## C oupling between quasiparticles and a bosonic mode in the norm al state of H gB $a_2 C \mbox{ uO }_{4+}$

Y.Gallais<sup>1</sup>, M.Le Ta $con^{2,3}$ , A.Sacuto<sup>2,3</sup> and D.Colson<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Departm ent of Physics, Colum bia University, New York, New York 10027, USA

<sup>2</sup> Laboratoire M ateriaux et P henom enes Q uantiques (UMR 7162 CNRS),

Universite Paris 7, 2 place Jussieu 75251 Paris, France

<sup>3</sup> Laboratoire de Physique du Solide, ESPCI, 10 rue Vauquelin 75231 Paris, France

<sup>4</sup> Service de Physique de l'Etat Condense, CEA-Saclay, 91191 G if-sur-Y vette, France

W e report a doping dependent study of the quasiparticles dynam ics in  $H \ gBa_2 \ CuO_{4+}$  via E lectronic R am an Scattering. A well-de ned energy scale is found in the norm al state dynam ics of the quasiparticles over a broad doping range. It is interpreted as evidence for coupling between the quasiparticles and a collective bosonic m ode whose energy scale depend only weakly with doping. W e contrast this behavior with that of the superconducting gap whose am plitude near the node continuously decreases tow ands the underdoped regime. W e discuss the implications of our ndings on the nature of the collective m ode and argue that electron-phonon coupling is the m ost natural explanation.

W hile a consensus has now been reached for a dwave superconducting order parameter over a wide doping range for hole doped cuprates [1], the mechanism of high-T<sub>c</sub> superconductivity is not yet established. O riginally, identi cation of the order parameter symmetry as d-wave was believed to settle down the issue in favor of a purely electronic pairing interaction because Coulom b repulsion between electron leadsmore naturally to d-w ave pairing than conventional electron-phonon coupling. In recent years how ever, the electron-phonon seenario has regained attention partly due to Angle R esolved Photoem ission Spectroscopy (ARPES) results showing evidence for strong coupling between the quasiparticles (qps) and a collective bosonic mode in hole-doped cuprates [2, 3, 4, 5]. Identi cation of the collective m ode remains highly controversial since both phonon and spin uctuations have been proposed to explain the observed anom alies [6,7]. The main candidate for the spin uctuation scenario is the q = (, ) spin resonance observed in the superconducting state of most hole-doped cuprates [8, 9]. For the phonon scenario, both the out of phase 0 buckling and the Cu-O bond-stretching phonons have been considered [10]. The identi cation of the leading coupling mechanism in the norm al state of the cuprates is of crucial importance and could help reveal the microscopic mechanism of high-temperature superconductivity. W hile som e optical conductivity data have been interpreted along these lines [11], our current understanding relies heavily on the interpretation of ARPES measurem ents where an experim ental concensus has yet to be reached. The core of the controversy concerns the existence, or not, of a well-de ned energy scale in the norm al state's quasiparticle dispersion. Indeed contrary to the phonon case, the contribution of the magnetic resonance mode is expected to be at best marginal above the transition tem perature  $T_c$ .

In this letter we propose the point of view of Electronic Ram an Scattering (ERS) on the controversy. ERS is a

two-particle charge probe that allows the study of qps dynam ics along both the anti-nodal ((0;0)-(0;)) and the nodal ((0;0)-(;)) directions of the cuprate's Brillouin zone. Here we focus on the behavior of the qps dynam ics along the nodal directions where, contrary to the antinodal directions, a coherent response can be observed even in the underdoped regim e [12, 13, 14]. W e investigate ERS data on single layer  $HgBa_2CuO_{4+}$ (Hg-1201) as a function of doping. We show the existence of an energy scale in the gps dynam ics in the norm al state over a wide doping range. We interpret this energy scale as evidence for the coupling between the qps and a collective bosonic mode and propose a simple model of ERS to account for our experim ental ndings. W e discuss the possible candidates for this mode and nd phonon to be the most plausible candidate.

The Hg-1201 single crystals studied here have been successfully grown by the ux method [15, 16]. The ERS m easurem ents have been perform ed on four as-grown single crystals with di erent dopings. Their magnetically m easured transition tem peratures,  $T_{\rm c},$  are 95 K , 89 K , 78 K and 63 K (transition widths are less than 5 K for all sam ples). W e will refer to these crystals as H g95K, Hq89K, Hq78K and Hq63K respectively. Their doping p is deduced from the universal relationship between  $\frac{T_c}{T_{cim}}$ and p [17]. The rst sam ple is very close to optim aldoping while the latter three are underdoped. The spectra were obtained using the 514.5 nm (2.4 eV) excitation line of an Ar<sup>+</sup> -Kr<sup>+</sup> laser. The scattered light was analysed using a triple grating spectrom eter (JY -T 64000) equipped with a nitrogen cooled CCD detector. In this study we focus on the B<sub>2g</sub> symmetry which can be selected by using crossed ingoing and outgoing polarisations along the Cu-O bonds of the  $CuO_2$  plane (xy geometry). In the cuprates, the B<sub>2q</sub> sym m etry probes the electronic excitations along the nodal directions. The spectra presented here have been corrected for the spectral response of the spectrom eter and divided by the Bose-Einstein factor.



FIG.1: Tem perature dependence of the  $B_{2g}$  ERS response " as a function of doping in the normal state of Hg-1201. The upper panel shows the high tem perature behavior (T > T<sup>°</sup>) while the lower shows the low tem perature one (T < T<sup>°</sup>). T<sup>°</sup> is de ned through the evolution of the integrated response (SW =  $\frac{R_{1000}}{70}$  " (!)d!) shown in insets for each sample.

They are thus proportional to the imaginary part of the Ram an response function ". All the referred temperatures have been corrected for the estimated laser heating.

In gure 1, we show the norm alstate R am an responses in the  $B_{2q}$  symmetry as a function of temperature for the four samples between 70 and 1000 cm  $^{1}$ . At high tem peratures (T > 200 K) and for all sam ples, the low frequency  $(! < 500 \text{ cm}^{-1})$  response shows an increase of spectral weight (upper panel). The slope of the Ram an response at vanishing frequencies being directly proportional to the qps static lifetime, the low frequency increase of spectral is a direct consequence of a m etalliclike behavior (qps lifetim es increase as tem perature is decreasing). Below approximately 200 K however, the response develops an anom alous loss of spectral weight with decreasing tem perature (low er panel). Rem arkably the depletion is seen for all four samples and starts in the same frequency range, around 600-700 cm  $^{1}$ . The depletion however, does not extend to the lowest frequencies since the responses at lower temperature (see for example T = 89 K and 140 K for Hg78K) cross again the higher ones (T = 220 K) around 100 cm  $^{1}$ , consistently with a metallic-like behavior at very low frequencies. A gain this behavior is observed in all sam ples except for the most underdoped sam ple (H g63K) where, the depletion is more pronounced and the responses most likely cross at a frequency below 70 cm  $^{-1}$  (see the responses at 89 and 136 K). The appearance of the depletion can be tracked by plotting the tem perature dependence of the integrated spectral response between 70 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1000 am <sup>1</sup> (see insets of gure 1). Starting from T 300 K, the integrated response rst increases until a tem perature T

where it starts decreasing until we reach the superconducting transition. The characteristic temperature T extracted from this analysis is at or above 200 K for the four samples. It does not display any obvious trend with doping although it is slightly higher in the optim aldoped sam ple (H g95K) where T 240 K . The characteristic frequency and tem perature scales of the depletion are sum marized in gure 2 where they are plotted as a function of doping. In addition to T, we also show the evolution of the characteric energies of the depletion  $!_1$  and  $!_2$ .  $!_1$  is de ned as the onset frequency of the depletion while  $!_2$  is de ned as the frequency where the depletion is maximum (see inset of gure 2). In the four samples studied both  $!_1$  ans  $!_2$  are, within experimental accuracy, temperature independent. More strikingly, they appear to be virtually doping independent: around 700 ( 50) cm  $^{-1}$ and 300 ( 50) cm  $^{1}$  for  $!_{1}$  and  $!_{2}$  respectively. Our data thus strongly suggest the existence of an ubiquitous energy scale in the norm al state of H g-1201 over a wide range of doping. We note that a similar depletion has been reported in underdoped Y-123 and Bi-2212 at sim ilar characteristic frequencies and tem peratures, thus suggesting an universal behavior am ong hole-doped cuprates [13].

Before adressing the origin of the observed behavior, it is interesting to compare the doping evolution of the extracted frequencies with that of the superconducting gap. The superconducting responses in the same symmetry are displayed in gure 3. Contrary to the  $B_{1g}$  symmetry where the superconducting response is strongly suppressed in underdoped samples [14], a  $B_{2g}$  superconducting response is observed at all doping as evidenced by



FIG.2: Sum mary of the evolutions of the tem perature  $(T^{\circ})$  and energy scales  $(!_1 \text{ and } !_2)$  of the depletion as a function of doping p. The dotted lines are guides to the eye. The inset shows the di erence spectra  $(T^{\circ})-(T^{\circ} < T^{\circ})$  for two tem peratures below  $T^{\circ}$  for H g63K .  $!_1$  is de ned as the onset of the depletion while  $!_2$  is de ned as its maximum .  $!_M$  is the extracted characteristic frequency from our analysis (see further in the text).

the presence of a pair-breaking peak below  $T_c$ . The position of the peak is strongly doping dependant going from 240 cm<sup>-1</sup> for H g63K up to 520 cm<sup>-1</sup> for H g95K in plying that the am plitude of the d-wave gap near the nodes decreases tow ands low er doping. While this is a common trend observed in the  $B_{2g}$  R am an response of most hole-doped cuprates (see for exam ple ref. [18]), it contradicts the analysis of therm al conductivity where the opposite trend is found [19]. This very in portant issue remains a puzzle because experiments which probe the nodal qps are expected to be less a ected by the pseudogap and should therefore yield reliable estimates of the superconducting gap.

W hile this issue remains to be addressed, the key point here for us is that the norm al state characteristic frequencies and the superconducting gap have very di erent doping dependence, ruling out any possible precursor e ect to explain the norm al state depletion. It is how ever tem pting to associate the depletion to the opening of a anisotropic pseudogap in the charge response. W hile previous data have been interpreted along these lines [13, 14], the present study m akes this interpretation less tenable. In particular the doping dependences of T,  $!_1$  and  $!_2$  contrast with that of the pseudogap whose tem perature and energy increase strongly when doping is decreased [20]. Rather, we adopt the alternate point of view that the depletion is the result of the strong coupling between the qps and a collective bosonic mode. To make a case for our interpretation, we consider a model of ERS by qps coupled to a collective bosonic mode. The Ram an response " can be written in term of the spectral



FIG. 3:  $B_{2g}$  responses both above and below  $T_c$  as a function of doping in  $H\,gB\,a_2\,C\,uO_{4+}\,$  . The pair-breaking peaks are marked by arrow s.

fonction A (k;!):

$$(q = 0; ) = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{k}^{X} (k)^{2} \frac{d!}{d!} [f(!) f(! + )]$$

$$A(k; !) A(k; ! + ) (1)$$

where is the Raman vertex, which in  $B_{2g}$  symmetry is proportional to sin  $(k_x a) sin (k_y a)$ , a is the lattice constant, f is the Ferm i-D irac function and A (k;!) can be written in term of the self-energy :

$$A(k;!) = \frac{1}{(! k^{(0)})^2 + ("(k;!))^2} (2)$$

The self-energy for a coupled electron-boson system has been worked out by Engelsberg and Schrie er for the case of a D ebye phonon spectrum [21]. It is a very general result for the case of a coupling between electrons and collective bosonic excitations of characteristic frequency  $!_{M}$ . In this simple case, the realpart of the electronic self-energy (or mass renorm alization) has a peak at the frequency  $!_{M}$  while its imaginary part (or relaxation rate) decays rapidly when  $! < !_{M}$  and is constant for  $! > !_{M}$ . The real and imaginary parts of the self energy can be written as (at T = 0 K) [21, 22]:

$$\frac{h!_{M}}{3} = \frac{h!_{M}}{\frac{1}{M}} = \frac{1}{M} \frac{h!_{M}}{\frac{1}{M}} \ln \frac{(\frac{1}{M} + \frac{1}{M})^{2}}{\frac{1}{M}^{2}} + \ln \frac{1}{M} \frac{\frac{1}{M} + \frac{1}{M}}{\frac{1}{M}} + \frac{1}{M} = \frac{1}{M}$$
(3)

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} (\mathbf{k}; !) \mathbf{j} = \mathbf{h} \quad \frac{\mathbf{j}! \, \mathbf{j}^{3}}{3!_{M}^{2}}; \quad \mathbf{j}! \, \mathbf{j} < !_{M}$$
$$\mathbf{j}^{\infty} (\mathbf{k}; !) \mathbf{j} = \mathbf{h} \quad \frac{!_{M}}{3}; \quad \mathbf{j}! \, \mathbf{j} > !_{M} \qquad (4)$$

is a coupling constant. The above expreswhere sion has been used for the analysis of ARPES data showing strong electron-phonon coupling at the surface of Be [23]. The T = 0 K approximation is justified as long as the tem perature is considerably sm aller than the mode energy itself. In cuprates, a more realistic model must include electron-electron interaction which results in an additional frequency dependence of the scattering rate. We account for this by adding to the above selfenergy a marginal Ferm i liquid-like (MFL) component  $! \ln \frac{x}{1}$   $i_{\overline{2}}x \text{ with } x = \max(j! jT) \text{ and }$ м<sub>FL</sub> (k;!) ! c a UV cuto [24]. W hile necessary to account for the non-vanishing ERS continuum at high frequency, the inclusion of this term is, how ever, not crucial for our analysis. The key point here is the absence of any energy scale except the tem perature in the MFL phenom enology which leaves  $!_{M}$  as the only relevant low energy scale in the problem. The calculated response has been tted to the experimental response of Hg95K at T = 117 K by variyng  $!_{M}$ , and using typical MFL parameters to t the high frequency background [14]. The result is displayed in gure 4. The good agreem ent with the experin ental data enables us to extract the values of and  $!_{\,\rm M}$  . At high tem perature (k\_B T  $h!_{M}$  ), the e ect of the mode is smeared out and a MFL-like behavior is recovered as shown for the spectra at T = 240 K in gure 4. Similar tswere performed on the other samples and good agreem ents were found. The coupling parameters extracted from the ts are plotted as a function of doping in the inset of gure 4. They show an increase towards underdoping, sim ilar to the trend found in the analysis

of A R P E S results [7]. We note, how ever, that our values of coupling parameter are expected to be underestimated due to the fact that we have ignored the elect of tem perature on the self-energy. It should be noted that the value

1 has been deduced from density functional theory on Hg-1201 ( = 0), in fair agreement with our result condidering the sim plicity of our model [25]. The mode frequency, on the other hand, shows hardly any doping dependence and is found to be close to the value ! 2 determ ined experim entally (see gure 2). It gives a mode frequency of about 300 60 cm <sup>1</sup> (38 8 m eV) which is som ewhat lower than the energies extracted from the norm al state ARPES spectra. This frequency is in fact close to the spin resonance observed by Inelastic Neutron Scattering. However, the neutron spin resonance m ode is mostly present in the superconducting state and is known to scale with  $T_c$  [8, 9]. It is therefore an unlikely candidate to explain our data. In fact while a spin uctuation continuum is still observed in the norm al state and cannot be ruled out, we believe the very weak doping dependence of  $!_{M}$  makes the phonon interpretation



FIG. 4: Theoretical t of the Raman response using the electron-boson coupling model (see text). The t has been made on the response of the optimally doped sample H g95K at T = 117 K.W ealso show a simple M FL tofthe response at higher temperature (T = 240 K) where the e ect of electron-boson coupling are expected to be smeared out. The depletion in the reponse around  $!_{M}$  for the spectrum at T = 117 K is well reproduced by our model. In the inset, the doping dependence of the coupling parameter extracted from similar t on the other samples.

m ore natural. Recently, anomalies in the Cu-O bond stretching phonon dispersion were found in Hg-1201 [26]. In particular a strong softening towards the zone boundary was observed around 50 m eV and could provide a candidate for the energy scale observed in the ERS data.

In summary, we have shown the existence of an energy scale in the normal state excitation spectrum of Hg-1201 over a wide doping range. We have provided a simple and natural picture of this observation as the consequence of coupling between the quasiparticles and a collective bosonicm ode. The identi cation of thism ode as a phonon seem s the most convincing picture. A dditional data on other cuprates may help resolve this issue.

W e are grateful to T.P.D evereaux for useful discussions.

- [1] C.C.TsueiJ.R.Kirtley, Rev.M od. Phys. 72, 969 (2000).
- [2] A. Lanzara et al, Nature 412, 510 (2001).
- [3] A.Kaminskiet al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 86, 1070 (2001).
- [4] P.V. Bogdanov et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 2581 (2000).
- [5] A A .K ordyuk et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 257006 (2004).
- [6] A.V.Chubukov M.R.Nom an, Phys. Rev. B 70, 174505 (2004).
- [7] Z.-X Shen, A. Lanzara, S. Ishihara N. Nagaosa, Philos. M ag. B 82, 1349 (2002).
- [8] H.F.Fong et al., Phys.Rev.B 61, 14773 (2000).
- [9] P.Dai, H.A.Mook, R.D.Hunt F.Dogan, Phys. Rev. B

63,054525 (2000).

- [10] T.Cuk et al, Phys.Rev.Lett.93, 117003 (2004).
- [11] E.Schachinger, J.J. Tu J.P. Carbotte, Phys. Rev. B 67, 214508 (2003).
- [12] X.K.Chen et al, Phys.Rev.B 56, R513 (1997).
- [13] R.Nem etschek et al., Phys.Rev.Lett 78, 4837 (1997).
- [14] Y.Gallais, A.Sacuto, T.P.Devereaux D.Colson, Phys. Rev.B 71,012506 (2005).
- [15] A . Bertinotti al, Studies of H igh T  $_{\rm c}$  Superconductors, edited by N arlikar (N ova Science, N ew York, 1997)
- [16] A.Bertinottiet al, Physica C 268, 257 (1996).
- [17] M. R. Presland, J. L. Tallon, R. S. Liu N. E. Flower, Physica C, 176, 95 (1991).
- [18] F.Venturiniet al., J.Phys.Chem.Sol. 63, 2345 (2002).
- [19] M .Sutherland et al., Phys. Rev. B 67, 174520 (2003).

- [20] A. Dam ascelli, Z. Hussain Z -X. Shen, Rev. Mod. Phys. 75, 473 (2003).
- [21] S.Engelsberg J.R.Schrie er, Phys. Rev. 131, 993 (1963).
- [22] S. Verga, A. Knigavko F. Marsiglio, Phys. Rev. B 67, 054503 (2003).
- [23] S.LaShell, E.Jensen T.Balasubram anian, Phys. Rev. B 61, 2371 (2000).
- [24] C M .Varm a, P B .Littlewood, S.Schm itt-R ink, E.Abraham s A. E. Ruckenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 1996 (1989).
- [25] C. Ambrosch-Draxl, E.Ya. Sherman, H. Auer T. Thonhauser, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 187004 (2004).
- [26] H.Uchiyam a et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 197005 (2004).