{x}\right)=1:
$$

Thus for each con guration $\underset{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{x}}$ we have a random ly oscillating function $f\left(Q_{y} ;{ }_{m}^{x}\right)$ ofunit $m$ agnitude. An exam ple


F IG . 18: : E xam ple of the random function $f_{1}\left(Q_{y} ;{ }_{m}^{x}\right)$ de ned in Eq. (371).
off $f_{1}$ obtained for a speci c realization of $\underset{m}{x} w$ th $N=40$ is shown in F ig. 18. From Eq. ( $3 \mathrm{Z}_{1}$ ) it is also clear, since $\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{m}}$ and m are uncorrelated for nonzero that the average over allow ed ground state con gurations is

$$
\overline{\mathrm{f}_{1}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{y}} ; \underset{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{x}}\right)} \frac{1}{2^{\mathrm{N}}}{ }_{\frac{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{x}} ; 1}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{f}_{1}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{y}} ; \underset{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{x}}\right)=1:
$$

An im portant property of $f_{1}$ is that it is even in $Q_{y}$

$$
\mathrm{f}_{1}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{y}} ;{ }_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{x}}\right)=\mathrm{f}_{1}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{y}} ;{ }_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{x}}\right):
$$

$T$ his is a result of the nem atic ordering betw een row $s$. $j_{\mathrm{y}}^{\sim}{ }^{\rho}$ can be calculated the same way as $j^{\sim} \times{ }^{\rho}$ and we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sim_{x}^{2}=M \underset{0}{\sim} \sim_{0}(Q)^{2} f_{1}\left(Q_{y} ; \underset{m}{x}\right)^{X} \quad\left(a Q_{x} \quad n\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

T he interference term, the last part of Eq. (3] $\mathbf{I}_{1}$ ), for the m om entum distribution vanishes. To see this one can



$$
\text { 2. 3D, three avors, super uid state, } T=0
$$

For the three avor case at $\mathrm{T}=0$ we consider again a state of the kind in Eq. $\left(\underline{2} \overline{7}_{1}\right)$ but w ith

$$
a_{S F}^{Y} \quad P \frac{1}{3 N^{3=2}}{ }_{j=1}^{X^{3}} \quad{ }_{j} X{ }_{j}^{Y}+{ }_{j} Y_{j}^{Y}+{ }_{j} Z_{j}^{Y}:
$$

The subscript $j$ denotes collectively the $\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}$ - and z coordinates in the 3D lattice. As in the tw o avor case, the observed $m$ om entum distribution can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& +2 \operatorname{Re} \sim_{x}(Q) \sim_{y}(Q) \\
& h \quad i \\
& +2 \operatorname{Re} \sim_{y}(Q) \sim_{z}(Q) \\
& +2 \operatorname{Re}^{h} \sim_{z}(Q) \sim_{x}(Q)^{i} \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sim_{x}(Q) \quad \sim_{0}^{x}(Q) \overline{3}_{m n o}^{r} e^{\text {iQ }} \operatorname{Rnno}^{n}(1)^{m} \text { moo } \\
& r-x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sim_{z}(Q) \quad \sim_{0}^{\sim}(Q) \overline{3}_{m n o}^{r} e^{\text {ie }} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{mno}}(1)_{\mathrm{mno}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$H$ ere the subscripts $m$ no refer to the $x \quad y$ and $z$ coordinates in the lattice respectively. To see how to handle the phase factors in the three avorcase, webegin w ith a state $w$ thout accidentally broken chiralsym $m$ etry

$$
\mathrm{mno}=\underset{\mathrm{no}}{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{mno}_{\mathrm{no}}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2}=3 \underset{\mathrm{mo}}{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{mno}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 4}=3 \underset{\mathrm{mn}}{\mathrm{z}}
$$

where the random elds ij can again take on values 1. Since the accidental chiral sym $m$ etry breaking occurs in parallelplanes w e can w thout loss ofgenerality single out the $x$-direction as the direction in which planes have uniform chirality ( $T 0$ com pare $w$ th $F$ ig ${ }_{81}^{18} m$ ake the rotation of axes $y!z ; z!x ; x!y$ in $F$ ig. ${ }^{\prime}$ (q) . W e thus introduce an additional eld $m$ taking values 1 for planes $w$ th di erent $x$-coordinate $m$. The corresponding phase factors for such a state $w$ ill be

We can now evaluate $\sim_{x}{ }^{2}$ in the same way as for the two avorcase

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim_{x}^{2}=2 \sim_{0}^{\sim}{ }^{2} \frac{M}{3} f_{2}\left(Q_{y} ; Q_{z} ; \underset{n o}{x}\right) \quad \underset{\text { odd } m}{X} \quad\left(a Q_{x} \quad m \quad\right) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Eq. ( $\overline{4} \overline{\underline{0}}) f_{2}\left(Q_{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{z}} ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{no}}^{\mathrm{x}}\right)$ has been introduced

The random distribution function $f_{2}$ is the two-variable analog of the function $f_{1}$ above. An exam ple of $f_{2}$ for $a$
 a sum rule

$$
\frac{\mathrm{a}}{2}{ }^{2^{Z}=\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{Z} \quad \mathrm{dQ} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{dQ} \mathrm{f}_{2}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{z}} ;{\underset{\mathrm{no}}{\mathrm{x}})=1}^{=a}\right.
$$

is sym $m$ etric under inversion

$$
\mathrm{f}_{2}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{z}} ; \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{x} \\
\mathrm{no}
\end{array}\right)=\mathrm{f}_{2}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{z}} ; \stackrel{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{no}}_{\mathrm{no}}\right)
$$

and has an average equal to unity when averaged over ground states

$$
\overline{\mathrm{f}_{2}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{z}} ; \stackrel{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{no}}\right)}=1:
$$

The expressions for $\sim_{y}{ }^{2}$ and $\sim_{y}{ }^{2}$ are sim ilar but the accidental ground state degeneracy $m$ odi es the random distribution functions. Explicitly we have

In the above equation the sum $m$ ations over $n_{1}$ and $m_{1}$ constitute random walks. For the $n_{1}$ sum $m$ ation this is a random walk on a line with $N$ unit steps 1 giving rise to, for each value of $O_{1}$ a random tem oforder $\bar{N}$. The sum over $m_{2}$ can also be viewed as a random $w a l k$ for each value of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ but in the com plex plane. E ach step being of unit length in any of the fourdirections $2=3$ and
$4=3$. Sum $m$ ing over $n_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ thus yields, for each $\left(\mathrm{O}_{1} ; \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ a random term ofm agnitude N w th a com pletely random phase. Thus the interference term $s$ in Eq. (3q) (the other two term s can be treated sim ilarly) give rise to a three dim ensional grid of lines in the released cloud where the density along any given line is random ly distributed. If the density is averaged over several shots, $w$ ith di erent ground states we have no contribution from the interference term $s$ since $\overline{\sim_{x}{ }_{y}}=0$.

$$
\text { 3. D ensity averages and correlations, } \mathrm{T}>0
$$

If $T$ is large enough for them al uctuations to restore $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$ sym $m$ etry but still sm all enough to preserve the distinction betw een the $M$ ott state and the super uid state, $m$ easuring the density distribution alone does not su ce since the delta-peaks will be sm eared. Instead correlations can be $m$ easured. To this end, assum e we have a single physicalsystem. At nite $T$ this system undergoes


$$
j_{z}^{\sim} \xlongequal{\rho}=2 \dot{j}_{0}^{\sim} \underset{O}{\jmath} \frac{M}{3} g_{2}^{z}\left(Q_{x} ; Q_{y} ; \underset{m}{z} ; m\right)_{\text {odd } n}^{X}\left(a Q_{z} n\right)
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underset{m}{\mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{~m}_{2} \mathrm{n}_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

En exam ple of the distribution function $g_{2}^{y}$ is shown in $F$ ig. '12'1 (b). N ote that due to the elds characterizing the di erent chirality of planes this distribution function is not sym m etric under inversion. Finally we look at the interference term $s$ in Eq. (3").
$\qquad$
transitions in a m anifold of $N$ states. D enote this $m$ anifold by the states $f j{ }_{i} i g_{i=1}^{N}$. In a single shot a single one of these states $w i l l$ be probed. In an in nite series of experim ents each of these states w illbe probed an innite num ber of tim es and one can thereby $m$ easure the quantily

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{h_{j \hat{O} j i}} \frac{1}{N}_{i=1}^{\mathrm{N}} h_{i j \hat{O} \hat{j}_{i} i:} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere we have ignored the Boltzm ann factors since the m anifold we are looking at is nearly degenerate. In reality only a nite sequence of $M$ experim ents can be carried out and the uctuations in $\overline{\mathrm{h} \mathrm{j} \hat{O j} \mathrm{i}}$ is of concem. There are two souroes uctuations; First, for each state $j{ }_{i} i$ there is quantum shot noise. Second, since not all of the N states w ill be probed there w ill be deviations due to not sam pling the entire distribution.

If the $m$ anifold of states probed are super uid states then $N=O\left([2 d]^{N}\right)$ with $d$ being the dim ensionality of the system. Since super uid states are to a good approxim ation $m$ acroscopically occupied single particle states, uctuations due to shot noise are reduced. T he rem aining uctuations are classicaland expected to scale asM 1=2 and $\overline{\mathrm{h} j \hat{O} j \text { i should in principle be possible to } m \text { easure. }}$ $O n$ the other hand, if the state $m$ easured is a $M$ ott state the $m$ anifold $f j{ }_{i} i_{i=1}^{N}$ consists typically of only a few
states in which case multiple $m$ easurem ents reduces the quantum shot noise since each quantum state will be probed $m$ any tim es. $W$ e thus conclude, that by $m$ aking repeated $m$ easurem ents and averaging the results, one can measure $\overline{\mathrm{h} j \hat{\mathrm{O} j \mathrm{j}} \mathrm{i}}$.

A quantily of interest to $m$ easure in this way is the correlation function

$$
G\left(r ; r^{0}\right) \quad \overline{\mathrm{hn}(\mathrm{r}) \mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{r}^{\rho}\right) i_{\mathrm{t}}} \overline{\mathrm{hn}(\mathrm{r})} \dot{\underline{t}} \overline{\mathrm{hn}\left(\mathrm{r}^{0}\right) i_{t}}:
$$

A gain, if ht $\mathrm{mL}^{2}$ this is to a good approxim ation the sam e as

$$
G\left(r ; r^{0}\right)=\frac{m}{h t}{ }^{6} \bar{h} \overline{n_{Q} n_{Q} \circ i} \overline{h n_{Q} i}{\overline{h n_{Q}} \circ i}_{i}^{i}:
$$

The disorder-averages of the m om entum density distributions are easy to calculate. For instance, for the two avor super uid state in Eq. (2, 는) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& +2 \operatorname{Re} \sim_{x}(Q) \sim_{y}(Q): \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

T he averages in Eq. (43) can be calculated using the representation in Eqs. (32 ) and (3-3)

The average $m$ eans averaging over all $m \mathrm{n}=1$ (and all $\mathrm{m} n=$ i). It follow s
and $\overline{\sim_{x}(Q) \sim_{y}(Q)}=0$ : Hence, for the two avor case we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{h \ln _{Q} j i}=\frac{M}{2} \quad j_{0}^{\sim x}(Q) \jmath^{2}+j_{0}^{\sim y}(Q) \jmath^{2} ; \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas for three avors we have

$$
\overline{h \operatorname{jn}_{Q} j i}=\frac{M}{3} \quad j_{0}^{\sim x}(Q) \mathcal{\jmath}+j_{0}^{\sim y}(Q) \jmath+j_{0}^{\sim z}(Q) \jmath:
$$

W e now tum to the evaluation of the two point correlator which we begin by norm al ordering

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{\mathrm{m}_{Q} \mathrm{n}_{Q} \circ \mathrm{i}}=(2)^{3} \overline{\mathrm{hn}_{Q} \mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{Q}
\end{aligned}
$$

The norm al ordered expectation value $\begin{array}{cccc}\mathrm{y} & \mathrm{y} \\ \mathrm{Q} & 0 & 0 & Q^{0} 0\end{array}$ can be written in a form analogous to Eq. (2d)
$h j \stackrel{Y}{Q} \stackrel{Y}{Q} 0 \quad Q \quad Q 0 j i=X_{i j k l} e^{i Q}\left(R_{i} \quad R_{j}\right) e^{i Q^{0}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}\left(R_{k}\right. & \left.R_{1}\right)\end{array}\right.$
 h ${ }^{h} X_{j}(1)^{n_{j} \sim x}(Q)+Y_{j}(1)^{m_{j}}$

$$
X_{j}(1)^{1{ }^{1 j} \sim x} \underset{0}{\sim}(Q)+Y_{j}(1)^{11}
$$

Here the subscripts ijkl are collective row and colum n coordinates for the site index in the 2D lattioe.

For the two avor super uid state in Eq. ( $\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{1})$ (a single plane w ith $N \quad N$ sites having a total of $\mathrm{M}^{-}$particles) it is easy to verify that the expectation values of on-site operators are given by expressions of the type

To calculate the average over disorder we have to average over ${ }_{j}=1$ and $j=$ i. The nonzero averages are easily seen to be

$$
\begin{align*}
& X_{i}^{Y} X_{k}^{Y} X_{j} X_{l}=\frac{2}{4} \overline{i^{\prime} j^{j}}  \tag{46}\\
& =\frac{2}{4}[i k j l+i j k l+i l k j]  \tag{47}\\
& \frac{E}{X_{i}^{Y} X_{k}^{Y} Y_{j} Y_{l}}=\frac{2}{4} \overline{i \quad k \quad j \quad 1}=\frac{2}{4} i k j l \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

i

ih i E
$\sim_{0}^{y}(Q) \quad X_{1}(1)^{n_{1} \sim \sim_{0}^{x}}\left(Q^{0}\right)+Y_{1}(1)^{m_{1} \sim Y_{0}}\left(Q^{0}\right) j:$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underset{X_{i}^{Y} Y_{k}^{Y} Y_{j} X_{l}}{D}=\frac{2}{4} \overline{i k^{j} \quad 1}=\frac{2}{4} \text { il } k j  \tag{50}\\
& \mathrm{X}_{i}^{Y} Y_{k}^{Y} X_{j} Y_{l}^{E}=\frac{2}{4} \overline{i k j \quad{ }_{l}}=\frac{2}{4} k l i j \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{D} Y_{i}{ }^{Y} Y_{k}{ }^{Y} Y_{j} Y_{l}{ }^{E}=\frac{2}{4} \overline{i k j l}  \tag{53}\\
& =\frac{2}{4}[i k j 1+i j k l+i l k j]: \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

 that correspond to pairs of particles propagating. The
disorder average of single particle propagation being zero due to the random orientation ofphases. U sing Eqs. (45) (54.) we can evaluate the term $s$ in Eq. (4는) which are nonzero. W e state each term contributing to the correla-
tor in Eq. $\left(44^{-1} \underline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ separately using subscripts to denote the speci c ordered com bination of operators from which the term derives.

Collecting the results of Eqs. (444) $-\left(6 \mathbf{c}_{2}^{2}\right)$ we nd

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{2}{4}{\underset{0}{*}}_{0}^{(Q)} \underset{0}{*}\left(Q^{0}\right) \quad \underset{0}{\underset{\sim}{\psi}}(Q) \underset{0}{\underset{0}{\psi}}\left(Q^{0}\right)^{2 X} e_{i j}^{i\left(Q+Q^{0}\right) \quad\left(R_{i} R_{j}\right)} \tag{63}
\end{align*}
$$

where the factor of proportionality is $\frac{m}{h t}^{6}$. The Fourier sum s give, in the lim it of an in nite lattice, sequences of delta functions

$$
\underbrace{X}_{i j} e^{i(Q} Q^{0})\left(\begin{array}{lllllll}
\left(R_{i}\right. & \left.R_{j}\right) & \frac{2 N}{a} & { }^{2} X & \left(\begin{array}{lll}
Q & \left.Q^{0}\right] & G_{i}
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $G_{i}$ are reciprocal lattice vectors. The m ost interesting part of Eq. (63) is the second line which com es from the pair like propagation. This can be used as a signature to detect the super uid phase even if therm al disorder has restored the $Z_{2}$ sym $m$ etry.

For com parison we also look at the 2D (two avors) M ott state. For sim plicity we consider unit lling. There are three scenarios for the unit lling M ott state that need to be considered; (a) FM M ott state, i.e. all atom s
of the same avor (b) AFM M ott state, X - avor and Y avor on altemating sites and (c) them ally disordered $M$ ott state w th random occupation of $X$ - and $Y$ - avor on each site.

In the ferrom agnetic $M$ ott state at unit lling $M=N^{2}$ we have tw $\ell_{Q}$ degenerate ground states $j_{1} i={ }_{i} \quad X_{i} X_{i}^{y} j 0 i$ and $j_{2} i={ }_{i} Y_{i}^{y}$ joi and the average in Eq. (42") is trivial to evaluate

$$
\begin{align*}
\overline{h_{j n_{Q} j i}} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(h_{1} j n_{Q} j_{1} i+h_{2} j n_{Q} j_{2}\right. \text { i) } \\
& =\frac{M}{2} j_{0}^{x}(Q) j+j{ }_{0}^{y}(Q) \tilde{f}: \tag{64}
\end{align*}
$$

The $m$ om entum correlator can be calculated using Eq. (4둔). For the state $j 1 i$ this equation reduces to

There are two pairings of operators that contribute to the average

$$
h_{1} j X_{i}^{Y} X_{k}^{Y} X_{j} X_{1} j_{1} i=(1 \quad i k)(i j k l+i l k j):
$$

The term (1 ik) results from having only one particle at each site but we will ignore this term (and term sim ilar to it in what follow s) since its relative contribution is of order $1=\mathrm{N}^{2}$. The disorder average contains only tw o states yielding

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { ik } \tag{66}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he correlation function for the Ferrom agnetic $M$ ott state is thus

In the antiferrom agetic M ott state the disorder average is again over two states. D ividing the 2D lattice into two sublattioes $A$ and $B$ these states are $j_{Q} i_{i=}=$
 For the $m$ om entum density we have
$h_{1} \dot{n}_{Q} j_{1} i=h_{2} \eta_{Q} j_{2} i=\frac{M}{2} h_{0}^{\sim x}(Q) \jmath^{2}+j_{0}^{\sim y}(Q) \jmath^{i}$
hence we nd again that

$$
\overline{h \dot{n}_{Q} j i}=\frac{M^{h}}{2} j_{0}^{\sim x}(Q) \jmath+\mathcal{j}_{0}^{\sim y}(Q) \jmath^{i}:
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \text { 1 } \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, we nd for the 2D antiferrom agnetic $M$ ott state at unit lling the correlation function

$$
G_{A F M}^{2 D}\left(r ; r^{0}\right) /(2)^{3} \quad\left(Q \quad Q^{0}\right) \frac{M}{2}{ }_{j}^{h} \tilde{j}_{0}^{\sim x}(Q) \mathcal{J}+j \sim{ }_{0}^{\sim y}(Q) \jmath^{i}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +2 R e^{h} \sim_{0}^{\sim}(Q) \sim \sim_{0}\left(Q^{0}\right) \underset{0}{\sim y}(Q) \sim_{0}^{\sim}\left(Q^{0}\right) \quad{ }^{i} X \quad \cos \left[\left(Q \quad Q^{0}\right) \quad\left(\mathbb{R} \quad R_{j}\right)\right] \\
& \text { i2 A j2 B } X \tag{74}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { i2 A } 22 \text { A }
\end{aligned}
$$

The Fourier sum s converges in the lim it of large $N$ to
and the correlation function for the antiferrom agnetic $M$ ott state $w i l l$ thus have peaks at locations corresponding to half reciprocal lattioe vectors.
$F$ inally we look at the disordered $M$ ott state where each site holds one atom but whether it is an $X$ or a $Y$ is random. $T$ he $m$ anifold of states to average over thus contains $N=2^{N^{2}}$ states. Such a state can be written as

$$
j i={ }_{i}^{Y} \frac{1}{2}{ }^{h} X_{i}^{Y}(1+i)+Y_{i}^{y}\left(1 \quad i^{i}\right)^{i} j 0 i
$$

where $i$ is a random eld taking on values 1 on each site $i$. The disorder averaged $m$ om entum distribution is
again the sam e as before

$$
\begin{align*}
\overline{h j Q_{Q}^{y} Q j i} & =x \frac{1+\bar{i}}{2} \sim_{0}^{i x} j(Q) \jmath+\frac{1 \quad \bar{i}}{2} j_{0}^{\sim y}(Q) \jmath \\
& =\frac{M}{2} \quad \sim \sim_{0}^{x}(Q) \jmath+j_{0}^{\sim y}(Q) \jmath \tag{75}
\end{align*}
$$

and there are six contributions to m om entum correlator
resulting in a correlation function for the disordered $M$ ott state

4. C orrelations 3D, three avors, $\mathrm{T}>0$

W enow look at them om entum correlations in the ther$m$ ally disordered three avor super uid phase. To eval-
can write down the extension of Eq. (4-ت゙). There will be overall 81 term $s$ in the expansion to evaluate. $W$ hen taking the disorder average only 21 term s are nonzero. N ote that when taking the disorder average in the three avorm odelone has to average not only over allpossible
ips of the phases but also over the sym $m$ etry breaking eld $m$ (see Eq. (3'여́) ) to account for the chiral sym $m e-$ try breaking as well as over the 3 directions directions in which chiral sym $m$ etry is broken. The nonzero averages one obtains in this way are show $n$ below

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underset{X}{X}{ }_{i}^{Y} X_{k}^{Y} X_{j} X_{l}^{E}=\frac{D}{Y_{i}^{Y} Y_{k}^{Y} Y_{j} Y_{l}}{ }^{E}=Z_{i}^{Y} Z_{k}^{Y} Z_{j} Z_{l} \\
& =\frac{2}{9}\left[\frac{1}{2} i k j l+i j k l+i l k j\right]  \tag{83}\\
& \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{Y}_{j} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{l}}^{\mathrm{E}}=\frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{X}}{ }_{i}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{Z}_{j} \mathrm{Z}_{l}=\frac{1}{4} \frac{{ }^{2}}{9}{ }^{i k}{ }_{j l} \tag{84}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y_{i}^{Y} X_{k}^{Y} Y_{j} X_{l}^{E}=\frac{D}{Y_{i}^{Y} Z_{k}^{Y} Y_{j} Z_{l}}=\frac{2}{9} \text { ij } k l \tag{87}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{i}^{Y} X_{k}^{Y} X_{j} Z_{l}^{E}=Z_{i}^{Y} Y_{k}^{Y} Y_{j} Z_{l}^{E}=\frac{2}{9} \text { il } k j \tag{91}
\end{align*}
$$

and the desired correlator is obtained
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