

Conservation of spin current

Jian Wang *,¹ Baigeng Wang,² Wei Ren,¹ and Hong Guo³

¹*Department of Physics and the center of theoretical and computational physics,
The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong, China*

²*Department of Physics, Nanjing University, Nanjing, P.R. China*

³*Department of Physics, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada*

The conventional definition of spin-current, namely spin density multiplied by the group velocity, is not a conserved quantity due to possible spin rotations caused by spin-orbit (SO) interaction. However, in a model with spin-spin interactions, rotation of a spin causes a dynamic response of surrounding spins that opposes the rotation. Such a many-body effect restores the spin-current conservation. Here we prove that the non-conservation problem of spin-current can be resolved if a self-consistent spin-spin interaction is included in the analysis. We further derive a spin-conductance formula which partitions spin-current into different leads of a multi-lead conductor.

PACS numbers: 72.10.Bg, 72.25.-b, 85.75.Mm

Recently, considerable interest has been paid to the quantum physics of spin-current¹. It is believed that a controlled spin-current generation, detection, and usage can provide interesting applications to spintronics. Spin-current generation has been classified as “extrinsic” or “intrinsic”. An extrinsic spin-current is generated by external physical factors and driving forces of the spintronics device, such as optical spin injection achieved experimentally² and the various spin pumps studied theoretically³. An intrinsic spin-current is generated by physical factors existed inside the spintronic device, notable is that generated by various spin-orbit interactions^{4,5,6,7,8}. In particular, it has been theoretically predicted that non-magnetic systems with spin-orbit interaction and under an external electric field, can generate a spin-current flowing perpendicular to the electric field⁵. Such an spin-current is termed “dissipationless” because the electron motion is perpendicular to the electric field. There are so far extensive theoretical work on spin-current physics^{9,10}, and some experimental works have also appeared^{7,11} which may provide support to some of the theories.

Despite the increasing literature on spin-current physics, it is recognized that the definition of spin-current itself is still somewhat controversial. If one mimics the definition of charge-current, then a spin-current I_s can be defined as the time derivative of spin density N_s , $I_s \sim \langle dN_s/dt \rangle$, here the bracket is the quantum average. In its simplest form at steady state, such a definition gives a spin-current $I_s = \frac{\hbar}{2}(I_\uparrow - I_\downarrow)$, where I_\uparrow, I_\downarrow are the charge current with spin-up and spin-down, respectively. Clearly, this is a very intuitive definition of spin-current and is adopted by most of the work in literature. Since both spin and velocity are vectors, the spin-current is a tensor. In systems where there is a spin-orbit interaction, the spin density is not conserved: spins can rotate from their initial orientation due to the interaction. Therefore, spin-current I_s becomes a non-conservative quantity. A quantity which is not conserved is difficult to study experimentally and indeed, it is unclear what is even measured if an experimental detection method can be found

to measure spin-current. Without knowing what is measured, the definition of spin-current becomes non-unique and there have been several definitions in literature.

Although it is unclear *a priori* if a measurable spin-current must be conserved, the property of conservation would be nice to have, at least theoretically. Such an issue has been discussed in a recent paper of Sun and Xie¹² who defined an additional rotational contribution to spin-current on top of the above conventional definition, and the total spin-current is then conserved. However, there is no microscopic theory concerning this problem. In the present work, we further investigate this problem by using the conventional definition of spin-current $I_s \sim \langle dN_s/dt \rangle$, but we include a spin-spin interaction into the Hamiltonian for computing the quantum average $\langle \dots \rangle$. Our basic idea is the following. The existing problem of spin-current non-conservation was due to SO interaction which rotates the spin away from its original direction. In a spin system with spin-spin interactions, the rotation of one spin causes a dynamic response of surrounding spins through the interaction, and this response opposes the original spin rotation. This many-body effect introduces a new term in the spin-current that balances the spin-current non-conservation. In the end, one obtains a final spin-current in the interacting model (which has a different value than that of non-interacting model) that is conserved. In the following, we demonstrate this idea by proving that I_s obtained in a model with dipole-dipole interaction is, indeed, conserved. Importantly, the idea is based on a many-body phenomenon, as long as there is spin-spin interaction, our conclusion should be general. Furthermore, since there are always some dipole interactions between spins, including their contribution is also rather natural. Finally, the conserved spin-current gives a linear spin-conductance, we derive an expression for this spin-conductance for multi-probe device systems.

We consider a coherent multi-probe mesoscopic device which consists a device scattering region that connects to a number of leads extending to far away. In a lead labeled by α , the spin-current $I_{s\alpha} \sim \langle dN_s/dt \rangle$. Following

Ref.¹³, we calculate the time derivative using Heisenberg equation of motion and in steady state, the spin current I_s can be written in terms of Green's functions:

$$I_{s\alpha} = - \int \frac{dE}{4\pi} \text{Tr} [\sigma_3 (\mathbf{G}^r \Sigma_\alpha^< - \Sigma_\alpha^< \mathbf{G}^a + \mathbf{G}^< \Sigma_\alpha^a - \Sigma_\alpha^r \mathbf{G}^<)] \quad (1)$$

where $\Sigma^{r,a}$ are the retarded and advanced self-energies due to the presence of leads; $\mathbf{G}^{<,r,a}$ are the lesser, retarded and advanced Green's functions of the device scattering region, respectively. Note that the trace in the last equation can be reduced as:

$$\text{Tr} [\sigma_3 (\mathbf{G}^r \Sigma^< - \Sigma^< \mathbf{G}^a)] = \text{Tr} [\mathbf{G}^a F \mathbf{G}^r \Sigma_\alpha^<]$$

where

$$F \equiv [\mathbf{G}^a]^{-1} \sigma_3 - \sigma_3 [\mathbf{G}^r]^{-1} = [\sigma_3, H_0] - \Sigma^a \sigma_3 - \sigma_3 \Sigma^r.$$

Here H_0 is the Hamiltonian of the device scattering region without the leads. Hence Eq.(1) can be written as

$$I_{s\alpha} = - \int \frac{dE}{4\pi} \text{Tr} [\mathbf{G}^< (\Sigma_\alpha^a \sigma_3 - \sigma_3 \Sigma_\alpha^r) - \mathbf{G}_\alpha^< (\Sigma^a \sigma_3 - \sigma_3 \Sigma^r) + [\sigma_3, H_0] \mathbf{G}_\alpha^<] \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{G}_\alpha^< = i \mathbf{G}^r \Gamma_\alpha f_\alpha \mathbf{G}^a$ with Γ_β the linewidth function.

The total spin-current is

$$I_s = \sum_\alpha I_{s\alpha} = - \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} \quad (3)$$

where

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} \equiv i \int \frac{dE}{4\pi} \text{Tr} [\dot{\sigma}_3 G^<] \quad (4)$$

with $\dot{\sigma}_3 = -i[\sigma_3, H_0]$. Eq.(3) is just the continuity equation for spin current in the absence of external magnetic field and spin relaxation¹⁴. The physics of this equation is rather clear: in the presence of any spin-orbit interaction the electron spin precesses due to an internal torque on the spin, hence the total spin current flowing into the scattering region is non-zero and it equals to the rate of spin precession $-\partial S/\partial t$. This is as if there were some "spin accumulation" in the scattering region. In other words, the total spin-current I_s is itself not conserved due to spin precessing.

This situation is reminiscent to the continuity equation of *charge current* I_e in the presence of a time dependent field¹⁵, namely

$$I_e \equiv \sum_\alpha I_{e,\alpha} = - \frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} \quad (5)$$

Here the total charge current I_e is equal to the charge accumulation in the scattering region. The problem of

charge current conservation under AC fields was discussed by Büttiker¹⁵ who pointed out that the total particle current is not conserved under AC conditions, but the total particle current plus total displacement current is a conserved quantity. The total displacement current is precisely $\partial Q/\partial t$ in the above equation. Since displacement current results from induction which is related to electron-electron interaction, Büttiker formulated a current conserving theory¹⁵ by including the electron-electron interaction at the mean field level, which naturally deduces the displacement current in each lead. The problem of displacement current partition in multi-probe conductor under nonequilibrium conditions has been reported in Ref.17 within the nonequilibrium Green's function formalism.

The similarity between Eqs.(3) and (5) suggests that the problem of spin-current conservation can also be looked at from an interacting spin point of view. As discussed above, a spin-spin interaction causes a dynamic response in the system which counter-react on any rotation of a spin. In the following, we demonstrate this many-body physics by investigating the consequence of a self-consistent spin-spin interaction in a device Hamiltonian which contains the Rashba spin-orbit (SO) interaction. Indeed, we prove that the self-consistent spin-spin interaction produces a term that exactly cancels the right hand side of Eq.(3) so that $\sum_\alpha I_{s\alpha} = 0$.

Our model Hamiltonian is ($\hbar = 1$):

$$H = H_o + V^{ss}$$

where

$$H_o = \sum_n (\epsilon_n + q U_n) d_n^\dagger d_n + \sum_{nm} (V_{nm}^{so} d_n^\dagger d_m + h.c.) \quad (6)$$

$$H^{ss} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{nmij} (V_{nmij}^{ss} d_n^\dagger d_m d_i^\dagger d_j + h.c.)$$

where H^{ss} is the spin-spin interaction and n, m, i , and j include spin indices. Here V^{so} and V^{ss} , respectively, are matrix elements of the Rashba SO interaction and the spin-spin interaction. In real space, they are given by

$$V^{so}(x) = \alpha_R \sigma \cdot (\hat{z} \times \mathbf{k}) \quad (7)$$

and¹⁸

$$V^{ss}(x, x') = (g\mu_B)^2 \sigma \cdot \nabla \sigma \cdot \nabla' \frac{1}{|x - x'|}.$$

To deal with transport problems, we make a mean field analysis on the spin-spin interaction so that H^{ss} becomes

$$H^{ss} = \sum_{nm} V_{nm} d_n^\dagger d_m$$

with

$$V_{nm} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} (V_{nmij}^{ss} < d_i^\dagger d_j > + h.c.)$$

In real space, V_{nm} becomes,

$$V(x) = g\mu_B\sigma \cdot \nabla\phi_M = -g\mu_B\sigma \cdot \mathbf{H} \quad (8)$$

with

$$\phi_M = - \int_V \frac{\nabla' \cdot \mathbf{M}(x')}{|x - x'|} dx' \quad (9)$$

The local magnetic moment \mathbf{M} is determined by spin density which, in the language of nonequilibrium Green's functions (NEGF), is given by

$$\mathbf{M}(x) = -ig\mu_B \int \frac{dE}{2\pi} \text{Tr}_s[\sigma \mathbf{G}_{xx}^<]$$

where Tr_s is the trace over spin space and $\mathbf{G}_{xx}^<$ is the diagonal element of $\mathbf{G}^<$. Note that $\mathbf{H} = -\nabla\phi_M$ is the self-consistent effective magnetic field due to the spin-spin interaction. The Green's function also depends on this effective field through $V(x)$,

$$\mathbf{G}^r = \frac{1}{E - H_0 - g\mu_B\sigma \cdot \nabla\phi_M - \Sigma^r} \quad (10)$$

From Eq.(9), ϕ_M satisfies the following Poisson-like equation

$$\nabla^2\phi_M = -4\pi\rho_M \quad (11)$$

where $\rho_M = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{M}$ is the effective density of magnetic charge¹⁸. These equations form a self-consistent problem.

To solve Eq.(11), we consider a very large volume of space surrounding the device scattering region such that the total magnetic charge inside that volume to be zero. Mathematically, this consideration means:

$$\int dx \nabla \cdot \mathbf{M}(x) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \int \frac{dE}{2\pi} \text{Tr}(\nabla \cdot \sigma G^<) = 0 \quad (12)$$

where $\text{Tr} = \text{Tr}_s \text{Tr}_o$ includes the trace over both spin space and orbit space. Eq.(12) can be achieved if the volume in which we solve Eq.(11) is so large that the effective magnetic field \mathbf{H} on the surface of that volume is zero.

Using the model Hamiltonian Eq.(6) and applying the Heisenberg equation of motion, we can evaluate

$$\dot{\sigma}_3 = -i[\sigma_3, H_0] = 2i\alpha_R \nabla \cdot \sigma \quad .$$

This result together with Eq.(12) proves

$$\int \frac{dE}{2\pi} \text{Tr}(\dot{\sigma}_3 G^<) = 0 \quad .$$

Therefore the "spin accumulation" of Eq.(4) is actually zero. In other words, if the spin-spin interaction is included in the Green's function, there will be no spin accumulation and the spin current is conserved. We emphasize that in the self-consistent formalism, Eqs.(1,10,11) form the basic set of equations for the spin current conserving theory.

Now we derive a "spin conductance" \mathcal{G} that corresponds to the spin-current. When the external bias is small, we expand the spin-spin interaction $V(x)$ in terms of bias v_α , $V(x) = \sum_\alpha u_\alpha v_\alpha$ where we have introduced the notion of spin dependent characteristic potential^{15,16} u_α which satisfy the gauge invariant condition $\sum_\alpha u_\alpha = 1$, i.e., the spin current depends only on the difference in external bias. Expanding Eq.(2) in terms of small bias v_β , we find at zero temperature,

$$I_{s\alpha} = \sum_\beta \mathcal{G}_{\alpha\beta} v_\beta \quad (13)$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\beta} = \text{Tr}[g_{\alpha\beta} - (\sum_\gamma g_{\alpha\gamma})_{xx} u_\beta(x)] \quad (14)$$

where the matrix $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is given by

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{i}{4} [(\Sigma_\alpha^a \sigma_3 - \sigma_3 \Sigma_\alpha^r) - (\Sigma^a \sigma_3 - \sigma_3 \Sigma^r) \delta_{\alpha\beta} + [\sigma_3, H_0] \delta_{\alpha\beta}] \mathbf{G}^r \Gamma_\beta \mathbf{G}^a + h.c. \quad (15)$$

Note that the first term of Eq.(14) comes from expansion of Fermi distribution function and the second term involving the characteristic potential is due to the spin-spin interaction term in the expansion. Linearizing Eq.(11) we have

$$-\nabla^2\phi_\alpha(x) = \kappa \text{Tr}_s[(\sum_{\eta\gamma} g_{\eta\gamma})_{xx} u_\alpha(x) - (\sum_\gamma g_{\gamma\alpha})_{xx}] \quad (16)$$

where $\kappa = (2\pi g\mu_B)/\alpha_R$ and $\phi_M = \sum_\alpha \phi_\alpha v_\alpha$. Note that the expansion over external bias, the spin conductance $g_{\alpha\beta}$ in both Eq.(15) and Eq.(16) do not depend on the self-consistent interaction. From Eq.(8) we have $u_\alpha = g\mu_B\sigma \cdot \nabla\phi_\alpha$. From Poisson like equation Eq.(16) we obtain the spin dependent characteristic potential and the spin conductance can be calculated from Eq.(14).

We emphasize that this conductance guarantees that the linear spin-current of Eq.(13) is conserved. Without the spin-spin interaction, the conductance would be given by only the first term on the right hand side of Eq.(17), and the resulting spin-current would not be conserved. In fact, the sum of the second term of Eq.(17) over space, i.e., the quantity $\text{Tr}[\sum_\gamma g_{\gamma\alpha}]$, is exactly equal to the "spin accumulation" $-\partial S_\alpha/\partial t$ in the scattering region from lead α due to Rashba interaction in the small bias limit. To see this, we find from Eq.(15)

$$\frac{\partial S_\alpha}{\partial t} = -\text{Tr}[\sum_\gamma g_{\gamma\alpha}] = -\frac{i}{2} \text{Tr}[[\sigma_3, H_0] \mathbf{G}^r \Gamma_\alpha \mathbf{G}^a]$$

so that $\sum_\alpha \partial S_\alpha/\partial t v_\alpha = \partial S/\partial t$. This means that the spin-spin interaction puts this contribution into the spin conductance itself automatically, so that the right hand side of Eq.(3) vanishes. Eq.(17) therefore partitions the non-conserving part of the spin-current (i.e. the right

hand side of Eq.(3) when there is no spin-spin interaction) into each leads, such that the spin-current becomes conserved.

Since the solution of the Poisson like equation requires numerical calculation, analytically we can avoid this by using a quasi-neutrality approximation, *i.e.*, assuming that the effective density of magnetic charge $\rho_M(x) = 0$ so that the local magnetic moment \mathbf{M} is independent of position. Then, we find the spin dependent characteristic potential by setting the right hand side of Eq.(16) to zero and obtain: $u_\alpha(x) = (\sum_\gamma g_{\gamma\alpha})_{xx} / (\sum_{\eta\gamma} g_{\eta\gamma})_{xx}$. The conductance is then found to be:

$$\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\beta} = \text{Tr}[g_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{(\sum_\gamma g_{\alpha\gamma})(\sum_\gamma g_{\gamma\alpha})}{(\sum_{\eta\gamma} g_{\eta\gamma})}] . \quad (17)$$

This expression is very similar to that of charge current partition in ac situations^{15,17}, and it partitions the total spin-current into each lead α so that the total spin-current flowing into the device is conserved.

The above microscopic theory result, Eq.(17), is valid for Rashba SO interaction. For a general SO interaction, a similar expression to Eq.(17) can be derived using a phenomenological argument¹⁵. To do that, we require two conditions: (i) the total spin current is conserved; (ii) the value of spin current depends only on the difference of external bias. The latter condition means that spin current remains unchanged if external bias at each lead is shifted by the same amount. Now, the unconserved spin current $I_{s\alpha}^c$ is given by Eq.(13). The “spin accumulation” $I_s^d \equiv \partial S / \partial t$ is given by $\sum_\alpha I_{s\alpha}^c = \sum_\beta (\sum_\alpha \mathcal{G}_{\alpha\beta}^c) v_\beta = -I_s^d$, where we have used $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\beta}^c \equiv \text{Tr} g_{\alpha\beta}^c$ for the non-conserved spin conductance. Note that the total “spin accumulation” is due to the contribution $I_{s\alpha}^d$ from each lead α , *i.e.* $I_s^d = \sum_\alpha I_{s\alpha}^d$. Since only the total “spin accumulation” is known, we need to find $I_{s\alpha}^d$ by partition the spin current. For this purpose, let $I_{s\alpha} \equiv I_{s\alpha}^c + A_\alpha I_s^d$, or equivalently

$$\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\beta} = \mathcal{G}_{\alpha\beta}^c - A_\alpha \sum_\gamma \mathcal{G}_{\gamma\beta}^c \quad (18)$$

where A_α is an unknown to be determined. Condition (i) gives $\sum_\alpha \mathcal{G}_{\alpha\beta} = 0$, hence we obtain $\sum_\alpha A_\alpha = 1$. Condition (ii) gives gauge invariance $\sum_\beta \mathcal{G}_{\alpha\beta} = 0$, hence we obtain $\text{Tr}[\sum_\beta g_{\alpha\beta} - A_\alpha \sum_{\gamma\beta} g_{\gamma\beta}] = 0$ from which we find $A_\alpha = \sum_\gamma \mathcal{G}_{\alpha\gamma} / \sum_{\eta\gamma} \mathcal{G}_{\eta\gamma}$. Therefore Eq.(18) gives:

$$\mathcal{G}_{\alpha\beta} = \mathcal{G}_{\alpha\beta}^c - \frac{(\sum_\gamma \mathcal{G}_{\alpha\gamma}^c)(\sum_\gamma \mathcal{G}_{\gamma\alpha}^c)}{(\sum_{\eta\gamma} \mathcal{G}_{\eta\gamma}^c)} . \quad (19)$$

Eq.(19) has the same form as Eq.(17) which is specific to Rashba SO. We therefore propose that Eq.(17) can serve as a phenomenological theory which conserves spin-current regardless of the detailed spin-orbit interactions. Namely, if we use Eq.(17) to compute spin-conductance, the resulting spin-current from Eq.(13) will always be conserved regardless of which SO interaction is present.

In summary, we have proven that the conventional spin-current $I_s \sim \langle n_s v_s \rangle$ for Rashba interaction becomes a conserved quantity if spin-spin dipole interaction is included. Such a dipole interaction introduces a self-consistent field which correlates spins spatially. For general SO interactions, a phenomenological theory for spin current partition is proposed which conserves spin current, and the resulting spin-conductance has the same form as that derived from the microscopic theory of Rashba interaction.

Acknowledgments. We gratefully acknowledge support by a RGC grant from the SAR Government of Hong Kong under grant number HKU 7044/04P. B.G. W is supported by the grant from NSFC under grant number 90303011 and H.G is supported by NSERC of Canada, FQRNT of Québec and CIAR.

*) Electronic address: jianwang@hkusub.hku.hk

-
- ¹ Igor Zutic et al, Rev. Mod. Phys. **76**, 323 (2004).
² M.J. Stevens, *et.al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 136603 (2003); J. Hübner, *ibid* **90**, 216601 (2003).
³ Q.F. Sun et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 258301 (2003).
⁴ S. Datta and B. Das, Appl. Phys. Lett. **56**, 665 (1990).
⁵ S. Murakami et al, Science **301**, 1348 (2003).
⁶ J. Sinova, *et.al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 126603 (2004).
⁷ Y.K. Kato et al, Science **306**, 1910 (2004).
⁸ S.Q. Shen et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 256603 (2004).
⁹ E.G. Mishchenko et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 226602 (2004).
¹⁰ F. Mireles and G. Kirczenow, Phys Rev. B **64**, 024426 (2001); M. Larsen et al, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 033304 (2002); T. Matsuyama, *et.al.* Phys. Rev. B **65**, 155322 (2002); X.F. Wang and P. Vasilopoulos, Appl. Phys. Lett. **83**, 940 (2003); L. Hu et al, Phys. Rev. B **68**, 115302 (2003); E.I. Eashba and A.I. L. Efros, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 126405 (2003); M. Cahay and S. Bandyopadhyay, Phys. Rev. B **68**, 115316 (2003); D. Culcer, *et.al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 046602 (2004); Phys. Rev. B **67**, 085313 (2003); Q.F. Sun and X. C. Xie, Phys. Rev. **71**, 155321 (2005).
¹¹ E.R. Mucciolo et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 146802 (2002).
¹² Q.F. Sun and X.C. Xie, cond-mat/0502317.
¹³ A.P. Jauho et al, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 5528 (1994).
¹⁴ M.D. Stiles and A. Zangwill, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 014407 (2002).
¹⁵ M. Büttiker et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 4114 (1993); M. Büttiker and T. Christen, in *Quantum Transport in Semiconductor Submicron Structures*, edited by B. Kramer, (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1996, pp263).
¹⁶ Z.S. Ma et al, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 7575 (1999).
¹⁷ B.G. Wang et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 398 (1999); Phys. Rev. B **67**, 092408 (2003).
¹⁸ J.D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, second edition, (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1962), p193.