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#### Abstract

W e consider stochastic processes where random ly chosen particles with positive quantities x;y (> 0 ) interact and exchange the quantities asymm etrically by the rule $x^{0}=\operatorname{cf}\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & a\end{array}\right) x+b y g, y^{0}=$ dfax + (1 b)yg ( $x \quad y$ ), where ( $0 \quad$ ) a;b (1) and c;d (> 0) are interaction param eters. $N$ on-integer power-law tails in the probability distribution function (PDF) of scaled quantities are analyzed in a sim ilar way as in inelastic $M$ axwell $m$ odels ( $\mathbb{M} M$ ). A transcendental equation to determ ine the grow th rate of the processes and the exponent $s$ of the tails is derived form ally from $m$ om ent equations in Fourier space. In the case $c=d$ or $a+b=1(a \in 0 ; 1)$, the rst-order m om ent equation adm its a closed form solution and and $s$ are calculated analytically from the transcendental equation. It becom es evident that at $c=d$, exchange rate $b$ of sm all quantities is irrelevant to power-law tails. In the case $c \not d$ and $a+b \notin 1$, a closed form solution of the rst-order m om ent equation cannot be obtained because of asym $m$ etry of interactions. H ow ever, the $m$ om ent equation for a singular term form ally form $s$ a closed solution and possibility for the presence of power-law tails is shown. Continuity of the exponent $s$ with respect to param eters $\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{c} ; \mathrm{d}$ is discussed. Then num erical sim ulations are carried out and cam pared w th the theory. G ood agreem ent is achieved for both and s.


PACS num bers: $05.40 .-\mathrm{a}, 02.50 \mathrm{Ey}, 05.20 \mathrm{D} \mathrm{d}, 89.65 . \mathrm{s}$

## I. INTRODUCTION

A mechanism of power-law em ergence has been taken a strong interest form any researchers because of its ubiquity in nature. A num ber of attem pts have been developed to explain the advent of various power-law distributions clari ed yet.

R ecently, inelastic M axw ellm odels ( $\mathbb{I M}$ M ) 运, been studied extensively and provides a new mechanism of power law $s$. In $\mathbb{I M} M$, random ly chosen particles undergo binary inelastic collisions and non-integer power-law tails appear in probability distribution function (PDF) of particle velocities. This processes are described by the Boltzm ann equation w ith a velocity independent collision rate and are analytically tractable. T he m om ent equations of $\mathbb{I M}$ M form a closed set which is able to be solved sequentially as an initial value problem. A nontrivialpower-law exponent which is the function of a dissipation param eter is determ ined from a transcendental equation. This pow er law di ers from usual critical phenom ena in one major point that the system has a power-law region rather than a ciritical point. Therefore ne-tuning of param eters is not necessary and the pow er law is easy to be observed inside the region everyw here. ben-A vraham et al. [igl] extended $\mathbb{I M} M$ to a sym $m$ etrically linear collision rule.

O $n$ the other hand, pow er law s are widely observed in social and econom ic phenom ena $\operatorname{lol}_{1}, 1$

[^0]known that wealth distributions such as capitals and incom es obey a power law in high-wealth range, which is called $P$ areto's law $\overline{9} 1$ nized that the size $S$ of cities satis es power law $1=S$ in the cum ulative distribution function, that is, $Z$ ipf's
 process is one candidate to explain the law s[1] 11d]. In econom ic phenom ena, the $M$ atthew e ect (the rich gets richer and the poor gets poorer) plays an im portant role, which results in asym $m$ etry in interactions. Ispolatov et al. ["d] have discovered that a power-law distribution w ith the exponent of unity in PDF arises in multiplicative processes of greedy exchange, where the rich alw ays gets richer. T he purpose of th is paper is to study a m odel of asym $m$ etrically $m$ ultiplicative interactions and to clarify the in uence of asym $m$ etry. N ote that the $m$ odel includes $\mathbb{I M}$ M teraction (SM I) m odel [iqul, and greedy multiplicative exchange (G M E ) m odel [2 [2] as special cases.

The paper is organized as follow s. In Sec II, we begin w ith introducing the m odel. The Fourier transform of the $m$ aster equation is perform ed. PD $F$ is assum ed to be sum of the regular term and the singular term. Then, a transcendental equation is derived from the singular term s . In Sec. ${ }^{\text {THITH}}$, the grow th rate of the processes and the power-law exponent s of tails are discussed in three cases $I, I^{0}$, and II. In case $I$ and $I^{0}$, and $s$ are computed explicitly by solving the transcendental equation. In case II, $m$ om ent equations do not form a closed set, and and s cannot be calculated analytically. T hus nu$m$ erical sim ulations are perform ed. T he good agreem ent betw een the theory and sim ulations is achieved. In the last section, we discuss our results.


F IG . 1: Integral lines in Eq. (2, $\overline{2}^{\prime}$ ).
II. TRANSCENDENTALEQUATION

Let us consider the stochastic processes that distinguishes two particles in the $m$ anner of the $m$ agnitude of quantity asymmetrically: $W$ hen a particle of positive quantity $\mathrm{x}(>0)$ interact $w$ ith a particle of quantity $y(>0)$, post-interaction quantities $x^{0}$ and $y^{0}$ are given by

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\mathrm{x}^{0} \\
\mathrm{y}^{0}
\end{array}=\quad \begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{C}(1 & \mathrm{a}) & \mathrm{db} \\
\mathrm{da} & \mathrm{~d}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \mathrm{~b}
\end{array}\right) & \mathrm{x} \\
\mathrm{y}
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{x} & \mathrm{y}) & (1)
\end{array}
$$

where $0 \quad$ a;b 1 and $c ; d>0$ are interaction param eters representing am pli cation rates and exchange rates of larger and sm aller quantities, respectively. T w o particles are selected random ly. There are tw o trivial cases (i) $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}=0$ or $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}=1$, (ii) $\mathrm{a}=0 ; \mathrm{b}=1$ or $\mathrm{a}=1 ; \mathrm{b}=0$. In the form er case, two particles experience no interactions and PDF becom es log-nom al. In the latter, one particle ends up taking all the quantity and the others having null quantities. H ereafter we om it these cases.
$T$ he norm alized distribution function $f(z ; t)$ obeys the $m$ aster equation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{@ f(z ; t)}{@ t}+f(z ; t)= & Z_{1} \quad Z_{1} \\
0 & Z_{y} d x f(x ; t) f(y ; t) \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{lll}
(z & (c(1 & a) x+d b y))
\end{array}\right.} \\
& \left(\begin{array}{lll}
(z & (d a x+d(1 & b) y))
\end{array}\right]:(2)
\end{aligned}
$$

The integration is perform ed along the solid line ilhustrated in F ig. ${ }_{1} \overline{1} 1$. . The kink at $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{x}$ stem s from asym -忛etry of the m odel. The Fourier transform $g(k ; t)=$ $\mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{dze} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ikz}} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{t}) \circ \mathrm{fE} \mathrm{q}$. $\left.\underline{\overline{2}}\right)$ is perform ed by changing variables $p=x \quad y, q=y$ and using the form ula

$$
\mathrm{Z}_{1} \mathrm{dpe}^{i k p}=(\mathrm{k})+\mathrm{ivp}: \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\mathrm{k}}}
$$

$w$ here $v: m$ eans the $C$ auchy principal value. It follow $s$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{@}{@ t} g(k ; t)+g(k ; t)=\frac{1}{2}\left[g\left(c\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & a
\end{array}\right) k ; t\right) g(d o k ; t)+g(d a k ; t) g\left(d\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & b
\end{array}\right) k ; t\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{i}{2} v p: \int_{1}^{1} \mathrm{dk}^{0} \frac{1}{\mathrm{k}^{0} \quad \operatorname{dak}} \mathrm{~g}\left(\mathrm{k}^{0} ; \mathrm{t}\right) \mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{a}+1 \quad \mathrm{~b}) \mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{k}^{0} ; \mathrm{t}\right): \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

The vp: term s in rhs. of Eq ( $\bar{\beta}_{1}$ ) come from the integration of kinked lines, and represent asym $m$ etry of the m odel. Next, $g(k ; t)$ is expanded as

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(k ; t)=1+X_{n=1}^{x^{1}} \frac{(i k)^{n}}{n!} m_{n}(t) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m_{n}(t) \quad \begin{gathered}R_{1} \\ 0\end{gathered} d z z^{n} f(z ; t)$ is the $n$-th orderm om ent. Even though the $m$ om ent equations do not form a closed set generally, they can be derived form ally as

$$
\begin{align*}
& n(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
C^{n} f\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & a
\end{array}\right)^{n}+b^{n} g+d^{n} f a^{n}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & b
\end{array}\right)^{n} g
\end{array}\right] \quad 1 \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $g^{(1)}(k ; t)$ denotes the 1 th order deirivative

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{(1)}(k ; t)=i^{i^{1}} \frac{(i k)^{n}}{n!} m_{l+n}(t): \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$ In real $x-y$ space, the pseudo eigenvalue ${ }_{n}(t)$ is expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
C^{n} f\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & a
\end{array}\right)^{n}+b^{n} g+d^{n} f a^{n}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & b
\end{array}\right)^{n} g
\end{array}\right] \quad 1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n(t)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
C^{n} f\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & a
\end{array}\right)^{n}+b^{n} g+d^{n} f a^{n}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & b
\end{array}\right)^{n} g
\end{array}\right] \quad 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f(x ; t)$ includes inform ation of all-order of $m o-$ $m$ ents, the $m$ om ent equations do not form a closed set in the presence of the integralterm sofE $q$. (i) . In $\mathbb{I M} M$ and SM I, how ever, the integral term s vanish. T herefore, $n$ is constant and the $m$ om ent equations $\left(\overline{5} 1 \bar{I}_{1}\right)$ form a closed set.

W e are interested in sim ilarity solutions of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z} \boldsymbol{;} \mathrm{t})=e^{\mathrm{t}} \quad(\mathrm{r}) ; \\
& \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{t})=\mathrm{l} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where is the grow th rate (scaling param eter) of the system, and $=z e{ }^{\mathrm{t}}$ and $=k e^{\mathrm{t}}$ are scaled variables. The scaled PDF ( ) satis es

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\frac{d()}{d}+()=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}
C(1 & a
\end{array}\right)\right)(d b)+(d a)(d(1 \quad b))\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\frac{i}{2} v p: \int_{1}^{1} \mathrm{dk}^{0} \frac{1}{0} \mathrm{da}^{0}\right)\left(\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{a}+1 \quad \text { b) } \quad{ }^{0}\right):\right. \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

H ere we assum e that the function ( ) is described by the sum of the regular and singular com ponents

$$
\begin{align*}
& ()=\text { regular }()+\operatorname{singular}() ; \quad(11)  \tag{11}\\
& \text { regular }()=1+X_{n=1}^{X^{n}} \frac{(i)^{n}}{n!} n ;  \tag{12}\\
& \text { singular ( ) }=\mathrm{C} \exp \quad i \frac{\mathrm{~S}}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(\mathrm{l} \quad \text { ( } \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{j} \mathrm{j} \quad \text { (13) } \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $n$ is the $n$-th order $m$ om ent of ( ), $s$ a non-integer exponent, $C$ the nom alization constant, ( s) (s 0) the gam $m$ a function, and $\operatorname{sgn}(1)$ the signature of . The form of the singular com ponent Eq. (1) is given by the Fourier transform of ()$=C={ }^{1+s}$. The leading sm all- behavior of the singular com ponent singular ( ) j j re ects the tail of the scaled PDF ( ) $\quad 1={ }^{1+\mathrm{s}}$ as ! 1 . Substituting Eq. ( $1 \underline{1}$ ) into Eq. (10), we obtain relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.O()!\quad=1_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
c(1 & a+b
\end{array}\right)+d(a+1 \quad b)\right]+\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
c & d
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & a & b
\end{array}\right) A \quad 1 ; \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& O\left({ }^{s}\right)!\quad s=s=\quad f C(1 \quad a) g^{s}+\text { fdag }^{s} \quad 1 \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\frac{1}{1}{ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} d_{2}^{Z_{1}} d_{1}\left(10_{2}\right)\left(1_{1}\right)(2) \quad(0<A \quad 1) ; \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the eigenvalue $n$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& n=\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
C^{n} f\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & a
\end{array}\right)^{n}+b^{n} g+d^{n} f a^{n}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & b
\end{array}\right)^{n} g
\end{array}\right] \quad 1 \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

In the process of deriving Eq. (1-1), we use a convergence condition; ()! 0 (j j! 1 ) and the form ulae to calculate regular-singular integrals

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{vp}: \int_{1}^{1} d^{0} \frac{1}{0} \operatorname{sgn}\left({ }^{0}\right) j^{0 j} \quad \text { regular }\left((+) \quad{ }^{0}\right)=\cot \left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \quad j \quad j^{f} \text { regular }(\quad):
\end{aligned}
$$

In the caluculation of deriving m om ent equations, singular-singular integrals are able to be neglected because the higer-order singular term $s$ in Fourier space are less singular in real space. That is, it is possible to linearize the $m$ om ent equations for the singular term, which is the key ingredient of derivation. $N$ otioe that $m$ om ent equations ( $1 \mathbf{1}^{-1}$ ) and ( ${ }^{(1-15}$ ) for regular parts can be directly derived from the $m$ aster equation (2, $\mathbf{L}_{1}$ ) w ithout recourse to Fourier transform .

The transcendental equation of the $m$ odel w th asym m etrically multiplicative interactions is form ally $\mathrm{ob}-$ tained from Eqs. (194) and (1-1 $\left.{ }^{-1}\right)$ by elim inating

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{1} s=f c(1 \quad a) g^{s}+\text { fdag }^{s} \quad 1 \quad(s>1): \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hen Eq. (20 $\mathbf{n}^{-1}$ ) has a non-trivial solution in 0 s 1 , the singular com ponent dom inates over the regular one because of the divergence of all the $m$ om ents. Therefore the param eter is not determ ined by Eq. (14). A s originally reported by ben-A vraham et al. $\left[\frac{1}{1}\right]$ instead, takes the $m$ inim um value, which is realized when the line $s$ com es into contact $w$ ith the curve $f c\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & a\end{array}\right) g^{s}+$ fdag $^{s} \quad 1$. C onsequently, the transcendental equation in the case 0 s 1 becom es

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{fc}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & a
\end{array}\right) g^{\mathrm{s}} \ln \frac{\mathrm{e}}{\left.\frac{\mathrm{fc}(1}{} \quad \mathrm{a}\right) \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{s}}} & +\mathrm{fdag}^{\mathrm{s}} \ln \frac{\mathrm{e}}{\mathrm{fdag}^{\mathrm{s}}} \\
& =1 \quad\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & \mathrm{~s} & 1
\end{array}\right): \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

## III. GROW TH RATEAND EXPONENT

In this section, the grow th rate of the processes and the exponent s of pow er-law tails are investigated. T hree cases $I$, $I^{0}$, and II are discussed separately in accordance w ith the type of the transcendental equation. Equation (14) tells us that the rst-order $m$ om ent equation adm its a closed form solution and the rst-order eigenvalue 1 becom es constant in the case $c=d$ (I) and $a+b=1(a \in 0 ; 1)\left(I^{0}\right)$, while it does not otherw ise (II). W hen 1 is constant, and s can be calculated analytically, which are done in subsections A and B. C ase II is treated in subsection C. In this case, explicit calculation of and $s$ becom es im possible at $s>1$. W hen 0 s 1, on the contrary, the selection of the $m$ inim um grow th rate leads to the irrelevance of 1 in determ ining
and $s$. In this situation, therefore, the transcendental equation is given by Eq. (21-1) and and s are com puted analytically. First, the continuity of $s$ at $s=1$ is discussed. Then, num erical sim ulations are carried out and


FIG.2: Phase diagram of the exponent $s$ in the case $c=d$. Solid curves denote contours of s. P ow er-law tails disappear in the hatched region surrounded by dashed curves.
com pared w ith the theory.

## A. C ase I : c=d

W hen $\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{d}$, rst-order eigenvalue 1 is given by c 1. $T$ hen, the transcendentalequation reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (c 1) } \mathrm{s}=\mathrm{fc}(1 \quad \mathrm{a}) \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{s}}+\mathrm{fcag}^{\mathrm{s}} \quad 1 \quad(\mathrm{~s}>1) \text {; (22) } \\
& \mathrm{fc}_{\mathrm{l}}(1 \quad a) g^{\mathrm{s}} \ln \frac{e}{\mathrm{fc}(1 \quad a) g^{s}}+\mathrm{fcag}^{\mathrm{s}} \ln \frac{e}{\mathrm{fcag}^{\mathrm{s}}} \\
& =1 \quad\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & s & 1
\end{array}\right): \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

It should be em phasized that the transcendental equation, the grow th rate, and the exponent s are independent ofb, exchange rate of sm aller quantities. W hen am pli cation rates of larger and sm aller quantities are sam e ( $c=d$ ), only exchange of larger quantities determine sim ilarity properties of processes. A though Eqs. (22) and (23) are form ally same as those for sym $m$ etric interactions ( $c=d ; a=b$ ) [idid, the physical m eaning of the param eters is com pletely di erent. A phase diagram for $s$ is ilhustrated in F ig. $\overline{12}$. 1 . P ow er-law tails exist outside the hatched region. Inside the region, s diverges and powerlaw tails disappear. T he exponent $s$ varies continuously as a function of param eters a;c. The diagram is sym $m$ etric $w$ ith respect to the line $a=0: 5$. The two points $\mathrm{c}=1 ; \mathrm{a}=0$ and $\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{a}=1$ are singular points where the transcendental equations becom e identities. A ctually, these points correspond to greedy $m$ ultiplicative exchange ( $G M E$ ) processes and the exponent $s$ is given by
zero $\left.\overline{2} \overline{2} 0^{\prime}\right]$. In the lim it a! 0 or a ! 1, s also goes to zero at cin which suggests that the cases $a=0$ and $a=1$ for arbitrary values of $c$ belong to the sam e universality class as GME. In A ppendix, we show this analytically.

$$
\text { B. C ase } I^{0}: a+b=1(a \not 0 ; 1)
$$

At $a+b=1, \quad 1=c\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & a\end{array}\right)+d a \quad 1$ and the transcendentalequation becom es

$$
f c(1 \quad a)+d a \quad 1 g s=f c(1 \quad a) g^{s}+f^{\prime} d a g^{s} \quad 1 \quad \text { (24) }
$$

for $S_{2} \geq 1$. The equation for $0 \quad s \quad 1$ is given by Eq. (211). C ross-sectional phase diagram $s$ at xed d for $s$ are plotted in $F$ ig. . w ith and w thout pow er-law tails are described by three curves

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\frac{1}{1 \quad a} ; a=\frac{1}{d} ; c=\frac{1}{1} \frac{d a}{a}: \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore the area w thout pow er-law tails is unbounded when d 1, while it is bounded when $d>1$. A sym $m$ etry betw een $c$ and $d$ gives rise to asym $m$ etry of phase diagram s.
C. C ase II : cध $d$ and $a+b \notin 1$

In this case, the rst-orderm om ent equation (14) does not allow a closed form solution. H ow ever a transcendental equation can be derived form ally and possibility for the presence of pow er-law tails can be shown. T he results are given by Eqs. (14) and (1" ${ }^{-1}$ ). The value of A in Eq. $\left.(\underline{1}-1]_{1}\right)$ cannot be obtained analytically. Therfore and $s$ are unable to be calculated at $s>1$. W hen 0 s 1 and the selection of the $m$ inim um grow th rate takes place, all of $i$ (i 1) are irrelevant and and $s$ are determ ined from Eq. (211).

First we discuss the continuity of $s(a ; b ; c ; d)$ at $s=1$. Suppose that the power-law tail dom inates in determ ining $A$ and we set ${ }^{\sim}()=(1+) L^{1+}={ }^{2+} \quad(s=1+)$, where $\sim()$ is a nom alized power-law PDF and $L$ is a low er cuto in order to avoid the divergence of the integral. W e obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
A & =\frac{R_{1} d_{2}^{R_{1}} d_{2} d_{1}\left(1 \quad{ }_{2}\right)^{\sim}\left(1_{1}\right)^{\sim}(2)}{\left.R_{1} d{ }^{\sim}\right)}  \tag{26}\\
& =\frac{1}{1+2}!1 \quad(!0):
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=1=s!1=c(1 \quad a)+d a \quad 1: \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$



F IG . 3: P hase diagram of the exponent $s$ in the case $a+b=1$ at $d=0: 5$ (a), and $d=1: 5$ (b). Solid curves denote contours of s. P ow er-law tails disappear in the hatched region surrounded by dashed curves.

This fact indicates $s$ is continuous and satis es Eq. (1-1) identically at $s=1$.

In the general case, $0<\mathrm{A} \quad 1$ and the transcendental equation (16) has two nontrivial solutions, $s_{1}<1$ and $\mathrm{s}_{2}>1$ generally. Emst and Brito [ $[\underline{6}]$ considered the case and argued that each solution has a di erent role. $T$ hat is, $s_{1}$ is a expansion variable and $s_{2}$ controls the singularity of the tail,

$$
\begin{equation*}
()=1+X_{n=1}^{X^{1}} \frac{\left(i^{s_{1}}\right)^{n}}{n!} n+s_{2} s_{2}: \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e nd one more reason to explain why $s_{2}$ determ ines the power-law tail. In the $\lim$ it c ! d or $\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}$ ! 1 , $s_{1}!1$ and $s_{2}$ ! $s$. A ssum ption that $s$ is a continuous function of param eters $a ; b ; c ; d$ leads to the conclusion that $s_{2}$ corresponds to a nontrivial solution in the cases $I$ and $I^{0}$.

N ow we compare the theory and num erical sim ulations. The value of A is com puted num erically. T hen the grow th rate and the exponent $s_{2}$ (and $s_{1}$ ) are cal-
 pared $w$ ith those of sim ulations in $F$ igs. Eqs. (14) and (1G) hold well.
IV. SUMMARYAND DISCUSSION S

In this w ork, w e have investigated asym $m$ etrically m ultiplicative interactions (AM I) processes analytically and num erically. T he model includes greedy multiplicative exchange (G M E) m odel []$\left._{1}^{1}\right]$, inelastic $M$ axw ell model


FIG.4: G row th rates calculated through Eq. (1-14) and those by sim ulations at $c=1: 5$ and $d=0: 5$. N um ber of particles in $\operatorname{sim}$ ulations is $\mathrm{N}=10^{6}$ and num ber of interactions is $\mathrm{T}=$ 50N.
 actions (SM I) processes [8] $[\overline{1}]$ as special cases. T he relations are sum $m$ arized in Table $\frac{1}{4}$.

In the processes of deriving the transcendental equation, we encounter the fact that the rst-order mom ent


F IG . 5: D ouble logarithm ic plot of ( ) versus at $a=0: 05$ (a), and $a=0: 2(b)$. O ther param eters are given by b $=0: 9$, $c=1: 5$, and $d=0: 5$. N um ber of particles is $N=10^{6}$ and num ber of interactions is $T=50 \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{D}$ ashed and dash-dotted lines are those of the slope $s_{2}$ and $s_{1}$, which are determ ined from the transcendental equation.

TABLE I: Relation betw een A M I and other m odels.

| M odel | Interaction param eters |
| :---: | :---: |
| G M E | $C=d=1 ; a=0$ |
| IM M | $C=d=1 ; a=b$ |
| SM I | $C=d ; a=b$ |

equation does not adm it a closed form solution generally. H ow ever, it is possible to linearize singular term s . T hus we can form ally construct the transcendental equation and show the possibility for the existence of non-integer power-law tails in PDF. It becom es evident that when $c=d$, the exchange param eter $b$ of $s m$ all quantities is irrelevant to pow er-law tails. In the general case $c \notin d$ and $a+b \in 1$, the grow th rate , the exponent $s$, and PDF are determ ined consistently via Eqs. (14) and (16). $T$ he exponent $s$ tums out to be a continuous function of param eters $\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{c} ; \mathrm{d}$.
$T$ his $m$ odel is applicable to $m$ any kinds of asym $m$ etrically interacting processes such as particle system $s$ and biological phenom ena. The most im portant would be wealth distributions in econom ic system $s$, where the $M$ atthew e ect gives rise to asymmetry of interactions. $T$ hem odel is quite generalifterm s are replaced as "particle"! "agent", "quantity"! "capital" or"incom e", and "interact" ! "trade" or "deal". Power law s are w idely observed in econom ic phenom ena[ [11, "12]. Som e of them m ight be explained by this m odel.
*

## APPENDIX A: GENERAL GREEDY EXCHANGE PROCESSES

Ispolatov et al[ [id] have reported that greedy multiplicative exchange processes where $a=0$ and the rich alw ays gets richer exhibit a power-law behavior $1=z$ in PDF.T hey refered only to the case $c=d=1$, but as discussed in the case I , the tail $1=\mathrm{z}$ w ith $\mathrm{s}=0$ is also realized at $c \in 1$ and $d \in 1$. H ere we extend their approach to general greedy exchange processes $a=0 ; c \not 1 ; d \in 1$ and exam ine the condition for $f(z ; t) / 1=z$. Them aster equation is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{@ f(z ; t)}{@ t}=f(z ; t) \tag{A1}
\end{align*}
$$

In the lim it of a! 0, Eq. (AA-1 $\overline{-1})$ reduces to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{@ f(z ; t)}{@ t}= & f(z ; t) \\
& +\frac{1}{d b} \frac{z}{\frac{z}{c}} d x f(x ; t) f \frac{z \quad c x}{c(1+b)} ; t \\
& +\frac{1}{d(1 \quad b)} f \frac{z}{d\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & b)
\end{array} t \quad \frac{Z_{1}}{\frac{z}{d(1)}} d x f(x ; t):\right.}
\end{aligned}
$$

Perform ing the transform atign $(z \quad c x)=d b!x$ and using the norm alization condition $\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{dxf}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{t})=1$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{@ f(z ; t)}{@ t}=\int_{0}^{Z \frac{z}{c(1+b)}} \operatorname{Zxf}_{1}(x ; t) \quad \frac{1}{C} f \frac{z \quad d o x}{c} ; t \quad f(z ; t) \\
& f(z ; t) \quad d x f(x ; t)  \tag{A3}\\
& +\frac{1}{d\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & b
\end{array}\right)} f \frac{z}{d(1 \quad b)} ; t_{\frac{z}{c(1+b)}}^{Z_{1}} d x f(x ; t) \text { : }
\end{align*}
$$

In the $\lim$ it a ! 0 , divergent tem $s$ vanishes and the solution of Eq. (A ${ }^{-}$(3) is obtained by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z ; t)=\frac{D}{z t} ; \quad D=\frac{1}{\ln \frac{d(1 b)}{c}}: \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation ( $\binom{-1}{-1}$ ) show $s$ that the condition $d(1 \quad b)=c<1$ is necessary to ensure $f(z ; t) \quad 0$. It becom es evident that the condition that generalgreedy exchange processes has the distribution $1=z$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}(1 \quad \mathrm{~b})<\mathrm{c}: \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We nd that the condition ( $(-\bar{B})$ ) is always satis ed at $\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{d}$.

Next, we estim ate the low er and upper cuto $s z_{1}, z_{2}$ of the distribution Eq. (A_4) in order to $m$ aintain the nor$m$ alization condition ofP D F.T hem om ents are evaluated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{0} \text { (t) } \quad R_{z_{2}} d z f(z ; t) \quad \frac{D}{t} \ln \frac{z_{2}}{z_{1}}=1 \text {; } \\
& m_{1}(t) \quad R_{z_{1}} \operatorname{dzzf}(z ; t) \\
& \frac{D}{t}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
z_{2} & z_{1}
\end{array}\right)=m_{1}(0) e^{t}:
\end{aligned}
$$

U sing $z_{2} \gg z_{1}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{1} \quad \frac{m_{1}(0)}{D} \text { te }(1=D) t ; \quad z_{2} \quad \frac{m_{1}(0)}{D} \text { te }{ }^{t}: \tag{A6}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e have carried out num erical sim ulations of general greedy exchange processes. The results are shown in Fig. 'IG. The distribuitons ( ) / $1=$ is observed for several tens order of $m$ agnitude. It should be em phasized that in the case $c>1$, the tails $w$ ith $s>0$ appear asym ptotically ( ! 1).W ith increasing $c$, $s$ decreases and uctuations grow. This indicates that the tails com e from uctuation due to am pli cation of large quantities. H ow ever, detailed analysis of the tails rem ains for a future work.
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F IG . 6: D ouble logarithm ic plot of ( ) versus at $a=0: 0$, $b=0: 9$, and $d=0: 5 . \mathrm{N}$ um ber of particles is $\mathrm{N}=10^{6}$ and num ber of interactions is $T=50 \mathrm{~N}$.
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