

# Symmetric space description of carbon nanotubes

Michele Caselle<sup>1</sup> and Ulrika Magnea<sup>2</sup>

*Department of Theoretical Physics, University of Torino  
and INFN, Sez. di Torino  
Via P. Giuria 1, I-10125 Torino, Italy*

<sup>1</sup>caselle@to.infn.it

<sup>2</sup>blom@to.infn.it

## Abstract

Using an innovative technique arising from the theory of symmetric spaces, we obtain an approximate analytic solution of the Dorokhov–Mello–Pereyra–Kumar (DMPK) equation in the insulating regime of a metallic carbon nanotube with symplectic symmetry and an odd number of conducting channels. This symmetry class is characterized by the presence of a perfectly conducting channel in the limit of infinite length of the nanotube. The derivation of the DMPK equation for this system has recently been performed by Takane, who also obtained the average conductance both analytically and numerically. Using the Jacobian corresponding to the transformation to radial coordinates and the parameterization of the transfer matrix given by Takane, we identify the ensemble of transfer matrices as the symmetric space of negative curvature  $SO^*(4m+2)/[SU(2m+1) \times U(1)]$  belonging to the  $DIII$ -odd Cartan class. We rederive the leading-order correction to the conductance of the perfectly conducting channel  $\langle \ln \delta g \rangle$  and its variance  $\text{Var}(\ln \delta g)$ . Our results are in complete agreement with Takane's. In addition, our approach based on the mapping to a symmetric space enables us to obtain new universal quantities: a universal group theoretical expression for the ratio  $\text{Var}(\ln \delta g)/\langle \ln \delta g \rangle$  and as a byproduct, a novel expression for the localization length for the most general case of a symmetric space with  $BC_m$  root system, in which all three types of roots are present.

# 1 Introduction

Carbon nanotubes, consisting of single or multiple graphene layers wrapped into nanometer-thick cylinders<sup>1</sup>, have been an object of experimental and theoretical study in mesoscopic physics over the past decade. They exhibit particular transport properties due to the special characteristics that distinguish them from ordinary quasi-one dimensional quantum wires. The hexagonal lattice of carbon atoms puts constraints on the possibilities of matching a single layer of atoms onto itself to form a cylinder or torus, giving rise to systems with differing discrete chirality, and in the case of a toroidal geometry, differing degree of twist. The cylindrical or toroidal geometry allows the conduction electrons to orbit around the axis of the cylinder. This happens for certain chiralities only. Experimental and theoretical analyses show that carbon nanotubes are either metallic or semiconducting. The electrical properties of carbon nanotubes are governed by electrons close to the Fermi energy and show some interesting phenomenology (see e.g. [1]).

A carbon nanotube can be considered a special kind of disordered quasi-one dimensional quantum wire, and the same techniques that are applied in the theoretical study of mesoscopic wires can be applied also to carbon nanotubes. Disordered systems were classified by Dyson into three standard symmetry classes (orthogonal, unitary and symplectic, labelled by Dyson index  $\beta = 1, 2$ , and  $4$  respectively) [2]. In this paper we will focus on metallic carbon nanotubes with symplectic symmetry. By this we mean that the system is time-reversal invariant but has strong spin-orbit scattering, according to Dyson's classification.

Such nanowires have been studied by Ando and Suzuura [3], who showed that they have an anomalous transport property: there is one perfectly conducting channel present even in the limit of an infinitely long wire. The first discovery of the non-vanishing conductance in the long-wire limit in the symplectic symmetry class is, however, due to Zirnbauer [4] and to Mirlin, Müller-Groeling, and Zirnbauer [5]. These authors expressed moments of the conductance of thick disordered wires in terms of the heat kernel in a supersymmetric  $\sigma$ -model approach, subsequently obtaining approximate analytical expressions for the conductance for all three symmetry classes by Fourier analysis on the supersymmetric manifold.

Takane [6, 7, 8] and Sakai and Takane [9] conducted further studies of systems with symplectic symmetry and with an even or odd number of conducting channels, employing a variety of methods. It was confirmed in [6] and [7], using the supersymmetric formalism and numerical simulations, respectively, that for an *odd* number of conducting channels (which is the case in a carbon nanotube), the dimensionless conductance  $g \rightarrow 1$  in the

---

<sup>1</sup>Stacked sheets of single graphene layers form graphite.

limit of an infinitely long wire, indicating the absence of Anderson localization. In this case there is an unpaired transmission eigenvalue equal to unity which gives rise to the anomalous behavior of the conductance. This is to be contrasted with the case of an *even* number of conducting channels, when the average dimensionless conductance approaches zero with increasing wire length  $L$  (this case is realized in an ordinary quantum wire, where the limit  $L \rightarrow \infty$  corresponds to the insulating regime).

In [8] these results were confirmed using scaling theory. Within the framework of random matrix theory, the Dorokhov–Mello–Pereyra–Kumar (DMPK) equation for the probability distribution of the transmission eigenvalues as a function of the length of the wire was constructed for this particular system, and the conductance and its variance were derived in the limit of an infinitely long wire. In contrast, it was proved in [9] that the presence of the perfectly conducting channel in the odd-channel case does not have any bearing on the conductance and its variance if the length of the wire is shorter than the localization length, in which case there is almost no even–odd difference. This is due to geometric eigenvalue repulsion in random matrix theory. As a consequence of the presence of the unpaired transmission eigenvalue<sup>2</sup>  $T_{2m+1} = 1$ , the other eigenvalues are repelled and therefore diminish (we recall that  $0 \leq T_i \leq 1$ ).

This paper is organized as follows. Sections 2 and 3 contain some generalities concerning the symmetric space description of random matrix theories and the standard theoretical description of mesoscopic scattering, respectively. In section 4 we discuss the mapping of the DMPK equation to the equation of free diffusion on the symmetric space.

In section 5 we then identify the symmetric space corresponding to the ensemble of transfer matrices for the symplectic ensemble with an odd number of scattering channels. This will be done in two ways: first using the Jacobian on the symmetric space, and then using the parametrization of the transfer matrix given by Takane [8]. In section 6 we show how to solve the DMPK equation and obtain the conductance of the system using the technique of zonal spherical functions on the symmetric space. Some details of the calculation are reported in Appendix A.

## 2 The symmetric space point of view

The classification of random matrix ensembles in terms of symmetric coset spaces of Lie groups (or as subspaces of Lie algebras) is by now rather well-known. In reference [10]

---

<sup>2</sup>We use Takane’s notation in which  $N = 2m$  in the even-channel case and  $N = 2m + 1$  in the odd-channel case. In this notation, the number of non-zero, *distinct*, paired eigenvalues in the symplectic symmetry class is  $m$ . (This quantity is often denoted by  $N$  elsewhere.)

a detailed review of this subject was given. It was shown that all the known hermitean random matrix ensembles can be identified with symmetric coset spaces of Lie groups or with subspaces of Lie algebras. There are exactly twelve<sup>3</sup> such groups of symmetric spaces. Each group comprises three symmetric spaces identified with manifolds of positive, zero, and negative curvature, respectively. The positive curvature spaces are identified with ensembles of scattering matrices, the zero curvature spaces (which are Lie algebra subspaces) with ensembles of Hamiltonians, and the negative curvature spaces with ensembles of transfer matrices.

In the third and fourth column of Table 1, which we have reproduced from reference [10], the symmetric coset spaces of positive and negative curvature arising from simple Lie groups are listed (the zero curvature spaces are not listed since these are simply identified with the Lie algebra subspace defining the corresponding curved manifolds). In the fifth through seventh column of Table 1 the multiplicities of the roots of the corresponding restricted root systems are listed. The subscripts refer to ordinary, long and short roots, respectively.

Let us remind the reader of the construction of the root system of a simple Lie algebra. There are two kinds of generators in the algebra: there is a maximal abelian subalgebra  $\{H_1, \dots, H_r\}$ , where  $r$  is the rank of the algebra and  $[H_i, H_j] = 0$ , and there are raising and lowering operators  $E_{\pm\alpha}$ . The roots  $\alpha$  are functionals on the Cartan subalgebra whose components  $\alpha_i$  satisfy

$$[H_i, E_\alpha] = \alpha_i E_\alpha \quad (2.1)$$

The angle between root vectors can take only a few discrete values, which makes Cartan's classification possible and leads, for the non-exceptional Lie groups, to four infinite series of root systems  $A_{n-1}$ ,  $B_n$ ,  $C_n$ , and  $D_n$ . Just as a root system corresponds to each simple Lie group, for each symmetric coset space one can identify a unique *restricted* root system where each root vector has a given multiplicity. It would bring us too far to discuss the construction of these root systems here, and we refer the interested reader to [10] for details. In any case it is similar to the construction of the root system for the entire Lie algebra.

The non-reduced root system  $BC_n$  is defined as the union of  $B_n$  and  $C_n$ . It is of relevance in this context as it appears as the restricted root system of several types of symmetric spaces, as can be seen by inspection of Table 1.

---

<sup>3</sup>In Cartan's classification there were eleven but it is natural to split the *DIII*-symmetry class into even and odd, following the example of some recent authors. This is because the even and odd cases correspond to different restricted root systems.

Table 1: Cartan's classification of irreducible symmetric spaces and some of their random matrix theory realizations. In the third and fourth column we have listed the symmetric coset spaces of positive and negative curvature based on simple Lie groups. The zero curvature spaces are not listed since these are simply identified with the Lie algebra subspace defining the corresponding curved manifolds.  $m_o$ ,  $m_l$ , and  $m_s$  are the multiplicities for the respective restricted roots and in the last three columns we find the random matrix ensembles with known physical applications corresponding to symmetric spaces of positive, zero and negative curvature, respectively. The ensemble of transfer matrices for symplectic odd-channel carbon nanotubes corresponding to the present case has been inserted in boldface in the last column. We have set  $\nu \equiv p - q$  and for the random matrix ensembles we use the following abbreviations: C for circular, G for gaussian,  $\chi$  for chiral, B for Bogoliubov-de Gennes, P for  $p$ -wave, T for transfer matrix and S for S-matrix ensembles. The upper indices indicate the curvature of the respective symmetric spaces, while the lower indices correspond to the multiplicities of the restricted roots characterizing each triplet.

<i>Restricted root space</i>	<i>Cartan class</i>	$G/K$ ( $G$ )	$G^*/K$ ( $G^C/G$ )	$m_o$	$m_l$	$m_s$	$X^+$	$X^0$	$X^-$
$A_{N-1}$	A	$SU(N)$	$\frac{SL(N,C)}{SU(N)}$	2	0	0	$C_{2,0,0}^+$	$G_{2,0,0}^0$	$T_{2,0,0}^-$
$A_{N-1}$	AI	$\frac{SU(N)}{SO(N)}$	$\frac{SL(N,R)}{SO(N)}$	1	0	0	$C_{1,0,0}^+$	$G_{1,0,0}^0$	$T_{1,0,0}^-$
$A_{N-1}$	AII	$\frac{SU(2N)}{USp(2N)}$	$\frac{SU^*(2N)}{USp(2N)}$	4	0	0	$C_{4,0,0}^+$	$G_{4,0,0}^0$	$T_{4,0,0}^-$
$BC_q$ ( $p>q$ )	AIII	$\frac{SU(p+q)}{SU(p) \times SU(q) \times U(1)}$	$\frac{SU(p,q)}{SU(p) \times SU(q) \times U(1)}$	2	1	$2\nu$	$S_{2,1,0}^+$	$\chi_{2,1,2\nu}^0$	$T_{2,1,0}^-$
$C_q$ ( $p=q$ )									
$B_N$	B	$SO(2N+1)$	$\frac{SO(2N+1,C)}{SO(2N+1)}$	2	0	2		$P_{2,0,2}^0$	
$C_N$	C	$USp(2N)$	$\frac{Sp(2N,C)}{USp(2N)}$	2	2	0	$B_{2,2,0}^+$	$B_{2,2,0}^0$	$T_{2,2,0}^-$
$C_N$	CI	$\frac{USp(2N)}{SU(N) \times U(1)}$	$\frac{Sp(2N,R)}{SU(N) \times U(1)}$	1	1	0	$B_{1,1,0}^+$	$B_{1,1,0}^0$	$T_{1,1,0}^-$
$BC_q$ ( $p>q$ )	CII	$\frac{USp(2p+2q)}{USp(2p) \times USp(2q)}$	$\frac{USp(2p,2q)}{USp(2p) \times USp(2q)}$	4	3	$4\nu$		$\chi_{4,3,4\nu}^0$	$T_{4,3,0}^-$
$C_q$ ( $p=q$ )									
$D_N$	D	$SO(2N)$	$\frac{SO(2N,C)}{SO(2N)}$	2	0	0	$B_{2,0,0}^+$	$B_{2,0,0}^0$	$T_{2,0,0}^-$
$C_N$	DIII-e	$\frac{SO(4N)}{SU(2N) \times U(1)}$	$\frac{SO^*(4N)}{SU(2N) \times U(1)}$	4	1	0	$B_{4,1,0}^+$	$B_{4,1,0}^0$	$T_{4,1,0}^-$
$BC_N$	DIII-o	$\frac{SO(4N+2)}{SU(2N+1) \times U(1)}$	$\frac{SO^*(4N+2)}{SU(2N+1) \times U(1)}$	4	1	4		$P_{4,1,4}^0$	$T_{4,1,4}^-$
$B_q$ ( $p>q$ )	BDI	$\frac{SO(p+q)}{SO(p) \times SO(q)}$	$\frac{SO(p,q)}{SO(p) \times SO(q)}$	1	0	$\nu$		$\chi_{1,0,\nu}^0$	$T_{1,0,0}^-$
$D_q$ ( $p=q$ )									

In this framework, the multiplicities of restricted root vectors associated to a symmetric coset space are identified in the random matrix ensemble as the exponents appearing in the Jacobian for the transformation from the space of random matrices to the space of random matrix eigenvalues. This Jacobian gives rise to the characteristic eigenvalue repulsion in random matrix theory. Such a transformation may be expressed as

$$S \rightarrow U^{-1} X U \quad (2.2)$$

where  $S$  is a random matrix,  $X$  is (block-)diagonal and contains the random matrix eigenvalues and  $U$  is unitary. The random matrix partition function is invariant under such a transformation. For example, for the simple gaussian unitary random matrix ensemble characterized by root multiplicities  $m_o = 2$ ,  $m_l = m_s = 0$ , the Jacobian of such a coordinate transformation is given by  $J(\{x_i\}) \sim \prod_{i < j} (x_i - x_j)^2$  (where  $\{x_i\}$  are the eigenvalues). In this case there is only one exponent in the Jacobian,  $\beta \equiv 2$  (where  $\beta$  indeed is Dyson's symmetry index) which is given by the multiplicity of ordinary roots:  $\beta = m_o = 2$ . Similar relations between the exponents in the Jacobian and the three root multiplicities are true also in the case of more complicated Jacobians with more than one exponent. We conclude that the root structure of the underlying symmetric space completely determines the celebrated universal random matrix eigenvalue correlations!

The random matrix ensembles identified with the symmetric spaces in each row are listed in the last three columns in Table 1. The notation for the various types of ensemble is as follows: C for circular, G for gaussian,  $\chi$  for chiral, B for Bogoliubov-de Gennes, P for  $p$ -wave, T for transfer matrix and S for S-matrix ensembles. A discussion of these ensembles here is outside the scope of this paper and we refer to [10] or to the vast random matrix literature for an introduction.

### 3 Scattering in a mesoscopic wire

All the material in this section is standard and is included only to make the paper self-contained.

The scattering of electrons in a mesoscopic wire attached to ideal leads can be described using the transfer matrix. Assuming there are  $m$  propagating modes at the Fermi level, we describe them by a vector of length  $2m$  of incoming modes  $I, I'$  and a similar vector of outgoing modes  $O, O'$  in each lead. Let the unprimed letters denote the modes in the left lead and the primed letters the modes in the right lead. While the scattering matrix  $S$  relates the incoming wave amplitudes  $I, I'$  to the outgoing wave amplitudes  $O, O'$ , the

transfer matrix  $M$  relates the wave amplitudes in the left lead to those in the right lead:

$$M \begin{pmatrix} I \\ O \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} O' \\ I' \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.1)$$

The transmission eigenvalues  $T_i$  are the  $m$  eigenvalues of the matrices  $tt^\dagger$  (or equivalently,  $t't'^\dagger$ ), where  $t$  ( $t'$ ) is the left-to-right (right-to-left) transmission matrix appearing in the scattering matrix (and  $r$ ,  $r'$  are the respective reflection matrices):

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} r & t' \\ t & r' \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.2)$$

The transfer matrix is expressed in terms of these submatrices as [11]

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} (t^\dagger)^{-1} & r't'^{-1} \\ -t'^{-1}r & t'^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.3)$$

In terms of the transmission eigenvalues  $\{T_i\}$ , the expression for the dimensionless conductance is given in the Landauer–Lee–Fisher theory by

$$g = \frac{G}{G_0} = \sum_{i=1}^m T_i \quad (G_0 = \frac{2e^2}{h}) \quad (3.4)$$

Instead of the transmission eigenvalues, it is common to use the non-negative variables  $\{\lambda_i\}$  defined by

$$\lambda_i = \frac{1 - T_i}{T_i} \quad (3.5)$$

$M$  can then be parametrized as<sup>4</sup> [12]

---

<sup>4</sup>This parametrization is valid for the cases  $M \in Sp(2m, R)/[SU(m) \times U(1)]$  (“orthogonal” ensemble) and  $M \in SO^*(4m)/[SU(2m) \times U(1)]$  (“symplectic” ensemble), whereas for the unitary ensemble  $SU(m, m)/[SU(m) \times SU(m) \times U(1)]$ ,  $u^*$  and  $v^*$  have to be substituted by two unitary matrices  $u'$  and  $v'$  not related to  $u$  and  $v$  by complex conjugation.

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1+\Lambda} & \sqrt{\Lambda} \\ \sqrt{\Lambda} & \sqrt{1+\Lambda} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v & 0 \\ 0 & v^* \end{pmatrix} \equiv UTV \quad (3.6)$$

where  $u, v$  are unitary  $m \times m$  matrices and

$$\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) \quad (3.7)$$

is a diagonal matrix.

In the symplectic (even-channel) case, there is a *doubling* of the degrees of freedom due to the fact that the components of  $I, O$ , etc. become *spinors*. This means the eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_i\}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$  are doubled so that we get  $m$  pairs of degenerate eigenvalues (this is referred to as Kramers degeneracy). If we write  $u, v$  and  $\Lambda$  in terms of *complex* matrices, we are dealing with  $2m \times 2m$  matrices, whereas in terms of *quaternion* matrices, they are  $m \times m$ . The number of *distinct* eigenvalues is  $m$ . In this case eq. (3.6) and (3.7) are still valid, but the matrix elements are now quaternions. Following Takane, in the even-channel case we then set  $N = 2m$  and in the odd-channel case  $N = 2m + 1$ , so that the matrix  $M$  in the symplectic ensemble becomes a matrix with  $N \times N$  complex elements.

It is known that the parametrization (3.6) leads to a coset space structure for  $N = 2m$  [13, 14]. One can show that the symmetries – in this case flux conservation, time reversal symmetry, and no spin-rotation invariance – imposed on  $M$  lead to  $M \in SO^*(4m)$  for the symplectic even-channel case, in which all the eigenvalues are paired due to Kramers degeneracy and there is no zero eigenvalue. It is easy to see that the eigenvalues  $\{\lambda_i\}$  are unchanged if one transforms the matrix  $M$  in the following way:

$$M \rightarrow M' = WUTVW^{-1} = U'TV' \quad (3.8)$$

where  $W$  for the symplectic ensemble is a unitary quaternion matrix. Thus, in the symplectic even-channel case,  $M$  belongs to the symmetric coset space  $SO^*(4m)/[SU(2m) \times U(1)]$  corresponding to the *DIII*-even Cartan class.



## 4 DMPK equation as free diffusion on a symmetric space

The DMPK equation is a differential equation describing the evolution of the transmission eigenvalues of a quantum wire with increasing length of the wire. Its solution gives access to the distribution of transmission eigenvalues and therefore to the conductance through equation (3.4).

In the random matrix approach to quantum wires, as a consequence of the description of random (transfer) matrix ensembles in terms of symmetric spaces, the DMPK equation of a quantum wire can be expressed in terms of the radial part of the Laplace–Beltrami operator on the appropriate symmetric space. The Laplace–Beltrami operator (denoted  $\Delta_B$ ) is simply the operator of free diffusion on a given symmetric space, and corresponds to the Laplacian in  $\mathbf{R}^3$ . It is defined as the lowest order Casimir operator of the algebra, expressed in local coordinates as a differential operator. We denote its radial part by  $\Delta'_B$ . As an example, the Laplace–Beltrami operator on the symmetric space  $SO(3)/SO(2)$  (whose points are in one-to-one correspondence with the two-sphere) is given, in radial coordinates, by  $\Delta_B = \partial_\theta^2 + \cot\theta \partial_\theta + \sin^{-2}\theta \partial_\phi^2$  (we have set the radius of the sphere  $r \equiv 1$ ), and its radial part is  $\Delta'_B = \partial_\theta^2 + \cot\theta \partial_\theta$ .

It is a completely general result, discussed for example in [15, 10], that the DMPK equation for the transmission eigenvalues can be written as

$$\frac{\partial P(\{x_i\}, s)}{\partial s} = (2\gamma)^{-1} B P(\{x_i\}, s) \quad (4.1)$$

where  $\gamma$  is a constant and  $\{x_i\}$  are radial coordinates on the symmetric space. The operator

$$B = \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} J(\{x_i\}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} J^{-1}(\{x_i\}) \quad (4.2)$$

is related to the radial part of the Laplace–Beltrami operator  $\Delta'_B$  on the symmetric space through

$$\Delta'_B = J^{-1} B J \quad (4.3)$$

and  $J(\{x_i\})$  is the Jacobian for the transformation from the space of random matrices to

the space of eigenvalues (radial coordinates), cf. section 2. The constant  $\gamma$  is in the most general case given by (cf. the expression in reference [14] where  $\theta = 0$ )

$$\gamma = \frac{2(1 + \eta + \beta(m - 1) + \theta/2)}{1 + \eta} \quad (4.4)$$

where  $\eta \equiv m_l$ ,  $\beta \equiv m_o$ ,  $\theta \equiv m_s$  and  $m_l$ ,  $m_o$ ,  $m_s$  denote the multiplicities of the long, ordinary, and short (restricted) roots of the symmetric space and  $\beta$  is Dyson's index. This expression was obtained simply by matching  $\gamma$  to the explicitly known cases. In eq. (4.1) the dimensionless variable  $s$  is defined by  $s = L/l$ , where  $L$  is the length of the mesoscopic wire and  $l$  is the mean free path of the scattered electrons.

## 5 Determination of the symmetric space

In this section we will determine the symmetric space to which the transfer matrix of the symplectic odd-channel carbon nanotube belongs, using two different methods.

### 5.1 Determination using the Jacobian

The DMPK equation for the symplectic ensemble with an odd number  $N = 2m + 1$  of conducting channels was derived in [8] and found to be given by

$$\frac{\partial P(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m, s)}{\partial s} = \frac{1}{N - 1} \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} \left( \lambda_i (1 + \lambda_i) \tilde{J} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} \frac{P(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m, s)}{\tilde{J}} \right) \quad (5.1)$$

where we believe there is a typing mistake in a sign in the formula given in [8]. Note that there are  $m$  pairs of distinct non-zero eigenvalues and one zero eigenvalue in this case. For an odd number of conducting channels,  $\tilde{J}$  is given by

$$\tilde{J}_o(\{\lambda_i\}) = \prod_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^2 \prod_{j>k}^m (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)^4 \quad (5.2)$$

In [9], the corresponding function was given for the even-channel case:

$$\tilde{J}_e(\{\lambda_i\}) = \prod_{j>k}^m (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)^4 \quad (5.3)$$

The coordinates  $\{\lambda_i\}$  are not the radial coordinates on the symmetric space. By performing the variable substitution

$$\lambda_i = \sinh^2 x_i \quad (5.4)$$

in the *integration measure*<sup>5</sup>

$$\tilde{J}_o(\{\lambda_i\}) \prod_i d\lambda_i \rightarrow J_o(\{x_i\}) \prod_i dx_i \quad (5.5)$$

and using identities for the hyperbolic functions, we obtain the Jacobian on the symmetric space, expressed in the radial coordinates  $\{x_i\}$ :

$$J_o(\{x_i\}) = \prod_{i=1}^m \sinh^4 x_i \prod_{j>k}^m \sinh^4(x_j - x_k) \sinh^4(x_j + x_k) \prod_{l=1}^m \sinh(2x_l) \quad (5.6)$$

Using now the general result for the Jacobian of the transformation to radial coordinates on a symmetric space, discussed in detail in [10],

$$\begin{aligned} J^{(0)}(x) &= \prod_{\alpha \in R^+} (x^\alpha)^{m_\alpha} \\ J^{(-)}(x) &= \prod_{\alpha \in R^+} (\sinh(x^\alpha))^{m_\alpha} \\ J^{(+)}(x) &= \prod_{\alpha \in R^+} (\sin(x^\alpha))^{m_\alpha} \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

where  $0, -, +$  denote the curvature of the space,  $R^+$  denotes the set of positive restricted roots,  $x^\alpha$  denotes the projection of  $x$  on the root  $\alpha$ , and  $m_\alpha$  its multiplicity, we conclude that eq. (5.6) describes the Jacobian on a symmetric space with negative curvature and

---

<sup>5</sup>It is important to note that  $\tilde{J}_o(\{\lambda_i\}) \prod_i d\lambda_i$  is the *integration measure* on the symmetric space expressed in the “wrong” coordinates. Simply doing the substitution (5.4) in  $\tilde{J}_o(\{\lambda_i\})$ , we would miss the factor coming from the long roots. This is evident in going from eq. (4.1) to eq. (5.1), where we have to take into account also the factors of  $\frac{\partial \lambda_j}{\partial x_i}$  in the chain rule expression for  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ .

root multiplicities  $m_s = 4$ ,  $m_o = 4$ ,  $m_l = 1$  for the short, ordinary, and long roots, respectively. Comparing with Table 1 we conclude that the transfer matrix  $M$  in the odd-channel case belongs to the symmetric space  $SO^*(4m+2)/[SU(2m+1) \times U(1)]$  (Cartan class *DIII*-odd). We have inserted this ensemble in the last column of Table 1 in boldface.

A similar analysis of the Jacobian for the even-channel case using eq. (5.3) results in the coset space  $SO^*(4m)/[SU(2m) \times U(1)]$  (*DIII*-even), which agrees with the known result from the parametrization (3.6) given above.

## 5.2 Determination using a parametrization of $M$

The symmetric coset space of  $M$  in the odd-channel case can also be identified using the parametrization

$$M_o = \begin{pmatrix} e^\theta & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\theta^*} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (1 + \eta\eta^\dagger)^{\frac{1}{2}} & \eta \\ \eta^\dagger & (1 + \eta^\dagger\eta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.8)$$

given by Takane [8]. Here  $\theta = ih$  where  $h$  is an  $(2m+1) \times (2m+1)$  hermitean matrix and  $\eta$  is an  $(2m+1) \times (2m+1)$  arbitrary complex *antisymmetric* matrix.

Let us compare this with the even-channel case. In [11] it was observed that in the even-channel case, the parametrization (3.6) is equivalent to

$$M_e = \begin{pmatrix} e^\theta & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\theta^*} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (1 + \zeta\zeta^*)^{\frac{1}{2}} & \zeta \\ \zeta^* & (1 + \zeta^*\zeta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.9)$$

with  $\theta$  defined as above except that it is now a  $2m \times 2m$  matrix, and  $\zeta$  is an arbitrary  $2m \times 2m$  complex *symmetric* matrix, if one makes the identifications

$$e^\theta \equiv uv \quad \zeta \equiv v^\dagger \sqrt{\Lambda} v^* \quad (5.10)$$

Note that this agrees with the properties of  $\theta$  and  $\zeta$ , if  $\sqrt{\Lambda}$  is symmetric:

$$\sqrt{\Lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\lambda_1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \sqrt{\lambda_m} \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.11)$$

where each eigenvalue is twofold degenerate. It is easy to verify that Takane's parametrization (5.8) in the odd-channel case is equivalent to (3.6) if instead of equation (5.10) we identify

$$e^\theta \equiv uv \quad \eta \equiv v^\dagger \sqrt{\Lambda'} v^* \quad (5.12)$$

where  $u, v$  are unitary and  $\sqrt{\Lambda'}$  denotes an *antisymmetric*  $(2m+1) \times (2m+1)$  matrix of the form

$$\sqrt{\Lambda'} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\lambda_1} & & & \\ -\sqrt{\lambda_1} & 0 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & 0 & \sqrt{\lambda_m} \\ & & & -\sqrt{\lambda_m} & 0 \\ & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.13)$$

Note that *any* antisymmetric matrix  $\eta$  can be written in the form expressed in equations (5.12,5.13). Therefore we can use the same argument as in the even-channel case (eq. (3.8)) to derive the coset structure  $SO^*(4m+2)/[SU(2m+1) \times U(1)]$  of the ensemble of transfer matrices in the odd-channel case. The restricted root system corresponding to this symmetric space is of the type  $BC_m$ . This will be used in the following section, where we will also remind the reader of some general features of root systems.

To our knowledge this is the first known physical realisation of this ensemble, which in the notation of table 1 we denote  $T_{4,1,4}^-$ . Thus we have now filled out the previously empty space in Table 1 corresponding to this physical realisation. As was noted also in [10], the empty spaces in this table are not really “empty”, but so far no application of them known to us has been discussed in the literature.

## 6 Conductance from symmetric spaces

Having identified the symmetric space to which the transfer matrix  $M$  belongs, we can now solve the DMPK equation using the technique of zonal spherical functions [10]. In reference [15] the exact procedure for solving the DMPK equation using the method of zonal spherical functions was described in some detail. Here we will briefly outline the steps, but the full details of the computation can be found in the Appendix. A similar computation in the exactly solvable  $\beta = 2$  case can be found in [16].

The zonal spherical functions are eigenfunctions of the radial part of the Laplace–Beltrami operator on a symmetric space:

$$\Delta'_B \phi_k(x) = \gamma_{\Delta'_B}(k) \phi_k(x) \quad (6.1)$$

(where for brevity we have set  $x = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ ,  $k = \{k_1, \dots, k_m\}$  and  $\gamma_{\Delta'_B}(k)$  are known eigenvalues that may be functions of the roots, see for example [17] or [10]). By using equation (4.1) and the mapping  $\Delta'_B = J^{-1}BJ$  where  $B$  is the DMPK operator given in eq. (4.2), we see that if  $\phi_k(x)$  is a zonal spherical function corresponding to the radial part of the Laplace–Beltrami operator  $\Delta'_B$  on a given symmetric space, then  $J(x)\phi_k(x)$  is an eigenfunction of  $B/(2\gamma)$  corresponding to the same symmetric space (and with the same eigenvalue multiplied by  $1/(2\gamma)$ ).

The functions  $\phi_k(x)$  have been studied by Harish–Chandra [18]. They are related to irreducible representation functions of groups and have a deep group theoretical significance [10, 18]. They form a complete basis in the space of square-integrable functions on the symmetric manifold, and can be used to express the analog of a Fourier transform on the symmetric space. Accordingly, the eigenvalue density we are seeking can be written in the form

$$P(x, s) = J(x)f(x) = J(x) \int \tilde{f}(k) \phi_k(x) \frac{dk}{|c(k)|^2} \quad (6.2)$$

where the integral over  $k$  defines a Fourier transform on the symmetric space of the function  $\tilde{f}(k)$  describing the initial conditions in  $k$ -space for the solution  $P(x, s)$  of the DMPK equation. We choose  $\tilde{f}(k_i)$  to be a gaussian:

$$\tilde{f}(k_i) \propto e^{-\frac{k_i^2 s}{2\gamma}} \quad (6.3)$$

where  $s$  and  $\gamma$  have the same meaning as in the DMPK equation. This choice corresponds to ballistic initial conditions  $l \gg L$  [16]. The expression for  $c(k)$  is given by

$$c(k) = \prod_{\alpha \in R^+} \frac{\Gamma(i(k, \alpha)/2)}{\Gamma(m_\alpha/2 + i(k, \alpha)/2)} \quad (6.4)$$

where the product goes over the positive roots of the root lattice  $R$ ,  $\Gamma$  is the Euler gamma function,  $m_\alpha$  the multiplicity of the root  $\alpha$ , and  $(k, \alpha)$  denotes a scalar product.

The zonal spherical functions are known exactly for the ensembles of transfer matrices corresponding to Dyson index  $\beta = 2$ . For Dyson indices  $\beta = 1, 4$  only asymptotic expressions are known. We will use the expression for  $|c(k)|^2$  together with the asymptotically known large- $x$  form of  $\phi_k(x)$ , valid for all values of  $k$ :

$$\phi_k(x) \sim J^{-1/2}(x) \sum_{r \in W} c(rk) e^{i(rk, x)} \quad (6.5)$$

where  $rk$  is the vector obtained by acting on  $k$  with the element  $r$  of the Weyl group. We remind the reader that the Weyl group  $W$  is the symmetry group of the root system. It consists in the most general case of reflections and permutations of the root vectors.

We are particularly interested in the solution to the DMPK equation in the insulating regime, where the peculiar feature of the carbon nanotube – the existence of one perfectly conducting channel in the long-wire limit – has the most relevant physical effect. In order to keep our analysis as general as possible, we will discuss the solution of the DMPK equation for a generic  $BC_m$  root lattice (i.e. for a root lattice of rank  $m$  with general nonzero values for all three types of roots). As before, we use the following notation for the root multiplicities:  $m_l = \eta$ ,  $m_o = \beta$  and  $m_s = \theta$ .

Following the method outlined above, and described in full detail in Appendix A, we obtain for the probability density  $P(\{x_i\}, s)$  of the DMPK equation in the insulating regime

$$\begin{aligned} P(\{x_n\}, s) &\propto \prod_{j>i} (\sinh^2 x_j - \sinh^2 x_i)^{\beta/2} (x_j^2 - x_i^2) \\ &\times \prod_k e^{-\frac{x_k^2 \gamma}{2s}} x_k^{h(\eta)+h(\theta)} \sinh^{\eta/2}(2x_k) \sinh^{\theta/2} x_k \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

where  $h(\eta) = 0$  ( $h(\theta) = 0$ ) if no long (short) root is present in the lattice (i.e. if  $\eta = 0$

( $\theta = 0$ )), otherwise  $h(\eta) = 1$  ( $h(\theta) = 1$ ). In the present case  $h(\eta) = h(\theta) = 1$ .

After ordering the  $x_n$ 's from small to large and using the fact that in this regime  $1 \ll x_1 \ll x_2 \ll \dots \ll x_m$ , we can approximate the eigenvalue distribution as follows:

$$P(\{x_n\}, s) \propto \prod_{i=1}^m e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2s}(x_i - \bar{x}_i)^2} \quad (6.7)$$

where

$$\bar{x}_i = \frac{s}{\gamma}(\eta + \frac{\theta}{2} + \beta(i-1)) \quad (6.8)$$

In the strongly localized limit the conductance is dominated by the first transmission eigenvalue. In this case, due to the presence of the perfectly conducting channel, the first eigenvalue actually gives the leading order correction to the contribution of this channel. We obtain the leading contribution  $\delta g \equiv \frac{G-G_0}{G_0}$  from equation (3.4). Using also (3.5) and (5.4) we find:

$$\langle \ln \delta g \rangle \equiv -\frac{2L}{\xi} \approx \ln T_1 \approx -2\bar{x}_1 = -\frac{2s(\eta + \frac{\theta}{2})}{\gamma} \quad (6.9)$$

where we have defined the localization length  $\xi$  which we find to be given by the expression

$$\xi = \frac{l\gamma}{\eta + \frac{\theta}{2}} \quad (6.10)$$

The expression for  $\gamma$  was given in equation (4.4). Substituting the values of the root multiplicities in the present case ( $\eta = 1$ ,  $\beta = 4$ ,  $\theta = 4$ ) and defining (following [6])  $N = 2m + 1$  we find, in complete agreement with Takane's results,

$$\xi = \frac{2}{3}(N-1)l \quad (6.11)$$

$$-\langle \ln \delta g \rangle = \frac{3}{N-1} \left( \frac{L}{l} \right) \quad (6.12)$$

$$\text{Var}(\ln \delta g) = \frac{2}{N-1} \left( \frac{L}{l} \right) \quad (6.13)$$



where  $\text{Var } x \equiv \langle x^2 \rangle - \langle x \rangle^2$  is the variance of  $x$ . It is interesting to note that these two quantities can be combined into a universal ratio which does not depend on the microscopic details of the model (i.e., on the value of  $l$  or on the number of open channels) but only on group theoretical quantities: the root multiplicities of the appropriate symmetric space. Its general value is:

$$\frac{\text{Var}(\ln \delta g)}{\langle \ln \delta g \rangle} = \frac{2}{\eta + \theta/2} \quad (6.14)$$

This value only depends on the multiplicities of the short and long roots and could play the same role in the insulating regime as the universal conductance fluctuations play in the metallic regime (the latter depend only on  $\beta$ , the multiplicity of the ordinary roots). In all the “standard” quantum wires this ratio is always 2, because in the corresponding ensembles the root multiplicities have the values  $\eta = 1$  and  $\theta = 0$ . This makes the present carbon nanotube case particularly interesting. Since in this case  $\theta = 4$  it is the first example<sup>6</sup> of a nontrivial value of this universal ratio.

## 7 Conclusion

We have studied the electric conductance of single-layer carbon nanotubes with an odd number of conducting channels and belonging to the symplectic symmetry class, defined as the universality class of time-reversal invariant systems with strong spin-orbit scattering. The conductance of metallic carbon nanotubes can be studied within the Landauer formalism used for ordinary quantum wires. To obtain the distribution of transmission eigenvalues needed to compute the conductance in this formalism, we have employed a technique related to symmetric spaces.

After identifying the relevant symmetric coset space  $SO^*(4m+2)/[SU(2m+1) \times U(1)]$  to which the transfer matrix of the system belongs, we show how knowledge of the theory of symmetric spaces may be used to obtain a solution to the Dorokhov–Mello–Pereyra–Kumar (DMPK) equation, a differential equation describing the evolution of the transmission eigenvalues with the length of the carbon nanotube. The solution is obtained by mapping the DMPK equation onto the equation for free diffusion on the symmetric space defined by the ensemble of transfer matrices. We then find a suitable approximation to the distribution of eigenvalues in the (would-be) insulating regime, where the existence of a conducting channel even in the limit of an infinitely long nanotube in the odd-channel

---

<sup>6</sup>See [14] for another possible candidate, for which there is, however, not yet any clear-cut physical evidence.

case is known. Knowing the distribution of transmission eigenvalues, we can compute the leading contribution to the physical conductance of the carbon nanotube in terms of root multiplicities of the symmetric space. Our results can also be expressed in a form that is in complete agreement with Takane's results.

In our case we have the maximal number of different types of roots (i.e. a nonzero number of long, ordinary and short roots in the  $BC_m$  root system corresponding to the symmetric space manifold). As a consequence, we obtain the most general expression for the localization length of such a system in terms of root multiplicities (eq. (6.10)). In addition we find the expression for the universal ratio

$$\frac{\text{Var}(\ln \delta g)}{\langle \ln \delta g \rangle} = \frac{2}{\eta + \theta/2} \quad (7.15)$$

in the insulating regime, which may play a role similar to that of universal conductance fluctuations. These universal group theoretical results are new and could only be derived using our technique based on symmetric spaces.

**Acknowledgments.** This work was partially supported by the European Commission TMR programme HPRN-CT-2002-00325 (EUCLID). U.M. was supported by the Lagrange foundation.

## A Appendix

In this Appendix we give all the details of the calculation of  $P(\{x_i\}, s)$ . As we have already discussed, we can start from the expression involving the Fourier transform

$$P(x, s) = J(x)f(x) = J(x) \int \tilde{f}(k) \phi_k(x) \frac{dk}{|c(k)|^2} \quad (A.1)$$

where  $J(x)$  is the Jacobian on the symmetric space and

$$\tilde{f}(k_i) \propto e^{-\frac{k_i^2 s}{2\gamma}} \quad (A.2)$$

defines the initial condition. The function  $c(k)$  is given by

$$c(k) = \prod_{\alpha \in R^+} \frac{\Gamma(i(k, \alpha)/2)}{\Gamma(m_\alpha/2 + i(k, \alpha)/2)} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Explicitly, for a root lattice with long, ordinary and short roots with multiplicities  $m_l = 1$ ,  $m_o = 4$ ,  $m_s = 4$ ,  $c(k)$  is given by

$$c(k) = \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{\Gamma(ik_i)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + ik_i)} \prod_{j>l}^m \frac{\Gamma(i\frac{k_j-k_l}{2})}{\Gamma(2 + i\frac{k_j-k_l}{2})} \frac{\Gamma(i\frac{k_j+k_l}{2})}{\Gamma(2 + i\frac{k_j+k_l}{2})} \prod_{k=1}^m \frac{\Gamma(i\frac{k_k}{2})}{\Gamma(2 + i\frac{k_k}{2})} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

We will be interested in the insulating regime in which the variables  $\{k_i\}$  are small. In this regime we use the approximation

$$\frac{\Gamma(iy)}{\Gamma(x + iy)} \sim \frac{i}{y} \quad (y \rightarrow 0) \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Then  $c(k)$  is approximated by

$$c(k) \sim \prod_{i=1}^m k_i^{-2} \prod_{j>k}^m (k_j^2 - k_k^2)^{-1} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

(where we have left out an irrelevant proportionality constant). As was mentioned previously, the zonal spherical functions are not exactly known in this case, but we can use the large- $x$  asymptotic formula

$$\phi_k(x) \sim J^{-1/2}(x) \sum_{r \in W} c(rk) e^{i(rk, x)} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Here the sum is over all the configurations obtained by the action of the elements of the Weyl group, i.e., the symmetry group of the restricted root system. The elements of the Weyl group are reflections and permutations. Since  $c(k)$  is invariant under reflections, only the even part  $\sum_r c(rk) \cos(rk, x)$  of  $\sum_r c(rk) e^{i(rk, x)}$  survives. Under permutations,

$$\sum_{r=p} c(rk) \cos(rk, x) = \sum_{r=p} (-1)^{\sigma(p)} c(k) \cos(k_{p(j)} x_j) \sim \frac{\sum_p (-1)^{\sigma(p)} \cos(k_{p(j)} x_j)}{\prod_{i=1}^m k_i^2 \prod_{j>k}^m (k_j^2 - k_k^2)} \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Defining

$$\det_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} [C_{ij}] \equiv \sum_p (-1)^{\sigma(p)} \cos(k_{p(j)} x_j) \quad (\text{A.9})$$

$$\det_{1 \leq k, l \leq m} [\Delta_{kl}] \equiv \prod_{k>l}^m (k_k^2 - k_l^2) = \det_{1 \leq k, l \leq m} k_l^{2(k-1)} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

where  $\det \Delta$  is a Vandermonde determinant, we finally obtain from eqs. (A.1), (A.6), (A.7) and (A.8)

$$\begin{aligned} P(x, s) &\propto \sqrt{J(x)} \int \prod_{n=1}^m \left( dk_n k_n^2 e^{-\frac{k_n^2 s}{2\gamma}} \right) \det \Delta \det C \\ &= \sqrt{J(x)} \int \prod_{n=1}^m dk_n \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^m k_k^{2i} e^{-\frac{k_k^2 s}{2\gamma}} \cos(k_k x_j) \right] \\ &= \sqrt{J(x)} \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{k=1}^m \dots \sum_{k'=1}^m (-1)^{\sigma(p)} \int dk_k k_k^2 e^{-\frac{k_k^2 s}{2\gamma}} \cos(k_k x_{\alpha}) \dots \int dk_{k'} k_{k'}^{2m} e^{-\frac{k_{k'}^2 s}{2\gamma}} \cos(k_{k'} x_{\nu}) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

where we have used  $\det A \det B = \det[AB]$  and expanded the determinant according to  $\det A = \sum_{\sigma} (-1)^{\sigma(p)} A_{1\alpha} A_{2\beta} \dots A_{m\nu}$ . We now use the known result for the integral

$$\int_0^{\infty} dk k^{2n} e^{-b^2 k^2} \cos(ak) \propto e^{-\frac{a^2}{4b^2}} H_{2n} \left( \frac{a}{2b} \right) \quad (\text{A.12})$$

where  $H_{2n}$  denotes a Hermite polynomial of order  $2n$ , to obtain

$$P(x, s) \propto \sqrt{J(x)} \sum_{\sigma} (-1)^{\sigma(p)} \sum_{k=1}^m \dots \sum_{k'=1}^m e^{-\frac{x_{\alpha}^2}{2s}} H_2 \left( \frac{x_{\alpha}}{\sqrt{\frac{2s}{\gamma}}} \right) \dots e^{-\frac{x_{\nu}^2}{2s}} H_{2m} \left( \frac{x_{\nu}}{\sqrt{\frac{2s}{\gamma}}} \right) \quad (\text{A.13})$$

Setting

$$\frac{x_\alpha}{\sqrt{\frac{2s}{\gamma}}} \equiv \tilde{x}_\alpha \quad (\text{A.14})$$

for brevity, the expression

$$\sum_{\sigma} (-1)^{\sigma(p)} H_2(\tilde{x}_\alpha) \dots H_{2m}(\tilde{x}_\nu) \quad (\text{A.15})$$

is a determinant of Hermite polynomials of even order. The general form of  $H_{2n}(x)$  is

$$H_{2n}(x) = c_0(x^{2n} + c_1x^{2n-2} + \dots + c_n) \quad (\text{A.16})$$

where  $c_n \neq 0$ . To evaluate the determinant, we take out the irrelevant constants  $c_0$  in front of the Hermite polynomials from the determinant so that we have monic polynomials in the latter. By adding an appropriate multiple of the first row to each of the other rows, we can eliminate the constants  $c_n$  from each matrix element, except for the ones in the first row. Using that  $H_2(x) = 4(x^2 - \frac{1}{2})$  the determinant then takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} [H_{2i}(\tilde{x}_j)] &\propto \begin{vmatrix} \tilde{x}_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} & \tilde{x}_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} & \dots & \tilde{x}_m^2 - \frac{1}{2} \\ \tilde{x}_1^2 p_2(\tilde{x}_1) & \tilde{x}_2^2 p_2(\tilde{x}_2) & \dots & \tilde{x}_m^2 p_2(\tilde{x}_m) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \tilde{x}_1^2 p_{2(m-1)}(\tilde{x}_1) & \tilde{x}_2^2 p_{2(m-1)}(\tilde{x}_2) & \dots & \tilde{x}_m^2 p_{2(m-1)}(\tilde{x}_m) \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \tilde{x}_1^2 \dots \tilde{x}_m^2 \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ p_2(\tilde{x}_1) & p_2(\tilde{x}_2) & \dots & p_2(\tilde{x}_m) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ p_{2(m-1)}(\tilde{x}_1) & p_{2(m-1)}(\tilde{x}_2) & \dots & p_{2(m-1)}(\tilde{x}_m) \end{vmatrix} + \\ &\quad \begin{vmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & \dots & -\frac{1}{2} \\ \tilde{x}_1^2 p_2(\tilde{x}_1) & \tilde{x}_2^2 p_2(\tilde{x}_2) & \dots & \tilde{x}_m^2 p_2(\tilde{x}_m) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \tilde{x}_1^2 p_{2(m-1)}(\tilde{x}_1) & \tilde{x}_2^2 p_{2(m-1)}(\tilde{x}_2) & \dots & \tilde{x}_m^2 p_{2(m-1)}(\tilde{x}_m) \end{vmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.17})$$

where  $p_{2n}$  denotes a polynomial of degree  $2n$  and we have used general properties of determinants. Since we are interested in the insulating regime where  $1 \ll x_1 \ll x_2 \ll \dots \ll x_m$ , we can neglect the second determinant in the last line of the equation, whereas the first determinant can be re-written as a Vandermonde determinant by simply writing each line of the determinant as a linear combination of the other rows. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
P(\{x_i\}, s) &\propto \sqrt{J(x)} \prod_{i=1}^m e^{-\frac{x_i^2 \gamma}{2s}} \det_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \left[ H_{2i} \left( \frac{x_j}{\sqrt{\frac{2s}{\gamma}}} \right) \right] \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^m \sinh^{\eta/2}(2x_i) \prod_{j>k}^m \sinh^{\beta/2}(x_j - x_k) \sinh^{\beta/2}(x_j + x_k) \prod_{l=1}^m \sinh^{\theta/2} x_l e^{-\frac{x_l^2 \gamma}{2s}} \prod_{p>q}^m (x_p^2 - x_q^2) \prod_{r=1}^m x_r^2
\end{aligned} \tag{A.18}$$

In the last line we have used (5.6) with  $m_l$ ,  $m_o$ ,  $m_s$  instead of the explicit root multiplicities. After using the identity for hyperbolic functions

$$\sinh(x_j - x_k) \sinh(x_j + x_k) = \sinh^2 x_j - \sinh^2 x_k \tag{A.19}$$

(A.18) becomes the expression reported in eq. (6.6).

## References

- [1] Sasaki K and Kawazoe Y, Characteristic behavior of toroidal carbon nanotubes: kinematics of persistent currents, 2004 *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **112** 369 [cond-mat/0307339]
- [2] Dyson F J, Statistical theory of the energy levels of complex systems. I, 1962 *J. Math. Phys.* **3** 140
- [3] Ando T and Suzuura H, Presence of perfectly conducting channel in metallic carbon nanotubes, 2002 *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **71** 2753
- [4] Zirnbauer M R, Super Fourier analysis and localization in disordered wires, 1992 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69** 1584
- [5] Mirlin A D, Müller-Groeling A and Zirnbauer M R, Conductance fluctuations of disordered wires: Fourier analysis on supersymmetric spaces, 1994 *Ann. Phys.* **236** 325
- [6] Takane Y, Quantum electron transport in disordered wires with symplectic symmetry, 2004 *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **73** 1430 [cond-mat/0411462]
- [7] Takane Y, Conductance of disordered wires with symplectic symmetry: comparison between odd- and even-channel cases, 2004 *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **73** 2366 [cond-mat/0411465]
- [8] Takane Y, DMPK equation for transmission eigenvalues in metallic carbon nanotubes, 2004 *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **73** 9 [cond-mat/0411595]
- [9] Sakai H and Takane Y, Conductance and its variance of disordered wires with symplectic symmetry in the metallic regime, 2005 *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **74** 1521 [cond-mat/0412603]
- [10] Caselle M and Magnea U, Random matrix theory and symmetric spaces, 2004 *Phys. Rep.* **394** 41 [cond-mat/0304363]
- [11] Mello P A and Tomsovic S, Scattering approach to quantum electronic transport, 1992 *Phys. Rev.* **B 46** 15963
- [12] Mello P A, Pereyra P and Kumar N, Macroscopic approach to multichannel disordered conductors, 1988 *Ann. Phys.* **181** 290
- [13] Hüffmann A, Disordered wires from a geometric viewpoint, 1990 *J. Phys.* **A 23** 5733
- [14] Caselle M, A new universality class describing the insulating regime of disordered wires with strong spin-orbit scattering, 1995 *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **B 10** 681 [cond-mat/9505068]

- [15] Caselle M, On the distribution of transmission eigenvalues in disordered wires, 1995 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74** 2776 [cond-mat/9410097]
- [16] Beenakker C W J and Rejaei B, Random-matrix theory of parametric correlations in the spectra of disordered metals and chaotic billiards, 1994 *Physica A* **203** 61 [cond-mat/9310068]
- [17] Olshanetsky M A and Perelomov A M, Quantum integrable systems related to Lie algebras, 1983 *Phys. Rep.* **94** 313
- [18] Harish-Chandra, Spherical functions on a semisimple Lie group I, II, 1958 *Amer. J. Math.* **80** 241, 553