

Noise Correlations in one-dimensional systems of ultra-cold fermions

L. Matheny¹, E. Altman² and A. Vishwanath³¹Physics Department, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138²Department of Condensed Matter Physics, The Weizmann Institute of Science Rehovot, 76100, Israel³Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720

(Dated: March 23, 2022)

Time of flight images reflect the momentum distribution of the atoms in the trap, but the spatial noise in the image holds information on more subtle correlations. Using Bosonization, we study such noise correlations in generic one dimensional systems of ultra cold fermions. Specifically, we show how pairing as well as spin and charge density wave correlations may be identified and extracted from the time of flight images. These incipient orders manifest themselves as power law singularities in the noise correlations, that depend on the Luttinger parameters, which suggests a general experimental technique to obtain them.

Recent advances in trapping and control of ultra cold atoms prompted the realization of truly one dimensional systems. Important benchmarks, such as the Tonks-Girardeau gas [1, 2] and the Mott transition in one dimension [3], have been achieved by trapping bosonic atoms in tight tubes formed by an optical lattice potential. Novel transport properties of one dimensional lattice bosons have been studied using these techniques [4]. More recently, a strongly interacting one dimensional Fermi gas was realized using similar trapping methods [5]. Interactions between the fermion atoms were controlled by tuning a Feshbach resonance in these experiments.

Even the simplest model of interacting spinless fermions in one dimension exhibits different regimes of behavior. Although spinless fermions confined to an isolated line display a single thermodynamic phase, it is convenient to characterize different parameter regimes in terms of which susceptibilities are divergent. A divergent susceptibility in a particular channel implies that coupling independent one dimensional systems in that channel will lead to an instability. If we classify ‘phases’ of the one dimensional system in this way, then the ‘phase’ diagram of spinless fermions includes a diverging charge density wave (CDW) susceptibility for repulsive interaction and diverging superconducting (SC) susceptibility for attractive interaction. In the case of spin-1/2 Fermions, which can exist either in a spin gapped or gapless phase in the continuum, a much richer competition of ‘phases’ (as defined from divergent susceptibilities) occurs. These include both triplet SC (TSC) and singlet SC (SSC) as well as CDW and spin density wave (SDW) (see e.g. [6]). Numerous proposals were given for realization of a variety of different phases in ultra cold Fermi systems [7] as well as Bose-Fermi mixtures [8, 9]. However methods for detection and experimental characterization of all of these strongly correlated states are lacking.

There is a fundamental difficulty, not confined to atomic systems, in applying a unified probe of correlations. A standard detection scheme in solid state systems involves coherent scattering of external particles, such as neutrons or photons, that naturally couple to the sys-

tem (weakly) via the particle hole channel. Such a probe is therefore sensitive to spin and charge order, but not to superconductivity. Thus parts of the phase diagram of one dimensional systems, which in theory are dual to each other, are not treated equally by such probes. In this paper we show that by measuring the noise correlations in time of flight images one can gain access to, and distinguish between different power-law particle-particle correlations, such as TSC and SSC, and particle-hole correlations such as CDW and SDW.

Atomic shot noise in time of flight imaging was proposed in Ref. [10] as a probe of many body correlations in systems of ultra cold atoms. Specifically, what may be measured using this approach is the momentum space correlation function of the atoms in the trap

$$G_0(k; k^0) = \ln_k n_{0k^0} i \ln_k i n_{0k^0} i; \quad (1)$$

where \uparrow, \downarrow are spin indices. The method was successfully applied to experiments measuring correlations in both particle-particle and particle hole channels. For example Greiner et al [11] measured pairing correlations in an expanding cloud of atoms originating from dissociated molecules. Other experiments measured density correlations in insulating states of lattice bosons [12, 13] and fermions [14]. A related effect corresponding to the classical limit of the noise correlations was observed in Ref. [15].

The analysis in the examples considered in [10], calculation of the noise correlations (1) was greatly simplified by the assumption of a mean field BCS state and a perfect Mott insulator respectively. However, mean field theory fails to describe the one dimensional systems discussed here. Instead the long distance behavior of correlations is described by the Luttinger liquid framework, which yields power law decays, determined by one or two Luttinger parameters. We shall calculate the correlation function (1) within this framework, and extract its leading singularities. These turn out to be directly related to the pure CDW, SDW and SC correlations.

Spinless fermions { Let us start by treating in detail the simplest case, namely that of spinless fermions in the




FIG. 1: The noise correlation function (6) of a spinless Luttinger liquid for values of the Luttinger parameter K a) { d): $K = 0.4, 0.8, 1.25$ and 2.5 . For $K < 1/2$ there are strong anticorrelations (reflecting the particle-hole nature of CDW), which appear as a power law singularity on the line $q = q^0 = 0$. For $K > 2$ correlations switch to particle-particle (SC) and appear as a power law singularity on the line $q + q^0 = 0$. For $1/2 < K < 2$ we find a singularity only when the two momenta approach the two Fermi points (ie $q = q^0 = 0$). The system shows a signature of both particle-hole and particle-particle correlations with the sign of correlation depending on the directions of approach to the Fermi points.

continuum. Later we shall generalize to spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ fermions. To implement a Luttinger liquid of spinless fermions one can use fully polarized fermionic atoms. The interaction may be tuned via Feshbach resonance in an odd angular momentum channel (e.g. p-wave). Another possibility for tuning interactions is to use a Bose-Fermi mixture, where phonons in the Bose superfluid mediate effective interactions between the fermions[9].

Regardless of the microscopic interactions, the low energy physics of one dimensional spinless fermions is described by a universal harmonic theory, the Luttinger liquid. The action, describing phonons in the Fermi system, is written in terms of the phase field or its dual:

$$S = \frac{K}{2} \int d^2x \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi = \frac{K^{-1}}{2} \int d^2x \partial_\mu \theta \partial^\mu \theta : \quad (2)$$

Here $\phi = 0; 1$, $x_0 = v_F$ and K is the Luttinger parameter. $K > 1$ ($K < 1$) corresponds to attractive (repulsive) interactions. To calculate physical correlation functions one needs the bosonization identity connecting the oscillator fields to the physical fermions[6]:

$$\psi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{i\phi(x) + i\theta(x)}; \quad (3)$$

where ϵ is an infinitesimal to be taken to zero at the end of any calculation. $\phi(x)$ correspond to the slowly

varying, left and right moving components of the fermion field $\psi(x) = e^{ik_F x} \psi_+(x) + e^{ik_F x} \psi_-(x)$. The commutation relation of the oscillator fields is given by:

$$[\phi(x); \phi(0)] = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{x + i\epsilon}{x - i\epsilon} : \quad (4)$$

The relevant order parameters can be expressed simply in terms of the slow fields. The $2k_F$ charge density wave operator order parameter is given by $O_{CDW}(x) = e^{2ik_F x} \psi_+^\dagger \psi_-$, while the SC order parameter is $O_{SC}(x) = \psi_+^\dagger \psi_-^\dagger$. A standard calculation [6] using (2) and (3) yields $\langle O_{CDW}^\dagger(x) O_{CDW}(0) \rangle \sim \cos(2k_F x) |x|^{-2K}$ and $\langle O_{SC}^\dagger(x) O_{SC}(0) \rangle \sim |x|^{-2=K}$. Taking the spatial and temporal Fourier transform one can see that CDW and SC susceptibilities diverge when $K < 1$ and $K > 1$ respectively.

We are interested in the equal time correlation function (1) with k and k^0 close to the opposite Fermi points at k_F . The operator $\psi_k \psi_{k^0}^\dagger$, with k (k^0) near the Fermi points k_F ($-k_F$) is given by:

$$\psi_k \psi_{k^0}^\dagger = \frac{1}{V} \int d^4x e^{iq(x_1 - x_2) + iq^0(x_3 - x_4)} \psi_+^\dagger(x_1) \psi_-(x_2) \psi_-(x_3) \psi_+^\dagger(x_4); \quad (5)$$

where $q = k - k_F$ and $q^0 = k^0 + k_F$ denote the momenta relative to the respective Fermi points. Using the bosonization identity (3) and the commutation relation (4) with the harmonic action (2) we obtain for the noise correlation function (1):

$$G(q; q^0) = \frac{\int d^4x e^{iqx_{12} + iq^0x_{34}} F^2(x_{12}) F^2(x_{34})}{(2\pi)^2 V} A^{-1}; \quad (6)$$

Where $x_{ij} = x_i - x_j$ the integration is over $x_{12}; x_{34}; x_{23}$, and

$$F(x) = \frac{a^2}{a^2 + x^2} \frac{1}{ix} \quad A = \frac{(a^2 + x_{14}^2)(a^2 + x_{23}^2)}{(a^2 + x_{13}^2)(a^2 + x_{24}^2)}^h \quad (7)$$

with a short distance cutoff (which will be kept finite in contrast to [6]), and exponents $g = (K + K^{-1})/2 = 4$ and $h = (K - K^{-1})/2 = 4$. We shall undertake a full numerical integration of (6). But first let us obtain the leading singularities in the limits $K \rightarrow 1$, $K \rightarrow \infty$, and $K \rightarrow 0$. We note that (6) 'contains' both the CDW and SC correlations. For example, the contribution to (6) from setting $x_1 = x_4 = x$ and $x_2 = x_3 = x'$ is simply the Fourier transform of the CDW correlation at $q = q^0$, with a minus sign due to anticommutation of the Fermi fields. For $K \rightarrow 1$ since CDW correlations decay very slowly, we expect that there are no singular contributions arising from the deviations x_{14}, x_{23} , so that the CDW

correlations dominate the integral. Indeed, x_{14} and x_{23} appear in the numerator of A , and since for $K \rightarrow 1$, h is very negative, the contribution of large deviations is effectively suppressed. This gives $G(q; q^0) \sim \int_{-q^0}^q q^0 f^{2K-1}$. In a similar manner setting $x_1 = x_3$ and $x_2 = x_4$ yields the SC correlation at $q + q^0$. For $K \rightarrow 1$, h is large and positive, effectively suppressing the deviations x_{13} and x_{24} . Thus in this limit we find $G(q; q^0) \sim \int_{-q^0}^q q^0 f^{2K-1}$. With these considerations we would not expect a singularity in $G(k; k^0)$ in the region $\frac{1}{2} < K < 2$ due to SC or CDW correlations. However, the approximation presented above is not valid for such moderate values of K . Within this regime we can obtain analytic results for the leading singularities of (6) in the limit $K \rightarrow 1$ ($h \rightarrow 1$). The idea is to expand $G(q; q^0)$ to powers of h . The term linear in h vanishes identically, and to quadratic order:

$$G(q; q^0) \approx h \text{sgn}(q) \text{sgn}(q^0) \ln \left(\frac{1}{2h} ; \frac{1}{2h} \right) \quad (8)$$

We now turn to integrate (6) numerically in order to obtain $G(q; q^0)$. This is carried out on a finite size system with periodic boundary conditions so that x_{ij} in (6) are replaced by $(L/2) \sin(2x_{ij}/L)$. The results, summarized in Fig. 1, indicate that the anticipated power law singularities persist in the entire region $K > 2$ and $K < 1/2$, where the equal time order parameter correlations yield a singular contribution. The structure of the correlations in the regime $1/2 < K < 2$ is consistent with the analysis presented above for $K \rightarrow 1$.

To predict the visibility of the peaks we consider the normalized correlation function typically used in such experiments [12, 14]

$$C(k) = \frac{\int_{-L}^L \int_{-L}^L \langle \psi_{p-k/2}^\dagger \psi_{p+k/2} \rangle}{\int_{-L}^L \int_{-L}^L \langle \psi_{p-k/2}^\dagger \psi_{p+k/2} \rangle} \quad (9)$$

The numerator is given by the connected part of the correlation function (6), i.e. the part containing A , while the denominator is the disconnected part. Fig. 2 shows a computation of $C(k)$ for a realistic system of decoupled tubes with 100 particles per tube. Thus the short range cut-off, which appears in Eqs. 7) is set to $a = 2\pi k_f = L/100$. The momenta are then given in units of $2\pi/L$, which appears as the scale on the horizontal axis. The presence of QLR0, rather than LRO, is evident by the appearance of broad power-law dip in $C(k)$ compared to the sharp dip in the case of perfect order. We note that the distinct dip is clearly observable only for strong interactions (i.e. $K < 0.25$).

Spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ fermions { A spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ system exhibits much richer physics than the spinless one, and it may be realized using interactions in the s-wave channel. The low energy physics is captured by the universal action:

$$S = \int \frac{1}{2K} \int dx d\tau \left(\partial_\tau \phi \right)^2 + v \left(\partial_x \phi \right)^2$$




FIG. 2: The normalized correlation function (9) for a realistic finite system with $N = 100$ particles per 1d tube, as a function of momentum k in the vicinity of $2k_f$. (The scale of the horizontal axis is indicated by the marks which correspond to increments of $2\pi/L$.) The result is plotted for $K = 0.3; 0.2; 0.18$ in the CDW regime. The broad dip due to the power-law singularity should be contrasted with the sharper dip for the case of a finite Mott insulating state (MI).

$$+ \frac{2g_{1?}}{(2\pi)^2} \int dx d\tau \cos\left(\frac{p}{8K}\right) \quad (10)$$

Where $\phi = \phi_c + \phi_s$ correspond to the charge and spin degrees of freedom, and $\phi_c = (\phi_c^+ + \phi_c^-)/\sqrt{2}$ and $\phi_s = (\phi_c^+ - \phi_c^-)/\sqrt{2}$. The nonlinear term that arises in the spin channel is due to back scattering. The bosonization formula is identical to (3) with Fermi as well as oscillator fields taking a spin index.

The low energy fluctuations of the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ LL are dominated by four order parameters constructed from the slow fermion fields: $O_{CDW} = \frac{1}{L} \int dx dy e^{2ik_f x} \psi_{s+} \psi_{s-}$, $O_{SDW} = \frac{1}{L} \int dx dy e^{2ik_f x} \psi_{s+} \psi_{s-}$, $O_{SSC} = \frac{1}{L} \int dx dy e^{2ik_f x} \psi_{s+} \psi_{s+}$, and $O_{TSC} = \frac{1}{L} \int dx dy e^{2ik_f x} \psi_{s-} \psi_{s-}$ (we chose the $S_z = 1$ component for convenience, the other components must be degenerate by $su(2)$ spin symmetry). Calculation of the correlation functions associated with these operators is more subtle than in the spinless case due to the presence of the nonlinear term in (10). It was carried out in Ref. [18] using RG analysis.

The resulting phase diagram, in the sense of diverging susceptibilities, is of the following structure: For positive backscattering $g_{1?} > 0$ now to 0, $K \rightarrow 1$, and K does not flow. To derive the power law decay of order parameter correlations one can assume the fixed-point values of the Luttinger parameters. The result is $1 = x^{K+1}$ for CDW/SDW and $1 = x^{K-1+1}$ for SSC/TSC. This implies equally diverging susceptibilities of CDW and SDW for $K < 1$ and of SSC and TSC for $K > 1$. The degeneracy between order parameters is removed by logarithmic corrections favoring SDW and TSC [18]. In the opposite regime $g_{1?} < 0$, the nonlinear term is relevant, opening a spin gap in the spectrum. Consequently SDW and TSC correlations are short ranged. The scaling exponents of




FIG. 3: Noise correlations of a spin-1/2 fermion Luttinger liquid with $g_1 < 0$. Different rows correspond to different spin dependent correlations: (a,b,c) G^{++} , which in the spin gapped phase is sensitive only to CDW correlations; (d,e,f) G^{+-} , sensitive only to the SSC correlations; (g,h,i) G^{--} sensitive to both CDW and SSC. Columns correspond to different values of the Luttinger parameter K (K is set to zero in the spin gapped phase): (a, d, g) $K = 0.8$; (b, e, h) $K = 1$; (c, f, i) $K = 1.25$. The noise correlations in G clearly show coexistence of the two (quasi) orders for $1/2 < K < 2$ (g,h,i).

the remaining CDW and SSC correlations may be found by formally setting $K \rightarrow 0$ [6], giving $R(x) \sim x^{K-1}$ for CDW /SSC respectively. Hence there is a sizeable region $\frac{1}{2} < K < 2$, where both susceptibilities are divergent.

The expressions for the spin-resolved noise correlation functions $G^{++}(q; q')$ and $G^{+-}(q; q')$ are identical to Eq. (6), with the exponents in Eq. (7) given by:

$$\begin{aligned} g &= (K + K^{-1})/8 + (K + K^{-1})/8 \quad 1/2 \\ h^{++} &= (K - K^{-1})/8 + (K - K^{-1})/8 \\ h^{+-} &= (K - K^{-1})/8 - (K - K^{-1})/8 \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

As before, we note that this complicated function contains, along with other contributions, the equal time correlations of the four order parameters discussed above. For example G^{++} contains the TSC and a component of the CDW correlations, whereas G^{+-} contains the SDW, as well as components of both the singlet and triplet SC. Thus, $G = G_{SS^0}$, contains all the order parameter correlations equally. As in the spinless case we expect that when these correlations decay slowly enough they give the most singular contribution to G_{SS^0} . Where they are not singular, other contributions may take the lead.

Let us first consider the case $g_1 > 0$ taking the values of the parameters at the fixed point: $K \rightarrow 1$ and $g_1 \rightarrow 0$. We note, that in contrast with the spinless case, the equal time order parameter correlations decay too fast to give a singular contribution to G_{SS^0} . Exactly as in the spinless case we can obtain analytic expressions for the leading contributions to G_{SS^0} for $K \rightarrow 1$. Expanding to quadratic order in h_{SS^0} we again find $G_{SS^0}(q; q')$

given by Eq. (8) with h_{SS^0} replacing h . This general behavior agrees with the result of numerical integration of $G_{SS^0}(q; q')$, although there may be corrections to the power of the singularities away from the line $K = 1$.

In the opposite regime of negative backscattering one should evaluate the integral (6) with the exponents given by (11) in the limit $K \rightarrow 0$. Taking, for example, G^{++} we see that $h^{++} \rightarrow 1$ effectively enforcing $x_4 = x_2 = 0$. The remaining integral over x ($x_2 = x_4 = 2$) then depends only on the combination $4(g + h^{++}) + 2 = K$. We find $G^{++} \sim \text{sgn}(1 - K) \int_0^1 dq_j^{K-1}$ and $G^{+-} \sim \text{sgn}(1 - K) \int_0^1 dq_j^{K-1}$. These are precisely the expected contributions from equal time CDW and SSC order parameter correlations. In the region $1/2 < K < 2$ where the susceptibilities of both order parameters diverge, we find a divergence in the noise correlations due to only one of the orders and a sharp cusp due to the other incipient order. Specifically, for $1/2 < K < 1$, we find a divergence in G^{++} , and a cusp in G^{+-} , whereas for $1 < K < 2$, we find a cusp in G^{++} , and a divergence in G^{+-} .

We also carry out a numerical integration of (6). The results are displayed in Fig. (3). They are in perfect agreement with the analytic forms discussed above. Presence of a spin gap is immediately seen by inspecting the different components of G_{SS^0} . Specifically, particle-particle correlations arise only in the $++$ channels, therefore they correspond to SSC. Similarly particle-hole correlations are seen in the $+-$ and not in the $--$ channel, consistent with CDW but not SDW quasi long range order.

In summary, we propose that analysis of the spatial noise correlations in time of flight images, may serve as a detailed probe of correlations in one dimensional systems of ultra-cold fermions[5]. In contrast with Bragg scattering, which can only detect correlations in the particle-hole channel (CDW /SDW), such a probe would be equally sensitive to superfluid correlations in the particle-particle channel. The power-law singularities in the noise correlations are directly related to the singularities of the equal time order parameter correlations, hence they allow to extract Luttinger parameters from experiments. In addition we find new singularities in the noise correlation function, pointing to the ground state structure, even where the pure equal time order parameter correlations are non singular.

Acknowledgements. Fruitful discussions with E. Demler, M. D. Lukin, and T. Esslinger are gratefully acknowledged. This research was supported by US-Israel Binational Science Foundation (E.A.), A.P. Sloan foundation and DOE LDRD DEAC 0376SF 00098 (A.V.).

- [2] T. Kinoshita, T. R. Wenger and D. S. Weiss, *Science* 305, 1125 (2004).
- [3] T. Stoferle et al, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 92, 130403 (2004).
- [4] C. D. Fertig et al, *cond-mat/0410491*.
- [5] H. Moritz et al, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 94, 210401 (2005).
- [6] J. Voit, *Rep. Prog. Phys.*, 58, 977 (1995).
- [7] A. Recati et al, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 90, 020401 (2003); J. N. Fuchs et al, *ibid* 93, 090408 (2004); M. A. Cazalilla, A. F. Ho and T. Giamarchi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 95, 226402 (2005).
- [8] M. A. Cazalilla and A. F. Ho, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 91, 150403 (2003);
- [9] L. Mathey et al, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 93, 120404 (2004).
- [10] E. Altman, E. Demler and M. D. Lukin, *Phys. Rev. A* 70, 013603 (2004).
- [11] M. Greiner et al *cond-mat/0502539*.
- [12] S. Foelling et al, *Nature* 434, 481 (2005).
- [13] I. B. Spielman, W. D. Phillips, J. V. Porto *cond-mat/0606216*
- [14] T. Rom et al, *Nature* 444, 733 (2006).
- [15] Z. Hadzibabic et al, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 93180403 (2004).
- [16] F. D. M. Haldane, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 47, 1840 (1981); M. A. Cazalilla, *J. Phys. B: At. Opt. Phys.* 37, S1 (2004).
- [17] L. Mathey, E. Altman and A. Vishwanath, *in preparation*.
- [18] T. Giamarchi and H. J. Schulz *Phys. Rev. B* 39, 4620-4629 (1989)