

Orientational symmetry-breaking correlations in square lattice t - J model

Akiharu Miyanaga¹ and Hiroyuki Yamase²

¹*Spread society of practical algorithm and software,
3-2-16 Chuo, Ebina, Kanagawa 243-0432, Japan*

²*Max-Planck-Institute for Solid State Research, Heisenbergstrasse 1, D-70569 Stuttgart, Germany
(Dated: March 23, 2022)*

We study a tendency to orientational symmetry breaking of the square lattice t - J model by applying the exact diagonalization technique. We introduce a small external anisotropy to t and J , and calculate the induced anisotropy of hole-hole correlations as a response. It is found that the response is strongly enhanced for particular band parameters, meaning that the system has a strong tendency to orientational symmetry breaking. The analysis of the momentum distribution function indicates that the correlation develops when the Fermi surface is close to $(\pi, 0)$ and $(0, \pi)$. Some properties of high- T_c cuprates previously attributed to stripes can also be understood from orientational symmetry breaking alone.

PACS numbers: 71.10.Fd, 74.25.-q, 71.18.+y

High- T_c cuprate superconductors are realized by a carrier doping into Mott insulators, where strong onsite Coulomb repulsion prohibits double occupation and one electron resides at every sites. Such strong electron-electron correlations are widely recognized to be crucial to the physics of high- T_c cuprates.

Minimal models for high- T_c cuprates are believed to be the two-dimensional (2D) t - J and Hubbard models on a square lattice. Cuprate superconductors have d -wave gap symmetry,[1] which was successfully reproduced in these models. The models, however, exhibit several ordering tendencies competing with d -wave superconductivity: antiferromagnetism,[2, 3] spin-charge stripes,[4] staggered flux,[5] and d -density wave.[6] These possible competing orders were recognized as playing crucial roles in high- T_c cuprates and discussed in relation to pseudogap phenomena,[7] vortex structures,[8, 9] and charge ordering.[10]

Recently, through microscopic analyses of the t - J [11] and Hubbard[12, 13, 14, 15] models another competing order was proposed, the d -wave Fermi surface deformation (d FSD): the Fermi surface (FS) expands along the k_x direction and shrinks along the k_y direction or vice versa. This order is generated by forward scattering of electrons close to the FS near $(\pi, 0)$ and $(0, \pi)$, and hence should be distinguished from all the competing orders mentioned above, which require large momentum transfer with $\mathbf{q} \approx (\pi, \pi)$.

Some authors termed the spontaneous d FSD as Pomeranchuk instability, referring to a stability criterion for normal Fermi liquids by Pomeranchuk.[16] Note, however, that the d FSD turned out to occur usually through a first order transition at low temperature, namely without breaking Pomeranchuk's stability condition.[17, 18]

The d FSD competes with other instabilities. In particular, it can be overwhelmed by d -wave singlet pairing.[11, 19] However, it was pointed out that even if the spontaneous d FSD was prohibited, the correlations survived[20] and made a system sensitive to an external anisotropy, which led to a noticeable d FSD.[11, 21] This scenario

was invoked for high- T_c cuprates through the slave-boson mean-field analysis of the t - J model.[11] While the slave-boson theory treats strong correlation effects on average, it still remains a fundamental question whether the d FSD will be relevant to the strongly correlated regime and thus to the physics of high- T_c cuprates. Since the d FSD changes the shape of the FS, possibilities of the d FSD are crucial to the understanding of low energy properties of cuprates.

In this Letter, we study the d FSD by applying the exact diagonalization technique to the 2D t - J model at zero temperature and treat strong correlation effects rigorously. We take a 4×4 cluster with a periodic boundary condition with two holes. Since the d FSD is associated with orientational symmetry breaking of a square lattice, we focus on a tendency to the orientational symmetry breaking. We impose a small xy -spatial anisotropy in the original t - J model and calculate the induced anisotropy of hole-hole correlations as a response. It is found that the response shows a sharp peak as a function of band parameters, which means that the system has a strong tendency to orientational symmetry breaking for particular band parameters. The analysis of the momentum distribution function indicates that this correlation develops when the FS is close to $(\pi, 0)$ and $(0, \pi)$. The concept of the d FSD, originally proposed in the slave-boson mean-field analysis of the t - J model[11] and a renormalization group analysis of the Hubbard model,[12] is thus relevant even to the strongly correlated regime and in this sense is a generic feature of square lattice interacting electron systems. Possibilities of the d FSD are discussed for high- T_c cuprates.

We take the 2D t - J model

$$H = - \sum_{i, \tau, \sigma} t_{\tau}^{(i)} \tilde{c}_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_{i+\tau\sigma} + \sum_{\langle i, i+\tau \rangle} J_{\tau} \left(\mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_{i+\tau} - \frac{1}{4} \tilde{n}_i \tilde{n}_{i+\tau} \right) \quad (1)$$

defined in the Fock space with no doubly occupied sites. The $\tilde{c}_{i\sigma}$ (\mathbf{S}_i) is an electron (a spin) operator, and $\tilde{n}_i = \sum_{\sigma} \tilde{c}_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} \tilde{c}_{i\sigma}$ is the number operator of electrons at site i .

The $t_\tau^{(l)}$ is the l th ($l \leq 3$) neighbor hopping integral to the direction $\tau = \mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i$, and we denote $t_\tau^{(1)} = t_\tau$, $t_\tau^{(2)} = t'$, and $t_\tau^{(3)} = t''$; the latter two are assumed isotropic. The $J_\tau (> 0)$ is the superexchange coupling between nearest-neighbor sites. We introduce an external anisotropy to t_τ and J_τ ,

$$t_x = (1 + \alpha)t, \quad t_y = (1 - \alpha)t, \quad (2)$$

$$J_x = (1 + \gamma)J, \quad J_y = (1 - \gamma)J, \quad (3)$$

where we assume $\gamma = \frac{2\alpha}{1-\alpha}$ so that the anisotropy between J_x and J_y is twice as large as that between t_x and t_y . As a response to this anisotropy, we consider an anisotropy of hole-hole correlation

$$\Delta C(\mathbf{r}) = C(\mathbf{r}) - C(\bar{\mathbf{r}}), \quad (4)$$

where $\mathbf{r} = (x, y)$ and $\bar{\mathbf{r}} = (y, x)$, and

$$C(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{(N\delta)^2} \sum_i \langle (1 - \tilde{n}_i)(1 - \tilde{n}_{i+\mathbf{r}}) \rangle \quad (5)$$

is a hole-hole correlation function; N is the total number of lattice sites; $\delta (> 0)$ is hole density; the factor $\frac{1}{(N\delta)^2}$ is taken to normalize $\sum_{\mathbf{r}} C(\mathbf{r})$ to be unity. Note that $\Delta C(\mathbf{r})$ vanishes as long as the system has orientational symmetry of the lattice.

To treat strong correlation effects rigorously, we take a 4×4 cluster with a periodic boundary condition and diagonalize the Hamiltonian using the Lanczos algorithm at zero temperature; the coordinates of the cluster are defined in Fig. 1(a). Although the cluster size is rather small for usual exact diagonalization studies, the 4×4 cluster has square lattice symmetry and enables us to treat $\mathbf{k} = (\pi, 0)$ and $(0, \pi)$. These aspects are crucial in the present study. We introduce two holes ($\delta = 1/8$) and assume that the total momentum of the wave function is zero. For $\alpha = 0$, the system has orientational symmetry and hence $\Delta C(\mathbf{r}) = 0$. When a small α is introduced, $\Delta C(\mathbf{r})$ can become finite. It has four inequivalent points: (i) $\mathbf{r} = (2, 0)$, (ii) $\mathbf{r} = (1, 0)$, $(3, 0)$, (iii) $\mathbf{r} = (2, 1)$, $(2, 3)$, and (iv) $\mathbf{r} = (1, 1)$, $(2, 2)$, $(3, 3)$, $(3, 1)$. [22] In the latter case $\Delta C(\mathbf{r}) = 0$. We refer to the former three cases as ΔC_m with $m = 1, 2$, and 3 , respectively. Setting $\alpha = 0.01$, we calculate ΔC_m as a function of t'' for $t/J = 4$ and $t'/t = -0.17$.

In Fig. 1(b), we see that ΔC_m is nearly zero for $|t''| \gtrsim 0.15t$, that is the hole-hole correlation is almost isotropic even in the presence of the external anisotropy ($\alpha \neq 0$). For $|t''| \lesssim 0.1t$, ΔC_m becomes noticeable and is strongly enhanced near $t''/t = 0.05$ to form a sharp peak. This peak is seen in all ΔC_m , indicating that the system has a strong tendency to the orientational symmetry breaking for particular band parameters.

In the inset of Fig. 1(b), we show the following quantity as a function of t'' to see a degree of the orientational symmetry breaking: $C_x = \sum_{\mathbf{r}} C(\mathbf{r})$, where the summation is over $\mathbf{r} = (1, 0), (2, 0), (3, 0)$, similarly $C_y = \sum_{\mathbf{r}} C(\mathbf{r})$




FIG. 1: (a) The coordinates of the 4×4 cluster. (b) t'' dependence of the anisotropy of hole-hole correlation ΔC_m for $\alpha = 0.01$, $t/J = 4$, and $t'/t = -0.17$. The inset shows hole-hole correlations along the $x(y)$ direction $C_{x(y)}$ and a diagonal direction C_{xy} .

over $\mathbf{r} = (0, 1), (0, 2), (0, 3)$, and $C_{xy} = \sum_{xy} C(\mathbf{r})$ over $\mathbf{r} = (1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3)$. The C_x (C_y) and C_{xy} describe hole-hole correlation along the horizontal (vertical) direction and a diagonal direction, respectively. Around $t''/t = 0.05$ the value of C_x is about twice as large as that of C_y . The breaking of orientational symmetry at $t''/t \approx 0.05$ is thus very large. The hole-hole correlation, however, does not become one-dimensional like. The sum rule, $\sum_{\mathbf{r}} C(\mathbf{r}) = 1$, suggests that the value of $C_{xy} \approx 0.2$ is appreciable since the mean value of $C(\mathbf{r})$ is $\frac{1}{15}$ and that of C_{xy} becomes $\frac{3}{15}$. Furthermore we found that C_{xy} was almost the same as that for $\alpha = 0$, that is the correlation along the diagonal direction still remained. Moving away from $t''/t \approx 0.05$ the difference between C_x and C_y gets smaller as expected.

To understand the origin of the sharp peaks in Fig. 1(b), we calculate the momentum distribution function $n_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{1}{2} \langle \sum_{\sigma} \tilde{c}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^\dagger \tilde{c}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \rangle$ for $\alpha = 0$ [Fig. 2(a)]. The maximal value of $n_{\mathbf{k}}$ is about 0.56. This comes from strong correlation effects, namely the constraint that double occupation is forbidden at every site, which leads to $n_{\mathbf{k}} \leq \frac{1}{2}(1 + \delta)$. The distribution of $n_{\mathbf{k}}$ is shown in Figs. 2(b)-(d) for several choices of t'' ; the FS is inferred under the assumption of the Luttinger theorem [23] and may represent a typical FS in $-0.2 \leq t''/t \lesssim -0.05$ (b), $-0.05 \lesssim t''/t < 0.05$ (c), and $0.05 < t''/t \leq 0.2$ (d), respectively. This change of FS as a function of t'' agrees with one expected for noninteracting electrons with t , t' , and t'' as emphasized before. [24, 25, 26] Around $t''/t \approx -0.15$ the FS is expected to cross the point $\mathbf{k} = (\pi/2, \pi/2)$. But an appreciable change of $n_{\mathbf{k}}$ is




FIG. 2: (a) t'' dependence of $n_{\mathbf{k}}$ for $\alpha = 0$, $t/J = 4$, and $t'/t = -0.17$. (b)-(d) Distribution of $n_{\mathbf{k}}$ at several choices of t'' ; the \mathbf{k} points with $n_{\mathbf{k}} > 0.35$ are considered and the diameter of the open circle is proportional to the value, $n_{\mathbf{k}} - 0.35$. The gray line is an inferred FS. (e) The change of $n_{\mathbf{k}}$ by small $\alpha = 0.01$ at $\mathbf{k} = (0, \pi)$ and $(\pi, 0)$.

not seen in Fig. 2(a). This is probably due to a finite size effect since the total number of electron has to be distributed over a small number of \mathbf{k} points constrained by the geometry of the cluster. A jump of $n_{\mathbf{k}}$ is seen at $t''/t = 0.05$ in Fig. 2(a). This jump itself is probably a finite size effect, which we interpret as a level crossing between a state with an electron like FS [Fig. 2(c)] and a state with a hole like FS [Fig. 2(d)]. The free energy shows a cusp there as a function of t'' . Moreover, the jump disappears for $\alpha \neq 0$ and $n_{\mathbf{k}}$ changes smoothly, which will be due to realization of an “intermediate” state in the sense that the FS will close along the k_x direction (electron like) and open along the k_y direction (hole like). To confirm that an electron like FS actually changes into a hole like FS near $t''/t = 0.05$ as inferred from Figs. 2(a)-(d), we look at the change of $n_{\mathbf{k}}$ at $(\pi, 0)$ and $(0, \pi)$ by small α , which we define as $\Delta n_{\mathbf{k}} = n_{\mathbf{k};\alpha=0.01} - n_{\mathbf{k};\alpha=0}$. In Fig. 2(e), we see an appreciable change of $\Delta n_{\mathbf{k}}$ around $t''/t = 0.05$ and a positive (negative) sign of $\Delta n_{\mathbf{k}}$ at $(0, \pi)$ [$(\pi, 0)$]. This is expected when a FS is close to $(\pi, 0)$ and $(0, \pi)$ at $t''/t = 0.05$, where the small α moves the $(0, \pi)$ [$(\pi, 0)$] point inside (outside) the FS. Therefore, considering that ΔC_m shows a sharp peak at $t''/t = 0.05$ [Fig. 1(b)], we conclude that an electronic state with a FS being near $(\pi, 0)$ and $(0, \pi)$ has strong correlations toward orientational symmetry breaking.

Since our anisotropy ($\alpha = 0.01$) is a weak perturbation to the original t - J model, ΔC_m can be a linear response quantity.[27] This actually holds for most of t'' . When t'' approaches $0.05t$, however, the linear susceptibility grows rapidly and the value of $\alpha = 0.01$ is no longer in the linear response regime; ΔC_m begins to show saturation

as a function of α .

So far we have investigated the anisotropy of hole-hole correlation as a function of t'' . A similar enhancement of the anisotropy would be expected as a function of t' (with $t'' = 0$), since an electron like FS may cross $(\pi, 0)$ and $(0, \pi)$, and evolve to a hole like FS with decreasing $t' (< 0)$. However, $n_{\mathbf{k}}$ did not indicate a hole like FS, but an electron like FS [Fig. 2(c)] in a wide range $-0.1 \gtrsim t'/t \gtrsim -0.43$. This is probably due to larger finite size effects at smaller t' , since $n_{\mathbf{k}=(0,0)}$ decreases drastically at $t'/t \approx -0.44$ and becomes smaller than $n_{\mathbf{k}}$ at $\mathbf{k} = (\pi/2, 0)$, $(\pi, 0)$, and $(\pi/2, \pi/2)$, indicating realization of a hole pocket around $\mathbf{k} = (0, 0)$. This is not expected in infinite systems for such parameters and in fact does not occur in the slave-boson mean-field analysis. Regardless of this possible finite size effect, ΔC_m shows a pronounced enhancement near $t'/t = -0.43$, where we expect a FS to be closest to $(\pi, 0)$ and $(0, \pi)$ from the same observation as Fig. 2(e).

The correlation of orientational symmetry breaking found in Fig. 1(b) is identical to the d FSD correlation that was discussed in the slave-boson mean-field analysis of the t - J model[11, 20] and in various other models.[12, 13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 21] A crucial point of the present study is that the d FSD correlation is found in the exact diagonalization where strong correlation effects are treated exactly. Hence the d FSD is relevant even to a strongly correlated regime and in this sense is a generic feature when a FS is close to $(\pi, 0)$ and $(0, \pi)$.

While the present calculation has been done at a fixed hole density, the d FSD correlation may be prominent in a certain hole doping region. The slave-boson analysis of the t - J model showed that the d FSD correlation was noticeable in $0 \leq \delta \lesssim 0.20$ for $t/J = 4$, $t'/t = -1/6$, $t''/t = 0$ and became stronger with decreasing δ . [11]

The strong correlation effects are treated exactly in this study. But the system size is finite. Does a spontaneous d FSD take place when the system size becomes infinite? This is an open question. Since the d FSD competes with other instabilities such as d -wave superconductivity, there is a possibility that a spontaneous d FSD is hindered by a more dominant instability.[11, 19]

Even if a spontaneous d FSD does not occur, appreciable correlations of the d FSD make a system sensitive to a small external anisotropy, which leads to a noticeable d FSD — the FS is softened.[11, 20, 21] This scenario was proposed for La-based cuprates from strong band parameter dependence of the d FSD correlation [see Fig. 1(b)].[11] The shape of the FS was interpreted in terms of the d FSD under the assumption of a coupling to the low-temperature tetragonal lattice distortion that gave a small external anisotropy to the electron system.[11] Magnetic excitations were investigated on the basis of the d FSD scenario and turned out to catch essential features of neutron scattering data.[28] Recently anisotropic neutron scattering signals were observed for untwinned yttrium barium copper oxides,[29] and effects of the d FSD will be interesting for this material also.

The d FSD correlation is the same as electronic "nematic" correlations[30] from the view of symmetry — orientational symmetry breaking of a square lattice. The possibility of nematic order has been discussed for high- T_c cuprates.[10] It is, however, currently envisaged as melting of spin-charge stripe order; the underlying physics is different from the d FSD. In the stripe scenario, some interaction with large momentum transfer near $\mathbf{q} = (\pi, \pi)$ is requisite to realize spin-charge stripe formation. On the other hand, the d FSD comes from forward scattering of electrons close to the FS near $(\pi, 0)$ and $(0, \pi)$; stripes are not necessary to get the nematic order. It should be noted that the d FSD scenario does not preclude realization of stripes, which could be driven by interactions with large momentum transfers in the d FSD state. In the t - J model, however, this is unlikely. The hole-hole correlation is not one-dimensional even in the presence of the anisotropy [inset of Fig. 1(b)]. In addition, various numerical studies of the t - J model reported that stripe formation was not favored[31, 32] especially in the presence of t' and t'' , [33, 34] that is for realistic band parameters of cuprates.

In summary, we have investigated a tendency to ori-

entational symmetry breaking of the square lattice t - J model. To treat strong correlation effects rigorously, we have applied the exact diagonalization technique to a 4×4 cluster with a periodic boundary condition with two holes at zero temperature. Introducing a small anisotropy to t and J , we have calculated the induced anisotropy of hole-hole correlations as a response. We have found that the response is strongly enhanced for particular band parameters, meaning that the system has a strong tendency to orientational symmetry breaking of the square lattice. The analysis of momentum distribution function indicates that this correlation develops when the FS is close to $(\pi, 0)$ and $(0, \pi)$. The present study shows that the idea of a d FSD, originally proposed in the slave-boson mean-field analysis of the t - J model[11] and a renormalization group analysis of the Hubbard model,[12] is relevant even to a strongly correlated regime and is thus a generic feature of square lattice interacting electron systems. Possibilities of the d FSD are interesting for high- T_c cuprates as well as other materials.[35]

We are grateful to Y. Hasegawa for helpful suggestions. H.Y. thanks L. Dell'Anna, P. Horsch, A. Katanin, G. Khaliullin, and W. Metzner for enlightening discussions.

-
- [1] D. J. Van Harlingen, Rev. Mod. Phys. **67**, 515 (1995); C. C. Tsuei and J. R. Kirtley, *ibid.* **72**, 969 (2000).
 - [2] M. Inui, S. Doniach, P. J. Hirschfeld, and A. E. Ruckenstein, Phys. Rev. B **37**, R2320 (1988).
 - [3] T. Giamarchi and C. Lhuillier, Phys. Rev. B **43**, 12 943 (1991).
 - [4] S. R. White and D. J. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 1272 (1998); **81**, 3227 (1998).
 - [5] X.-G. Wen and P. A. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 503 (1996).
 - [6] E. Cappelluti and R. Zeyher, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 6475 (1999).
 - [7] T. Timusk and B. Statt, Rep. Prog. Phys. **62**, 61 (1999).
 - [8] E. Demler, W. Hanke, and S.-C. Zhang, Rev. Mod. Phys. **76**, 909 (2004).
 - [9] P. A. Lee and X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 224517 (2001).
 - [10] S. A. Kivelson, I. P. Bindloss, E. Fradkin, V. Oganesyan, J. M. Tranquada, A. Kapitulnik, and C. Howald, Rev. Mod. Phys. **75**, 1201 (2003).
 - [11] H. Yamase and H. Kohno, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **69**, 332 (2000); **69** 2151 (2000).
 - [12] C. J. Halboth and W. Metzner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 5162 (2000).
 - [13] B. Valenzuela and M. A. H. Vozmediano, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 153103 (2001).
 - [14] I. Grote, E. K rding and F. Wegner, J. Low Temp. Phys. **126**, 1385 (2002); V. Hankevych, and F. Wegner, Eur. Phys. J. B **31**, 333 (2003).
 - [15] A. Neumayr and W. Metzner, Phys. Rev. B **67**, 035112 (2003).
 - [16] I. J. Pomeranchuk, Sov. Phys. JETP **8**, 361 (1958).
 - [17] H.-Y. Kee, E. H. Kim, and C.-H. Chung, Phys. Rev. B **68**, 245109 (2003); I. Khavkine, C.-H. Chung, V. Oganesyan, and H.-Y. Kee, *ibid.* **70**, 155110 (2004).
 - [18] H. Yamase, V. Oganesyan, W. Metzner, cond-mat/0502238.
 - [19] C. Honerkamp, M. Salmhofer, and T. M. Rice, Eur. Phys. J. B **27**, 127 (2002).
 - [20] H. Yamase, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 266404 (2004).
 - [21] W. Metzner, D. Rohe, and S. Andergassen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 066402 (2003).
 - [22] $\mathbf{r} = (2, 3)$ $[(3, 1)]$ is equivalent to $\mathbf{r} = (2, -1)$ $[(-1, 1)]$ because of the periodic boundary condition.
 - [23] J. M. Luttinger, Phys. Rev. **119**, 1153 (1960).
 - [24] W. Stephan and P. Horsch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 2258 (1991).
 - [25] R. R. P. Singh and R. L. Glenister, Phys. Rev. B **46**, R14313 (1992).
 - [26] A. Miyazawa, Y. Hasegawa, and H. Fukuyama, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **63**, 1268 (1994).
 - [27] R. Kubo, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **12**, 570 (1957).
 - [28] H. Yamase and H. Kohno, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **70**, 2733 (2001); H. Yamase, *ibid.* **71**, 1154 (2002); H. Yamase and H. Kohno, Phys. Rev. B **68**, 014502 (2003).
 - [29] V. Hinkov, S. Pailh s, P. Bourges, Y. Sidis, A. Ivanov, A. Kulakov, C. T. Lin, D. Chen, C. Bernhard, and B. Keimer, Nature **430**, 650 (2004).
 - [30] S. A. Kivelson, E. Fradkin, and V. J. Emery, Nature **393**, 550 (1998).
 - [31] C. S. Hellberg and E. Manousakis, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 132 (1999).
 - [32] S. Sorella, G. B. Martins, F. Becca, C. Gazza, L. Capriotti, A. Parola, and E. Dagotto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 117002 (2002).
 - [33] T. Tohyama, C. Gazza, C. T. Shih, Y. C. Chen, T. K. Lee, S. Maekawa, and E. Dagotto, Phys. Rev. B **59**, R11649 (1999).
 - [34] S. R. White and D. J. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. B **60**, R753

- (1999).
- [35] S. A. Grigera, P. Gegenwart, R. A. Borzi, F. Weickert, A. J. Schofield, R. S. Perry, T. Tayama, T. Sakakibara, Y. Maeno, A. G. Green, and A. P. Mackenzie, *Science* **306**, 1154 (2004).