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#### Abstract

$R$ esponse of a single-w alled carbon nanotube to extemalelectric eld, F , is calculated analytically w ith in the classical electrostatics. Field-induced charge density distribution is approxim ately linear along the axis of a $m$ etallic nanotube and depends rather weakly, as $\ln (h=r)$, on the nanotube length, $h$, (here $r$ is the nanotube radius). In a sem iconducting nanotube $w$ ith a gap, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$, charge separation occurs as F exceeds the threshold value $\mathrm{F}_{\text {th }}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}=$ eh. For $\mathrm{F}>\mathrm{F}_{\text {th }}$, positively and negatively charged regions at the ends of nanotube are separated by a neutral strip in the $m$ iddle. Properties of th is neutralstrip, length and induced charge distribution near the ends, are studied in detail. We also consider a bent nanotube and dem onstrate that the num ber of neutral strips can be one or two depending on the direction of $F$.


PACS numbers: $71.20 . \mathrm{Tx}, 73.63 \mathrm{Fg}, 78.67 . \mathrm{Ch}$

## INTRODUCTION

There are two types of deviges based on carbon nanotubes ( $\mathrm{N} T \mathrm{~s}$ ) that are currently intensely investigated. These are eld-e ect transistors and eld em ilters for at paneldisplays and x-ray sources. A though both types of
 icant im provem ent in their characterisitcs had been re-
 the understanding of perform ance of these devices is far from com plete. T he prim e reason for this is 1D-like geom etry of N T boased transistors and eld em itters. D ue to this geom etry, their electrostatics is qualitatively different from that in respective well-understood 2D counterparts.

Traditionally, electrostatics for particular geom etry of
 oretically by em ploying a certain version of rst-principle calculations. N otable exceptions are Refs . [12, 113$]$, in which classical electrostatics was used to describe the potential pro le near the contact betw een $m$ etallic and sem iconducting NTs as well as the contact betw een NT and a m etal. A pplicability of this description of the contact phenom ena in N T sw as later questioned in Ref. [14].

In general, it is not obviousw hether or not, in term s of electrostatics, a N T of a sm all radius, r, can be m odeled by an in nitely thin sheet of electron gas w rapped into a cylinder. In other words, whether or not the potential distribution can be adequately described by the P oisson equation $w$ ith boundary conditions im posed at distance, $r$, from the NT axis. P ositive answ er to this question $w$ as recently given in R ef. [15] ${ }^{-1}$, w here the density-functional calculations of the extra charge distribution along the N T w ere show $n$ to be in quantitative agreem ent w ith classical electrostatics analysis.

In this situation, it is instructive to consider a m odel problem of the classical electrostatics of a N T , which allows for an analytical solution. Q ualitative features of this solution $m$ ight then yield a valuable insight into electrostatics of realistic devices. Such a problem is studied
in the present paper. N am ely, w e consider a N T in external electric eld, F , parallel to the N T axis. Separation of variables in the P oisson equation in this geom etry is im possible. Still, as we dem onstrate below, presence of a sm all param eter, $r=h$, where $h$ is the NT length, allow s one to obtain the asym ptotically exact distribution of potential. W e show that for m etallic N T the density of induced charge changes linearly w ith distance from the NT center. For sem ioonducting NT w ith a gap, Egr charge separation, which occurs as $F$ exceeds the threshold value $E_{g}=e h$, results in form ation of a neutral strip with a width $E_{g}=e F$ in the center of the NT.We nd the pro le of the charge density grow th from the edges of the strip tow ards the NT ends. Finally, we use the developed approach to describe quantitatively the electrostatics of bent or w iggly N T s in extemal eld, pertinent to recent electroabsorption $m$ easurem ents []], and dem onstrate that $w$ iggling results in m ultiple altemating positively and negatively charged regions separated by neutralstrips.

## BASIC EQUATION

Denote $w$ ith $(z)$ the linear density of charge, induced by extemal eld on the NT surface. Then the local value of the Ferm im om entum is given by $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{F}}(z)=$
hj $(z)=2 e N, w h e r e N$ accounts for the spin and band degeneracy $(\mathbb{N}=2$ or 4 , and is determ ined by the NT chirality). T he local chem icalpotential, ( $z$ ), is related to $P_{F}(z)$ via the NT energy spectrum

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z)=\operatorname{sign}(z)^{q} \overline{E_{g}^{2}=4+v_{0}^{2} p_{F}^{2}(z)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{0} \quad 810 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}$ is the electron velocity in graphene. Second relation between (z) and (z) expresses the fact that the electrochem ical potential re$m$ ains constant along the nanotube, i.e. $(z)+e^{\prime}(z)=0$,
where' $(z)$ is the electrostatic potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z)=F z+\frac{1}{e}_{h=2}^{Z_{h=2}} d z^{0} \quad\left(z^{0}\right) \quad\left(z \quad z^{0}\right) ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is created by extemal eld and by induced charges. The kemel, (z $z^{0}$ ), in Eq. $(\underline{\underline{L}})$ takes a sim ple form in the case of isolated N T, lying on substrate w ith dielectric constant, "

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x)=\frac{e^{Z}}{"} \frac{d}{x^{2}+4 r^{2} \sin ^{2}(=2)^{1=2}} ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where " $=("+1)=2 . \mathrm{W}$ th the help ofE qs. (111) and $[\overline{2})$, the condition of constant electrochem ical potential can now be presented as a closed integralequation for (z)

$$
\begin{equation*}
e F z=\frac{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}^{2}}{4}+\frac{\mathrm{hv}_{0}(z)^{2}}{2 e N}+\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{h}=2}^{\mathrm{h}=2} \mathrm{dz}^{0} \quad\left(z^{0}\right) \quad\left(\mathrm{z} \quad z^{0}\right) ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we assum ed $z$ to be positive. Eq. (4) should be com plem ented by the obvious condition that $(z)$ is odd.

$$
\text { ASYMPTOTIC SOLUTION OFEQ. ( } \overline{4} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1})
$$

In order to $m$ ake use of the $s m$ all param eter $r=h$, we rew rite the integral on the rhs of Eq. ( $\overline{4}$ ) as follow s

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\mathrm{h}=2}{\mathrm{Z}=2} \mathrm{dz}^{0} \quad\left(\mathrm{z}^{0}\right) \quad\left(\mathrm{z} \quad \mathrm{z}^{0}\right)=\mathrm{Z}_{0}^{\mathrm{h}=2} \mathrm{dz} \frac{0}{\left(@ \quad\left(z^{0}\right)\right.} \mathrm{z}^{0} \mathrm{~K}\left(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{z}^{0}\right) ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $K\left(z ; z^{0}\right)$ is de ned as

O ur most important observation is that, in the lim it $h \quad r$, the function $K\left(z ; z^{0}\right)$ can be replaced by ( $2 \mathrm{e}=$ " ) ln $\left(\mathrm{h}=4 \mathrm{r}\right.$ ) ( $\mathrm{z} \quad \mathrm{z}^{0}$ ), where ( x ) is the stepfunction. P ossibility of such a replacem ent is illustrated in F ig. 1. A simple from of $\mathrm{K}\left(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{z}^{0}\right)$ allow s for a drastic simpli cation of Eq. ( $\bar{A}_{1}^{\prime}$ ), which transform $s$ from intergralequation to a sim ple algebraic (quadratic) equation. In particular case, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}=0$ ( m etallic $\mathrm{N} T$ ), we obtain the follow ing result for the induced charge distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (z) } \frac{" g F z}{1+2 g \ln (\mathrm{~h}=\mathrm{r})} \text {; } \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have introduced a dim ensionless interaction param eter $g=2 N e^{2}=" h v_{0}$. The above result for ( $z$ ) has a logarithm ic accuracy, in the sense, that num erical factor in the argum ent of logarithm is not speci ed. In particular, the height of the step-fiunction in $F$ ig. 1 contains $1=4$ under the logarithm. A nother contribution to the argum ent of logarithm com es from the sm earing of the


F IG . 1: P lot of the function $K\left(z ; z^{0}\right)$ [scaled with the factor $2 \mathrm{e} \ln (\mathrm{h}=4 \mathrm{r})=$ " ] for $\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{r}=10^{3}$ and di erent values of $\mathrm{z}: \mathrm{z}=$ $0: 1 \mathrm{~h}$ (dotted line), $\mathrm{z}=0: 2 \mathrm{~h}$ (solid line), $\mathrm{z}=0: 3 \mathrm{~h}$ (dashed line), $\mathrm{z}=0: 4 \mathrm{~h}$ (dash-dotted line).
step-function in $F$ ig. 1. This sm earing gives rise to the relative correction $2 z @ \ln \quad(z)=@ z$ to $\ln (h=4 r)$; in particular case ofE q. $(\underline{1}, \overline{1})$ th is correction is equal to 2.0 verall, the condition of applicability of Eq. (7, $\overline{1})$ is $\mathrm{ln}(\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{r}) \quad 1$, which is m et in m ost of the realistic situations. It follow s from Eq. $\left(\overline{7}_{\mathrm{T}}\right)$ that the polarizability, , of the $\mathrm{N} T$, de ned as $P(F)=\underset{h=2}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{h}}} \mathrm{dzz} \quad(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{F}$, has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\mathrm{h} \mathrm{ngh}^{3}}{121+2 \mathrm{~g} \ln (\mathrm{~h}=\mathrm{r})} \mathrm{i}: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear from Eq. (iq) that the product $g \ln (\mathrm{~h}=\mathrm{r})$ $(1: 74 \mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{\prime}) \mathrm{ln}(\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{r})$ is a quantitative $m$ easure of the \m etallicity" of the NT. In the lim it of a \long" NT, when the product $g \ln (\mathrm{~h}=\mathrm{r})$ is large, we have = " $h^{3}=24 \ln (h=r)$, which coincides w ith the textbook expression $\left[1 \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ for polarizability of a perfectly conducting ellipsoid w ith axes $r$ and $h \quad r$. In this lim it, w ith extemal eld parallel to the NT axis, the resulting eld at the NT surface is nom al to this surface. The opposite lim it, $2 \mathrm{~g} \ln (\mathrm{~h}=\mathrm{r}) \quad 1$, of a \short" nanotube, when extemal eld is altered weakly by the induced charges, cannot be achieved even for high dielectric constant of the substrate, e.g., " 6 for Si .

Consider now a sem iconducting (or strained metallic [1] [1]) NT w ith nite Eg . It is seen from Eq. (4) that charge separation occurs only when the extemal eld is strong enough, nam ely, $\mathrm{F}>\mathrm{F}_{\text {th }}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}=\mathrm{eh}$. It also follow s from Eq. (4) that, as F increases, electrons and holes em erge at the NT ends, while the strip $\dot{z} j<E_{g}=2 e F$ in the center of NT rem ains neutral. The behavior of (z) outside the strip is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (z)=\frac{" E_{g}}{2 e} \quad g^{2} \ln ^{2}(\mathrm{~h}=\mathrm{r}) \quad \frac{1}{4}_{1}^{\#_{1}} \\
& \text { " } \\
& g^{2} \ln (\mathrm{~h}=\mathrm{r}) \frac{\mathrm{eFz}}{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}} \quad \frac{g}{2} \quad \frac{e F_{z}}{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}} \quad{ }^{2}+\mathrm{g}^{2} \ln ^{2}(\mathrm{~h}=\mathrm{r}) \quad \frac{1}{4} ;(9) \\
& \mathrm{S} \longrightarrow \mathrm{PF}^{2} \#
\end{aligned}
$$

and is ilhustrated in $F$ ig. 2 . From the edge of the neutral strip to the $\backslash$ bulk" ofN $T$ the slope of $(z)$ decreases by a factor $2 g \ln (h=r)=[2 g \ln (h=r)+1]$. U sing Eq. ( $\overline{\text { g }})$, one can calculate the induced dipolem om ent, P ( F ), of sem iconducting NT. Obviously, for F $\quad F_{\text {th }}$ it is the sam e
$F$ as for $m$ etallic NT. In the vicinity of the threshold, ( $F \quad F_{\text {th }}$ ) $\quad F_{\text {th }}$, the induced charge density not only occupies sm all region near the tips, but is also $s m$ all in $m$ agnitude. Therefore, $P(F)$ is quadratic in ( $F \quad F_{\text {th }}$ ) near threshold, nam ely

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(F)=\frac{" h^{3} F_{\mathrm{th}}}{4 \ln (\mathrm{~h}=\mathrm{r})} \quad \frac{F}{\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{th}}} \quad 1^{2}: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$



F IG . 2: C harge density distribution induced by extemal eld, F, along the m etallic (dashed line) and sem iconducting (solid line) $N T$.

SMALLEg; FINESTRUCTUREOFTHE NEUTRALSTRIP

The boundaries of the neutral strip, $z_{t}=E_{g}=2 e F$, were found from Eq. ( $\underline{( }^{-1}$ ) w ithin the \local" approxim ation. There are two sources of corrections to this result: classical and quantum . C lassical correction originates from the fact that for sm all $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ the neutral strip is surrounded by long charged regions w ith opposite signs of charge. Setting $z=z_{t}$ in Eq. (4) , and substituting into the rhs the zero-order result ( the follow ing $m$ odi ed equation for $z_{t}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{t}=\frac{E_{g}}{2 e F}+\frac{2 g \ln \left(\mathrm{~h}=z_{\mathrm{t}}\right)}{1+2 g \ln (\mathrm{~h}=\mathrm{r})} z_{\mathrm{t}}: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second term in the ins of Eq. (111) re ects the fact that potentials, created by the left and right charged neighbors of the neutral strip, do not com pensate each other com pletely. As follows from Eq. (1112), the relative classical correction to the position of the boundary, $z_{t}$, is $z_{t}^{c^{l}}=z_{t} \quad 2 \ln \left(h=z_{t}\right)=\ln (h=r)$. This correction is sm all provided that eFr $\quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$. The latter condition also insures that the underlying energy spectrum of the NT is not a ected by $F$, as was assum ed in derivation
of our basic equation ( $\underline{4}^{(1)}$ ). Q uantum correction to $z_{t}$ com es from the penetration of electronic $w$ ave functions into the classically forbidden region inside the neutral strip. U sing Zener's form ula for tunneling exponent in the D irac spectrum ( $\underline{11}_{1}^{1}$ ), the relative quantum correction can be presented in the form, $z_{t}^{q}=z_{t} \quad\left(I=g z_{t}\right)^{2=3}$, where $b_{B}=e^{2}=E_{g}$ " has the $m$ eaning of the exciton Bohr radius. Thus, the condition of sm allness of the quantum correction to $z_{t}$ coincides $w$ ith the condition that $F$ is weaker than the ionization threshold for an exciton.

$$
F=0 ; \text { CHARGED METALLIC NT }
$$

Experim ental situations in which electrostatics of a charged NT is im portant are listed in Ref. [1] 언. C lassical electrostatic analysis of a charged NT was carried out in this paper only for a short NT (w th $\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{r}$ 4). Below we nd the distribution of charge analytically in the $\lim$ it $h \quad r$. For a charged $m$ etallic N T the condition of a constant electrochem ical potential takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{h v_{0}}{2 e N}(z)+\sum_{h=2}^{Z_{h=2}} d z^{0} \quad\left(z^{0}\right) \quad\left(z \quad z^{0}\right): \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow (z) is even. To em ploy the above ansatz, we take derivative from the both sides of Eq . (12 $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ ) and perform integration by parts in the rhs. This yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{h}=2)[\quad+]=\frac{e \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{z})}{\mathrm{g}^{\prime}}+\underset{\mathrm{h}=2}{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d}=2 \mathrm{R}\left(z^{0}\right) \quad\left(\mathrm{z} \quad z^{0}\right) ; \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R(z)=@(z)=@ z$ is the odd function of $z$, and a short-hand notation $(z)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\frac{h}{2} & z\end{array}\right)$ is introduced.
 com plem ented by the condition $2 \int_{0}^{R_{h}=2} d z \quad(z)=Q$, where $Q$ is the total charge on the NT. U sing the sim ilarity


$$
(z)=\frac{Q}{h} 1+\frac{g}{1+g \ln (h=r)} \ln \frac{h^{2}}{h^{2} 4 z^{2}} \quad \text { : }
$$

H ence, ( $z$ ) is essentially constant along the N T [ild $[1]$, and raises shanply only near the tips. This behavior com pares favorably w ith num erical results [1] 든]. Logarithm ic divergence in Eq. (14) is term inated in the vicinity of the tip $h=2 \quad z^{<} r$, so that the net grow th of $(z)$ is given by $(\mathrm{h}=2)=(0)=[1+2 g \ln (\mathrm{~h}=\mathrm{r})]=[1+g \ln (\mathrm{~h}=\mathrm{r})]$.
In optical experim ents on separated N T s the tubes usually have w iggly shapes. T hen their response to the external eld can be quite peculiar, w ith num erous altemating positively and negatively charged regions separated by neutralstrips (see Fig. 3a). An insight into electrostatics of a w iggly NT can be drawn from a m odelexam ple that allow s for exact solution.

## BENTNT

W e consider a NT in the form of a sem icircle of a radius, R. In two lim iting cases, the electric eld is either pointed along the diam eter connecting the $\mathrm{N} T$ tips (parallel geom etry) or penpendicular to this diam eter (perpendicular geom etry, see Fig. 3). B oth geom etries are


FIG. 3: Schem atic illustration of charge separation in sem iconducting NT in extemal eld: (a) NT of a wiggly shape; (b) and (c) NT of a sem icircle shape w ith F parallel and perpendicular to the diam eter, respectively.
described by Eq. (4) w ritten in polar coordinates

$$
\begin{align*}
& e F_{t}() R \quad C=\operatorname{sign}()^{q} \overline{E_{g}^{2}=4+\left[e \quad(\quad)=g^{\prime}\right]^{2}} \\
& +\frac{e R^{Z}}{Z^{\prime}}{ }_{=2}^{2} d^{0} \quad\left({ }^{0}\right) \quad R \sin \frac{j}{2} ; \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{)}$ is the tangent com ponent of F . T he constant, C, which determ ines the electrochem icalpotential of NT, m uspt be found from the condition of the net NT neutrality, ${ }_{0} \mathrm{~d} \quad()=0 . W$ e em phasize that in both the parallel and penpendicular geom etries only the tangent com ponent of electric eld is responsible for the charge separation. The norm al com ponent eld has no e ect on the charge separation if the condition $E_{g}$ eFr is satis ed. The latter condition $m$ eans that eld-induced m ixing of transverse subbands is negligible.
(i) In parallel geom etry, we have $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{F}$ sin . Thus,
( ) is odd, so that C $=0$. Sam e ansatz as for a straight NT , yields the follow ing solution of Eq . (1-15) for $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}=0$
( ) = "gFR sin $=[1+2 \mathrm{gln}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{r})]$. It is also easy to see from Eq. (1-1 $\left.{ }^{-1}\right)$ that, for nite $E_{g}$, the neutral strip occupies the segm ent $j j<\arcsin \left(2 e F R=E_{g}\right)$ of the NT near its top ( F ig. 3b).
(ii) In penpendicular geom etry, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{I})=\mathrm{F}$ cos , is the even function of. As a result, ( ) di ens qualltatively from the case of a straightNT.The form of ( ) for this geom etry can be found from the same ansatz Eq. (13) that w as used for the straight charged N T. In particular, for $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}=0$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
()=" F R \frac{g(\cos \quad 2=)}{1+2 g \ln (R=r)}: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The two points at which ( ) changes the sign are therefore located at $=0=50: 5$. For a nite $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}$ two
neutral strips are form ed around $=0$. Their boundaries, + and (see Fig. 3c) are determ ined by the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos { }_{+}=\frac{2 C+\mathrm{E}_{g}}{2 e \mathrm{FR}} ; \quad \cos \quad=\frac{2 C \mathrm{E}_{g}}{2 \mathrm{eFR}}: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ hen the gap is sm all, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}} \quad \mathrm{eFR}$, the centers of the strips are still located near 0 , while the strip width, $=(\quad+)$, can be found directly from Eq. ( $1 \eta_{1}$ ), nam ely $\quad=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}=\mathrm{eF}$ R $\sin 0 \quad 1: 3 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}=\mathrm{eF}$ R .

For sm all ratio $E_{g}=2 e F R$ it is also easy to trace the crossover between the parallel and perpendicular geom etries as the eld is rotated. For rotation angle,
, and for $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}=0$, a straightforw ard generalization of Eq. (1-G) yields the follow ing angular dependence of the charge density ( ) / $\cos ($ ) $2 \cos$. From this dependence we conclude that, as decreases from 90 (parallel geom etry), the narrow neutral strip at the top of sem icircle $m$ oves to the left. At critical ${ }_{c}=\arctan (2=) \quad 32: 5$, when the neutral strip is located around 25 , the second neutral strip em erges at the right end of the NT.As decreases further, both neutral strips $m$ ove to the left and assum e their \perpendicular" positions $=50: 5$.

## EXPERIMENTALIMPLICATIONS

In Ref. was $m$ easured using the atom ic force $m$ icroscope. Experim entally $m$ easured pro le of the voltage drop in the structures with a sm all contact resistance is consistent w ith existence of a neutral strip near the NT center. N ote, that the substrate in Ref. 2 iq was thick: $\mathrm{D}=200$ or $D=500 \mathrm{~nm}$, but still thinner than the NT length, $h=1200 \mathrm{~nm}$. Taking into account the presence of a gate at distance $D_{~<~}^{\text {< }} \mathrm{h}$ from the NT am ounts to replacem ent
 form ulas.
O ur results have direct relevance to the electro-optics of NTS. M easurem ents of electroabsorption in singlew alled carbon N T s w ere recently reported in Ref. 1-1. It $m$ ight seem that $w$ th photon energy 1 eV much bigger than $E_{g}$ the large-scale nanotube geom etry is not im portant. $T$ his, how ever, is not the case. The reason is that the dipole m om ent of the m any-body optical transition $\left[25_{1}, 2\right]$ nal eld is parallel to the tube axis, the resulting eld is alm ost penpendicular to the nanotube surface, and thus its e ect on the optical transitions is suppressed. This strong suppression by a factor $[1+2 g \ln (h=r)] m$ ust be taken into account when the oscillator strength is extracted from electroabsonption [1] [1]. On the other hand, w ithin the neutralstrip, the acting eld is equal to the applied eld. H ow ever, the relative contribution, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}=\mathrm{eF} \mathrm{h}$,
of neutral strips to the absorption is sm all.
A sa nal rem ark, note that dim ensionless param eter, $g$, which govems the screening properties of the NT, has a transparent $m$ eaning. For 2 D electron gas $w$ th a density of states, , the linear screening length is equal to $l="=2 \quad e^{2}$. If the gas is w rapped into a cylinder of a radius, $r$, then the degree of penetration of extemal eld inside the cylinder is determ ined by the ratio $r=1$. Up to a num erical coe cient, this ratio is nothing but the param eter $g$.
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