

Composite fermions from the algebraic point of view

V. Ruuska and M. Manninen

Department of Physics, NanoScience Center,
P.O. Box 35 (YFL), FIN-40014 University of Jyväskylä

(dated: 23rd March 2024)

Abstract

Composite fermion wavefunctions have been used to describe electrons in a strong magnetic field. We show that the polynomial part of these wavefunctions can be obtained by applying a normal ordered product of suitably defined annihilation and creation operators to an even power of the Vandermonde determinant, which can be considered as a kind of a non-trivial Fermi sea. In the case of the harmonic interaction we solve the system exactly in the lowest Landau level. The solution makes explicit the boson-fermion correspondence proposed recently.

PACS numbers: 71.10.Pm, 73.21.La

I. INTRODUCTION

Composite fermions provide a unified approach to fractional quantum Hall effect (FQHE)¹ and other liquid states of the two-dimensional electron gas² in a strong magnetic field. They have also been used to describe rotating states of quantum dots³. The composite fermion wavefunctions proposed by Jain^{4,5} are obtained in the following manner. First multiply a wavefunction of non-interacting electrons by the Jastrow factor

$$D^k = \prod_{i < j}^Y (z_i - z_j)^{2k}$$

and then project the product to the lowest Landau level. The ansatz was motivated by a heuristic derivation in which an even number of flux quanta were attached to the electrons and a mean-field approximation was applied to the resulting state. Numerical studies for finite systems have supported the ansatz. Recently similar numerical studies have provided additional information about quantum dots. In particular, rotating systems of a number of identical particles with repulsive interactions confined in a trap have been studied. It has been found that the systems form vortices and that the general features of the vortex formation are common to both boson and fermion systems^{6,7}.

In this article we study particles in a harmonic trap using analytical and algebraic means. The physical realization could be a two-dimensional electron gas in a strong magnetic field or a quantum dot. The mathematical model is basically the same. In particular, we shall utilize the method of spectrum generating algebras, which is reviewed in section II. Composite fermions are considered in section III. Our result is a simple expression for the composite fermion wavefunctions. The polynomial parts of these wavefunctions are obtained by applying a normal ordered product of suitably defined annihilation and creation operators to an even power of the Vandermonde determinant, which can be considered as a kind of a non-trivial Fermi sea. Finally, in section IV we give the exact solution for the harmonic interaction in the lowest Landau level. The LLL spectrum turns out to be qualitatively different from that of the complete solution, which is also known for the harmonic interaction^{8,9}. Nevertheless, using the exact eigenstates we show that there is a simple correspondence between the boson and fermion systems as anticipated by Toreblad et al.⁶.

II. SPECTRUM GENERATING ALGEBRAS

It often happens in quantum mechanics that energy eigenstates can be obtained from each other by applying suitable ladder operators. We say that K is a ladder operator if the commutator of the Hamiltonian H and K is a multiple of K , that is, $[H; K] = \epsilon K$. Then it follows for an energy eigenstate with energy E that $K\psi$ is also an energy eigenstate with energy $E + \epsilon$ since

$$H(K\psi) = K(H\psi) + [H; K]\psi = (E + \epsilon)K\psi.$$

If K_1 and K_2 are ladder operators such that $[H; K_1] = \epsilon_1 K_1$ and $[H; K_2] = \epsilon_2 K_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} [H; [K_1; K_2]] &= [[H; K_1]; K_2] + [K_1; [H; K_2]] \\ &= [\epsilon_1 K_1; K_2] + [K_1; \epsilon_2 K_2] \\ &= (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)[K_1; K_2]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the ladder operators form a Lie algebra $L(H)$. Clearly all the symmetries of the Hamiltonian, that is, the operators commuting with the Hamiltonian, belong to $L(H)$. Loosely speaking, a subalgebra g of $L(H)$ is called a spectrum generating algebra if the physical state space is an irreducible representation of g . Instead of making the definition precise, we try to illustrate the concept by the following familiar examples.

The best-known example is the harmonic oscillator, the Hamiltonian of which is

$$H = \frac{1}{2}p^2 + \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 x^2$$

where we have chosen the length and energy units to be $\sqrt{\hbar/m\omega}$ and $\hbar\omega$, respectively. Here m is the mass of the particles and ω is the angular frequency of the oscillator. In the one-dimensional case all the energy eigenstates can be generated from the unique ground state

$$\psi_0(x) = \pi^{-1/4} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}$$

using the creation operator

$$a^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(x - \frac{i}{\omega} p \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(x - \frac{d}{dx} \right).$$

The normalized eigenfunctions are

$$\psi_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} (a^\dagger)^n \psi_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n!}} \left(x - \frac{d}{dx} \right)^n e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}. \quad (1)$$

We have the commutation relations $[H; a^\dagger] = a^\dagger$ and $[H; a] = -a$ where the annihilation operator

$$a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(x + \frac{d}{dx} \right);$$

In this case the appropriate spectrum generating algebra \mathfrak{g} is the Heisenberg algebra generated by a and a^\dagger with the canonical commutation relation $[a; a^\dagger] = 1$.

This approach readily generalizes to higher dimensional oscillators; we only need one pair of creation and annihilation operators for each degree of freedom. Interestingly, we can do something slightly different in the plane. Let us use the standard notation

$$\frac{d}{dz} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right); \quad \frac{d}{d\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)$$

Because of the rotational symmetry the Hamiltonian and the angular momentum operator

$$L = -i \left(z \frac{d}{dz} - \bar{z} \frac{d}{d\bar{z}} \right) = -i \left(x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} - y \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \quad (2)$$

commute and we can find a basis of common eigenvectors, which we may express in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{m,n} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^m m! n!}} e^{\frac{1}{2} \bar{z} z} \frac{d^m}{dz^m} \frac{d^n}{d\bar{z}^n} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \bar{z} z} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^m m! n!}} \left(e^{\frac{1}{2} \bar{z} z} \frac{d}{dz} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \bar{z} z} \right)^m \left(e^{\frac{1}{2} \bar{z} z} \frac{d}{d\bar{z}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \bar{z} z} \right)^n e^{-\frac{1}{2} \bar{z} z} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{m+n}}{\sqrt{2^m m! n!}} \left(\frac{1}{2} z - \frac{d}{dz} \right)^m \left(\frac{1}{2} \bar{z} - \frac{d}{d\bar{z}} \right)^n e^{-\frac{1}{2} \bar{z} z} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Hence the generators of the spectrum generating algebra can be chosen to be

$$\begin{aligned} b^\dagger &= \frac{1}{2} z - \frac{d}{dz}; & b^\dagger &= \frac{1}{2} \bar{z} - \frac{d}{d\bar{z}}; \\ b &= \frac{1}{2} z + \frac{d}{dz}; & b &= \frac{1}{2} \bar{z} + \frac{d}{d\bar{z}} \end{aligned}$$

with the commutation relations $[b; b] = [b^\dagger; b^\dagger] = [b; b^\dagger] = [b^\dagger; b] = 0$ and $[b; b^\dagger] = [b^\dagger; b] = 1$.

This is again the Heisenberg algebra but the advantage is that the algebra is spectrum generating not only for H but also for L . Using the expression

$$H = \frac{1}{2} (bb^\dagger + b^\dagger b + bb^\dagger + b^\dagger b)$$

and equation (2) it is easy to show the necessary commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [H; b^\dagger] &= b^\dagger; & [H; b^\dagger] &= b^\dagger; & [H; b] &= -b; & [H; b] &= -b \\ [L; b^\dagger] &= -b^\dagger; & [L; b^\dagger] &= -b^\dagger; & [L; b] &= b; & [L; b] &= b \end{aligned}$$

The eigenstates as well as the action of the above generators on them are shown in Fig. 1.




Figure 1: The eigenstates of the two-dimensional harmonic oscillator in the (L, H) plane. The action of the annihilation and creation operators on the eigenstates is also shown graphically.

III. COMPOSITE FERMIONS

Composite fermions^{4,5} provide a unified approach to fractional quantum Hall effect (FQHE)¹ and other liquid states of the two-dimensional electron gas² in a strong magnetic field. They have also been used to describe rotating states of quantum dots³. Let us consider electrons confined to the plane and subjected to a magnetic field H perpendicular to it. Assume that the strong magnetic field makes the electrons effectively spinless. In the symmetric gauge

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{2}H (ye_x - xe_y)$$

the single-body Hamiltonian

$$H_s = \frac{1}{2m} \left(\tilde{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{r}} - \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \right)^2$$

takes the form $H_s = \hbar \omega_c L$ where the angular frequency of the harmonic oscillator is

$$\omega_c = \frac{eH}{2mc}.$$

Note that ω_c is half the usual cyclotron frequency but this choice complies with the conventions adopted for the harmonic oscillator. Now the common eigenstates $\psi_{m,n}$ of H and L are also the eigenstates of H_s . However, the eigenenergies change and the ground state becomes infinitely degenerate. All the states

$$\psi_{0,n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n!}} z^n e^{-\frac{1}{2} z^2}$$

forming the lowest Landau level (LLL) have zero energy. The Hilbert space of LLL states may be identified with the Bargmann space

$$H_0 = L^2_{\text{hol}}(\mathbb{C}; e^{-|z|^2} dm(z))$$

of square-integrable holomorphic functions with respect to the weight function $e^{-|z|^2}$ while the entire state space is

$$H = L^2(\mathbb{C}; e^{-|z|^2} dm(z)):$$

Here $dm(z)$ means the Lebesgue measure. Including the exponential factor into the measure reduces the calculations to polynomial calculus. This technique was already inherent in Laughlin's work¹¹, and was explained by Girvin and Jach¹².

Let P stand for the projection $H \rightarrow H_0$. Since the functions

$$\phi_n(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n!}} z^n$$

constitute an orthonormal basis of H_0 , the kernel of the projection P with respect to the weighted measure is

$$K(z; w) = \sum_n \phi_n(z) \overline{\phi_n(w)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_n \frac{1}{n!} z^n w^n = \frac{1}{2} e^{zw}:$$

The composite fermion trial wavefunctions are obtained in the following manner. First multiply a wavefunction of non-interacting electrons by the Jastrow factor

$$D^k = \prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j)^{2k}$$

and then project the product to the LLL. Here k is a positive integer. All indices will run from 1 to the number N of the particles unless otherwise stated. Our goal is to make the calculation of the composite fermion wavefunctions more explicit. The wavefunctions of the non-interacting electrons are anti-symmetrized products of the eigenfunctions $\phi_{m,n}$. The projection can be done one complex variable at a time. Consider the polynomial parts of the eigenfunctions without the normalizing factor

$$\phi'_{m,n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^m m! 2^n n!}} z^m e^{\frac{1}{2}|z|^2} = e^{\frac{1}{2}|z|^2} \frac{d^m}{dz^m} \frac{d^n}{dz^n} e^{-\frac{1}{2}|z|^2}:$$

Then $\phi_{m,n}$ are holomorphic polynomials and we have to calculate the projection $P(\phi_{m,n})$. Here ϕ is the Jastrow factor or more generally an arbitrary holomorphic polynomial considered as a function of one variable only. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
P(\phi_{m,n}) &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}} K(z;w) \phi(w) \left[e^{j \cdot j} \frac{d^m}{dw^m} \frac{d^n}{dw^n} e^{-j \cdot j} \right] e^{-j \cdot j} dm(w) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{Z}} e^{zw} \phi(w) \frac{d^m}{dw^m} \frac{d^n}{dw^n} e^{-j \cdot j} dm(w) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{Z}} \frac{d}{dw} [e^{zw} \phi(w)] \frac{d^m}{dw^m} \frac{d^{n-1}}{dw^{n-1}} e^{-j \cdot j} dm(w) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{Z}} z e^{zw} \phi(w) \frac{d^m}{dw^m} \frac{d^{n-1}}{dw^{n-1}} e^{-j \cdot j} dm(w) \\
&= z P(\phi_{m,n-1}) = \dots = (n-1) P(\phi_{m,0}) :
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

by induction. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
P(\phi_{m,0}) &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}} K(z;w) \phi(w) \left[e^{j \cdot j} \frac{d^m}{dw^m} e^{-j \cdot j} \right] e^{-j \cdot j} dm(w) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{Z}} e^{zw} \phi(w) \frac{d^m}{dw^m} e^{-j \cdot j} dm(w) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{Z}} e^{zw} \phi(w) (w)^m e^{-j \cdot j} dm(w) \\
&= \left(\frac{d}{dz} \right)^m \left[\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{Z}} e^{zw} \phi(w) e^{-j \cdot j} dm(w) \right] \\
&= \left(\frac{d}{dz} \right)^m P(\phi_{0,0}) = \left(\frac{d}{dz} \right)^m :
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Combining the equations (4) and (5) we obtain

$$P(\phi_{m,n}) = (-1)^{n+m} z^n \frac{d^m}{dz^m} :$$

In general, an N -particle state of non-interacting electrons is an antisymmetrized product $\Psi = A[\phi_1, \dots, \phi_N]$ of the single-particle wave-functions. Here the antisymmetrization operator is defined as

$$\Psi(z_1, \dots, z_N) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} (-1)^{\text{sign}(\sigma)} \phi_{\sigma(1)}(z_1) \dots \phi_{\sigma(N)}(z_N)$$

where the summation is over all the permutations of the indices $1, \dots, N$. The above calculation easily generalizes to several variables. We can express the result using the multi-index notation

$$z = z_1^{j_1} \dots z_N^{j_N} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial^{j_1}}{\partial z_1^{j_1}} \dots \frac{\partial^{j_N}}{\partial z_N^{j_N}}$$

where $j = j_1 + j_2$. The composite fermion wavefunction corresponding to ψ and Jastrow factor D^k is given up to normalization by

$$\psi^{\text{CF}}_j = A \left[z \left(\frac{d}{dz} D^k \right) \right] e^{\frac{1}{2} z^2} : \quad (6)$$

The formula is analogous to the equations (1) and (3) in the sense that all the states can be created by applying the multiplication and partial derivative operators to the given ground states, Jastrow factors. Consequently, it seems natural to search for spectrum generating algebras even for interacting fermions. However, to proceed further we have to choose the actual form of the interaction.

IV. EXACT RESULTS FOR THE HARMONIC INTERACTION

It was already known for the nuclear physicists of the fifties that the harmonic interaction potential

$$V = \sum_{i < j}^X \dot{z}_i \dot{z}_j \quad (7)$$

in a harmonic trap is exactly diagonalizable⁹. The model has also been applied to quantum dots¹⁰. A complete set of eigenfunctions is difficult to write down due to the degeneracy problems but the eigenenergies and their degeneracies are known⁸. The general solution has no restrictions with respect to the angular momentum, whereas the composite fermion wavefunctions are by definition restricted to the lowest Landau level. The LLL approximation is usually justified by physical considerations related either to the strong magnetic field or to the rotational state. We shall find the exact solutions of the projected Hamiltonian in the lowest Landau level. Our result generalizes the observation by Girvin and Jach^{12,13}, who noticed that Laughlin's functions

$$\psi_m = \sum_{i < j}^Y (z_i - z_j)^m e^{\frac{1}{2} z_j^2}$$

for an odd integer m are exact energy eigenstates for V . Comparison with the complete solution will hopefully shed light on the subtleties of the LLL approximation. At least the LLL solution makes explicit the correspondence between the boson and fermion systems suggested in⁶.

To start with, a technical remark is in order. Note that μ must be chosen negative to make the interaction repulsive. Moreover, the absolute value of μ must be small enough to make the energy spectrum bounded from below. For the LLL Hamiltonian even this is not sufficient. However, if we fix the total angular momentum, a (degenerate) ground state can be found. The projection $\hat{V} = PVP$ of the interaction (7) to the Bargmann space H_0 was calculated by Girvin and Jach¹³. It is given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{V} &= \sum_{i,j} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \right) (z_i - z_j) \\ &= N(N-1) + \sum_{i,j} (z_i - z_j) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \right)\end{aligned}$$

Multiplication operator z_k is not quite a ladder operator for \hat{V} since

$$\begin{aligned}[\hat{V}; z_k] &= \sum_{i < j} (z_i - z_j) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \right) z_k \\ &= \sum_{i < j} (z_i - z_j) (\delta_{ik} - \delta_{jk}) \\ &= N z_k - \sum_i z_i\end{aligned}$$

However, it follows from the above calculation that

$$[\hat{V}; z_k - z_l] = N(z_k - z_l)$$

for all k and l . Hence multiplication of an eigestate by the linear factors $z_k - z_l$ creates new eigestates with eigenvalues increased by N . We also have

$$[\hat{V}; \prod_k z_k] = 0$$

so that the operator $\prod_k z_k$ creates states which are denegenerate with the original one. We can start with the constant function $\psi_B = 1$, which is an eigenstate for \hat{V} with eigenvalue $N(N-1)$, and multiply it by the above linear factors to obtain new eigenstates. However, in order to qualify as proper physical states, they also have to obey the usual permutation symmetries. Let us consider the bosonic case first. The question is which symmetric polynomials can be represented as linear combinations of the products of the factors $e_{k,l} = z_k - z_l$. Since the factors $e_{k,l}$ span the space $C = \mathbb{C}z_1 + \dots + \mathbb{C}z_N \neq 0$, which is isomorphic to the Cartan subalgebra of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ as a representation of the symmetric group S_n ,

the problem is solved by the classical invariant theory¹⁴. As long as we are restricted to C , the subalgebra of symmetric polynomials obtained from e_{k1} is freely generated by the power sums

$$q_k = z_1^k + \dots + z_N^k$$

for $1 < k \leq N$. Now q_k is obtained by restricting a linear combination of the products of e_{k1} from C^N to C . The polynomials must be invariant in the translations parallel to $(1; 1; \dots; 1)$ since the factors e_{k1} are. Hence the only possible extension of q_k to C^N is

$$p_k = (z_1 + \dots + z_N)^k + \dots + (z_N)^k$$

where $z = (z_1 + \dots + z_N)/N$ is the center-of-mass. If we allow $p_1 = z_1 + \dots + z_N$ among the generators, then by dimensional counting we obtain a complete basis of the symmetric polynomials. The difference is that the factor p_1 does not have effect on the eigenvalue while the other power sums p_k increase it by $N-k$. Including the Gaussian factors we obtain the boson eigenstates

$$\psi^B = \prod_{k=1}^N p_k^{n_k} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_j z_j^2}$$

where n_k are non-negative integers. The corresponding eigenenergies are

$$E^B = N(N-1) + N \sum_{k=2}^N k n_k :$$

Disbelievers can check the result by a straight-forward calculation. Once the answer is known, it is not that hard since \hat{V} is a derivation up to an additive constant. The fermion states can be obtained by exactly the same reasoning starting from the ground state

$$\psi_F = \prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j)$$

with the eigenvalue $N(N-1)(1+N/2)$. The fermion eigenstates are

$$\psi^F = \prod_{k=1}^N p_k^{n_k} \prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_j z_j^2}$$

with eigenenergies

$$E^B = N(N-1)(1+N/2) + N \sum_{k=2}^N k n_k :$$

Observe that the fermion states differ from the boson states only by the factor ϵ_F , while the corresponding eigenenergies are shifted by a constant depending only on the number of particles and the strength of the interaction. Practically all states are degenerate due to the huge symmetry of the interaction potential.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have given a short review on the spectrum generating algebras and applied them to derive the composite fermion wavefunctions. As an example we studied the exactly solvable model of harmonic interparticle interactions and derived the wavefunctions and energy spectra for spinless fermions and bosons. In this case there is a one-to-one correspondence between the fermionic and bosonic wavefunctions: Any fermion wavefunction is obtained from the corresponding boson wavefunction by multiplying it with a simple Slater determinant.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Academy of Finland and the European Community project ULTRA-1D (NMP4-CT-2003-505457).

-
- ¹ D.C. Tsui, H.L. Stormer, and A.C. Gossard, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48, 1559 (1992).
 - ² B.I. Halperin, P.A. Lee, and N. Read, Phys. Rev. 472, 7312 (1993).
 - ³ J.K. Jain and T. Kawamura, Europhys. Lett. 29, 321 (1995).
 - ⁴ J.K. Jain, Phys. Rev. Lett., 63, 199 (1989).
 - ⁵ J.K. Jain, Phys. Rev. B 41, 7653 (1990).
 - ⁶ M. Toreblad, M. Borgh, M. Koskinen, M. Manninen, and S.M. Reimann, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 090407 (2004).
 - ⁷ S.M. Reimann and M. Manninen, M., Rev. Mod. Phys. 74, 1283 (2002).
 - ⁸ M.A. Zaluska-Kotur, M. Gajda, A. Orlowski, and J. Mostowski, Phys. Rev. A 61, 033613 (2000).
 - ⁹ R.D. Lawson, Theory of Nuclear Shell Model, (Oxford Press, Oxford 1980).
 - ¹⁰ N.F. Johnson, Phys. Rev. B 46, 2636 (1992).

- ¹¹ R.B. Laughlin, *Surf. Sci.* 141, 11 (1984).
- ¹² S.M. Girvin and T. Jach, *Phys. Rev. B* 28, 4506 (1983).
- ¹³ S.M. Girvin and T. Jach, *Phys. Rev. B* 29, 5617 (1984).
- ¹⁴ N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie* (Ch. 5)", (Hermann, Paris 1968).