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#### Abstract

W e show that the tethered surface m odelofH elfrich and Polyakov-K leinert undergoes a rst-order phase transition separating the sm ooth phase from the crum pled one. The $m$ odel is investigated by the canonical M onte C arlo sim ulations on spherical and xed connectivity surfaces of size up to $\mathrm{N}=15212$. T he rst-order transition is observed when $\mathrm{N}>7000$, which is larger than those in previous num ericalstudies, and a continuous transition can also be observed on sm all-sized surfaces. O ur results are, therefore, consistent w ith those obtained in previous studies on the phase structure of the m odel.


PAC S num bers: $64.60 .-\mathrm{i}, 68.60 .-\mathrm{p}, 87.16 \mathrm{D} \mathrm{g}$

## I. INTRODUCTION

C onsiderable progress has been $m$ ade in understanding the phase strmand Polyakov-K leinert we w ill abbreviate this to the HPK m ocel. Ine HPK m odel describes not ${ }^{\text {l }}$, tw o-dim ensional surfaces sw ept out by a rigid string but also biological m em bun as hum an red-biooa cells and arti cial vesicles

It is now widely accepted that the tethered model of HPK undergoes a continuous phase transition. The H am iltonian of the H P K model is given by a linear com bination of the $G$ aussian term $S_{1}$ and the bending energy term $S_{2}: S=S_{1}+\mathrm{bS}_{2}$, where b is the bending rigidity. $S_{2}$ is ordinarily de ned by using the unit now vectors for the triangles. The large-D expansion predicts that the HPK model undergoes a nite-o continuous transition between the sm ooth phase in the lim it b! 1 and the crum pled phase in the lim it b! 0. Nu$m$ erical studies perform ed so far have also focused on the tethered surface m odels of H P K and indicate that the $m$ odel exnholis the continuous transition.

On the contrary, we can also think that the model has a discontinuous transition. It w as predicted by $m$ ean eld analy ${ }^{\text {th }}$ at them odelundergoesa rst-orderphase transition In re erical simulations on xed connectivlly surfaces it w as also suggested that the phase tran is ortne nst order. TheH am iltonian of the $m$ odel ir includes the Lennard-Jones potential serving as the $G$ aln energy for the H Kmodel . The bending energy in is very sim ilar to the one for the HPK m odel. These num erical results, therefore, strongly suggest that the HPK model undergoes a discontinuous transition.

H ow ever, little attention has been given to whether a discontinuous transition is observed in the tethered surface m odel of HPK, whose H am iltonian includes the bending energy of the form $1 n_{i} \quad$, , where $n_{i}$ is the
unit norm al vector for the triangle i. We will call this form of energy as the ordinary bending energy from now on. Therefore, in order to con m that the phase transition of the HPK model is of the rst order, we need to study further the tethered surface $m$ odel de ned by the ordinary bending energy.

In th is paper, we num erically study the tethered $m$ odel on a sphere, whose $H$ am iltonian is given by $S=S_{1}+\mathrm{bS}_{2}$, where $S_{1}$ is the Gaussian energy and $S_{2}$ is the ordinary bending energy described above. This Ham iltonian has been widely accepted and investigated as a -in. A though a uid surface model de ned on dynam ically triangulated surtaces is very interesting and should be investigated further on larger surfaces, we will concentrate on the xed connectivity tethered $m$ odel in this paper.

W e w ill show the rst num erical evidence that the ordinary tethered $m$ odel undergoes a discontinuous transition on a sphere. T he gap of the bending energy is clearly seen on surfaces of N 7000, and cannot be seen on the sm aller surfaces. It $m$ ust be em phasized that the results are not contradictory to previous ones, as the continuous transition can also be observed in our sim ulations on sm aller surfaces.

## II. THEMODELAND MONTECARLO TECHN IQUE

The partition function of the $m$ odel is de ned by

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z=Y_{i=1}^{\mathrm{Y}} d X_{i} \exp [S(X)] ; \\
& S(X)=S_{1}+b S_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where b is the bending rigidity, N the total num ber of vertioes. The center of the surface is xed to rem ove the translational zero m ode. The self-avoiding property of surfaces is not assum ed in tho integrations $d X_{i}$ in $R^{3}$. $T$ he sym bols $S_{1}, S_{2}$ in Eq. denote the $G$ aussian energy and the bending energy, which were already intro-
duced in the Introduction and are de ned by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{1}={ }^{X} \quad\left(X_{i} \quad X_{j}\right)^{2} ; S_{2}=^{X} \quad\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & n_{i} & \text { 凡 }) ; ~
\end{array}\right. \\
& \text { (i;j) } \\
& \text { i;j }
\end{aligned}
$$

where ${ }^{P}{ }_{(i ; j)}$ denotes the sum overbonds $(i ; j)$, and ${ }^{P}{ }_{i ; j}$ the sum over triapabs $i ; j$ sharing a com $m$ on bond. The sym bol $n_{i}$ in Eq. denotes the unit nom al vector of the triangle i, as was introduced in the Introduction.

The canonical M onte Carlo ( M C) technique is used to update the variables $X$. The new position $X_{i}^{0}$ of the vertex i is given by $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}^{0}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{X}$, where X is chosen random ly in a sm all sphere. The radius of the sm all sphere is chosen at the start of the M C sim ulations to $m$ aintain about a 50\% acceptance rate. The new position $X_{i}^{0}$ is accepted $w$ ith the probability $M$ in $[1 ; \exp (S)]$, where $S$ is given by $S=S$ (new) $S$ (old).
$T$ he $m$ inim um bond length is not assum ed. On the contrary, the $m$ in im um area of triangle is assum ed to be $10^{6} A_{0}$, where $A_{0}$ is the $m$ ean area of triangles com puted at every 250 M onte C arlo sweeps (MCS) and is alm ost constant throughout the M C simulations. The area of alm ost all triangles generated in the M C sim ulations is larger than the low er bound $10{ }^{6} \quad \mathrm{~A}_{0}$.
$W$ e use a random num ber called $M$ ersenne $T$ w istes in the MC simulations. Two sequences of random num ber are used; one for a 3-dim ensional m ove of vertioes $X$ and the other for the $M$ etropolis accept/reject for the update of X .

The surfaces, on which the H am iltonian in Eq. de ned, are obtained by dividing the icosahedron, and hence, are uniform in the co-ordination number. By dividing every edge of the icosahedron into $L$ pieces of the sam e length, we have a triangulated surface of size $\mathrm{N}=10 \mathrm{~L}^{2}+2$. T hese surfaces are thus characterized by $\mathrm{N}_{5}=12$ and $\mathrm{N}_{6}=\mathrm{N} \quad 12$, where $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{q}}$ is the total num ber of vertioes $w$ th co-ordination num ber $q$. H ence we have surfaces in which 12 vertices are of $q_{i}=5$, and all other vertices $q_{i}=6$. H ence, the surfaces are $m$ ade uniquely in contrast to the Voronoi lattices constructed by using random num bers.

W e com m ent on the unit of physical quantities. The scale of length in the $m$ odel can be chosen arbitrarily because of the coale invariant property of the partition function in Eq. Then, by letting a be a length unit (the $m$ ean bond length for exam ple) in the $m$ odel, we can express all quantities w th unit of length by $a$, which is assum ed to be $a=1$ in the $m$ odel. $H$ ence, the unit of $S_{1}$ is $\mathrm{a}^{2}$. Let be the surface tension-coe cient, which is assum ed to be $=1, \mathrm{~S}$ in Eq. can also be written as $S=S_{1}+\mathrm{bS}_{2}$. Thus, the unil or can be written as $k T=a^{2}$, where $k$ is the Boltzm ann constant and $T$ is the tem perature. The unit ofb is then expressed by $k T$.


FIG. 1: (a) The $m$ ean square size $X^{2}$ against the bending rigidity $b$, and (b) the bending energy $S_{2}=N_{B}$ against $b$, where $N_{B}$ is the totalnum ber ofbonds. B oth $X^{2}$ and $S_{2}=N_{B}$ discontinuously change at som e interm ediate b. This discontinuity represents som e discontinuous phase transition. The unit of $X^{2}$ is $a^{2}$, where $a$ is the length unit in the model, which is chosen to be $\mathrm{a}=1$.

## III. RESULTS

We rstly show in $F$ ig a) the $m$ ean square size $X^{2}$ de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{2}=\frac{1}{N}^{X} \quad X_{i} \quad X^{2} ; \quad X=\frac{1}{N}_{i}^{X} X_{i} ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

P
where ${ }^{P}{ }_{i}$ denotes the sum over vertices i. D iscontinuous changes $\cap \mathrm{fx}{ }^{2}$ are visible at interm ediate bending rigidity b in Fig a), and suggest that there is a discontinuous transition netw een the $s m$ ooth and the crum pled phases.
$F$ igur b) show sthe bending energy $S_{2}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{B}}$ against b, where $\mathbb{N}_{B}$ is the total num ber of bonds. We nd a discontinuily in $S_{2}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{B}}$ at b where $\mathrm{X}^{2}$ discontinuously changes. This can be view ed as a signalofa discontinuous transition.

Total num ber of M CS at the transition point was 5 $10^{8}, 810^{8}$, and $810^{8}$ forsurfaces of $\mathrm{N}=7292, \mathrm{~N}=10242$, and $\mathrm{N}=15212$, respectively. A relatively sm all num ber of MCS were done at b far distant from the transition point on each surface.
$F$ igure a), (b), and (c) represent the variation ofX ${ }^{2}$ against MCS, which were obtained at $b=0: 77, \mathrm{~b}=0: 772$, and $\mathrm{b}=0.772$ on the surface of size $\mathrm{N}=7292$. W e can nd from F ig $\quad$ ) that there are at least tw o states which di er in size; one ofthem is characterized by $\mathrm{X}^{2 \prime} 30$ and the other by $X^{2}, 70$, which correspond to a crum pled and a sm ooth state respectively at the transition point of the $N=7292$ surface. $T$ he size of the surface appears to be stable, and this stability of size re ects a phase transition. Thus, we understand that the surface rem ains in the crum pled (sm ooth) phase at $b=0: 77(b=0: 773)$, and that the transition point is close to $\mathrm{b}=0: 772$ on the $\mathrm{N}=7292$ surface. Figure d), (e) and (f) are those obtained at points $b=0: 769, b=0: 77$, and $b=0: 771$ respectively on the surface of $\mathrm{N}=10242$. We can see


F IG . 2 : The variation ofX ${ }^{2}$ against M CS obtained on the $\mathrm{N}=$ 7292 surface at (a) $b=0: 77$, (b) $b=0: 772$, and (c) $b=0: 773$, those obtained on the $\mathrm{N}=10242$ surface at (d) $b=0: 769$, (e) $\mathrm{b}=0: 77$, and ( f$) \mathrm{b}=0: 771$, and those obtained on the $\mathrm{N}=15212$ surface at (g) $\mathrm{b}=0: 768$, (h) $\mathrm{b}=0: 769$, and (i) $\mathrm{b}=0: 77$.
that the transition point for the $\mathrm{N}=10242$ surface is close to $\mathrm{b}=0: 77$, where two states which di er in size can also be seen. Figure $y$ ), ( h ), and (i) are those for $\mathrm{N}=15212$ at points $\mathrm{b}=0: 168, \mathrm{~b}=0: 769$, and $\mathrm{b}=0: 77$, respectively. W e nd from the gures that the transition point for the $N=15212$ surface is close to $b=0: 768$ or $\mathrm{b}=0: 769$.

The transition point $m$ oves left in the b-axis $w$ ith the increasing $N$ as can be found in $F$ igs a) and (b). The reason for this is because of the size e ect. W e also note that two distinct peaks can be observed in the distribution (or the histogram) $h\left(X^{2}\right)$ of $X^{2}$, which are not depicted here. On the smaller surfaces of $\mathrm{N}=5672$, $\mathrm{N}=4842$, and $\mathrm{N}=3242$, two distinct peaks can also be observed in $h\left(X^{2}\right)$ at each transition point.

Figun a) is a nom alized histogram $h\left(S_{2}\right)$ of $S_{2}=N_{B}$ obtained at the transition point $\mathrm{b}=0: 772$ on the surface of size $\mathrm{N}=7292$. N - observed two clear peaks in the $h\left(S_{2}\right)$ show $n$ in $F$ ig
a). $N$ ote that two distinct states, which di er in size were observed at the point $\mathrm{b}=0: 772$ as show $n$ in $F$ ig $)$. The variation of $C$ against $M C S$ is plotted in Fig b). Figure an a) show $h\left(S_{2}\right)$ ohtained at $\mathrm{b}=0.1 T$ on the $\mathrm{N}=10242$ surrace, and F igs. e) and show those of $N=15212$ at $b=0.768$. We Iound two aistinct peaks in $h\left(S_{2}\right)$ show $n$ in $F$ igs $\qquad$
$\mathrm{h}\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}\right)$






F IG. 3: (a) The norm alized histogram $h\left(S_{2}\right)$ of $S_{2}=N_{B}$, and (b) the variation of $\mathrm{S}_{2}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{B}}$ against MCS , on the $\mathrm{N}=7292$ surface at $b=0: 772$, (c) $h\left(S_{2}\right)$ and (d) the variation of $S_{2}=N_{B}$ on the $N=10242$ surface at $b=0: 77$, (e) $h\left(S_{2}\right)$ and (f) the variation of $S_{2}=N_{B}$ on the $N=15212$ surface at $b=0: 769$. T wo distinct peaks on each $h\left(S_{2}\right)$ indicate that the model undergoes a discontinuous transition.
e), just like for $\mathrm{N}=7292$ in F ig a). W e found tw o peaks also in $h\left(S_{2}\right)$ of $N=15212$ at $\mathrm{D}=0: 769$, which was not preconted in-a gura $T$ he two peaks in $h\left(S_{2}\right)$ shown in $\mathrm{Figs} \quad$ ) and ) indicate that the $m$ odel undergoes a rst-order phase transition. M oreover, we nd from these gures that the discontinuous nature of the transition is visible only on the surfaces of size N 7292. W e obtained $\mathrm{h}\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}\right)$ on $\mathrm{N} \quad 5762$ surfaces and con m ed that there are no clear two-neaks in $h\left(S_{2}\right)$ in contrast to those show $n$ in $F$ igs

In order to obtain the $H$ ausdor dmensions $H$ sm 0 in the $s m$ ooth phase close to the transition point and H cru in the crum pled phase close to the transition point, the $m$ ean value of $X^{2}$ is obtained by averaging $X^{2}$ over a sm all region at each peak of $h\left(X^{2}\right): 28 \quad X^{2} \quad 80$ and $118 \mathrm{X}^{2} \quad 165$ at $\mathrm{b}=0: 769$ on the surface of $\mathrm{N}=15212$, $20 X^{2} \quad 55$ and $78 X^{2} \quad 110$ at $b=0: 77$ on $N=10242$, $15 X^{2} \quad 45$ and $53 X^{2} \quad 82$ at $b=0: 772$ on $N=7292$, $12 x^{2} \quad 38$ and $40 X^{2} \quad 68$ at $b=0: 773$ on $N=5762$, and $12 \mathrm{X}^{2} \quad 30$ and $35 \mathrm{X}^{2} \quad 55$ at $\mathrm{b}=0: 772$ on $\mathrm{N}=4842$

Figur a) show s log-log plots of $X^{2}$ against $N$, which are obtained by averaging $\mathrm{X}^{2}$ in the regions listed as above. E rror bars on the data are the standard devi-


F IG . 4: (a) Log-log plots of $\mathrm{X}^{2}$ against N obtained in the sm ooth phase and in the crum pled phase at the transition point of surfaces $N$ 4842. (b) Linear-log plots of $X^{2}$ against N. T he error bars on the data are the standard deviations.
ations. The straight lines are draw $n$ in the gures by tting the data $\mathrm{X}^{2}$ to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}^{2} \quad \mathrm{~N}^{2=\mathrm{H}} ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and as a consequence we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{sm} \circ}=2: 02 & 0: 14 ; \\
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{cru}}=2: 59 & 0: 57: \tag{5}
\end{array}
$$

It is rem arkable that $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{cru}}$ is less than the physicalbound $\mathrm{H}=3$, although the error is relatively large. W e note also that $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{cru}}$ in Eq. is com parable to the theoretical prediction $H=2: 39(23)$ w thin the erromich corresponds to the scaling exponent $=0: 84 \quad 0: 04 \quad$ where $=2=\mathrm{H}$. O n the contrary, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{sm}}$ 。 is alm ost idencicalto the topological dim ension 2. This indicates that the surface can be view ed as a $s m$ ooth surface in the $s m$ ooth phase.

The large error in H cru indicates that H cru is not well de ned. $\quad$ ossible that $X^{2}$ is logarithm ically divergent $\quad$ To check the logarithm ic divergence of $x^{-}$In the crum pled phase and in the sm ooth phase, we plot $X^{2}$ against $\log N$ in $F$ ig ). We im $m$ ediately nd from the gure that $X^{2}$ in the sm ooth phase does not scale according to $X^{2}=c_{0}+c_{1} \log N$, which is expected just in the lim it b! 0. It is also found that $X^{2}$ does not scale according to the logarithm ic divergence in the crum pled phase. In fact, a dim ensionless quant the residual sum of squares $R S S$, de ned by RSS = [(data tting form ula) $=$ error $]^{2}$, for $\mathrm{X}^{2}$ in the crum pled phase is $\operatorname{RSS}=-0: 403$ which is obtained by the linear-log $t$ in $F$ ig. $\quad$ ), and it is larger than $\mathrm{RSS}=0: 165$ which is obtained by the log-log t in F ig. a). Thus, the log-log $t$ is better than the linear-log for $X^{2}$ in the crum pled phase at the transition noint. $T$ his allows us to conclude that H cru in Eq. is a well-de ned value. W e must note, how ever, that only large scale sim ulations can clarify whether $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{cru}}$ has a well-de ned value and is less than the physicalbound.

In order to see the critical slow inc down tonical to phase transitions, we plot in Figs.
a)
a ane b) the
autocorrelation coe cient A ( $\mathrm{X}^{2}$ ) of $\mathrm{X}^{2}$ de ned by

$$
\begin{align*}
& A\left(X^{2}\right)=\frac{P{ }_{i} X_{P}^{2}(i) X^{2}(i+1)}{\left[{ }_{i} X^{2}(i)\right]^{2}} ;  \tag{6}\\
& \text { i+ } 1=i+n \text { 500; } n=1 ; 2 ; \quad \text { : }
\end{align*}
$$

The horizontalaxes in the gure represent 500 n ( $\mathrm{n}=$


F IG . 5: A $\left(X^{2}\right)$ obtained at the sm ooth phase, the crum pled phase, and the transition point on surfaces of (a)N $=10242$ and (b) $N=15212$.

1;2; ) M CS, which are a sam pling-sw eep between the sam ples $X^{2}(i)$ and $X^{2}(i+1)$. The critical slow ing dow $n$ is clearly seen in the gure. $T$ he reason for th is is because the volum e of phase space ( $R^{3}$ ) of $X$ at the transition point becom es larger than those at the crum pled phase and at the sm ooth phase. $W$ e nd also an expected behavior for $A\left(X^{2}\right)$ such that $A\left(X^{2}\right)$ depends on $N$ and $A\left(X^{2}\right)$ becom es larger with increasing $N$, which is not plotted in the gure.

The speci cheat $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}_{2}}$ de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}_{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{b}^{2}}{\mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{~h}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{2} \quad \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{i}\right)^{2} i \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

can re ect the phase transition. Figur a) show $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}_{2}}$ which were obtained on surfaces of size $\mathrm{N}=15212, \mathrm{~N}=$ 10242, and $N=2562$ respectively. Sharp peaks of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}_{2}}$ in Fig . a) indicate a discontinuous transition. The peaks are located at $\mathrm{b}=0: 768, \mathrm{~b}=0: 77, \mathrm{~b}=0: 776$ on surfaces of size $\mathrm{N}=15212, \mathrm{~N}=10242$, and $\mathrm{N}=2562$ respectively. The curve of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}_{2}}$ of $\mathrm{N}=2562$ is relatively sm ooth, how ever, it is not so sm ooth for $\mathrm{N}=15212$ or $\mathrm{N}=10242$. T hese irregular behaviors for $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}_{2}}$ can be seen m ore or less equally for $\mathrm{N}=7292, \mathrm{~N}=5762$ or $\mathrm{N}=4842$. O n these surfaces, we can see tw o peaks in the histogram $\mathrm{sh}\left(\mathrm{X}^{2}\right)$. Thus, we understand that it is very hard to obtain $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}_{2}}$ sm oothly from such surfaces whose size chancoc discontinuously.

Figure () is a log-log plot of the peak value $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}_{2}}^{\mathrm{m}}$ ax against iv inchuding the results obtained on surfaces of $\mathrm{N}=3242, \mathrm{~N}=2562, \mathrm{~N}=1442$, and $\mathrm{N}=812$. T hestraight lines were draw $n$ by tting the largest four $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}_{2}}^{\mathrm{m}}$ ax and the sm allest four $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}_{2}}^{\mathrm{m}}$ ax to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}_{2}} \mathrm{~N} ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG.6: (a) The speci $c$ heat $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}_{2}}$ against the bending rigidity b , and (b) the peak values $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}_{2}}^{\mathrm{m}}$ ax against the num ber of vertices $N$ in a log-log scale. The error bars on the data are the statisticalerrors. T he straight lines in (b) are draw $n$ by tting the largest four and the sm allest four $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}_{2}}^{\mathrm{m}}$ ax to Eq . $\quad$ The units of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}_{2}}$ and b are $(\mathrm{kT})^{2}$ and kT respectively.
where is a critical exponent of the transition. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{array}{rlrll}
1 & =0: 93 & 0: 13 ; & (\mathbb{N} & 5762) ;  \tag{9}\\
2 & =0: 45 & 0: 14 ; & (\mathbb{N} & 4842):
\end{array}
$$

${ }_{1}=0: 93$ (13) which indicates that the phase transition is ffthe rst order. On the contrary, $2=0: 45$ (14) in Eq.
im plies that the $m$ odel appears to undergo a continuous transition at N 4842. Two di erent behavions of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{S}_{2}}^{\mathrm{m}}$ ax against N show n in F ig $\quad$ ) are consistent w ith the fact that two distinct peaks in $\mathrm{h}\left(\mathrm{S}_{2}\right)$ can be observed only on lamer ( $\mathbb{N}$ 7292) surfaces.

Figure a) is a snapshot of the $N=15212$ sirface in the crumpled phase at $b=0: 769$, and $F$ ig. $\quad$ ) is the one in the sm ooth phase at the sam eb. T nemoan squam size is about $X^{2}=54$ and $X^{2}=138$ in $F$ igs and h, respectively. The sections for the surfacoc in Fig's a) an ( ) are depicted in Figs b) anc i) respectively. Surraces are rough in short scales even in the sm ooth phase shown in Figs b), whereas they are sm ooth in the long range scale. Surfaces rough in short scales can also be seen deep in the sm ooth phase. T here appears to be only a sphericalm ono-layer surface in the sm ooth phase, and there are no apparent oblong, linear or branched polym er surfaces for N 15212 at least. On the contrary, surfaces in the crum pled phase at the transition point are not com pletely collapsed, and they appear not only crum pled but also sm ooth. Thus, we understand a reason why the H ausdor dim ension H cru in Eq. is less than the physicalbound
$F$ inally, we plot $S_{1}=N$ against b in $F$ igs a) an (b) in order to check that the equilibrium con gurationswere obtained in the M C simulations. W e see that the expected relation $S_{1}=\mathrm{N}=3(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)=(2 \mathrm{~N})^{\prime} \quad 3=2$ holds in all cases in the gure. T he deviations are very sm all. It was also con m ed that the relation $\mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{N}, 3=2$ is satis ed in all other cases, which were not presented in the gure.


F IG . 7: Snapshots of surfaces at (a) the crum pled phase and at (b) the sm ooth phase, and (c) the section of the surface in (a), and (d) the section of the surface in (b). T he snapshots were obtained at $b=0: 769$ on the surface of $N=15212$. The $m$ ean square size is about (a) $X^{2}=54$ and (b) $X^{2}=138$.

## IV . SUMMARYAND CONCLUSIONS

To conclude, we have shown that the tethered surface $m$ odel of $H$ elfrich and $P$ olyakov $K$ leinert undergoes a rst-order phase transition between the sm ooth and the crum pled phases by perform ing canonicalM C sim ulations on spherical surfaces of size up to $N=15212$. T he surfaces were constructed by dividing the icosahedron. The discrete form of the $H$ am ittonian, a linear com bination of the G aussian term and the bending energy term, is the one widely used in the num erical studies carried out so far. The rst-order transition was observed on surfaces of size N 7292. W e have checked that the transition appears as a second-order one on relatively sm all surfaces, and hence that our results are consistent with results reported in previous num erical studies. W e also con m ed that the H ausdor dimension $\mathrm{H}_{\text {sm }} \circ$ is close to the topological dim ension $\mathrm{H}=2$ in the sm ooth phase at the transition point, and that H cru rem ains nite and is less than the physicalbound $\mathrm{H}=3$ in the crum pled phase at the transition point. C onsequently, it is possible to


FIG.8: $\mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{N}$ against b obtained on surfaces of (a) $\mathrm{N}=15212$, $\mathrm{N}=10242, \mathrm{~N}=7292$, and (b) $\mathrm{N}=5762, \mathrm{~N}=4842, \mathrm{~N}=3252$.
consider that the m odel represents a sm ooth and crum pled state in real physical $m$ em branes at the crum pled phase close to the transition point. Further num erical
studies would clarify this problem. Since the rst-order nature of the transition has been con m ed in the HPK m odel, it $w$ ould be interesting to study the $m$ odelin $m$ ore detailw ith large scale sim ulations: the phase diagram in the tensionless $m$ odel, the dependence of the transition on the topology of surfaces, and the phase diagram of the uid surfaces.
The sim ulations were done by using a Pentium -4 (2.8G H z\{3.2G H z) PC w ith an IntelFortran C om piler for Linux and one for $W$ indows. The total num ber of CPU tim e was about 1350 days. Snapshots of surfaces w ere generated w th POV Ray for $W$ indow $s v 3.5$.
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