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A bstract
It is usual to think of Focal Conic D om ains (FCD) as perfect geom etric constructions in whidh the layers are folded into D upin cyclides, about an ellipse and a hyperbola that are con jugate. This ideal picture is often far from reality. W e have investigated in detail the FCD s in several $m$ aterials which have a transition from a smectic A (SmA) to a nem atic phase. The ellipse and the hyperbola are seldom perfect, and the FCD textures also su er large transform ations (in shape or/and in nature) when approaching the transition to the nem atic phase, or appear im perfect on cooling from the nem atic phase. W e interpret these im perfections as due to the interaction of FCD 's w ith dislocations. W e analyze theoretically the general principles subtending the interaction m echanism s betw een FCD's and nite Burgers vector dislocations, nam ely the form ation of kinks on disclinations, to which dislocations are attached, and we present models relating to som e experim ental results. W hereas the principles of the interactions are very general, their realizations can di er widely in function of the boundary conditions.

PACS num bers: 61.30 Jf , 61.72 Lk
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## I. IN TRODUCTION

The discussion that follow s , about the behavior of defects in the Sm A (sm ectic A) phase is inspired by a few experim ental polarized light $m$ icroscopy observations reported in (1)] and sum $m$ arized below. These observations have since been developed [2]. They relate to a dom ain of tem perature that extends approxim ately 1 C below the $\operatorname{Sm} A \quad$ ! $N$ phase transition, som e of the $m$ ost relevant experim ents having been done $w$ th an accuracy of
$1 \mathrm{~m} K$. The very near vicinity of the transition, where phenom ena usually quali ed of transitional do happen, is not investigated here. But, even in the dom ain we have seardhed, the focal conic dom ains su er considerable visible m odi cations, whidh we attribute to their interactions w ith dislocations. It is precisely the nature of these interactions that we w ish to describe in the present article.

The defects and textures of the Sm A and N phases are reasonably well understood at $m$ esoscopic and $m$ acroscopic scales, at least for their static physical and topologicalproperties. C ontrariv ise, the role played by the $s m$ ectic defects at the phase transition has been little investigated. It is precisely in this region that the FCD's (focal conic dom ains), the only defects that are fully observable in light $m$ icroscopy, show large modi cations that, we believe, are essentially due to their interactions w ith dislocations. The $\operatorname{Sm} A$ ! N phase transition has been the ob ject ofm any investigations (for a review, see [3]). T he com pression m odulus B becom es sm aller and tends tow ards a nite value (equal to or slightly di erent from zero, see e.g., [4, 54,6]); its variation is notioeable in a large tem perature range (m ore than half a degree in the com pounds that we have investigated). N ote that in this range, $K_{1}$ (the splay modulus) stays practically constant. The question of $\overline{\mathrm{K}}$ (the saddle-splay modulus) has been little investigated yet, either theoretically or experim entally (see [7] for the nem atic phase); the results that follow have been intenpreted by assum ing that $\overline{\mathrm{K}}$ too stays practically constant. $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ (the tw ist $m$ odulus) is in nite in layered $m$ edia, tw ist being forbidden by the layer geom etry.

Let us now recall som e defect features of the Sm A phase. T hese defects are of tw o types, focal conic dom ains (which are special types of disclinations) and dislocations:

- focal conic dom ains ( $\mathrm{FCD}^{\prime}$ s) : the layers are parallel, so that there is no strain energy but only curvature energy. T he norm als to the layers envelop two focal surfaces on which the curvature is in nite (the energy diverges). T he focal surfaces are degenerate into lines
in order to $m$ in $m$ ize this large curvature energy. These lines are necessarily two confocal conics, an ellipse and a hyperbola, observable by opticalm icroscopy [8, [9, 10]. The layers are folded along D upin cyclides, surfaces that have the topology of tori. A nd indeed the sim plest geom etric case is when the ellipse E is degenerate into a circle - the confocal hyperbola H being degenerate into a straight line perpendicular to the plane of the circle and going through its centre. In this case the layers are nested tori, restricted in fact to those parts of the tori that have negative $G$ aussian curvature $G=12 . T$ he $G<0$ case is indeed the $m$ ost usual case $m$ et experim entally in generic $D$ upin cyclides, see [11, 12]. W e shall not consider in the sequel the situations where the layers are restricted to those parts that have positive $G$ aussian curvature; and as a $m$ atter of fact the $m$ ixed case is not observed. In the toric case just alhuded, the focal conic dom ain is the region of space occupied by those nested layers restricted to their $\mathrm{G}<0$ parts; it is bound by a cylinder parallel to $H$ and whose cross section is E. In the generic case, the region of space where the layers have $G<0$ is bound by two half-cylinders of revolution, that $m$ eet on the ellipse, and whose generatrices are parallel to the hyperbola asym ptotes Fig.1a. This is the picture of an ideal, complete, FCD.Fig.1b illustrates a case where $G<0$ and $G>0$ regions are visible in the sam e FCD ; it does not correspond to any situation $m$ et in practice. M odels for incomplete FCD's are shown farther ahead ( $F$ ig. 9 ( $a$ and b)). The important question how FCD 's are packed in space [9, 10] w illbe approached, but just incidentally.
$T$ he curvature energy $f_{F C D}$ of an entire, ideal, focalconic dom ain depends on $K_{1}$ and $K$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.f_{\mathrm{FCD}}=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{bulk}}+\mathrm{f}_{\text {core }}=4 \mathrm{a}\left(1 \quad e^{2}\right) \mathrm{K}\left(e^{2}\right) \mathbb{K}_{1} \ln \underline{2 b} \quad 2 \mathrm{~K}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~K}\right]+\mathrm{f}_{\text {core }} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is the sem im a jor axis of the ellipse, $b$ the sem im inor axis, e the eccentricity and $K\left(e^{2}\right)$ the complete elliptic integral of the rst species [11]. It is believed that the energy $f_{\text {strain }}$ attached to the thidkness variation of the layers is negligible com pared to $f_{\text {F C D }}$. Very little is known about the core contribution $f_{\text {core }}$, but it is usually assum ed that it scales as $a K_{1}$. Thus, at a and e constant, the FCD total energy does not vary signi cantly in the dom ain of tem perature under investigation, if our assum ptions about the tem perature variation of $K_{1}$ and $K$ tum to be true.

- screw dislocation lines and edge dislocation lines: : their line energies per unit length can be written:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{s}=\frac{1}{128} \frac{B b_{\text {disl }}^{4}}{r_{\text {c;screw }}^{2}}+f_{\text {core }} ; f_{e}=\frac{1}{2} P \overline{K_{1} B} \frac{b_{\text {disl }}^{2}}{r_{\text {c;edge }}}+f_{\text {core }} ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $b_{\text {disl }}=n d_{0}$ is the dislocation Burgens vector ( $d_{0}$ is the layer thidkness) and $r_{c}$ is the core radius. It is visible that the elastic contributions (the o-core term sin Eq. 2) decrease when $T$ gets closer to $T_{A N}$, because $B$ decreases and the core energies are expected to be approxim ately constant - in a na ve model inspired by the solid-liquid transition, these energies are of order $k_{B}\left(T_{A N} \quad T\right) \frac{r_{C}^{2}}{{ }^{2} d_{0}}$ per unit length of dislocation line, i.e. sm all, ${ }^{2} d_{0}$ being the volum e occupied by a molecule, and $r_{c}$ being perhaps of order for a screw dislocation, $d_{0}$ for an edge dislocation, i.e. practically constant. The decrease of $B$ is e ective on a large tem perature dom ain before the transition, probably larger than 1 C , see [4, 5]. The core radii scale as the correlation lengths very close to the transition, but this region is of no interest to us.

Com ments on the experim ental conditions

The FCD's are static in the lower range of the dom ain of tem perature we have investigated, they quite often display variations to their ideal shape. The transform ation of the FCD's, when approaching the transition, is visible with a sim ple optical m icroscopy set up. It appears as a rather sudden phenom enon, about half a degree below $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{AN}}$, at a tem perature $T$ that depends slightly on the boundary conditions. $W$ e shall assum $e$ that it is due to an abrupt multiplication of dislocations, which then interact with FCD 's when they are $m$ obile enough.

The spontaneous multiplication of screw dislocations close to the Sm A ! N transition is a well docum ented fact in lyotropic system s [13, 14, 15]. O ur observations relate to therm otropic compounds, two belonging to the cyanobiphenyl series, 8CB,9CB and one belonging to the cholesterylseries (CN, nonanoate) which also have a Sm A ! N transition; they incline us to believe that the phenom enon of spontaneous m ultiplication ofdislocations (screw but also edge) is very general. In these com pounds the focal conic dom ains su er considerable modi cations in the region close to the transition. In all cases, either the FCD 's disappear by shrinking before the phase transition, or the ellipse and the hyperbola
transform into disclinations in the nem atic phase; the rst situation occurs usually for sm all and m edium size FCD 's slow ly heated, the second one occurs for large FCD 's, when they are brought to the transition under faster heating. W hen cooling down from the nem atic phase, the FCD texture in 8CB, 9CB and 80CB usually does not display ideal FCD's. Instead FCD fragm ents grow, join and form dom ains, which in $m$ any cases are not ideal FCD 's. The double helical ob jects described in [16], which are splitting modes of giant screw dislocations, are obtained this way. These im perfect FCD 's can be quenched to low er tem peratures where they stabilize due to anchoring and viscosity barriers. The boundary conditions play an im portant role in the de nition of the nal texture.

There is little doubt that the transform ations of the FCD texture in $8 C B, 9 C B, C N$, when approaching the nem atic phase, as well as the form ation of im perfect FCD 's when com ing from above, are due to the interaction of the FCD's w ith free dislocations. D islocations are generally not visible by opticalm icroscopy, except when their Burgers vector is large (m icron size), which situation occurs for edge dislocations, clustering into oily streaks [8, 9,10$]$ or screw dislocations split into two $\mathrm{k}=\frac{1}{2}$ disclinations [16, 17]. W e argue here that the presence of num erous dislocations can be visualized via their distorting action on the FCD 's, which are visible.
II. GEOMETRICRULES FOR DEAL FOCALCONICDOMAINS

Essential for a better understanding of the modi cations su ered by FCD's when interacting w ith dislocations are the follow ing properties, that characterize them when they are in an ideal state.
(a) - Projected orthogonally upon a plane, along any direction, the ellipse E and the hyperbola $H$ cross at right angles, $F$ ig 2a. This is a particular case of D arboux's theorem [18], which states that if a congruence of straight lines is orthogonal to a set of parallel surfaces, the two focal surfaces 1 and 2 (that this congnuence generically envelops) are such that the planes tangent to $1_{1}$ and 2 at the contact points $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ of any line of the congruence are orthogonal. This is the reason why the pro jections of the ellipse E and the hyperbola H belonging to the sam e FCD look orthogonal. H ere the straight line is a nom al to the D upin cyclides, and indicates the average direction of the $m$ olecules.

D arboux's theorem is em pirically satis ed by a number of FCD 's, which in that sense are idealFCD 's; when it is not, (see Fig 2b) it im plies that the FCD in question is geom etrically interacting w ith other defects, as we shall discuss in the sequel.
(b)-Two neighboring ideal FCD 's whose ellipses are in the sam e plane and tangent at som e point $M$ are in contact along at least one line segm ent joining $M$ to a point $P$ at which the tw o hyperbolae intersect. This geom etry, frequently observed, is a particular realization of the law of corresponding cones [8, 9 , 10], a geom etricalproperty that rules the way FCD 's pack in space. A tilt grain boundary whose angle ofm isorientation ! is neither too sm all nor too large is usually m ade of a FCD packing such that the ellipses belong to the grain boundary, have a constant eccentricity $e=\sin \frac{!}{2}$, the asym ptotes of the hyperbolae being parallel [19], see Fig. 3.
(c)-in a solid crystal, a tilt grain boundary is usually split into dislocations. The sam e is of course possible for a tilt grain boundary in a layered m edium. A nd indeed the FCD free interstices (the packing ofellipses in the plane of the grain boundary cannot be perfect), are led w th dislocations 19]. There is therefore a relation ofequivalence betw een dislocations and focal conic dom ains [20, 21]. A s a m atter of fact, the ellipse of an isolated FCD is the term ination of a set ofdislocations whose totalBurgers vector $b_{\text {disl }}=4 a e=4 c$, as explained below .

## III. K IN K S ON D ISCLINATIONS

A. W edge and tw ist disclinations. FCD confocal con ics are disclinations.

D isclinations are typical line defects in a medium endowed with a director order param eter [22]. O ne distinguishes wedge disclinations, whose rotation vector $!$ is along the disclination line, and twist disclinations, whose rotation vector ! is orthogonal to the disclination line. As shown in [8, 9], there are necessarily dislocations attached to a line segm ent of tw ist character. Let us rem ind that the focal lines of a FCD, are, by nature, disclinations. The hyperbola is a disclination of strength $k=1$, whose rotation vector (! = 2 ! ) varies in direction (not in length) all along the hyperbola: at each point of the hyperbola it is parallel to the tangent $!t$ at this point. The layer geom etry is
axial-sym $m$ etric in the vicinity of the hyperbola. Insofar as it is a disclination, the hyperbola is of wedge character; there are no attached dislocations. The ellipse is a disclination of strength $\mathrm{k}=\frac{1}{2}$, whose rotation vector $(!=\quad$ t $)$ varies in direction but (not in length) all along the ellipse; !t is in the plane of the ellipse and tangent to the layer inside the ellipse, Fig.4. The tw ist com ponent induces the attachm ent of dislocations [12, 19, 23], see below .

## B . K inks, generic properties.

M odi cations to the tw ist/w edge character of a disclination can be achieved in the generic case by attaching/detaching new dislocations to the line. Such operationsm odify the shape of the line, by the introduction of kinks, Fig.5. For instance, in order to attach at som e point A on a wedge line $L$ a set of dislocations of total Burgers vector ${ }^{!} \mathrm{b}_{\text {dislı }}$, one has to introduce a kink $A$ ! , w th a com ponent perpendicular to $L$, (i.e. a segm ent $A!$ b having a tw ist com ponent), such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
!_{\mathrm{dis} 1}=2 \sin \frac{!}{2} \mathrm{t} \quad \mathrm{AB} ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $!t$ is an unit vector tangent to the line and $!(!=\quad!t)$ is the rotation invariant carried by the disclination; see [10, 23] and appendix for a dem onstration of Eq. (3). In practice lines of interest are of strength $k j=\frac{1}{2} ; j j=\quad$. Reciprocally, the presence of a kink reveals the presence of dislocations attached to the line. The above picture of a kink says nothing about the nature (edge or screw) of the attached dislocations, and the way they relax and disperse through space about the disclination line. The line exibility, i.e. the $m$ ain property at work when the $m$ edium is deform ed, elastically or by ow, takes its origin here, in this interplay of the disclination line with dislocations.

A kink can be in nitesim ally sm all;

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\stackrel{!}{d} b_{\text {dis } 1}=2 \sin \frac{!}{2} t \quad \stackrel{!}{d} s ; ~}_{\text {s }} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{\text {d }} \mathrm{s}$ is an in nitesim al elem ent along the line [23]. A density of in nitesim ally sm all kinks $m$ odi es the curvature of the line. A dislocation attached to an in nitesim ally sm all kink has an in nitesim ally sm allBurgers vector; a dislocation attached to a nite kink $m$ ay
have a nite Burgers vector, as we see now.

## C. $K$ inks in a $S m A$

Let us now consider in $m$ ore detail the geom etry of the attadhm ent of dislocations to a focal line in a FCD. W e rst state som e generalproperties, and then consider separately the case of the ellipse and the case of the hyperbola. A gain, the dislocations em anating from the kink have to belong to one of the tw o follow ing categories: they are either dislocations w ith in nitesim al Burgers vectors whose directions are parallel to the layer dislocations of the layer stacking, or w ith Burgens vectors $j \dot{j} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{disl}} j=n d_{0}$ perpendicular to the layer (these are the usual.Sm A quanti ed dislocations). N ote that in both cases the B urgers vectors are translation sym $m$ etry vectors; they are perfect Burgers vectors in the sense of the V olterra process. W e consider them successively.

In nitesim al Burgers vectors relate to dislocation densities that relax by the e ect of viscosity; they a ect the curvature of the layers and consequently, as alluded just above, they also a ect their thickness, since the layers have to keep in contact. W e shall not expatiate on such defects, which are not relevant to our sub ject. Just note that the theory has been developed for solids since long; see [24] for a general review. A n essential point worth em phasizing is that a continuous density of in nitesim aldislocations can be attended by a strainless, elastically relaxed, state. In our case, this w ould correspond to a state where the layers keep parallel. C ontinuous dislocation $w$ ith Burgers vectors parallel to the layers do not introduce any kind of singularity of the Sm A order param eter. Eq. 4 indicates that the related kink $\stackrel{!}{d}$ s and that $!t$ are both penpendicular to $\stackrel{!}{d b_{d i s l}}$, which condition does not specify any special direction ford!s.
$F$ in ite Burgers vectors: this case is better represented by Eq. 3, because the Burgers vector and the kink $A!\dot{B}$ are nite. $A \dot{B}$ and $!$ have both to be in a plane tangent to the local layer. To an elem entary dislocation $\dot{j} b_{d i s l} j=d_{0}$ corresponds an elem entary kink. An elem entary kink is $m$ icroscopic ( $A B=2 d_{0}$ ); one can thus possibly have a density of such elem entary kinks, rendering the line curved when observed at a m esoscopic scale. This does not exclude the possibillty that in nitesim ally sm all dislocations are attached to nite kinks. Sim ple as they look, the application of these criteria requires how ever som e care.

D . Q uanti ed Burgers vectors attached to an ellipse.

Fig. 4 is a schem atic view of the properties of an ellipse, belonging to an ideal FCD, which are in relation to its $\mathrm{k}=\frac{1}{2}$ disclination character. The layer geom etry is di erent inside and outside the ellipse. Inside, the D upin cyclide layers intersect the plane of the ellipse perpendicularly. O utside, the layers are planar and perpendicular to the asym ptotic directions, as m ore detailed below. The change of geom etry between the inside and the outside is achieved by a rotation of the layers about the local rotation vector $!=$ ! ; ! is parallel to the layers (inside and outside) and is along the intersection of the layers with the plane of the ellipse, inside.

The layer at $M$ ( $M$ being a running point on the ellipse) is indeed folded inside about the local 't direction, is singular at $M$ (it is a conical point), and extends outside along a
 to one or the other of the two asym ptotic directions of the confocal hyperbola, and thereby $m$ aking an angle about a direction parallel to the $m$ inor axis of the ellipse (see [10], chapter 10). T he ellipse plane outside the ellipse is therefore a tilt boundary ofm isorientation angle !, which can be accom m odated by edge dislocations of Burgers vectors $m u l t i p l e ~ o f ~ d_{0}$, perpendicular to the plane of the tilt boundary, i.e. the plane of the ellipse outside. There is one such dislocation $j \dot{j} \mathrm{~b}_{\text {disı }} j=2 \mathrm{~d}_{0}$ per layer counted inside the ellipse.

The sam e result can be obtained by using Eq. 4. Let us param eterize the ellipse in polar coordinates w ith the origin at the physical focus, Fig.6.

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\frac{p}{1+e \cos } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{p}=\frac{\mathrm{b}^{2}}{\mathrm{a}}$ and is the polar angle. O ne then nds that the $\mathrm{k}=\frac{1}{2}$ ellipse disclination is partially of tw ist character, w ith an attached Burgers vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{d i s 1}=2 d r ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The total Burgers vector attached to the ellipse is $\quad d_{\text {disl }}=4 c$, as indicated above. If one takes $d r=d_{0}$, $-a n$ approxim ation which $m$ akes sense (up to second order), since $d_{0}$ is so sm all com pared to the size a of the ellipse - it is visible that the points $M$ fr; $g$ and $N f r+d r ; ~+d g$ are on two parallel sm ectic layers at a distance $d_{0}$. N otice that the density of dislocations is constant if $m$ easured along the $m$ ajor axis: $\frac{d_{d i s l}}{d x}=2 e$. There are no dislocations attached to the singular circle of a toric FCD, as the eccentricity e vanishes. A n ellipse can be thought of as a circle kinked at the layer scale.
IV. KINKED FOCALCONIC DOMAINS

A . Frequent geom etries for a $k$ inked ellipse.

The kinking of the ellipse takes di erent geom etries, whether the dislocations at stake are located outside (where $b_{\text {disl }}$ is penpendicular to the plane) or inside (where $\mathrm{b}_{\text {disl }}$ is in the plane) the FCD.

O utside the FCD : the M ouse (Fig.7). If the dislocation lines attached to the ellipse disperse away outside the focal conic dom ain, i.e. in a region of space where the layers are in the plane of the ellipse; $!$, which varies in direction all along the ellipse, is in this plane. Applying Eq. 3, it appears that the kinks have to be in the plane of the ellipse. This con guration has been observed, in a situation where the kinks are so sm all and have such a high density that the kinked ellipse appears to be continuous, but its shape departs considerably from a 'perfect' ellipse; it is sm oothly distorted by the in-plane kinks: we call it a 'M ouse' (Fig.7a). Fig.7b provides a m odel for such kinks, (which alw ays go by pairs), draw $n$ here at a scale which has no relation w ith the real scale. T he photograph of F ig.7a is taken from the rim of a free standing $m$, in a region where the thickness $d$ of the $m$ is quidkly changing, and the wedge angle ! between the opposite free boundaries varies m onotonically. The anchoring conditions are hom eotropic; there is therefore a tilt boundary in the $m$ id-plane of the $m$, but $w$ th a variable $m$ isorientation angle. The $M$ ouse is in this $m$ id-plane; the extra dislocations attached to the kinks (edge dislocations in the $m$ idplane) relax the variation of ! by contributing to the m odi cation of the density $\frac{\mathrm{db}_{\text {dis }}}{\mathrm{ds}}$ of dislocations in this plane; see [2] for a m ore detailed account.

Inside the FCD : the G ira e (Fig.8). The layers rotate about ! t by an angle of ; hence they becom e perpendicular to the plane of the ellipse, inside the FCD . Therefore the dislocations that disperse aw ay inside are attached to kinks that are perpendicular to the plane of the ellipse, on average. A pair of elem entary kinks (not at scale at all in the gure), sym $m$ etric with respect to the $m$ a jor axis, can be linked by a unique dislocation ( $F$ ig 8 bb ) . O ur observations (F ig.8a) indicate the existence of another m ode ofkinking, w ith screw dislocations joining the kink (ofm acroscopic size) to the hyperbola; another kink should then exist on the hyperbola, but is not visible. $W$ e call such a departure from the perfect ellipse, distorted by o -plane kinks, a 'G ira e'. O ne can eventually im agine elem entary kinks of the sort in discussion, all of the sam e sign, having a high density on the ellipse and continuously tilting its plane. Such tilted ellipses have been observed in 8CB and 9CB [1]. The situation observed in $F$ ig .8a results from the presence of a quasiplanar pretilted anchoring. A unique direction of pretilt is in con ict w ith the presence of an entire ellipse parallel to the boundary in its close vicinity; hence opposite displacem ents of di erent parts of the ellipse along the vertical direction, to the point that one part gets o the boundary, and is virtual; see 2] for a m ore detailed account of this geom etry and other geom etries im plying di erent kink types. Fig.8c ilhustrates a double-kinked ellipse of a $G$ ira e type observed from the side in a thick ( 200 m ) 80 CB sample.
B. On the origin of deviations from D arboux's theorem

T he just alluded kinking processes can bring large deviations to D arboux's law ; reciprocally it is clear that the deviations from D arboux's law m ean a m odi cation of the shape of the idealFCD conics, i.e. the presence ofkinks (at the scale of the layers, since they are not visible w ith the opticalm icroscope) and of their attached dislocations. These dislocations necessarily disperse through the medium, outside and/or inside the FCD. In nitesim al dislocations, if alone, would result, as stated above, in an extra curvature of the layers; tw o cases arise: either the deform ed layers keep parallel, hence the layer nom al keep straight, and one gets eventually a new idealFCD, or there is a deviation to straightness of the layer norm als, and consequently a layer thidkness variation (this case falls within the province of the K roener's dislocation densities [24]), i.e. a process of high energy if not relaxed, at least in part, by nite edge dislocations. It su ces then to consider only those latter. The
edge com ponents of the attached dislocations that are dispersed inside the FCD break the parallelism of the inside layers. The congruence of the layer norm als is thus no longer a set ofstraight lines. This is another way ofexplaining the variation to $D$ arboux's theorem . T his could have been stated from the start: edge dislocation densities break D arboux's theorem, because they break the layer parallelism. But this statem ent comprehends deviations to D arboux's theorem that are $m$ ore general than those where the focal $m$ anifflds are degenerate to lines. The focalm anifolds of a congnuence of curved norm als are generically 2D surfaces, not lines. $W$ e see that the fact that these surfaces are degenerate into lines com es from the fact that the dislocations in question are attached to the original focal lines. To conclude, the occurrence of deviations to $D$ arboux's theorem for a set of focallines $m$ eans that the conics are (densely) kinked and dislocations attached to those kinks.
C. The kinked (split) hyperbola.

The shape of the layers is cylindricalabout the central zone of the hyperbola, near its apex (which is also the physical focus of the ellipse). But the layers are practically penpendicular to the hyperbola at a distance of order a to the plane of the ellipse; the wedge disclination sm oothly vanishes far from the ellipse plane. In betw een, the layers display cusps, the lesser pronounced the $m$ ore distant from the ellipse. H yperbolae are lines of easy coalescence of screw dislocations, as observed long ago [25].

The presence of kinks on the hyperbola is a delicate $m$ atter; because it is a $k=1$ wedge disclination ( $=2$ ), Eq. 3 and 4 do not apply directly. A way of solying the question is to consider that the line is m ade of two $\mathrm{k}=\frac{1}{2}$ lines, indicating that dislocations w th total Burgers vectors tw ice as large can attach to a kink of the sam e size as in the $k=\frac{1}{2}$ case.

A nother situation is worth considering. In fragm ented focal dom ains of the type represented Fig.9b (called fragm ented dom ains), the hyperbola belongs to the boundary of the dom ain. It is then no longer a $\mathrm{k}=1$ disclination but a $\mathrm{k}=\frac{1}{2}$ disclination, as if it were split all along its length. Such an object, noted sFCD for short, and already recognized by G. Friedel [g], is easily obtained in a con ned sam ple. A SFCD is bound by a segm ent of the ellipse and by a segm ent of the hyperbola, and four fragm ents of cones of revolution. T hus both segm ents are $\mathrm{k}=\frac{1}{2}$ disclination line segm ents.

A s a consequence, sFCD s are generally aligned, attached by the ends of the disclination segm ents, such attachm ents being required by the conservation of the disclination strength. But observe that a hyperbola H (resp. an ellipse E) can be attached indi erently either to another H (resp. an E) or to an E (resp. a H).

O ne can im agine that the ellipse $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ of a $\mathrm{FCD}_{1}$ is attached to $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ of a $\mathrm{FCD} \mathrm{C}_{2}$, while the hyperbola $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ of the $\mathrm{FCD}_{1}$ is attached to $\mathrm{E}_{2}$ of the FCD 2 . Such a set of line segm ents attached by their extrem ities is topologically equivalent to a double helix. This geom etry, w ith sequences of the ..HEHEH ... type, was observed long ago by C.E. W illiam s [16] at the N ! Sm transition; it is at the origin of helical giant screw dislocations.

Let us also mention the observation, also reported in [1], of a mobile kink (several m icrons long) penpendicular to the $\mathrm{k}=\frac{1}{2}$ hyperbola of a $\operatorname{SFCD}, \mathrm{m}$ oving in the direction of the physical focus, but nucleated far from it, at a distance large com pared to a. There is no doubt that dislocations, dragged along the hyperbola, are attached to this m obile kink; their Burgers vectors, that are penpendicular to the layers, are practically parallel to the asym ptotic direction of the hyperbola, at a distance from the ellipse plane, which indicates that they are of screw character. This might be an indication of a m echanism by whidh screw dislocations align along a (split) hyperbola.
D. FocalConic D om ains at the Sm ! N transition

FCD's that are im mersed in the bulk (they are of the type represented Fig .9 a , and generally gather into tilt boundaries) disappear rather suddenly about 0:5 C before the transition, by an instability m echanism which certainly im plies a sudden multiplication of dislocations. T he capture of free edge dislocations by the ellipse modi es its geom etric features e and a, Fig.10. Free dislocations of the sam e (resp. opposite) sign as the dislocations attached to the ellipse, if captured, would increase (resp. decrease) its size ( 2 a ! $2 \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{dis1}}$ ), either at e constant (then the asym ptotic directions stay constant), or not. B oundary conditions play a dom inant role in this relaxation process. N otiae that, after a possible increase in size, the ellipses eventually alw ays decrease in size when the tem perature increases, the $s m$ allest ellipses disappearing nst. For the ellipses belonging to a grain boundary, this im plies that the boundary area occupied by dislocations (the
so-called residual boundary) increases w ith tem perature. This is in agreem ent w the the m odel developed in [19], which relates the residual boundary to the $m$ aterial constants; in particular a decrease of the com pression modulus B must result in an increase of the residual area. A nother im portant issue here is the existence of the instability. B oth topics w ill be discussed in $m$ ore details in a forthcom ing publication.
V. CONCLUSIONS

This paper investigates from a theoretical point of view some features of the FCD transform ations that have been observed, in the sm ectic phase, when approaching the ne$m$ atic phase. These very spectacular phenom ena happen in a large tem perature dom ain ( $T=T_{\text {AN }} \quad T$ half a degree in $8 C B$, which is the chem ical we used for quantitative observations; the other com pounds yield qualitatively equivalent results) in which it is believed that the variations of the $m$ aterial constant B are large enough to allow signi cant variations of the dislocation line energy and the multiplication of fresh dislocations. At the sam e tim e $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ and also K (as we assum e) do not vary in com parable proportion, so that the energy of focal conic dom ains is not appreciably changed.

W e have tried to discuss the general principles at the origin of these transform ations that are due to the direct interaction betw een FCD s and nite B urgers vector dislocations. There is no doubt that in nitesim ally sm all Burgers vector dislocations are also playing a role, in particular in the phenom ena of visoous relaxation [10, 26], but this is not discussed. The general principles that we advance are geom etrical and topological in essence. The $m$ echanism s that obey these principles seem to be plenty, depending in particular on the boundary conditions and the precise FCD texture. The exam ples we have given are few, and are chosen for the sake of illustration. A description of severalm ore observed transform ations, interpreted in the sam e term $s$, w ill be given som ew here else.

The Sm A ! N transition is one of the m ost debated liquid crystal phase transitions [3, 27, 28, 29]. This is not the place to enter into the detail of this debate, inasm uch as our results, even if they stress the im portance of defect interplays in the critical region, are not
 authors w ith great accuracy (e.g. [6]).
$T$ he question which is at stake is rather why the interactions occur at tem peratures de nitively lower than $T_{\text {An }}$. $W$ e interpret this phenom enon as an instability for the multiplication of dislocations, much akin to a K osterlitz-T houless transition [30] under tem perature, but also under stress (the boundary conditions), of the sort proposed in [B1] for a com pletely di erent type of transition. $M$ ore details on the quantitative nature of the transition $w$ illbe given in a forthcom ing publication.

## VI. APPENDIX

W e envision a curved disclination line $L$, carrying a rotation vector ! constant in length and in direction. Let $P$ be a point on the cut surface bound by $L$.

W e rst assum e that ${ }^{!}$is attached to som e well-de ned point O ( $F$ ig.11). The relative displacem ent of the tw o lips of the cut surface at $P$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
!d_{P}(O)=!\quad!\quad! \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is large on the line $L$ if $P$ is taken at som e point $M$ on $L$. C onsequently in the generic case L ( $0, \quad$ ) has a very large core singularity, thus large accom panying stresses and a large core energy. O $n$ the other hand the cut surface displacem ent vanishes at $M$ if ! is attached to $L$ at $M$, but then it does not vanish at $N=M+d \stackrel{!}{M}$. T here is stilla large core singularity along L, except at M. The Volterra process, when applied in its standard form, does not provide a solution to the construction of a curved disclination w ith well relaxed stresses.

An extended conception of the Volterra process solves the problem. A ssum e that there is a copy of the rotation vector! attached to all the points of $L$, and consider the e ect of such on a point $P$ belonging to the cut surface of all these ${ }^{!}{ }^{0}$. $W$ e have, for each other $M$ belonging to $L$, another value of the relative displacem ent of the lips of the cut surface:

$$
\begin{equation*}
!d_{P}(M)=!\quad M! \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This di culty is easily solved by the introduction of a set of in nitesim al dislocations attached to the disclination line allalong ( $F$ ig.12). Let $M$ and $N=M+d \dot{M}$ be two in nitesim ally close points on L. W e have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\mathrm{P}}\left(\stackrel{!}{\mathrm{M}}+\mathrm{d}^{!} \dot{\mathrm{M}}\right) \quad \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{P}}(\stackrel{!}{\mathrm{M}})=!\quad \mathrm{d} \dot{\mathrm{M}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is independent of $P$. The quantity $d b\left(M^{\prime}\right)=!\quad d \stackrel{!}{!}$ is the in nitesim al Burgers vector of the in nitesim aldislocation attached to $L$ at point $M$ [23].

The above equations are established for a sm all angle of rotation vector $j$ ! . In the general case has to be replaced by $\frac{1}{2} \sin \frac{!t}{2}$, where $!=!t$.
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## F igure C aptions

Fig.1: a) C om plete FCD w ith negative G aussian curvature D upin cyclides, sitting inside cylinders of revolution $m$ eeting on the ellipse. T he cyclides cross the ellipse plane at right angles; their intersections w ith the ellipse and the hyperbola, when they exist, are conical points. b) D upin cyclides fragm ents with positive and negative G aussian curvature, so chosen that the ellipse is still singular but the hyperbola has no physical realization. A n opposite situation (ellipse w ith no physical realization, hyperbola still singular) is illustrated in (10].

Fig 2: In an ideal FCD the ellipse and the hyperbola project orthogonally along two conics which intersect at right angles, as observed (long side of photographs 200 m ): a) 80 C B thick ( 200 m ) sam ple annealed during about 48 hours deeply in the Sm A phase ( $7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ below the transition from the nem atic phase) betw een tw o untreated glass substrates;

D arboux's theorem obeyed; the set of the FCD 's w th parallel hyperbolae asym ptotes form a grain boundary of the type schem atically shown in Fig. 3; b) 8CB ( $0: 5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ below the transition to the nem atic phase); D anboux's theorem disobeyed as dem onstrated for the lower photograph: solid lines are tangents to the disclinations and the dashed lines are orthogonal; a very visible deviation from the D arboux's theorem is encircled on the upper photograph.

Fig.3: T ilt boundary split into FCD s. a) schem atic, adapted from [10]; b) 8C B , polarized light $m$ icroscopy observation; the tilt boundary is seen edge-on; the edge of the photograph 100 m long.

Fig.4: F , the physical focus, is the center of the (circular) intersections of the layers w ith the plane of the ellipse, inside the ellipse; ! $t$ is a unit vector along the local rotation vector; the $\mathrm{k}=\frac{1}{2}$ disclination ellipse is of m ixed (tw ist-w edge) character all along, except at the ends of the $m$ a jor axis, where it is wedge.

Fig.5: K ink on a wedge disclination line, see text.
Fig.6: The ellipse in polar coordinates. The radius of curvature of the circle centered in the focus $F$ and tangent to the apex is a $\quad c$, which is $s m$ aller than the radius of curvature $\frac{b^{2}}{a}$ of the ellipse at the apex. This circle is thus entirely inside the ellipse. A ll the circles and the arcs of circles of the gure are centered in F. They gure intersections of the sm ectic layers w ith the plane of the ellipse.

Fig.7: D ouble kinks w ith a dislocation outside the FCD ; a) M ouse pattems in 8CB, free standing $m$, rim region; the thickness decreases dow nw ards; long side of the photograph 200 m ); b) model.

Fig.8: V iew s of a double kink w ith a dislocation inside the FCD (long side ofphotographs 200 m ): a) G ira epattems in 8CB, dem onstrating that the ellipses are divided into two parts not located at the sam e level as depicted in the $m$ odel below, the screw dislocations attached to the kinks are visible; b) m odel of a double kink linked by a unique dislocation located inside the FCD; c) - a double kinked ellipse (kinks are shown by arrows) of the G ira e type observed from the side ( 80 CB in a gap of the thickness 200 m between two untreated glass substrates).

Fig.9: Incom plete FCD's. a) FCD bound by two cones of revolution meeting on the ellipse, w th apices at the term inations of the hyperbola segm ent; b) A hyperbola-split fragm ented FCD (SFCD). The sFCD is bound by i) two fragm ents of cones of revolution w ith apioes at the term inations of the hyperbola segm ent and lim ited to the ellipse segm ent,
ii) two fragm ents of cones of revolution $w$ ith apioes at the term inations of the ellipse segm ent and $\lim$ ited to the hyperbola segm ent. The director eld on the boundaries is indicated, not the cyclide intersections.

Fig.10: Edge dislocations m obile in the plane of the ellpse and attaching to it. The consecutive relaxation process m odi es the FCD according to the boundary conditions.

F ig.11: The classic V olterra process for a rotation vector! attached to $O$. At a point $P$ on the cut surface, the lips of the cut surface su er a relative displacem ent $!_{d_{P}}(O)=!\quad 0!!$

Fig.12: The extended Volterra process for a rotation vector! attached locally to each point on L . In nitesim al dislocations are attached all along the disclination line.
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