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#### Abstract

W e study the $H$ am iltonian dynam ics of a free particle in jected onto a chain containing a periodic array of harm onic oscillators in them al equilibrium. T he particle interacts locally w ith each oscillator, w ith an interaction that is linear in the oscillator coordinate and independent of the particle's position when it is w ithin a nite interaction range. At long tim es the particle exhibits di usive $m$ otion, $w$ ith an ensem ble averaged $m$ ean-squared displacem ent that is linear in tim $e$. The di usion constant at high tem peratures follow s a pow er law D $T^{5=2}$ for all param eter values studied. At low tem peratures particle $m$ otion changes to a hopping process in which the particle is bound for considerable periods of tim e to a single oscillator before it is able to escape and explore the rest of the chain. A di erent power law, D $\mathrm{T}^{3=4}$; em erges in this lim it. A therm al distribution of particles exhibits them ally activated di usion at low tem peratures as a result of classically self-trapped polaronic states.


## I. INTRODUCTION

The theoretical problem of an essentially free particle interacting locally $w$ ith vibrationalm odes of the $m$ edium through which it $m$ oves is relevant to a large class of physical system s , and arises in a variety of contexts. It is pertinent to fundam ental studies aim ed at understanding the em ergence of dissipation in H am iltonian system $\mathrm{s}\left[\mathrm{In}_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \overline{2}\right]$, and to the outstanding theoretical problem of deriving $m$ acroscopic transport law from the underly ing $m$ icroscopic
 of theoretical work has focused on the nature of electron-phonon interactions, and the rich variety of behavior that occurs: from the simple scattering of particles by phonons [bll to the em ergence of polarons in which the titinerant particle is clothed by a localdistortion of the m edium [iven , particle is bound to the potentialw ell created by such a localized vibrationaldistortion. Such theoretical issues have also had a rich intenplay $w$ ith experim ent, $w$ th experim ental observations suggesting theoretically predicted band-to-hopping and adiabatic-nonadiabatic polaron transitions of in jected charges carriers in organic molecular solids [9]

A though much of the theoretical work on polaronic e ects has appropriately focused on quantum mechanical calculations of polaron hopping rates, di usion constants, and mobilities, there have been som e workers who have questioned the degree to which polaronic phenom ena depend intrinsically on their quantum $m$ echanicalunderpinnings. There is an extensive literature, e.g., dealing $w$ ith the validity and usefulness of various sem i-classical approxim ations that have been used to study $m$ any aspects of polaron dynam ics [1d]. C onsiderably less w ork has focused on com pletely classical versions of the problem and the degree to which classicalm odels might capture essential transport features found in approxim ate or perturbative quantum $m$ echanical calculations $[1] 11]$. $M$ oreover, since the exact quantum $m$ echanicaldynam ics of the interacting particle/m any-oscillator system rem ains com putationally com plex due to the enorm ous size of the $m$ any-body $H$ ibert space, it is di cult to com putationally test perturbative or sem i-classical calculations of such fundam ental transport quantities as the polaron di usion constant. It $m$ ight be hoped, therefore, that num erically exact calculations of the fullm any-body dynam ics of classical versions of the problem could provide inform ation that would be com plem entary to analytical studies and sem iclassical approxim ations of the fullquantum evolution.

M otivated by these considerations, we study here the closed H am iltonian dynam ics of a sim ple system that corresponds to a classical version of the well-studied $H$ olstein $m$ olecular crystalm odel $\left.{ }_{l}^{1}\right]$. The $m$ odel, in which a free m obile particle experiences a linear, local interaction $w$ ith vibrationalm odes of xed frequency ! arranged at regular intervals a along the line on which it m oves, can also be view ed as a one-dim ensionalperiodic inelastic Lorentz gas.

Sim ilar to what has been observed in quantum m echanical treatm ents of the polaron problem we nd that there are in this $m$ odel tw o di erent types of states of the com bined system : selftrapped and itinerant. A s their nam e suggests, self-trapped states are im mobile. Itinerant states, by contrast, undergo $m$ otion that is macroscopically di usive. We show for our classicalm odel that the di usion constant for itinerant states has a pow er law dependence on the tem perature $T$, w ith two di erent regim es that are sim ilar to the adiabatic and non-adiabatic lim its discussed in the polaron literature. At high tem peratures the di usion constant of the $m$ odel increases $w$ ith tem perature as


FIG. 1: Schem atic illustration of the dynam icalmodel. A particle moves along a line in which are periodically em bedded oscillators of frequency ! which oscillate transverse to the particle motion. There is no interaction when the particle is in one of the free regions of length $L$ betw een adjacent oscillators. T he particle exerts a constant force on the oscillator at $q_{n}=m a$ when it is within a distance of that oscillator. As a result, the oscillator in that cell m oves about a shifted equilibrium position $==!^{2}$ : The thick line show s a snapshot of the instantaneous potential U (q) experienced by the particle at one instant during the evolution of the system. T he shaded regions connect the potential in each interacting subcell to the value about which it oscillates.
$T^{5=2}$ and the transport $m$ echanism is sim ilar to what one thinks of as band transport in a solid, w ith long excursions before a velocity reversing scattering from one of the oscillators in the system. At low tem peratures transport occurs via hopping of the carrier betw een di erent unit cells, w ith the particle spending a long tim e in the neighborhood of a given oscillator before $m$ aking a transition to a neighboring one. In this hopping lim it the di usion constant for untrapped particles varies as $\mathrm{T}^{3=4}$; ultim ately vanishing at zero tem perature. An ensemble of particles in therm al equilibrium w ith the chain contains both itnerant and self-trapped populations of particles, and exhibits a di usion constant D $\quad \mathrm{T}^{1=2} e^{\mathrm{T}_{0}=\mathrm{T}}$ that is exponentially activated at low tem peratures, w ith a functional form sim ilar to that derived for polaron hopping in quantum $m$ echanical treatm ents of the problem.

In the current classical $m$ odel, we take the particle-oscillator interaction to be linear in the oscillator coordinate when the particle nds itself within a distance $<a=2$ of the oscillator. Thus, the line on which the particle $m$ oves naturally decom poses into unit cells of width a, each centered on an interacting subcell of width 2 : T his interacting subcell is surrounded on each side by noninteracting regions of width a $2=\mathrm{L}$. (See Fig.1.) The totalH am iltonian describing this system can be w ritten [1] ]

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2} p^{2}+\frac{X}{m} \frac{1}{2}{ }_{m}^{2}+!^{2} \underset{m}{2}+\quad X \quad{ }_{m} n_{m} \quad \text { (q) } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p$ and $q$ are the $m$ om entum and position of the particle, and $m$ and $m$ the $m$ om entum and displacem ent of the $m^{\text {th }}$ oscillator, which is located on the chain at $q=q_{n}=m a:$ In the $H$ am iltonian $\left[\overline{11}_{1}^{1}\right)$, the function $n_{m}(q)=$
( j if if ) govems the range of interaction betw een the particle and the $m$ th oscillator: it vanishes outside the interaction region associated w ith that oscillator and is equal to unity inside it. W e denote by ( x ) the unit increasing step function. The param eter govems the strength of the interaction.

A though not necessary, it is convenient to think of the oscillator $m$ otion in this $m$ odel as occurring in a direction penpendicular to that of the partiple, as depicted in $F$ ig ${ }_{12} \overline{11}$. At any given instant the interaction term de nes a disordered step potentialU $(q)=\quad \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{q})$ seen by the particle as it m oves along the chain. U nder the classical evolution of the m odel, the vibrational m odes in subœells in which the particle is absent (i.e., modes for which $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{q})=0$ ) oscillate independently around their non-interacting equilibrium position $\mathrm{m}=0: \mathrm{D}$ uring tim es that the particle is in one of the interacting regions, how ever, the associated vibrationalm ode in that region oscillates about a displaced equilibrium position $=\quad=!^{2}$. This local displacem ent of the equilibrium position of the oscillator in the region around the particle can be viewed as a vibrational distortion of the $m$ edium that \accom panies" the particle as it $m$ oves, form ing part of this classical polaron. Indeed, the ground state of this $m$ odel corresponds to a polaronic \self-trapped" state in which the particle is at rest in one of the interacting regions, w ith all oscillators at rest in their norm al or displaced equilibrium positions. For this state, the total energy of the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{g}=\frac{1}{2}!^{2}=\frac{2}{2!^{2}}=E_{B} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is negative and has a $m$ agnitude $E_{B}={ }^{2}=2!^{2}$; analogous to what is referred to in the polaron literature as the \polaron binding energy". Thus, the binding energy $E_{B}$ provides a naturalm easure of the coupling betw een the particle and the local oscillatorm odes.

In our classicalm odel, exœept for instances when the particle is at the boundary betw een an interacting and noninteracting region, the particle and oscillatorm otion are decoupled and evolve independently, w ith the particlem oving at constant speed. At \im pacts", i.e., at $m$ om ents $w$ hen the particle arrives at the boundary betw een a non-interacting region and an interacting region, the particle encounters a discontinuity $U \quad=\quad \mathrm{m}$ in the potential energy, and thus an im pulsive force, that is determ ined by the oscillator displacem ent in the interacting region at the tim $e$ of im pact. $W$ hen the particle energy " at the $m$ om ent of im pact is less than ; the particle is re ected back into the subcell in which it was initially moving; when " > the particle $m$ oves into the next subcell, with a new speed and energy $"_{f}=" \quad$ govemed by energy conservation. Thus, the generalm otion of the particle along the oscillator chain involves so joums w ithin each interacting or noninteracting subcell it visits, during which it can re ect multiple tim es, interspersed w ith im pact events in which the particle's energy and the oscillator displacem ents allow it to pass into a neighboring cell.

This uncoupled evolution of the two subsystem $s$ betw een im pacts $m$ akes it easy to perform a num erical \event driven" evolution of the system by focusing on the changes that occur during im pacts. W e have perform ed a large num ber of such num erical evolutions of this $m$ odel for a set of intial conditions in which the particle is in jected into the chain at its midpoint, with each oscillator initialized to a state drawn independently and random ly from a them al distribution at tem perature T. O ur num erical studies, which are supported by theoretical analysis given below, suggest that for such initial states there are three distinct types of dynam ical behavior that can occur. O ne of these is essentially trivial, and leads to localized self-trapped particle $m$ otion only. The other tw o types both give rise to di usive $m$ otion of the particle over large tim e and length scales. In all cases the oscillator distribution as a whole rem ains in them al equilibrium .
$T$ he self-trapped states of the $m$ odel correspond to con gurations in which a particle is located initially inside an interaction region (at $g_{m}$, say), w ith the energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { "int }=\frac{1}{2} p^{2}+\frac{1}{2}{ }_{m}^{2}+!^{2}{ }_{m}^{2}+\frac{m}{} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the particle and the oscillator in that region partitioned in such a way that the particle is unable to escape. Such states include all those bound states in which "int is negative. A s shown in Ref. [1] 1 , how ever, it also includes a set of positive energy self-trapped states, i.e., all dynam ical states for which $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}}>{ }^{\mathrm{int}}>0$, and for which the associated oscillator energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
"_{\text {osc }}=\frac{1}{2} \quad{ }_{m}^{2}+!^{2}(\mathrm{~m} \quad)^{2}<\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}} \quad \text { " } 1 \quad \frac{"}{4 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is su ciently low that the potentialbarrier seen by the particle at each im pact is alw ays greater than its kinetic energy. $C$ learly for all such initial conditions the $m$ ean square displacem ent h $q^{2}(t) i=1 m^{2}(t) i a^{2}$ of the particle, $m$ easured (or course-grained) in term $s$ of the $m$ ean square num ber $\mathrm{hm}^{2}(t)$ i of unit cells visited, rem ains equal to zero.

O ur num ericalstudies indicate that for in itialstates in which the particle is not in a self-trapped state, the particle at su ciently long tim es undergoes di usive $m$ otion, with a $m$ ean square displacem ent hq ${ }^{2}(t)$ i $\quad D t$ that grow $s$ linearly in time. Figure $\overline{1} 1$ show s a representative set of num erical data for the (dim ensionless) $m$ ean square displacem ent $\mathrm{mm}^{2}(t) i=h q^{2}(t) i=a^{2}$ for several di erent param eters and tem peratures $T$, the latter expressed in term $s$ of the reciprocal tem perature $=1=\mathrm{kT}$; where k is Boltzm ann's constant, and with each curve corresponding to particle evolutions (or tra jectories) averaged over $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{t}}=10^{5}$ independent initial conditions [13] . In the num erical calculations presented here, tim e is expressed in term s of the oscillator frequency through the dim ension less com bination $=!t$; lengths are $m$ easured in term $s$ of the width 2 of the interaction region, and energies are $m$ easured in term $s$ of the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}={ }^{2}!^{2} ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is one-half the kinetic energy of a particle that traverses an interaction region in tim $e t=!{ }^{1}: T$ hus, aside from the tem perature, there are only tw o independent $m$ echanical param eters in the problem, which we can take to be the ratio $=2=\mathrm{L}$ of the w idth of the interacting and noninteracting regions, and the ratio $"=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}}=\mathrm{E}_{0}={ }^{2}=2{ }^{2}!{ }^{4}$ of the polaron binding energy to $\mathrm{E}_{0}$.

The di usion constant D describing the linear increase in the $m$ ean square displacem ent of intially untrapped particles, obtained from a $t$ to the num ericaldata for $h^{2}(t) i$ in the region where it becom es linear, is found to be a strong function of the $m$ echanical param eters and of the tem perature. Results for a range of param eters and $a \mathrm{w}$ ide range of tem perature are presented in $F$ ig. 'in. N ote that data for di erent values of the coupling strength param eter


FIG. 2: M ean square displacem ent ( $m$ easured in units of the squared cell spacing $a^{2}$ ) for an ensem ble of particles in jected w ith positive energy into an oscillator chain in them al equilibrium at tem perature $T=1=k$, $w$ ith tem peratures, coupling strengths, and $m$ echan ical param eters as indicated. For the param eters in the linear plot on the left $\mathrm{lm}{ }^{2}$ ( $t$ )i appears linear over the entire range of tim es. On the log-log plot on the right one can see that linear grow th of $\mathrm{hm}{ }^{2}$ ( t ) i begins after an in itial period of super- or sub-di usive behavior. T he tem perature range in the latter gure covers both the high tem perature and low tem perature regim es analyzed in the text.
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}}=\mathrm{E}_{0}$ are shifted by pow ers of ten to separate the data. If this scaling w ere not done the data w ould all lie on a single pair of universalcurves. A s the gure show s , for any given xed set ofparam eters, the tem perature dependence of the di usion constant takes two sim ple lim titing form s . At high tem peratures, the dependence of the di usion constant on tem perature follow s a pow er law

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=D_{H}^{0} \quad\left(E_{B}\right)^{5=2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{H}^{0}$ is a constant that depends on the m echan ical param eters. In Sec. 'II we derive an approxim ate expression for $D_{H}^{0}$ [See Eq. (211)] which we have used to scale the data on the left hand side of the gure. The straight lines in the left hand side of the gure are the function $\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)^{5=2}$ :

A lso as seen in $F$ ig. the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=D_{\mathrm{L}}^{0} \quad\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)^{3=4} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

 right hand side of that gure. The straight lines in the right hand side of that gure are the fiuction ( $E_{B}$ ) ${ }^{3=4}$.
$T$ hese two di erent power laws result from two quite di erent form $s$ of $m$ icroscopic dynam ics that, in a sense, correspond to the adiabatic and nonadiabatic polaron dynam ics studied in quantum $m$ ecpanical treatm ents of the problem. Indeed, at su ciently high tem peratures the characteristic particle speed p $\overline{\mathrm{kT}}$ becom es su ciently large that the tim e for a particle to traverse the interaction region is short com pared to the oscillator period. In this lim it, also, the typical potential energy barrier $\quad \overline{2 E}_{B} \mathrm{kT}$ encountered by the particle at im pacts becom es sm all com pared to the particle energy. T he picture that em erges is that, at high tem peratures, a particle with a (high) in itial speed, close to the therm al average, will pass through $m$ any interaction regions in succession, typically losing a sm all am ount of energy to each oscillator as it does so. E ventually, this continual loss of energy, which acts like a source of friction, slow s the particle dow $n$ to a speed where it is m ore likely to encounter a barrier larger than its energy, at which point it undergoes a velocity reversing (or random izing) kick back up to therm al velocities. By heuristically taking into account a typical excursion '( $p$ ) of a particle $w$ ith initialm om entum $p$ we derive in Sec. TII the result $\left(\frac{\sigma}{6}\right)$ seen in our num ericaldata, and in the process derive an approxim ate expression for the scaling prefactor $D_{H}^{0} . T$ his regim $e$ is sim ilar to the so-called adiabatic lim it discussed in the sm allpolaron literature, in which the tim $e$ for a bare particle to $m$ ove from one cell to the next is short com pared to the evolution tim e of the oscillator. Indeed, in this lim it the random potential seen by the particle typically changes adiabatically w ith respect to the particle's net $m$ otion.

A $s$ the tem perature is low ered, how ever, the $m$ ean particle speed decreases. At low enough tem peratures a typical particle traverses the interaction region in a tim e that is large com pared to the oscillator period, so that at each


FIG. 3: D i usion constant obtained from linear ts to long-time mean square displacem ent, for a wide range of system param eters and coupling strengths as indicated, as a function of the inverse tem perature $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}}$ : Curves corresponding to di erent coupling strengths are multiplied by di erent pow ers of 10 as indicated for clarity. D ata on the left (right) hand side has been scaled by the high (low) tem perature value $D_{H}^{0} \quad\left(D_{L}^{0}\right)$ derived in the text. Straight lines are the pow er law $S\left(E_{B}\right){ }^{5=2}$ for the high tem perature data and $\left(E_{B}\right)^{3=4}$ for the low tem perature data.
im pact the oscillator phase is random ized. In this lim it, also, the typical barrier energy $\mathrm{P} \overline{2 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{kT}}$ becom es large com pared to the particle energy. A s a result, a particle w ill typically undergo m any re ections inside the interaction region before escaping into one of the adjacent regions. The resulting $m$ otion can be described as a random walk betw een neighboring unit cells, w ith the di usion constant depending on the escape rate from a given cell. In this low -tem perature hopping lim it, the $m$ otion is sim ilar to the nonadiabatic lim it of sm all polaron $m$ otion, in which the tim e to $m$ ove freely from one cell to another is very long com pared to the typical oscillator period. In Sec. .ITİ we calculate th is escape rate in order to produce a theoretical estim ate of the di usion constant in the low tem perature nonadiabatic $\lim$ it, represented by the solid lines on the right hand side of F ig. $\overline{3}_{1} 1 \mathbf{1}$ -

In Sec. 'IV', we com bine the results of the two analyses in the preceding sections to show that the behavior of an ensemble of particles in them odynam ic equilibrium on such a chain would exhibit di usive behavior that retains all essential features of the high tem perature lim it, but becom es exponentially suppressed in the low tem perature hopping lim it as a result of the increasing fraction of self-trapped particles at low tem peratures. This results in a them ally activated di usion constant very sim ilar to that derived in the nonadiabatic lim it using quantum m echanical argum ents. $T$ he last section contains a sum $m$ ary and discussion.

## II. DIFFUSION OF IN JECTED CARRIERSAT HIGH TEMPERATURES

In this section we consider the dynam ical behavior of this $m$ odel at high tem peratures, i.e., the left hand side of Fig. ( $\bar{\beta}_{1}^{\prime}$ ). W e note rst that when a particle which is initially in one of the non-interacting regions attem pts to enter an interacting region, the distribution of potentialenergy steps $=\mathrm{m}$ it encounters is given by the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
()=\bar{Y}_{4 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}}} \mathrm{e}^{\quad 2=4 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}}} ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which follow from the assum ed them al distribution $\quad(\mathrm{m})=\mathrm{P} \overline{!^{2}=2} \mathrm{e} \quad!^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{m}}^{2}=2$ for the displacem ent m of the oscillator at the $m$ om ent of im pact and the de nition (2). . C onsequently, the magnitude of the typical (RM S) potential step

$$
\begin{equation*}
=P \frac{P}{2 E_{B} k T} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

seen by the particle, independent of its energy, increases only as the square root of the tem perature. A tasym ptotically high tem peratures the typical step is, therefore, relatively sm all com pared to the energy " $\mathrm{kT}=2$ of the particle. $T$ hem alized particles $w$ ill therefore easily pass through $m$ any cells in the sam e direction $w$ ith high probability. In this lim it the traversaltim e becom es short com pared to the oscillator period, and the particle w ill tend to lose energy
to the oscillator bath at a well de ned average rate. To see this, we consider a particle with an initially high in itial m om entum p which has just entered the interaction region associated with one of the oscillators in the chain. Before im pact, the oscillator in that region is (by assum ption) in them al equilibrium about the undisplaced equilibrium position ( $=0$ ), and so the average energy step $h{ }_{o} i=h{ }_{o} i$ encountered by the particle at the interface vanishes. A fter entry, the state of the oscillator in the interaction region is characterized by the distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
(0 ; 0)=\frac{r}{\frac{!^{2}}{2}} e^{!^{2}{ }_{0}^{2}=2} \frac{r}{2} e^{{ }_{0}^{2}=2} p^{2} \quad 2 \quad 0 \text {; } \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the upper cuto on associated w th the step function arises from the requirem ent that the initial energy of the particle and the oscillator state be such as to have allow ed the particle to enter the interaction region in the rst place. For high tem peratures (i.e., $E_{B} \quad 1$ ) and particles $w$ ith them alenergies $p^{2} \quad k T$; this cuto $c \quad k T=2$ is well outside the range th $\overline{\mathrm{kT}}=$ ! of the therm aldistribution for the oscillator displacem ent and can be neglected, i.e., $c=$ th $\left(E_{B}\right)^{1=2}$ 1. O nce the particle is in the interaction region, the oscillator state, and hence the distribution ( ; ; t) will evolve in time as the oscillator starts to oscillate about its displaced equilibrium position
: A fter a tim et=2=p; the particle will have traversed the interaction region, and the oscillator coordinate $w$ ill have changed by an am ount

$$
=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & )(\cos (2!=p)  \tag{11}\\
1
\end{array}\right)+\frac{0}{!} \sin (2!=p):
$$

A veraging this over the in itial distribution (10) gives the average change in the oscillator displacem ent

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h} \quad \mathrm{i}=\quad(1 \quad \cos (2!=\mathrm{p})) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

at the m om ent the particle attem pts to leave the interaction region after one pass. W hen it does so, the average di erence betw een the energy steps that the particle crosses betw een entering and leaving the interaction region $m$ ust equal the average change $h$ " $i$ in the particle's energy as it passes through that region, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \text { " } i=\quad h \quad i=2 E_{\text {в }}[1 \quad \cos (2!=p)]: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Of course, at tem peratures high enough that $\mathrm{p} \overline{\mathrm{kT}} \quad 2$ !, the oscillator period $w i l l$ be large com pared to the characteristic tim e for particles of average therm al energy to traverse the interaction region. For such particles, we can expand the cosine in $\left.(1)^{3}\right)$ to $n d$ that the average energy change

$$
\begin{equation*}
h " i=4 E_{B} E_{0}=p^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

is negative, sim ply because the particle exerts a constant force on the oscillator in the interaction region through which it is passes that tends to decrease the potential energy in that region while it does so, and as a result $m$ akes it $m$ ore likely for the particle to lose net kinetic energy when it passes back out into the noninteracting region. T hus the particle tends to slow dow $n$ in tim e as it passes through $m$ any such cells in succession. T he average $m$ om entum loss

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d p}{d n}=\frac{d p}{d "} \frac{h " i}{d n}=\frac{4 E_{B} E_{0}}{p^{3}} ; \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

per cell traversed can then be integrated to obtain the typicaldistance

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prime(p)=a n(p)=\frac{p^{4} a}{16 E_{B} E_{0}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

the particle $m$ ust travel, losing energy at this rate, to dissipate $m$ ost of its initialm om entum $p$. This is, in fact, an overestim ate since the particle need only dissipate as $m$ uch $m$ om entum is required for it to encounter, with signi cant probability, a barrier larger than its (reduced) energy. Of course, in the high tem perature lim it, when barriers of su cient energy are few, the particle $w i l l$ need to dissipate a signi cant fraction of its initial energy. W e also note from (15니) that for fast enough particles the fractionalchange in $m$ om entum per traversal is $s m$ all, so the average tim e to traverse each com plete cell can be written $d t=d n=a=p: T$ his allow $s$ us to integrate the average \frictional force"

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d p}{d t}=\frac{d p}{d n} \frac{d n}{d t}=\frac{4 E_{B} E_{0}}{p^{2} a} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

on particles w ith large initialm om entum to obtain the characteristic tim e

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p)=\frac{p^{3} a}{12 E_{B} E_{0}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for this slow ing down to occur.
$T$ he picture that develops from this analysis, therefore, is that, uctuations aside, at high tem perature a therm al distribution of particles $w$ ill contain $m$ any particles of high energy that are slow ing dow $n$ on average in response to a frictional force arising from their interaction w th the oscillators through which they pass. This slow ing down can not continue, how ever, or else the distribution would not $m$ aintain itself in therm al equilibrium. Indeed, once the particle speed is slow enough that it becom es likely to encounter a barrier larger than its kinetic energy it receives a velocity reversing im pulse. A fter such an event, if the tim e for the particle to traverse the interaction region is still sm all com pared to the oscillator period the particle w ill continue to lose energy, on average, until its traversaltim e becom es com parable to the oscillator period, in which lim it it can gain as well as lose energy due to the random phases of the oscillator at the $m$ om ent it attem pts to leave the interaction region. In the overall process a particle with a given initialm om entum p w ill travela distance '(p) in a time (p) before ultim ately being kicked back up to higher energy. $T$ he associated di usion constant can, we argue, be calculated as in a random walk w th a distribution of hopping tim es and step lengths ', i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=2_{0}^{Z_{1}} d p \quad(p) \frac{{ }^{2}(p)}{(p)} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\quad(p)=\overline{=2} e^{p^{2}=2}$ is the therm al distribution of particle speeds. A ssum ing that the $m$ ain contribution to the grow th of the $m$ ean square displacem ent com es from the fast particles $w$ ith long excursion lengths, as described above, we com bine Eqs. (1-1), (1-1), and (19) to w rite the di usion constant in the high tem perature lim it as

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\frac{3 a}{32 E_{B} E_{0}} \bar{L}_{0}^{r} p^{5} e^{p^{2}=2} d p=D_{H}^{0} \quad(E)^{5=2} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{H}^{0}=\frac{r}{\frac{9 E_{B} a^{2}}{32}} \frac{E_{B}}{E_{0}}: \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his expression for the di usion constant is represented as the solid lines in the left hand side ofF ig. $\overline{\mathrm{N}} \mathbf{1}$. A greem ent of the num ericaldata $w$ th the scaling behavior predicted above is excellent. This collapse of the data for a w ide range of values of $E_{B}=E_{0}$ and $2=L$ suggests that the heuristic argum ents given above capture the essential physics taking place in the high tem perature lim it, even though they lead to an estim ate ofD ${ }_{H}^{0}$ that is too low by a num erical factor lying betw een 1 and 2.5 over the range of param eters studied.

## III. D IFFUSION OF IN JECTED CARRIERSAT LOW TEMPERATURES

In this section we provide an analysis aim ed at understanding the di usion constant in the system at very low tem peratures, ie., the right hand side of F ig. ( $\mathbf{K}_{1}^{\prime}$ ). A $s$ we have already argued, in this lim it the barrier seen by a particle in a given subcell can be large com pared to typical particle energies. C onsequently, an in jected particle that $m$ akes its $w a y$ into an interaction region can rattle around in that region, undergoing $m$ any re ections before encountering a barrier su ciently low that it is able to pass back out. A lso, as the tem perature is low ered, the typical tim e for a them al particle to traverse the interaction region becom es very large com pared to the oscillator period. $H$ ence, after each traversal, the phase of the oscillator is random ized, except for a set of velocities of zero $m$ easure for which the traversal tim $e$ is com $m$ ensurate $w$ th the oscillator period. $T$ hus, the $m$ otion of the particle at very low tem peratures becom es a random walk betw een neighboring cells, and calculating the di usion constant

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D} \quad \mathrm{a}^{2} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

reduces to a calculation of the average total escape rate for leaving an individual unit cell.
It is relatively easy to see, also, that at low enough tem peratures the tim e for the particle to leave a given unit cell is dom inated by the tim e for leaving the interaction region at its center. Intuitively this is clear, since as a them al particle approaches an interface from the non-interacting side, the oscillator displacem ent in the interaction region
is equally likely to be positive or negative, but with a m agnitude $\quad \mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{kT}}$ that is large relative to the particle energy " $\mathrm{kT}=2$. Hence, at low tem peratures the probability for the particle to pass into the interaction region at any given im pact approaches one-half. O nce inside the interaction region, how ever, the oscillator therein starts oscillating about its displaced equilibrium position. T he initialam plitude of the oscillator at them om ent the particle enters will typically be negative (so the particle could get in) and with a magnitude $j o j \quad \overline{k T}=$ ! that is sm all com pared to the $m$ agnitude of the $m$ axim um displacem ent $m a x \quad 2+j o j \quad 2$ of the oscillations that it $w$ ill now perform about its suddenly shifted equilibrium position. If, after the particle traverses the interaction region, the phase of the oscillator has becom e random ized, it is then very unlikely that the am plitude w ill allow the particle to exit the interaction region, since for $m$ ost of the oscillation the amplitude is a signi cant fraction of max , and thus will present a barrier which is a signi cant fraction of the maxim um barrier $m$ ax 2 4E $\quad$; which in the low tem perature lim it $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}} \quad 1$ is large relative to the particle energy. C onsequently, in this lim it the particle w ill typically re ect m any tim es inside the interaction region until it arrives at the interface at a m om ent when the oscillator phase is very close to its value at the $m$ om ent it entered.

C alculation of the di usion constant in the low tem perature lim it, therefore, reduces to a calculation of the average escape rate for a particle which has $m$ ade its $w$ ay into the interaction region from the outside. To this end, we focus on that subensem ble of particles which have just entered an interaction region, and which end up with energy $"=p^{2}=2$ once inside the region. As we will see, the conditional distribution $P(; j ")$ of oscillator states at the m om ent a particle enters the interaction region $w$ ith this energy is straightforw ard to calculate, and allow $s$ us to obtain the corresponding conditional distribution P ("osc $j$ ") of oscillator energies. A ssum ing that the phase of the oscillator is com pletely random ized as the particle traverses the interaction region, we can then use this to com pute the distribution ( $j^{\prime \prime}$ ) of potentialenergy barriers $=$ that a particle w ith this energy w ill see as it attem pts to leave the region. It will do so if the barrier is less than the energy " of the particle, so the a prioriprobability Q (") that a particle which has entered the interaction region $w$ ith energy " $w$ ill exit the region after any given im pact is

Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(")=\quad_{1} \text { osc }(j ") d: \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he average rate at which a particle of this energy leaves the interaction region can then be w ritten as the product

$$
\begin{equation*}
(")=Q \quad(") \frac{p}{2} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the attem pt frequency $=\mathrm{p}(")=2$ and the success probability $Q$ ("). The totalaverage rate at which particles leave the interaction region can nally be evaluated

$$
=\begin{array}{cc}
Z_{1} & \\
0 & \text { int }^{u}  \tag{25}\\
0
\end{array} \text { (") (") d" }
$$

using the the steady state distribution ${ }_{u}^{i n t}$ (") of untrapped particles in the interaction region.
W e focus initially, therefore, on particles just about to enfer the interaction region, with extemalinitialenergy "out; which is assum ed to be therm ally distributed, ("out $)==$ "out $\exp \left(\quad{ }^{\mathrm{out}}\right)$, as is the state of the oscillator in the interaction region it is attem pting to enter. U nder these circum stances, the particle can only enter the interaction region if "out is larger than the potentialstep it encounters, i.e., if "out $\quad=$ " is positive, where " is the energy of the particle once it has entered the interaction region. Up to a norm alization constant, therefore, the joint distribution of the oscillator state and the particle energy at the $m$ om ent it enters the interaction region is
where the step function ensures that the original particle energy and oscillator con guration were such as to allow it to enter in the rst place. The corresponding conditional distribution of oscillator states in an interaction region into which a particle of energy " has just passed is then

$$
\left.P(; j ")=\frac{1}{Z(")} \frac{S}{("+\quad)} e^{\left(!^{2}{ }^{2}+{ }^{2}\right)=2} e^{("+}\right) \quad("+\quad)
$$

where

In this last expression, $K \quad(z)$ is the $m$ odi ed B essel function, and we have introduced the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
(")=p \overline{E_{B}} \quad 1 \quad \frac{"}{2 E_{B}} \quad: \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ ith the particle in the interaction region, the total $m$ echanical energy of the oscillator as it oscillates about its displaced equilibrium position can be w ritten

$$
\begin{equation*}
"_{\text {OSC }}=\frac{1}{2}^{h} 2+!^{2}(\quad)^{2^{i}} \frac{1}{2}^{h}+!^{2 \sim 2^{i}}: \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conditional distribution for the oscillator energy can be com puted from $\left[\overline{2}_{1} \overline{7}_{1}\right)$ using the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left("_{\text {osc } j ")}\right)_{1}^{Z_{1}} d_{1}^{Z_{1}} d P(; j ") \quad \text { "osc }^{1_{2}^{h}}{ }^{2}+!^{2 \sim 2^{i}}: \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e nd, after som e work, that
where $K(m)$ is the com plete elliptic integral of the rst kind, $"=2 E_{B} \quad p_{\overline{{ }_{o s C}} E_{B}}$, and we have introduced the functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { A ("osc;") } \frac{3=2}{3=2\left(\text { "osc } E_{\text {B }}\right)^{1=4} Z \quad(")} e^{\left("+\text { "osc }^{\prime} E_{\text {B }}\right)} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(" \mathrm{osc} ; ")=\frac{\mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{mosc}^{\mathrm{osc}}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}}}+"=2 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}}}{2^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{mosc}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}}}}: \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s we will see below, at very low tem peratures the distribution ${ }_{u}^{\text {int }}$ (") of untrapped particles in Eq. (255ㄴ), becom es exponentially suppressed at higher energies, and it su ces to consider the form ofP (" osc J") for values of "less than or of the order of a few $k T \quad E_{B}$. For such values of "; the function $P$ ("osc $j$ "); as a function of "oscr becom es strongly peaked in the neighborhood of "osc $E_{B}$. This can be seen from Eq. (32"), where it is clear that for values of " in this range the condition for validity of the last line is never satis ed. The rst line then show sthat P ("osc j") vanishes except for oscillator energies "osc satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { "osc } \quad E_{B} \quad " 1 \quad \frac{"}{4 E_{B}} \quad E_{B} \quad 1 \quad \frac{1}{E_{B}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is very close to, and just below, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}}$ at low tem peratures. M oreover the finction A ("osc ; ") drives the distribution P ("osc j") exponentially tow ards zero for increasing oscillator energies greater than a few kT of where it rst becom es non-zero. Thus, at low tem peratures, for values of " of interest, the distribution $P$ ("oscj") becom es increasingly peaked in the variable "osc in the neighborhood of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}}$ : Since the function ("osc $; "$ ) $\quad=4 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}}$ is sm all in this region, we can approxim ate the elliptic integral in (32) by its lim iting value $K(0)==2$. A fter som e analysis, we nd that at low tem peratures the distribution P ("osc $\bar{j} \overline{\bar{\prime}}$ ) asym ptotically approaches the lim iting distribution
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{0}=\frac{3=4 ;^{2}}{3=4} \frac{\exp \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}} 1{\frac{"}{2 E_{B}}}^{2}}{3=4 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}} 1 \frac{{ }^{\prime}}{2 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}}}}{ }^{2}{ }^{1=4} ; \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}} \quad 1 ; \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $(a ; z)$ is the incom plete gam $m$ a function, the asym ptotic form ofw hich w e have used in the second expression. N ow for a given oscillator energy "osc, and assum ing uniform ly distributed phases, the conditional distribution for the displacem ent of the oscillator about its displaced equilibrium position ; given the oscillator energy "osc is easily com puted. U sing this, the distribution of barriers $=\quad$ encountered by a particle after traversing an interaction region in which there is an oscillator of energy "osc is readily found to be

M ultiplying ( $\overline{3} \overline{3} \bar{G})$ by ( $\overline{3} \overline{2} \overline{8})$ and integrating over all oscillator energies allow $s$ us to obtain the conditionalbarrier distribution
where, due to the step functions in ( $\overline{3} \overline{\mathrm{G}})$ and $\left(\overline{3} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{\prime}}\right)$, the low er lim it of integration

$$
\begin{align*}
"_{1} & =\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}} "  \tag{40}\\
& =\max \quad 1 \quad \frac{"}{2 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}}} \quad{ }^{2} ; \frac{2_{B}}{2 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}}} \quad 1^{\#} \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

in (3") is a function of " and : A s we have already observed, at low tem perature the integrand in ( $\overline{3} \overline{9} \overline{1}^{1}$ dies aw ay exponentially as a function of "osc from the maxim um value it takes on at the lower lim it. By expanding to lowest order the non-exponential part of the integrand about the lower lim it of integration and perform ing the resulting integral we nd that for $E_{B} \quad 1$ and for values of " $<2 E_{B}$; the function $(j ")$ is well approxim ated by the expression

From the barrier distribution (42) we can then com pute the probability

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q(")=\int_{1}^{Z /} d \quad(j ") \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

that a particle that has entered the interaction region w ith energy " w ill pass out of it as the particle approaches the interface. Since the integrand in (43) only includes values of less than or equal to "; only that part of the distribution (42) on the second line of the expression is needed. U sing this in (43) the resulting integral gives for "; $\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 E_{B}\end{array}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q(")=\frac{\frac{1}{4} ;^{2}\left(E_{B}\right)^{1=4} \frac{r}{4}}{1 \frac{"}{2 E_{B}}} \exp \quad E_{B} \quad 1 \quad \frac{"}{2 E_{B}}{ }^{2} \\
& \frac{1}{4 \mathrm{E}_{B}} \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}} \quad 1 ; \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}} \quad \text { " } \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

where in the last line we have again used the asym ptotic expansion of the incom plete gam a function for large argum ents.
 bution ${ }_{\mathrm{u}}^{\mathrm{int}}$ (") of untrapped particles inside the interaction region. Starting with the canonical distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { int }(\text { "osc } ; \text { ") })=\frac{r}{\frac{3}{"}} e^{"} e^{\text {"osc }} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the com bined particle-oscillator system inside an interaction region, we exclude that portion associated w ith trapped states by $m$ ultiplying by an appropriate step function that inconporates the self-trapping condition of Eq. ( $4 . \bar{i}$ ), i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{u}}^{\text {int }}(\text { "osc } ; ")=\stackrel{\mathrm{r}}{\frac{3}{\mathrm{n}}} e^{" \mathrm{e}} \mathrm{e}^{\text {"osc }} \quad \text { "osc }^{E_{B}+" 1} \frac{"}{4 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}}} \quad: \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e now integrate over the oscillator energies to nd the associated particle distribution
which is norm alized to the fraction $f_{u}^{i n t}$ of untrapped particles in the interaction region in therm al equilibrium. At very low tem peratures $E_{B} \quad 1$, the fraction of untrapped particles w ith energy " $>2 E_{B}$ becom es negligible and we can approxim ate the norm alized distribution $u(")$ of untrapped particles in the interaction region for all positive " by the form it takes for " $<2 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}}$; i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{u}^{\text {int }}(")=\frac{f_{u}^{\text {int }}(")}{R_{0}^{\text {in }}} \frac{(3=4)}{f_{u}^{\text {int }}(") d "} \quad \frac{1=4}{"^{2} E_{B}} \quad e^{"^{2}=4 E_{\mathrm{B}}}: \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{\mathrm{r}}{\frac{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}}}{8^{2} 2^{2}}} \quad(3=4) \quad(\mathrm{E})^{3=4}:
\end{aligned}
$$

U sing (2-1), the resulting di usion constant then takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=D_{L}^{0}\left(E_{B}\right)^{3=4} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\mathrm{L}}^{0}=\frac{a^{2}}{2} \quad(3=4) \quad \frac{r}{\frac{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}}}{2^{2}}}: \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s seen in $F$ ig. ${ }_{1} \overline{3}$, , this fiunctional form does an excellent job of describing the tem perature dependence of the di usion constant for in jected charges at low tem peratures for a w ide range of $m$ odel param eters.
IV. DIFFUSION OFCARRIERS IN THERMALEQUILIBRIUM

The previous sections focus on calculating the di usion constant for particles in jected into an untrapped state in a therm alized chain of oscillators. A n ensem ble of noninteracting carriers in therm alequilibrium with the oscillators in the chain w ill contain both itinerant particles, which will exchange energy with the oscillator system as they undergo di usion along the chain, as well as self-trapped particles, $w$ ith energies satisfying ( $\overline{4} \mathbf{4}$ ) and which rem ain bound to the sam e oscillator forever. Since the di usion constant for untrapped particles is identically zero, the ensem ble averaged $m$ ean-square displacem ent of a collection of particles in therm al equilibrium will be characterized by a di usion constant that can be w ritten as the product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{u}} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the di usion constant for untrapped particles, i.e., the di usion constant evaluated in the last tw o sections for high and low tem peratures, and the fraction

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{u}={ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} f_{u}(") d " \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

of untrapped particles in the system. To calculate this quantity we note that in therm al equilibrium the particle is equally likely to be in any cell in the system. Focusing on the sub-ensem ble in which the particle is in a particular cell, the probability of a particle to be in the interacting region or in the noninteracting region is given by an appropriate integral


FIG.4: D i usion constant for an ensem ble of particles in equilibrium with the oscillator chain at tem perature $T$ as a fiunction of the inverse tem perature $E_{B}$, scaled by the value $D_{\text {th }}^{0}$ derived in the text. $D$ ata points include representatives from all sixteen com binations of the two sets of param eters indicated in the gure. T he solid line is the theoretical expression derived in the text for the behavior of the di usion constant at low tem peratures.
over the Boltzm ann factors

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { int }(q ; p ; ~ ; ~) & =\exp \\
\text { non }(q ; p ; ~ ; ~ & \frac{p^{2}}{2}+\frac{1}{2}{ }^{2}+!^{2} 2+  \tag{56}\\
\exp & \frac{p^{2}}{2}+\frac{1}{2}{ }^{2}+!^{2} 2
\end{array}
$$

associated w ith the H am iltonian in each region, where
is a norm alization factor (or single cell partition function) that $m$ akes $P_{\text {int }}+P_{\text {non }}=1$. N ote that within each region the integrand in (53.3) and (54il) is independent of $q$, so that the resulting $q$-integration just gives a m ultiplicative factor proportional to the w idth of the corresponding region. A straightforw ard evaluation of the rem aining integrals gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\text {int }}=\frac{2}{L e^{E_{B}}+2} \quad P_{\text {non }}=\frac{L e^{E_{B}}}{L e^{E_{B}}+2}: \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

A $s m$ ight be expected, at high tem peratures the fraction of particles in each region is sim ply proportional to the $w$ idth of that region. At low tem peratures, by contrast, it becom es exponentially more likely to nd the particle in the interaction region than in the non-interacting region. In term s of these probabilities, the fraction of untrapped particles in the ensem ble can then be w ritten

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{u}=P_{\text {int }} f_{u}^{\text {int }}+P_{\text {non }} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{u}^{\text {int }}$; the fraction of those particles in the interaction region that are untrapped in equilibrium, $m$ ay be obtained by integrating Eq. $\left(4 Z_{1}\right)$. T he result is

$$
\begin{align*}
& =1 \quad \operatorname{erf} p \frac{p-}{2 E_{B}}+\frac{\left(1=4 ; E_{B}\right)}{(3=4)} \quad\left(E_{B}\right)^{1=4} \exp \left(\quad E_{B}\right) \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

which has the follow ing lim iting behavior

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f_{u}^{\text {int }} \frac{{ }^{1=2}}{(3=4)}\left(E_{B}\right)^{1=4} \exp \left(E_{B}\right) & \text { for } E_{B} 1 \\
f_{u}^{\text {int }} 1 \frac{16}{15} \underline{2}\left(E_{B}\right)^{3=2} & \text { for } E_{B} \quad 1 \tag{64}
\end{array}
$$

at high and low tem peratures. C learly at high tem peratures the fraction of trapped particles is $s m$ all, and the resulting di usion constant for an ensemble of particles in therm al equilibrium will follow the behavior given in Eq. ( $\overline{\mathrm{G}}$ ), but w ith algebraic corrections. At low tem peratures, how ever, Eqs. ( 5 fraction

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{u} \quad \frac{1=2}{(3=4)}\left(E_{B}\right)^{1=4} \exp \left(\quad E_{B}\right) \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

 suppressed, and will follow the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
D \quad D_{\mathrm{th}}^{0} \frac{\exp _{\mathrm{P}}\left(\frac{\left.\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)}{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}}}\right.}{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}}} 1 \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\mathrm{th}}^{0}={\frac{a^{2}}{2}}^{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\overline{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}}}}{2}: \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

 chain as a function of inverse tem perature, plotted on a logarithm ic scale to show the exponential suppression of the


## V. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have introduced a sim ple classicalversion of the $H$ olstein polaron, nam ely an otherw ise free $m$ obile particle that experiences a linear, local interaction $w$ ith vibrational m odes distributed throughout the $m$ edium in which it m oves. Sim ilar to what has been observed in quantum $m$ echanicaltreatm ents of the problem we nd that there are tw o di erent types of state of the com bined system : self-trapped and itinerant. Self-trapped states include particle-oscillator states at negative energy, as well as som e states at positive energies less than the polaron binding energy $E_{B}$. A s their nam e suggests, self-trapped states are im $m$ obile. Itinerant polaron states, by contrast, undergo $m$ otion that is $m$ acroscopically di usive. T he di usion constant for such states varies as a pow er of the tem perature, w ith tw o di erent regim es sim ilar to the adiabatic and non-adiabatic lim its discussed in the polaron literature. At high tem peratures the di usion constant for untrapped particles varies w ith tem perature as ( $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}}$ ) ${ }^{5=2}$ and the transport $m$ echanism is sim ilar to what one thinks of as band transport in a solid, with long excursions before a velocity reversing scattering from one of the oscillators in the system. At low tem peratures transport occurs via hopping of the carrier betw een di erent cells, w ith the particle spending a long tim e in a given cellbefore making a transition to a neighboring one. In this lim it the di usion constant for untrapped particles varies as ( $\left.\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)^{3=4}$.

An ensemble of particles in equilibrium w ith the chain exhibits a di usion constant that is exponentially activated at low temperatures, $w$ ith an activation energy equal to the polaron binding energy $E_{B}$. The functional form, i.e., exponentially activated with an algebraic prefactor, is rem iniscent of the one that has been derived using the sm all polaron hopping rate for a particle $m$ oving through a tight-binding band of states $[\underline{1}]$ $m$ echanism (not included in the present $m$ odel) that would allow for a slow equilibration or exchange of itinerant and self-trapped particle states would not a ect the fiunctional dependence of the di usion constant with tem perature as we have derived in this paper. The present $m$ odel we believe is sim ple enough that $m$ any aspects of the statistical $m$ echanics of the system in equilibrium can be derived exactly, a circum stance that $w i l l$ facilitate an analysis of transport properties. In a future paper we consider nonequilibrium aspects of this $m$ odel in an attem pt to study, from a $m$ icroscopic point of view, various aspects of the nonequilibrium statisticalm echan ics associated w ith it.

Indeed, there has been continuing interest in the theoretical problem of deriving $m$ acroscopic transport law $s$ (such
 description of friction and di usion, since any such $m$ odel must describe the dissipation of the energy of a particle
$m$ oving through a $m$ edium w ith which it can exchange energy and $m$ om entum, and $m$ ust predict the tem perature and param eter dependence of transport coe cients, which will depend on the m odel considered and on its m icroscopic dynam ics. The $m$ odel we have studied here is one of a class of $m$ odels in which a particle, moving through a d-
 $m$ edium, w ith the total energy being conserved during the evolution of the non-linear coupled particle-environm ent system. W e have investigated the em ergence of $m$ icroscopic chaos arising in the localdynam ics of the current $m$ odel elsew here [1d]. For another such $m$ odel [1] $]$, $w$ ith an in nite num ber of degrees of freedom at each point in a ddim ensional space, it was shown rigorously that, at nite total energy, and when a constant electric eld is applied, the particle reaches an asym ptotic speed proportional to the applied eld. P ositive tem perature states, which would have in nite energy, were not treated in [1] . Their rigorous analysis w ould be considerably more di cult. The $m$ odel studied in the present paper is of the sam etype as that in [in ] but the in nite-dim ensionaland continuously distributed harm onic system s of the previous w ork have been replaced by isolated, periodically-placed oscillators. This, as we have seen, allow s the system to be studied num erically and to som e extent analytically at positive tem perature.

As we have suggested in the introduction, our system can also be thought of as a 1D inelastic Lorentz gas (or $P$ inball M achine). Recall that in the usual periodic Lorentz gas, circular scatterers are placed periodically on a plane, $w$ ith the particle $m$ oving freely betw een them, and scattering elastically upon contact $w$ th the obstacles. For this system, which has been studied extensively, it has been proven that particle $m$ otion in the absence of an extemal eld is di usive ${ }_{1}^{1} \sigma_{1}^{1}$. T he Lorentz gas, how ever, cannot describe the dissipation of energy of the particle into its environm ent, since all collisions are elastic. To rem edy this situation, the use of a Gaussian therm ostat, arti cially keeping the particle kinetic energy constant during the $m$ otion, has been proposed $\left[1 \overline{7}_{1}\right]$ and proven to lead to 0 hm 's law [ to the obstacles, and to treat explicitly the $H$ am iltonian dynam ics of the coupled particle-obstacle system, in a spirit sim ilar to $[\underline{12}]$ and the $m$ ore com plicated tw o-dim ensionalm odels of $[14,1]$ but straightforw ardly characterized tem perature and model param eter dependence of the di usion constant of the interacting system.
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