

Collective vortex rectification effects in  $\text{Al}$  films with a periodic pinning arrayClelio C. de Souza Silva,<sup>1</sup> J. Van de Vondel,<sup>1</sup> B. Y. Zhu,<sup>2</sup> M. Morelle,<sup>1</sup> and V. V. Moshchalkov<sup>1,\*</sup><sup>1</sup>Nanoscale Superconductivity and Magnetism Group,  
Laboratory for Solid State Physics and Magnetism,  
Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200 D, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium<sup>2</sup>Quantum Phenomena Observation Technology Laboratory,  
The Institute of Physical and Chemical Research (RIKEN) and Advanced  
Research Laboratory Hitachi Ltd. Hatoyama, Saitama 350-0395, Japan

(Dated: March 23, 2024)

The vortex ratchet effect has been studied in  $\text{Al}$  films patterned with square arrays of submicron antidots. We have investigated the transport properties of two sets of samples: (i) asymmetrical antidots where vortices are driven by an unbiased ac current, and (ii) symmetrical antidots where in addition to the ac drive a dc bias was used. For each sample, the rectified (dc) voltage is measured as a function of drive amplitude and frequency, magnetic field, and temperature. As unambiguously shown by our data, the voltage rectification in the asymmetrical antidots is induced by the intrinsic asymmetry in the pinning potential created by the antidots, whereas the rectification in the symmetrical antidots is induced by the dc bias. In addition, the experiments reveal interesting collective phenomena in the vortex ratchet effect. At fields below the first matching field ( $H_1$ ), the dc voltage (ac drive characteristics) present two rectification peaks, which is interpreted as an interplay between the one-dimensional motion of weakly pinned incommensurate vortex rows and the two-dimensional motion of the whole vortex lattice. We also discuss the different dynamical regimes controlling the motion of interstitial and trapped vortices at fields slightly higher than  $H_1$  and their implications for the vortex ratchet effect.

PACS numbers: 74.78.Na., 74.40.+k, 05.40.-a, 85.25.-j

## I. INTRODUCTION

Ratchets are systems with an asymmetric periodic potential capable of promoting unidirectional transport of classical or quantum objects subject to an unbiased actuating drive. For that purpose, the external forcing must be a non-equilibrium one, like an alternating force or a colored noise, since useful work can not be extracted from equilibrium fluctuations only. The ratchet effect was first used to explain biochemical mechanisms such as the intracellular transport of macromolecules.<sup>1</sup> Since then, parallel to advances in the theoretical understanding,<sup>2</sup> several devices have been proposed and fabricated in order to test the ratchet idea at the classical and quantum levels and to use the ratchet effect for particle segregation and motion rectification of electrons and fluxons.<sup>3</sup> Most of this work, however, is focused on ratchet systems consisting of single objects or an assembly of weakly interacting objects. Much less is known about the collective rectification of strongly interacting systems via the ratchet effect and work on this subject carried out so far is mostly theoretical.

Vortices in superconductors with a periodic array of pinning centers have proven to be an ideal system in which to study collective dynamical phenomena of particles moving in a periodic potential. Several interesting dynamical effects have already been studied in these systems. For instance, as demonstrated theoretically<sup>4</sup> and experimentally<sup>5,6,7</sup>, periodic pinning potentials are able to guide vortex motion into high symmetry directions of the pinning lattice. Another remarkable dynamical ef-

fect in these systems is the presence of Shapiro steps in the voltage-current characteristics when an rf current is coupled to the dc drive.<sup>8</sup> The steps appear due to phase-locking between the rf signal and the vortex motion over the periodic pinning structure.

Controllable motion of vortices by means of the ratchet effect was recently demonstrated in experiments performed on superconducting samples with periodic arrays of asymmetrical pinning centers<sup>9,10</sup> and for asymmetrical configurations of symmetrical pinning sites.<sup>7</sup> These structures are able to break the symmetry of the vortex pinning potential and promote vortex motion rectification when an unbiased ac current is applied. In Ref. 9, for instance, the vortex ratchet effect was demonstrated in a  $\text{Nb}$  film where the asymmetrical pinning potential was given by an array of triangular magnetic dots. In Ref. 10, we observed pronounced rectification effects in  $\text{Al}$  films where the symmetry of the pinning potential was broken by engineering a composite configuration of antidot lattices with big and small antidots placed close to each other.

Despite a great deal of theoretical efforts<sup>11,12,13,14,15</sup> aimed at the description of the ratchet dynamics of vortices in asymmetrical pinning potentials, these systems are far from being completely understood. The vortex motion itself, in the presence of strong pinning sites, is still a subject of intense study. Differently from many other systems, vortices are soft objects; their core is able to deform to better adjust to the available pinning potential. Due to this property, the usual approximation of treating vortices as localized overdamped particles may break down and the resulting vortex ratchet effect can

be quite different from, for instance, the effects known for overdamped Brownian ratchets. Indeed, as we have recently shown, the dynamics ruling the voltage rectification in a superconducting film with an array of asymmetrical antidots can be described by underdamped equations of motion.<sup>10</sup> This means that this system can be regarded as an example of inertia ratchet systems, even though the true mass of a vortex is negligible.

In the present paper, we investigate in details vortex motion rectification in Al films with nanoengineered antidot arrays as a function of ac excitation, magnetic field and temperature. Two systems are investigated: (a) square arrays of asymmetrical antidots excited by a symmetrical ac current, an intrinsic ratchet system, and (b) a square array of symmetrical antidots excited by an ac current coupled to a dc bias, i.e. a tilted-potential ratchet. For fields below the first matching field,  $H_1 = \Phi_0/a_p^2$  (where  $\Phi_0 = h/2e$  is the flux quantum and  $a_p$  is the antidot lattice constant), the vortex response in both systems is characterized by hysteresis, which suggests underdamped motion, and a double rectification peak at some incommensurate fields. These results are interpreted in terms of a minimal inertia ratchet model and molecular dynamics simulations of "inertial" vortices. The simulation results indicate that the double peak observed at incommensurate fields can be explained in terms of plastic deformation of the vortex lattice. For fields higher than  $H_1$ , our data reveal that the motion of interstitial vortices is essentially overdamped, in contrast with the unusual underdamped dynamics of the vortices trapped by the antidots. This result suggests that the underlying inertial effect is connected to the strong interaction between the vortices and the antidots and not to the real mass of the vortices.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we describe the sample preparation, the experimental procedure and the experimental results. In Sec. III, we present the details of our underdamped model and the molecular dynamics simulations. The experimental data, as well as a comparison between modelling and experiment, are discussed in Sec. IV. Finally, in Sec. V, we draw our conclusions.

## II. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

### A. Sample preparation and experimental details

The experiments were performed on three different Al thin films, thermally evaporated on a  $\text{SiO}_2$  substrate patterned by electron beam lithography. For all samples the pattern was designed in a cross shaped geometry to allow four point measurements in the two perpendicular directions [see Fig. 1.(a)]. The cross consists of two 300-nm wide strips containing the nanoengineered array (period  $a_p = 1.5 \mu\text{m}$ ) of pinning sites. This gives a value of 0.92 mT for the first matching field. The first two samples (AAD1 and AAD2) have the same unit cell con-

TABLE I: Superconducting parameters for the three samples studied.

	AAD1	AAD2	SAD
Thickness $t$ (nm)	38	37	22
Critical temperature $T_c$ (K)	1.469	1.438	1.532
Mean free path $\ell_e$ (nm)	3.0	8.1	3.4
Coherence length $\xi(0)$ (nm)	59	97	63
Penetration depth $\lambda(0)$ (nm)	225	136	210
GL parameter	3.83	1.40	3.32

sisting of a small ( $300 \times 300 \text{ nm}^2$ ) and big ( $600 \times 600 \text{ nm}^2$ ) antidot separated by a thin superconducting wall (90 nm) and approximate thicknesses (38 and 37 nm). The third sample (SAD) has a symmetric unit cell consisting of  $600 \times 600 \text{ nm}^2$  square antidots and a thickness of 22 nm. Figs. 1 (b) and (c) show atomic force micrographs (AFM) of samples AAD2 and SAD, respectively. The dashed lines depict the unit cell ( $1.5 \times 1.5 \mu\text{m}^2$ ) of the respective sample. The white dots observed in the images are particles of resist layer which did not come off during the lift-off procedure. These particles are innocuous to our experiment.

Table I shows the superconducting parameters for all three samples. The superconducting critical temperature  $T_c$  was obtained by using a resistance criterion of 10% of the normal state resistance in a zero-field measurement. The resistive transition for all samples was sharp, with a width typically of  $T_c \pm 3 \text{ mK}$ . From the residual resistivity at 4.2 K, the electron mean free path  $\ell_e$  was found. From this we have calculated the coherence length  $\xi(0)$  and the penetration depth  $\lambda(0)$  using the dirty limit expressions. All samples are type-II superconductors, i.e., their Ginzburg-Landau parameter is  $\kappa = \lambda(0)/\xi(0) > 1/\sqrt{2}$ . Note that, although samples AAD1 and AAD2 share the same pattern and have similar thicknesses, their mean free paths were quite different, which is a result of the different evaporating conditions (base pressure and growth rate) in which the samples were prepared.

The transport measurements were performed in a cryostat using ac and/or dc currents applied at the end of




FIG. 1: Layout of the Al samples. (a) Cross shaped geometry of the samples. AFM images of sample AAD2 (b) and SAD (c). The dashed lines indicate the corresponding unit cell.

the cross legs in the x-direction. The magnetic field was applied perpendicularly to the xy plane. In all measurements, the applied ac current was a sinusoidal wave, i.e.,  $I(t) = I_{ac} \sin(2\pi ft)$ , where  $f$  is the excitation frequency and  $I_{ac}$  is its amplitude. The voltage was acquired in four point mode by an oscilloscope, used as an analogue-digital converter. The waveform was averaged over several cycles (typically 200-500 cycles) in order to enhance the signal-to-noise ratio. The dc voltage was then obtained by integrating the "clean" waveform.

#### B. Rectification by unbiased asymmetrical antidots

Fig. 2 (a) shows a contour plot of the dc voltage across the sample ( $V_{dc}$ ) as a function of reduced magnetic field ( $H = H_1$ ) and current amplitude ( $I_{ac}$ ) at a temperature  $T = 0.98T_c$  and excitation frequency  $f = 1$  kHz. At  $H = 0$ , one can observe a clear sign inversion of the dc voltage. Note that the voltage drop due to vortex motion is given by  $V_{dc} = L \langle \dot{\phi} \rangle$ , where  $B$  is the flux density,  $\dot{\phi}$  is the time averaged vortex velocity, and  $L$  is the distance between the voltage contacts. Thus, the change of  $V_{dc}$  sign as  $H$  (and, consequently,  $B$ ) changes its sign means that vortices and antivortices are rectified to the same direction. This simple assumption demonstrates unambiguously the intrinsic rectifying properties of the asymmetrical antidots configuration. A similar behavior of  $V_{dc}(H; I_{ac})$  was also observed in sample AAD1 and its plot for the positive field side was presented in Ref. 10. Another important effect demonstrated by Fig. 2 (a) is a clear enhancement of the rectified voltage near the first matching field (see also Ref. 10, Fig. 2). This can be explained by the high symmetry of the vortex lattice at this field range which induces coherent vortex motion, that is, all vortices contribute to the rectified voltage in a constructive way.

In panels (b) and (c) of Fig. 2, we show detailed plots of the  $V_{dc}$ - $I_{ac}$  characteristics of samples AAD2 and AAD1, respectively. Similarly to single-object ratchet systems, these curves are characterized by a rectification window, in which the rectified voltage increases monotonically, and by the presence of a tail, in which  $V_{dc}$  drops smoothly towards zero. The rectification window is defined by two critical forces,  $F_1$ , which marks the onset of rectification, and  $F_2$ , for which rectification is maximum. These forces also determine the effective asymmetry of the pinning potential, which we define here as  $\alpha = 1 - F_1/F_2$ . For some field values (usually, for fields close to  $H_1$  or  $H_1=2$ ) a second rectification peak is observed, suggesting an interplay between two ratchets (c.f. Sec. IV), each having their own critical forces [see Fig. 2 (c)]. However, a clear second peak was not identified in the measurements on sample AAD2, which is probably due to a lack of resolution in our measurements in the narrow rectification window of this sample.

For fields higher than  $H_1$ , a reversal in the net vortex motion is observed at lower amplitudes [see the  $V_{dc}(I_{ac})$




FIG. 2: (a) Contour plot of the dc voltage measured across sample AAD2 as a function of reduced field and ac amplitude at  $T = 0.98T_c$ , and frequency of 1 kHz. (b) Detail of the amplitude dependence of the dc voltage shown in (a) for fields  $H = H_1 = 0.95, 0.97$  and  $1.03$ . (c) Ac-drive amplitude dependence of the dc voltage measured across sample AAD1 at  $T = 0.976T_c$  and  $f = 1$  kHz for fields  $H = H_1 = 0.49, 0.82$  and  $0.96$ .

curve at  $H = H_1 = 1.03$  in Fig. 2 (b)]. In Ref. 9, a similar voltage reversal was observed at fields above  $3H_1$ , which was the saturation field of the sample studied. The authors interpreted this result by arguing that the vortices trapped by the triangular magnetic dots arrange themselves in such a way that the interstitial vortices experience an effective asymmetric potential with opposite asymmetry. In our experiment, the saturation field was  $H_1$ . This can be inferred from the strong drop of the critical current just above this field, which indicates that weakly pinned interstitial vortices come into play. Then, in fact, the voltage reversal observed at fields higher than  $H_1$  can also be interpreted as a reversal in the rectification of interstitial vortices. However, in our case, the reverse asymmetry is not induced by an asymmetric arrangement of vortices inside the pins, but rather by the current distribution (encircling the asymmetric antidot configuration) created by a single-quantum vortex trapped in the double-antidot pins.

By comparing the results obtained on samples AAD1 and AAD2, one can notice the striking difference in the rectified voltage of both samples. For the similar reduced temperatures, the maximum dc voltage of AAD2 was several times smaller than that of AAD1. This can be explained by the fact that the coherence length of AAD2




FIG. 3: Dc voltage measured across sample AAD 2 versus ac amplitude for  $H = H_1 = 0.95$  and  $f = 1$  kHz at different temperatures, as indicated. Inset: Plot of the maximum dc voltage at this field as a function of temperature.

at temperatures close to  $T_c$  may be bigger than the antidots (for instance AAD 2 ( $T = 0.97T_c$ )  $\sim 560$  nm). In this situation, the vortices are not able to resolve the details of the antidots and the effective asymmetry is strongly suppressed. This is very clear by calculating the asymmetry ratio of both samples at a relatively low temperature  $T = 0.976T_c$  (where thermal escape can be neglected) and reduced field  $H = H_1 = 0.96$ , which gives  $0.09$  for AAD 1 and  $0.02$  for AAD 2.

The coherence length, can also play an important role in the temperature dependence of the rectified voltage. Fig. 3 shows  $V_{dc}$ - $I_{ac}$  characteristics of sample AAD 2 at several temperatures for the same reduced field  $H = H_1 = 0.98$ . The maximum rectified voltage seems to increase monotonically until a temperature  $T \sim 0.982T_c$ , above which it starts to drop, resulting in a bell-shaped  $T$  dependence (see inset). In Brownian ratchets, a decrease in the dc response as the temperature increases is indeed expected.<sup>16</sup> The reason is that, when the Brownian motion of particles is enhanced by thermal noise, more energy is needed to move them in a given direction. However, in the vortex case near the critical temperature, increasing temperature induces not only vortex fluctuations but also a strong increase of the vortex size, which leads to a decrease in the effectiveness of the pinning potential and its asymmetry. At low temperatures, the reduction of fluctuations and vortex size should lead to a monotonic increase in rectification. Nevertheless, when vortices are small enough and their fluctuations are weak, they are more sensitive to the background pinning caused by the natural inhomogeneities of the sample. Due to the disorder induced by the background pinning, the antidot pinning potential may become less effective at lower temperatures, thus causing a reduction of the rectified voltage.

In Fig. 4 (a)-(d) we show the voltage output waveform




FIG. 4: (a)-(d) Time evolution of the voltage output of sample AAD 1 at a temperature  $T = 0.973T_c$ , field  $H = 0.96H_1$ , and ac amplitudes  $I_{ac} = 477$  (a),  $502$  (b),  $544$  (c) and  $704$  A (d). (e),(f) Voltage output of sample SAD at  $T = 0.966T_c$ ,  $H = 0.82H_1$ , a dc bias  $I_{dc} = 64$  A, and ac amplitudes:  $I_{ac} = 553$  and  $569$  A (e);  $I_{ac} = 624$  and  $680$  A (f). (g),(h) Voltage output of sample SAD at  $T = 0.966T_c$ ,  $H = 1.50H_1$ , a dc bias  $I_{dc} = 64$  A, and ac amplitudes:  $I_{ac} = 102$ ,  $221$  and  $292$  A (g); and  $I_{ac} = 320$ ,  $413$ , and  $479$  A (h).

in one cycle of the ac drive for different drive amplitudes of the  $V_{dc}$ - $I_{ac}$  characteristic presented in Fig. 2 (c) (open circles). Note that, as discussed above, here negative voltage corresponds to positive vortex velocity, since the applied field is positive. Panels (a) and (b) correspond to points in the rectification window, where the ratchet system behaves as a half-wave rectifier, whereas (c) and (d) correspond to points in the rectification tail. At each half-period of the ac drive, the corresponding half-period of the voltage output in all cases (a-d) is asymmetric; vortices seem to be depinned at a given force and repinned at a lower force value, giving rise to hysteretic behavior. Such a hysteresis was also observed in sample AAD 2, but somewhat smoothed due to the strong effects of fluctuations in this sample. As we shall see below, this indicates that the system is ruled by underdamped dynamics.

### C. Rectification by dc biased symmetrical antidots

Since the symmetrical antidot configuration of sample SAD does not produce rectified vortex motion by itself, we externally induce an asymmetry in the system by "tilting" the pinning potential with a small dc current bias. In Fig. 5 (a), we show the contour plot of the




FIG. 5: (a) Contour plot of the dc voltage measured across sample SAD as a function of reduced field and ac amplitude at  $T = 0.966 T_c$ , frequency of 1 kHz, and a dc bias of 68  $\mu A$ . (b) Detail of the amplitude dependence of the dc voltage shown in (a) for fields  $H = H_1 = 0.43, 0.82$ , and  $1.09$ . The dashed line indicates the saturation voltage  $V_{sat} = R_n I_{dc} = 2.8$  mV. (c) A c-drive amplitude dependence of the dc voltage at  $T = 0.973 T_c$ ,  $f = 1$  kHz,  $H = H_1 = 0.93$ , and different tilts,  $I_{dc} = 130, 46$  and  $22$   $\mu A$ .

dc voltage across sample SAD in the  $H$ - $I_{ac}$  plane at a temperature  $T = 0.966 T_c$  for a dc bias of  $I_{dc} = 64$   $\mu A$  and ac excitations of 1 kHz. [Note that the steps observed in this plot are just artifacts induced by the low resolution of the field sweep (0.05 mT).] In this experiment, no flip of the dc voltage sign is observed. This is indeed expected because here the polarity of a vortex does matter in determining its net velocity. For instance, for a positive current bias, i.e., in the positive  $x$  direction, a vortex with positive polarity experiences a positive slope in the pinning potential (due to the Lorentz force pointing to the negative  $y$  direction), whereas an antivortex senses a negative slope. Thus, as the magnetic field changes its sign, so does the asymmetry of the pinning potential, in such a way that the cross product  $\mathbf{h} \times \mathbf{B}$  keeps its sign.

In Fig. 5(b), we present a detailed plot of the  $V_{dc}$ - $I_{ac}$  characteristics at fields  $H = H_1 = 0.43, 0.82$ , and  $1.09$ , for the experiment of Fig. 5(a). Here, in comparison to the  $V_{dc}$ - $I_{ac}$  characteristics of the asymmetric antidot samples (Fig. 2), the important difference is that the rectification tail approaches asymptotically a finite voltage value, which depends on the applied dc tilt, whereas the

rectification tail of the intrinsically asymmetric samples reaches zero voltage at high ac drives. In the rectification window, however, samples SAD and AAD1 behave in a similar way. For instance, at fields close to  $H_1$ , the second rectification peak is also seen in the  $V_{dc}$ - $I_{ac}$  curves of sample SAD.

Fig. 5(c) presents the rectification effect in sample SAD for different dc bias,  $I_{dc} = 22, 46$ , and  $130$   $\mu A$  at  $H = H_1 = 0.93$  and  $T = T_c = 0.973$ . At this field, a double rectification peak is observed for all dc biases. The distance between peaks seems to be constant, whereas the rectification window is wider for higher tilt values. The window is roughly defined by the critical values  $I_c - I_{dc}$  and  $I_c + I_{dc}$ , where  $I_c = 501$   $\mu A$  is the critical depinning current obtained from conventional dc transport measurements. Thus, the system is given an asymmetry of  $\Delta V_{dc} = 2 I_{dc} = (I_c + I_{dc})$ . For the tilt  $I_{dc} = 22$   $\mu A$ , this gives  $0.086$ , which is similar to the intrinsic asymmetry observed in sample AAD1 at  $T = 0.973 T_c$ . Another noteworthy point is that the main rectification peak decreases relatively to the saturation voltage for higher tilts. This is consistent with the fact that, in the limit of the critical tilt ( $I_{dc} = I_c$ ), no rectification peak should be observed because there the energy barrier for the easy flow direction disappears completely. In this limiting case,  $V_{dc}(I_{ac})$  is expected to grow monotonically from zero to the saturation voltage.

The time evolution of the voltage output for different drive amplitudes of the  $V_{dc}$ - $I_{ac}$  characteristics shown in Fig. 5(b) is presented in Fig. 4(e)-(h). We consider two different fields,  $H = H_1 = 0.82$  (e and f) and  $1.50$  (g and h), corresponding, respectively, to filled circles and crossed circles of Fig. 5(b). In the  $H = H_1 = 0.82$  case, the asymmetrical shape of the half-periods of  $V$  (t) resembles that observed in sample AAD1 [shown in panels (a)-(d) of this figure], that is, here a hysteresis in the depinning-repinning process is also observed. The main difference is that in the high drive limit [panel (f)], the amplitude of the positive half-cycle is higher than the amplitude of the negative one, due to the extrinsic nature of the asymmetry in sample SAD, whereas for sample AAD1 the amplitude of both half-cycles are approximately the same.

For fields higher than  $H_1$  [Fig. 4 (g) and (h)], there exists an amplitude range for which the voltage half-cycles are symmetrical, that is, no hysteresis is observed. Since this behavior is only present at low ac amplitudes, we can assume that the symmetrical waveforms correspond to motion of interstitial vortices. At  $H = H_1 = 1.5$ , the response is strongly enhanced in the positive half-cycle above an amplitude  $I_{ac} = 292$   $\mu A$ , suggesting that at this value the vortices trapped by the antidots start moving. The voltage waveform corresponding to this amplitude and above are asymmetrical [panel (h)], resembling the waveforms observed at fields below  $H_1$ . A similar evolution of the voltage waveforms as the ac amplitude is varied was also observed in the samples with asymmetrical pinning sites for fields  $H > H_1$ .

### III. THEORETICAL MODELLING

#### A. Inertia ratchet model

Our experimental data clearly demonstrate a pronounced hysteresis in the voltage as a transport current is cycled. Typical systems exhibiting pronounced hysteresis in their transport properties are driven underdamped particles in periodic potentials. In these systems, the hysteresis in the depinning-repinning process is a consequence of the finite inertial mass of the particles, which has important effects on their transport properties. For instance, in microscopic boundary lubrication problems, the depinning of the (inertial) lubricant particles from the periodic potential of a solid substrate is usually identified as the static friction force whereas the repinning of the particles is identified as the dynamical friction force.<sup>17</sup> Other "inertia" ratchet systems are asymmetrical underdamped Josephson junction arrays<sup>18</sup> and dc SQUID S<sup>19</sup>. In these two examples, the rectified object is the phase of the superconducting order parameter across a junction and the inertia is given by the shunt capacitance of the junctions. This problem is formally the same as that of the mechanical inertia ratchet.

The rectification of inertial particles in a ratchet potential has been studied theoretically by several groups.<sup>18,19,20,21</sup> The dynamics of inertia ratchets is more complex than their overdamped counterpart due to the existence of both regular (for moderate underdamped) and chaotic (for extremely underdamped) dynamics. In the regular regime, the amplitude dependent dc response presents a strong enhancement in the rectification window and a faster decaying tail, as compared to the characteristics of overdamped particles. Inspired by the fact that, in our experiment, (i) the rectified voltage demonstrates hysteretic behavior and (ii) the  $V_{dc}$ - $I_{ac}$  characteristic generally has pronounced rectification effect in the rectification window, we propose a description of vortex dynamics in antidot systems in terms of a simplified underdamped model, in which vortices (still treated as particles) are given an apparent mass to account for the observed hysteresis.

We first consider the simpler case of a single vortex in a ratchet potential, here representing the asymmetrical antidot array. The equation of motion is given by

$$M \ddot{x} = -\gamma \dot{x} - U_p(x) + A \sin(\omega t); \quad (1)$$

where  $\gamma$  is the viscous drag coefficient,  $x$  is the particle position. The pinning potential  $U_p(x)$  is modelled in a simplified way as the double-sine function:

$$U_p(x) = U \sin \frac{2\pi x}{a_p} + \frac{1}{2} \sin \frac{4\pi x}{a_p} \quad (2)$$

The parameter  $\alpha$  defines the asymmetry of the pinning force ( $\alpha = 2/(1 + \gamma)$ ), for  $\alpha < 1/4$ , the critical forces being given by  $F_w = 2(1 - \alpha)U/a_p$ , for drive along the (weak) positive  $x$  direction, and  $F_s = 2(1 + \alpha)U/a_p$ ,




FIG. 6: Time averaged particle velocity as a function of ac drive amplitude calculated in the single-particle inertia ratchet model [Eq. 1] for the viscosity-to-mass ratios  $\eta/M = 0.1, 2.5, 4$  and  $10$  (in units of  $f_p$ ). Inset: Contour plot of average velocity as a function of amplitude and  $\eta/M$ ; the dashed lines define the rectification window in this case ( $\alpha = 0.095$ ).

for drive along the (strong) negative  $x$  direction. Note that, since here we do not take into account thermal fluctuations, the critical forces which define the rectification window of this system are given deterministically by  $F_1 = F_w$  and  $F_2 = F_s$ .

The main characteristic frequencies setting the limits of the dynamical regimes of Eq. (1) are the libration frequency in the potential minima, which for  $\alpha < 0.2$  is given approximately by  $f_p = \sqrt{U/M} a_p^{-2}$ , and the inverse relaxation time  $\omega = \gamma/M$ . The quasi-adiabatic regime (i.e., close to the zero-frequency adiabatic limit), corresponds to frequencies much smaller than these two characteristic frequencies. In the numerical solution of Eq. (1) we have chosen excitation frequencies  $f < 10^{-4} f_p$  and  $0.1 f_p$ , corresponding to the quasi-adiabatic regime.

In Fig. 6, we show the net dc velocity versus ac drive amplitude ( $\eta v$ - $F_{ac}$  characteristics for different values of  $\eta/M$ ). Forces are in units of  $2U/a_p$  and frequencies (and  $\gamma$ ) in units of  $f_p$ . For these runs, we have chosen the asymmetry factor  $\alpha = 0.095$ , which is close to the typical values of  $I_1 = I_2$  observed in sample AAD1. The inset shows a detailed diagram of  $\eta v$  as a function of  $A$  and  $M$ . Notice the crossover between the spread rectification in the extreme overdamped limit and the sharp rectification in the extreme underdamped regime. For  $\eta/M > 4f_p$ , the curves are essentially overdamped, following the behavior of the adiabatic solution of a deterministic overdamped ratchet.<sup>10</sup> For  $\eta/M < 2f_p$ , the curves are much more pronounced in the rectification window and have a faster decaying tail. We shall compare these results with the experimental data in Sec. IV.

## B. Molecular dynamics simulations

Now, we consider the 2D problem of a lattice of "inertial" vortices interacting with a 2D array of asymmetric pinning sites, which is modelled as two interpenetrating square sublattices of strong and weak attractive potentials. The sublattices have spacing  $a_p$  ( $= 1$  here) and are shifted with respect to each other by a distance  $d = 0.2a_p$ . All pinning centers are modelled by Gaussian potential wells with a decay length  $R_p$ . Hence, the pinning force exerted by the  $k$ -th pin of one sublattice on the  $i$ -th vortex reads

$$F_p^{s,w}(r_i) = F_{s,w} \frac{r_i - R_k^{s,w}}{R_p} \exp \left( -\frac{r_i - R_k^{s,w}}{R_p} \right)^2; \quad (3)$$

where  $r_i$  represents the position of the  $i$ -th vortex and  $R_k^{s,w}$  is the location of the  $k$ -th pin of the weak ( $w$ ) and the strong ( $s$ ) sublattice, respectively. The intensity of the individual pinning force is denoted by  $F_{s,w}$ . We define the ratio between the weak and strong pinning forces as  $\eta = F_w/F_s$ . In our simulation, forces (per unit length) are taken in units of  $F_0 = \frac{2}{\phi_0^2} = 8^{-2/3}$ , with the effective superconducting penetration depth. Vortices are considered to interact logarithmically, the corresponding repulsive vortex-vortex force being given by

$$F_{vv}(r_i) = F_{vv0} \sum_{j \neq i} \hat{r}_{ij} = \hat{r}_i - \hat{r}_j; \quad (4)$$

where  $\hat{r}_{ij} = (r_i - r_j)/|r_i - r_j|$ .  $F_{vv0}$  denotes the strength of the vortex-vortex interaction. The underdamped equation of motion for vortex  $i$  is given by

$$M \ddot{r}_i = F_L(t) + F_{vv}(r_i) + F_p(r_i) \quad \dot{r}_i : \quad (4)$$

The ac driving Lorentz force is  $F_L(t) = A \sin(\omega t)$ , where  $\omega = 2\pi/P$  and  $P$  is the period of the ac drive.  $\eta$  is the viscosity coefficient and  $M$  is the effective mass of an individual vortex. This equation is integrated by molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. Parameters used in the simulations are  $R_p = 0.13a_0$ ,  $d = 0.26a_0$ ,  $F_{vv0} = 0.06$ ,  $F_p^s = 0.5$  and  $\eta = 0.925$ , time step  $\Delta t = 1/150$ . All the frequencies of the ac sine-wave drive are smaller than  $10^{-6}$ , i.e., into the quasi-adiabatic regime. For the mass and viscosity we have chosen, respectively,  $M = 1$  and  $\eta = 1$ , which, in terms of  $f_p = U_p/M a_p^2$  (here  $U_p = F_s R_p^2 = 2$  is the amplitude of the individual strong pinning potential), leads to a viscosity-to-mass ratio  $\eta/M = 2.44 f_p$ . The MD simulation results will be discussed in the next section.

## IV. DISCUSSION

In Fig. 7, we present a fitting of our inertia ratchet model to the experimental data of samples AAD1 and

SAD. The data is plotted in normalized units. The normalized critical forces used in the model were the same observed in the respective measurement. In this way, the only adjustable parameter is the viscosity-to-mass ratio,  $\eta/M$ , which is given in units of the libration frequency  $f_p$ . For comparison, we have shown in Fig. 6 the  $V_{dc}$ - $I_{ac}$  calculated close to the overdamped limit  $\eta/M = 10$ . As it is clearly seen from this graph, the usual overdamped model fails to describe the ratchet effect of vortices in an antidot lattice, more specially in our Al samples AAD1 and SAD. On the other hand, the underdamped model with values close to the underdamped limit provides very good fitting to our experimental data. For the measurement of sample AAD1 at  $T = 0.973T_c$  and  $H = H_1 = 0.99$ , for example, we used  $\eta/M = 0.095$  (which gives approximately the same normalized critical forces observed in this experiment) and the best fit was obtained with  $\eta/M = 1.8$  [see Fig. 7 (a) {inset}], which is close to the underdamped limit. For the sample SAD at  $H = H_1 = 0.98$  and  $T = 0.966T_c$ , we used  $\eta/M = 0$  (i.e. a symmetrical sinusoidal potential) and added to the driving force a dc component  $F_{dc} = 0.066F_p$  (where  $F_p = 2 U/a_p$  is the critical force of the pinning potential), in agreement with the  $I_{dc}=I_p$  ratio observed in the experiment. In this case the best fit was obtained with  $\eta/M = 2.1$  [Fig. 7 (b)].

The success of an underdamped model to describe the voltage induced by vortex motion is not a trivial result. Vortex dynamics is usually treated in terms of overdamped particle models because it is a well established fact that in most samples the vortex mass is negligible compared to the damping coefficient.<sup>23</sup> Nevertheless, these models do not consider the effect of vortex deformation that can lead to an irreversibility in the depinning-repinning process and, consequently, to an apparent inertial effect. In recent Ginzburg-Landau simulations of a superconductor with an antidot lattice, it was shown that the process of depinning of a vortex from an antidot can be characterized by strong current-induced elongation of the vortex core cross-section, which results in a hysteresis in the pinning mechanism.<sup>24</sup> This effect seems to mimic the behavior of an underdamped particle in a periodic potential and can be responsible for the hysteresis observed in our experiments.

### A. Vortex rectification for $H < H_1$

In our experiment, the  $V_{dc}$ - $I_{ac}$  curves for some fields below  $H_1$  are characterized by a double rectification peak. As we pointed out in our previous publication, the second rectification peak can be explained in terms of plastic deformation of the vortex lattice.<sup>10</sup> In other words, the existence of a plastic dynamical phase with a lower critical current gives rise to the appearance of a second ratchet system, which competes with the main ratchet, given by the motion of the whole vortex lattice. The consequences of the existence of two ratchets with dif-






FIG. 7: (a) Main frame: normalized dc voltage versus current amplitude (circles) of sample AAD1 at  $T = 0.973T_c$  and  $H = 0.96H_1$  and numerical fit obtained from the double ratchet model described in Sec. IV (see also Sec. III) with  $\alpha = 1.9$  and  $\beta = 0.5$ . The dashed vertical lines define different dynamical regimes of the system (see text). Inset: same as the main frame but with  $H = 0.99H_1$ . The fitting (line) was obtained integrating Eq. 1 with  $\alpha = 1.8$ . (b) Normalized dc voltage versus current amplitude (circles) of sample SAD at  $T = 0.966T_c$  and  $H = 0.98H_1$  using an excitation frequency of 1 kHz and a dc bias of 46 A (which gives a reduced tilt. The fitting (line) was obtained using  $\alpha = 2.1$ .

different critical forces can be heuristically illustrated by our one particle underdamped model. Let us consider two independent inertia ratchets. The first one represents the whole vortex lattice, with critical forces  $F_w$  and  $F_s$ . The second ratchet represents the fraction of the vortices that deform plastically and has critical forces  $F_w^0$  and  $F_s^0$ . In this way, the total dynamics is simply given by the solution  $v(t)$  of the first ratchet for  $F(t) > F_w$  and  $F(t) < F_s$ , and, otherwise, by the solution  $v^0(t)$  of the second ratchet, normalized by the factor  $\alpha$ . This simple model provides an excellent fitting to the experimental data for  $H < H_1$ . For instance, to fit the data of the experiment performed in sample AAD1 at  $H = 0.96H_1$  and  $T = T_c = 0.973$ , we solved Eq. (1) for both ratchets independently, using for the critical forces the normalized values obtained experimentally, and adjusted the parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . In this case, we obtained  $\alpha = 1.9$  and  $\beta = 0.5$  [see Fig. 7 (a)].

To get a better insight on how such plastic dynamics can lead to a double peak in the  $V_{dc}$ - $I_{ac}$  characteristic, we performed MD simulations of "inertial" vortices for fields  $H < H_1$ . The parameters used in the simulations are given in Sec. III-B. Fig. 8 (a)-(d), show the simulated net velocity of vortices  $\langle v \rangle$  as a function of drive

amplitude  $F_{ac}$  for reduced fields  $H = H_1 = 1, 0.94, 0.92$ , and 0.88. The simulations reproduce quite well the double rectification peak observed experimentally. Panels (e) and (f) show the time dependence of the center-of-mass (c.m.) velocity of the vortex lattice at vortex densities  $H = H_1 = 1$  and 0.92, respectively. As in our experiments, here the waveforms of vortex motion during each half-period are asymmetrical, i.e., present hysteretic behavior, which is a result of the finite apparent mass of the vortices. In particular, the simulated waveforms at  $H = H_1 = 0.92$  [panel (f)] evolve similarly to the experimental data of sample AAD1 presented in Fig. 4 (a)-(d). In both, experiment and simulations, four different ratchet phases (I-IV) are clearly identified [these phases are defined by vertical dashed lines in the  $V_{dc}$ - $I_{ac}$  characteristic of Fig. 7 (a) and in the  $\langle v \rangle$ - $F_{ac}$  characteristics of Fig. 8 (b) and (c)]. In phase I, only a fraction of vortices move in the easy direction of the pinning potential. No motion to the hard direction is observed. In phase II, the whole vortex lattice is half-wave rectified, i.e., all vortices move to the easy direction and no vortices move to the hard one. In phase III, we have motion of all vortices in the easy direction and motion of a fraction of them to the hard direction. The averaged rectified voltage drops abruptly at the onset of motion to the hard direction but increases again at slightly higher amplitudes, since the main ratchet (the whole vortex lattice) is still in its rectification window, giving rise to the second rectification peak. Finally, in the phase IV, all vortices move back and forth, but in an asymmetrical fashion, resulting in the long tail observed in the experiments and simulations.

To illustrate the interplay between the plastic dynamics and motion of the whole vortex lattice, we plotted in Fig. 8 (g)-(i) the vortex trajectories for the simulation at  $H = H_1 = 0.94$  and a few drive amplitudes. At  $F_{ac} = 0.2$  (g), all vortices are still pinned (just oscillating inside the pinning centers). Note that, since the number of vortices does not match the number of pinning sites, there is a distribution of vacant pins, that is to say, of discommensurations in the vortex lattice. In this case, and also for  $H = H_1 = 0.92$ , there are some vortex rows, with a denser distribution of discommensurations, which depin more easily. Thus, at  $F_{ac} = 0.205$  (h), only the weakly pinned vortex rows are dragged away, giving rise to a one-dimensional channelling dynamics characterized by a strong shear of the vortex lattice. Finally, at a higher drive,  $F_{ac} = 0.210$  (i), the potential barriers for the strongly pinned vortex rows are also overcome and all vortices move to the easy direction of the pinning potential. At lower fields, such as  $H = H_1 = 0.88$  shown in panel (d) of this figure, the distribution of vacancies is approximately homogeneous in such a way that all vortex rows have approximately the same depinning force. Thus, no channelling dynamics is observed and the  $\langle v \rangle$ - $F_{ac}$  characteristic at this field presents a single rectification peak.






FIG. 8: Molecular dynamics simulation results for  $\gamma = 1$  and  $M = 1$ . Panels (a)–(d): net dc velocity as a function of the ac driving force amplitude in normalized units (see text) at reduced fields  $H = H_1 = 1$  (a), 0.94 (b), 0.92 (c), and 0.88 (d). The dashed lines in (c) and (d) define different dynamical regimes of the system (see text). Panels (e) and (f): time evolution of the cm. velocity at  $H = H_1 = 1$  (e) and 0.92 (f). Panels (g)–(i): vortex trajectories (lines) for  $H = H_1 = 0.94$  at drive amplitudes 0.2 (g), where vortices are still pinned, 0.205 (h), where part of the vortices move as 1D channels, and 0.21 (i), where all vortices move. Vortices are represented by the small dots and the double pinning sites are represented by the double discs.

### B. Vortex rectification for $H > H_1$

At fields higher than  $H_1$ , the interpretation of vortex motion in terms of underdamped dynamics may break down because of the presence of interstitial vortices. Since they do not interact directly with the antidots, their dynamics is expected to be similar to that of vortices in a plain Im, that is, essentially overdamped. This was indeed suggested by the amplitude dependence of the voltage waveform  $S_V(t)$  [see Fig. 4 (g) and (h)]: whereas for high amplitudes the signal in a half-cycle is asymmetric, at lower amplitudes, the signal may be perfectly symmetric, that is, completely reversible. Since apparently hysteresis only comes out when the vortices trapped in the antidots are set into motion, one may then conclude that the apparent inertia comes from the interaction between vortices and antidots rather than from the real vortex mass.

## V. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have studied the vortex ratchet effect in superconducting Im s with nanoengineered arrays of asymmetric and symmetric antidots. In the asymmetric antidot sample, we observed rectification of an unbiased

ac current due to the intrinsic asymmetry of the system, thus demonstrating that this is an intrinsic vortex ratchet system. In the symmetric antidot sample, the rectification effect was due to the dc tilt of the periodic (symmetric) potential induced by the applied dc bias. The vortex rectification is strongly dependent on temperature and magnetic field. The temperature dependence indicates that the coherence length plays an important role in determining the effective asymmetry produced by the asymmetric antidot array; when the vortex size becomes comparable to the big antidot size, the rectification is strongly suppressed.

The field dependence of the rectification effects found in our experiments provides the following observations indicating collective behavior in the ratchet dynamics: (i) rectification is enhanced near the first matching field, evidencing the importance of vortex-vortex interactions in the ratchet effect (at the first matching field, the vortex-vortex interactions cancel out by symmetry); (ii) at fields above  $H_1$ , we observed a sign reversal in the ratchet effect at low amplitudes, which indicates that weakly pinned interstitial vortices are rectified to the opposite direction due to the inverse asymmetry produced by the current distribution of the vortices trapped in the antidots; and (iii) at some fields below  $H_1$ , a double rectification peak was observed, which can be explained by the competition

