Reply to "Comment on equivalence between quantum phase transition phenomena in radiation-matter and magnetic systems"

Giuseppe Liberti* and Rosa Letizia Zaffino Dipartimento di Fisica, Università della Calabria INFN - Gruppo collegato di Cosenza, 87036 Rende (CS) Italy (Dated: November 18, 2021)

PACS numbers: 42.50.Fx - Cooperative phenomena in quantum optical systems; 05.70.Jk - Critical point phenomena; 73.43.Nq - Quantum phase transition.

In their Comment [1], Brankov, Tonchev and Zagrebnov, claim that the temperature-dependent effective Hamiltonian derived in Ref. [2] from a radiation-matter Dicke model violate a "rigorous" result that the same authors have obtained 30 years ago [3]. It is clear that Brankov, Tonchev and Zagrebnov have misunderstood the results of Ref. [2] in several ways.

1. First, the temperature-dependent effective Hamiltonian given by

$$H(\beta) = \omega \, a^{\dagger} a + \frac{\epsilon}{2} S_z - \frac{\beta \lambda^2}{2N} \coth\left(\frac{\beta \omega}{2}\right) S_x^2 \tag{1}$$

is correct for $\beta^3 \lambda^2 \omega < 1$ and $\beta \epsilon \ll 1$, i.e. only in the high-temperature limit. It is not permissable to take the limit $\beta \to \infty$ to infer critical properties and some connection with the collective one-dimensional Ising model. For $\beta \to 0$ this Hamiltonian obviously becomes

$$H = \omega \, a^{\dagger} a + \frac{\epsilon}{2} S_z - \frac{\lambda^2}{N\omega} S_x^2 \tag{2}$$

- 2. We have used the Zassenhaus formula [4] in its simplest form, through a perturbative expansion of partition function obtained by decomposing the Hamiltonian into two non-commuting hermitian operators. The results of our analysis are used to show an obvious similarity with the result of Ref. [5] but only in the high-temperature limit, keeping the lowest-order terms of the Polatsek and Becker expansion [6]. It is possible to derive higher-order approximations in a systematic manner but the increasing complexity of the expressions requires numerical calculations in order to derive the thermodynamical properties of the system.
- 3. We use the term "classical" with respect to the limit reached when $\beta\omega\ll 1$ where the results are exactly those obtained with the Wang and Hioe computational method. [7]

Finally, we want to emphasize that the equivalence of the Dicke Model and the Ising Model at equilibrium was originally discussed by R. W. Gibberd [8], who applied a Bogoliubov transformation [9] (the so-called "thermodynamically equivalent Hamiltonian" method) to the field part of the Dicke Hamiltonian.

- [1] J.G. Brankov, N.S. Tonchev and V.A. Zagrebnov, quant-ph/0506207
- [2] G. Liberti and R.L. Zaffino, Eur. Phys. J. **B** 44, 535 (2005)
- [3] J.G. Brankov, V.A. Zagrebnov and N.S. Tonchev, Theoret. and Math. Phys. 22, 13 (1975)
- [4] R.M. Wilcox, J. Math. Phys. 8, 962 (1967)
- [5] J. Reslen, L. Quiroga and N.F. Johnson, Europhys. Lett. 69, 8 (2005)
- [6] G. Polatsek and K.W. Becker, Phys. Rev. B 55, 16096 (1997)
- [7] Y.K. Wang and F.T. Hioe, Phys. Rev. A 7, 831 (1973)
- [8] R.W. Gibberd, Aust. J. Phys. 27, 241 (1974)
- [9] N.N. Bogoliubov, D.N. Zubarev and Yu.A. Tserkovnikov, Soviet Phys. Dokl. 2, 535.

^{*}Electronic address: liberti@fis.unical.it