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#### Abstract

Fractionalized phases are studied in a low energy theory of exciton bose condensate in a m ultiband insulator. It is shown that $U(1)$ gauge theory w ith either fractionalized boson or ferm ion can em erge out of a single $m$ odel depending on the coupling constants. B oth the statistics and spin of the fractionalized particles are dynam ically determ ined, satisfying the spin-statistics theorem in the continuum lim it. W e present tw o m utually consistent descriptions for the fractionalization. In the rst approach, it is show $n$ that fractionalized degree of freedom em erges from reduced phase space constrained by strong interaction and that the $U(1)$ gauge eld arises as a collective excitation of the low energy modes. In the second approach, com plim entary descriptions are provided for the fractionalization based on world line picture of the original excitons. T he em ergent gauge structure is identi ed from the uctuating web of exciton world lines which, in tum, realizes the string net condensation in a space-tim e picture.


## I. INTRODUCTION

Fractionalization is a phenom enon where a m icroscopic degree of freedom in $m$ any-body system decays into $m u l$ tiple m odes by strong interaction. For exam ple, it is well know $n$ that interacting electrons in 1+1D decay into spin and charge density waves[1] [1]. In 2+ 1D, electrons under strong $m$ agnetic eld decay into quasiparticles carrying only fraction of the electron charge ${ }^{1}$ ]. G iven the novelty of the phenom ena, it is natural to ask whether the fractionalization can occur m ore ubiquitously in other system s .

Possible fractionalized phases have been extensively studied in 2+1D quantum spin system in view of its relevance to high tem perature superconductivity (a com prehensive review for this approach can be found in R ef. [ $\left.\mathrm{ln}_{1}^{1}\right]$ ) . Earlier, A nderson proposed that a neutral spin-1=2 excitation, dubbed as spinon, can arise in a quantum disordered (spin liquid) phase of 2+ 1D antiferrom agnet [[] $\underline{i}_{1}^{1}$ ]. In gauge theory picture various $m$ ean- eld states have been found which have the spinon excitation along w ith
 is discrete, the decon nem ent phase can be stable w ith $m$ assive or $m$ assless spinon excitations. If gauge group is continuous like $U(1)$, the decon nem ent phase $w$ ith $m$ as sive spinon is not stable at zero tem perature in $2+1 \mathrm{D}$ ow ing to the proliferation of instantons [9] At zero tem perature, the decon nem ent phase can occur ifthere is a tim e-reversal sym m etry breaking [10
 at a quantum critical point [12] or they can occur $m$ ore generically protected by lattioe sym $m$ etry $1 \underline{1}, 113]$ The existences of the decon nem ent phase have been also dem onstrated in an exact soluble spin m odel[14, 15] and a dim er m odel in frustrated lattice [16]. M ore recently, various $3+1 \mathrm{~m}$ odels have been constructed which show decon nem ent phases $[1$ an im portant role in studying the existence of the frac-
tionalized phase. H ow ever they usually involve spin degrees of freedom de ned on bond centers of lattioes or four spin interaction which break the rotation sym $m$ etry in spin space. At the sam e tim e bosonic m odels have been constructed which m ay be realized in principle in Josephson junction arrays $\left[1 \underline{1 d},{ }_{2}^{2} 0_{1}^{1}\right]$. It is clearly of interest to search for $m$ ore exam ples of realistic m odels which support fractionalization.

In a sense the fractionalization is opposite to the phenom ena where multiple particles are bound to form a com posite particle at low energy, such asm olecules m ade of atom $s$ and proton (neutron) $m$ ade of quarks. T he latter phenom ena look $m$ ore natural in the sense that larger structure is constructed from sm aller building blocks. Fractionalization seem s to w ork in the opposite direction. T he puzzling nature of it becom es shanper when we realize that the fractionalization is about low energy physics. Fractionalization concems the em ergence ofatom -like objects out of m olecules' at low energy, not probing real atom $s^{\prime}$ inside m olecules' at high energy. This conceptualdi culty of identifying fractionalized degree of freedom s out of originalparticle translates into the di culty in the form alism to nd a unique way of decom position. $T$ here is no unique $w$ ay of assigning statistics and spin to fractionalized particles. For exam ple, spin liquid phase can be described ether by bosonic spinon $[\underline{15}]$ spinon $\left[\frac{6}{6}\right]$. W hy should we choose one way of decom position over another ? M ore im portantly, what is the fractionalized particle (em ergent atom) after all, if it is not the real constituent (real atom) inside the original particle (m olecules) ?

The purpose of the present paper is to answer these questions by dem onstrating that not only the em ergence of fractionalized particle but also the statistics and spin of the fractionalized particle are all consequences of the intrinsic dynam ics of the model. W e explicitly show that both fractionalized boson and ferm ion can em erge out of a single theory depending on coupling constants, and
that the spin and charge of the fractionalized particles are also uniquely determ ined from dynam icalconstraint. T hem icroscopic system we consider is a m odeldescribing excitons in m ulti-band insulator.

Since fractionalization is a rather unfam iliar concept in condensed $m$ atter physics, the question is often asked, how is it possible to visualize the new particles and the gauge eld in term softhe originalparticle? In this paper we provide an answ er by constructing a world line representation of the fractionalized particles and the gauge
eld in term $s$ of the world lines of the original particles, which are in our case excitons. The world line (or duality) picture is com plim entary to the $m$ ore com $m$ on eld theoretical derivation, in that the con ned state which is di cult to treat in eld theory language, is easier to visualize. Furtherm ore, a qualitative understanding of the transition between con ned and decon ned phases is possible in term s of pictures. It is our hope that by explicitly working out this exam ple using both $m$ ethods, we can gain deeper insights into these fascinating new phenom ena.

W e consider a system of spinless ferm ions with N degenerate bands where each band is com posed of a conduction band and a valence band. T he H am ittonian is

$$
\begin{align*}
& a=1 \mathrm{k} \\
& \text { X X } \\
& +U^{X} \quad\left(c_{k}^{a y} d_{k}^{a}+d_{k}^{a y} c_{k}^{a}\right) \\
& { }^{a=1} \mathrm{k} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}}^{a}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ is the annihilation operator of the ferm ion in the a-th conduction (valence) band, $\begin{gathered}c \\ k\end{gathered}\binom{d}{k}$, the energy dispersion of the conduction (valence) band. is the chem ical potential. For simplicity we set $\underset{k}{c}=$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c+\frac{k^{2}}{2 m^{c}} \text { and }{ }_{l}^{d}=d \frac{k^{2}}{k} \text {. The band gap is } \\
& c+{ }_{c}^{c} \text { and is tuned so that there is equal density of }
\end{aligned}
$$ conduction particle and valence hole. The index a labels the band degeneracy which is N forboth conduction and valence bands. W e im agine that the index labels orbital degeneracy, but we shall refer to it as the avor index follow ing the particle physics literature. $U$ is the overlap integral which adm ixes the conduction and valence bands. $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ is the strength of the Coulomb repulsion betw een ferm ions in band a and band b. It is assum ed that the C oulombinteraction is local in real space and that the strength of the interaction depends on whether ferm ions are in a sam e band or in di erent bands, that is, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{ab}}=\mathrm{V}+\mathrm{V}^{0} \mathrm{ab} \cdot \mathrm{V}=\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{1}$ is the volum e of the system in $d$ space dim ension $d=(D \quad 1)$. We single out the attractive interaction betw een conduction particle and valence hole. T he rest of the C oulom b interaction is assum ed to be already included in the band structure determ ination of ${ }^{c}+{ }^{d}$ by the $H$ artree Fock approxi$m$ ation .

The attractive interaction leads to a bound state betw een particle and hole which is an exciton. If the interaction energy is sm aller than band gap, the exciton state lies betw een the gap. Since it is $m$ etastable, the ferm ion and hole willeventually recom bine in a nite time. If the binding energy is large enough to overcom e the band gap, particles and holes are spontaneously created to form a bose condensate in the ground state. W e will consider the latter case.

To x ideas, it is useful to consider one particular realization of this m odel. C onsider a hetero-junction where two thin sem ioonductor layers are separated by a thin barrier, show $n$ schem atically in $F$ ig. The energy
${ }^{c}+{ }^{d}$ is the separation between the bottom of the conduction on the left hand side and the top of the valence band on the right, and can in principle be tuned by applying a voltage. $U$ is the tunneling $m$ atrix elem ent of an electron across the barrier. In our m odel only electrons in bands w ith the sam e orbital index are allowed to tunnel, which is reasonable if we assum e that di erent orbitals are orthogonalto each other due to their sym $m e-$ try w ithin the plane. If the C oulom b attraction betw een an electron on the left and a hole on the right is strong, a nite density of excitons will form spontaneously in the ground state, resulting in a B ose condensate of excitons. In real experim ents it has proven di cult to realize the B ose condensation of excitons in the bulk, because the excitons tend to have attractive interaction, leading to the form ation of exciton $m$ olecules or electron-hole liquid ground states. Them ost prom ising current exam ple is indeed the layer geom etry shown in Fig. in in GaAs hetero-junctions. Instead of using a bias voltage, the conduction and valence bands are populated by optical pum ping, leading to a m eta-stable state [21]. The dipolar interaction betw een the excitons assures that they are repulsive, $m$ aking the system a prom ising candidate for exciton condensation. H ere we are $m$ ostly interested in Eq. [ $[1]$ ) as a m odel system which we assum e can be realized in both two and three dim ensions. The presence of N degenerate bands lead to additionalcom plication in that there can be $N^{2}$ species of excitons and som e or all of them $m$ ay orm ay not condense. The order param eter for exciton condensation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ab}=\left\langle\mathrm{d}_{i}^{\mathrm{by}} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{a}}\right\rangle ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $i$ is a site index. In this paper we exam ine the case where ${ }^{a a}=d \in 0$, i.e., the diagonal exciton are condensed. W hile it is possible that the sym $m$ etry breaking happens spontaneously, the presence of the $U$ term in Eq. ([1]) explicitly breaks the sym $m$ etry and assures that aa 0 . ( In the case where the condensation of diagonal excitons occurs spontaneously, we can set $U=0$ in our model. ) We also assum e that there is a nite am plitude for o diagonal exciton condensation. In appendix A we work out the mean eld theory to show that this assum ption is locally stable in som e param eter range. In the rem ainder of the paper we exam ine the effect ofphase uctuations of ${ }^{a b}=o^{i^{a b}}$, to see whether


FIG.1: Schem atic diagram of band structure in the heterojunction of sem iconductors.
the o -diagonalcondensate $m$ ay be destroyed, and to see under what circum stances a novel state of $m$ atter $w$ ith fractionalized particle and gauge eld $m$ ay em erge.

Fig. īil provides a ow chart for the developm ent of this theory and can be used as a road-m ap to this paper. Starting from the $m$ icroscopic H am iltonian given by Eq. (11)) we can derive a Landau theory for the order param eter ${ }^{\mathrm{ab}}$ based on sym $m$ etry considerations. Th is is done in section II and the resulting Landau theory is shown in Eq. (14-1') . Since aa are assum ed to be condensed, the re$m$ aining degrees of freedom are just ${ }^{a b}=0^{i^{a b}}$ and we focus only on phase uctuations. N ote that ${ }^{a b}$ and ba are in general independent because ${ }^{\mathrm{ab}}$ is the pairing of conduction electron in band a w ith valence hole in band b , which is distinguishable from pairing electron in band b and hole in band a. N evertheless, due to the condensation of aa , there is a term which locks the phases, which is proportional to $K_{2}^{\sim} \quad a \in b \cos \left(\begin{array}{c}a b \\ i\end{array}+\underset{i}{b a}\right)$. For $K_{2}<0$, $\underset{i}{a b}={\underset{i}{i}}_{\mathrm{ba}}^{\mathrm{i}}$ is favored. Thus ab is locked to ba and the Landau theory reduces to a $H$ erm itian $m$ atrix $m$ odel
 and $w e$ have the antiH em itian $m$ atrix $m$ odel show $n$ in Eq. (18)' . Further developm ent depends on the next order term in 0 . For the H erm itian m odel, the third order term $K_{3} \cos (\underset{i}{a b}+\underset{i}{b c}+\underset{i}{c a})$ is allowed which leads to the constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{i}{a b}+\underset{i}{b c}+\underset{i}{c a}=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for strong coupling. This constraint can be satis ed by writing ${ }_{i}^{a b}={ }_{i}^{a}{ }_{i}^{b}$. The eld $e^{i}{ }_{i}^{a}$ em erges as the boson eld which carries the avor quantum number, but it is coupled to a $U$ (1) gauge eld. In $d=3$ and for large enough N , a decon ned phase is possible. It is called the C oulom b phase, because it mim ics our world of non-com pact QED coupled to charged particles. The C oulomb phase lies betw een the con ned phase and the


FIG.2: F low chart from the $m$ icroscopic exciton $m$ odel to low energy theories show ing various fractionalized phases.
$\underline{H}$ iggs phase as a function of coupling constant (see Fig. (a)). The H iggs phase is the phase where the o diagonalexcitons are condensed and the con ned phase is one where the excitons are disordered by phase uctuations, w ith exponentially decaying correlation functions. The C oulom b phase is the novel phase which exhibits gapped fractionalized bosons and gapless U (1) gauge eld which are analogs of photons. $T$ his is discussed in section IIIA.

In section V. A we discuss this $m$ odel again using the world-line representation. It is natural that the 0 diagonal exciton ${ }^{\mathrm{ab}}$ is represented by a double line w th $a, b$ labels. In the strong coupling lim it, these excitons scatter with each other and exchange partners rapidly
 identity on a short scale 1 . H ow ever, a single line carrying a avor index may survive over a long scale 2, as shown in $F$ ig. These single lines represent the w orld lines of the fractionalized particles. H ow ever, it is clear that these world lines are not freely propagating, but connected to each other via a web representing multiple scattering w ith other excitons. The web of excitons tum out to show the sam e dynam ics as the w orld sheet sw ept out by a line ofelectric ux in a com pact $U$ (1) gauge theory. This is reviewed in section $\mathbb{I V}$. The size of the web gives rise to a third length scale 3 show $n$ in $F$ ig. ', 'G,. The divergence of 3 signals the transition betw een the conned phase and the C oulom b (decon ned) phase. F inally the divergence of 2 leads to the $H$ iggs phase of the coupled boson-gauge eld system, which corresponds to the

B ose condensation of the originalo diagonalexcitons.
Next we tum to the antiH erm itian model, where $K_{3}=0$ by sym $m$ etry. The next $m$ ost im portant term is $K_{4} \cos \left({ }_{i}^{a b}+\underset{i}{b c}+\underset{i}{c d}+\underset{i}{d a}\right)$. For $K_{4}<0$ the term favors

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{i}{a b}+\underset{i}{b c}+\underset{i}{c d}+\underset{i}{d a}=0: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

These constraints are less restrictive than Eq. (ᄌᄌ3). H ow ever the set of equations $\left(\overline{4} \underline{U}^{-1}\right)$ are not independent and it can be show $n$ that they are satis ed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{i}{a b}=\underset{i}{a} \underset{i}{b}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1+z_{i}\right) ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{i}=1$ is an Ising degree of freedom on each site. $T$ he resulting theory consists of the boson $e^{i}{ }^{i}$ a coupled to a U (1) gauge eld plus an Ising degree of freedom which is decoupled at low energies, as show $n$ in section IIIB. $T$ he world line version is discussed in Sec. V.B.

Finally for $K_{4}>0$, the constraint

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{i}{a b}+\underset{i}{b c}+\underset{i}{c d}+\underset{i}{d a}= \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

can not be satis ed by any bosonic param eterization. $T$ his leads to a frustration am ong the phases which suppresses the condensation of o diagonal excitons. H ow ever, a ferm ionic decom position satisfy the constraint perfectly. A U (1) gauge eld is also generated, but it tums out that a background -ux is the favored saddle point. $T$ his leads to the fam iliar $D$ irac ferm ions centered at ( $\overline{2} ; ~ \frac{2}{2}$ ) in 2+1D.The low energy ferm ion degrees of freedom is represented by a four com ponent D irac eld, which can be intenpreted as carrying spin degree of freedom, in agreem ent w ith the spin-statistics theorem. This is detailed in section III. C. It tums out that the ferm ion also em erges from the w orld line picture, because we can show that each closed loop of single world line is associated with a m inus sign, as is show $n$ in section V.C. H ow ever, the spinor nature of the ferm ion requires a description of the short distance physics which is not easy to capture in the world line picture.
$T$ he readers who are interested only in the low energy physics of the phenom enologicalm odelm ay skip the $n$ rst part of Sec. II and start from the Landau model, (1-14). $T$ he readers who are fam iliar w ith the dual representation of the com pact $U$ (1) gauge theory $m$ ay skip the Sec. IV. Sec. III and Sec. V dealw ith the sam e phenom ena in tw o com plim entary approaches. Sec. III provides a m ore quantitative but abstract analysis of the phenom enon of fractionalization. Sec. V is less quantitative but $m$ ore physical insights can be obtained on what is really occurring in the original exciton language.

## II. EFFECTIVETHEORYOFEXCITON BOSE CONDENSATE

Starting from Eq. (11), we treat the attraction betw een the conduction electron and the valence hole by a

H ubbard-Stratonovich decoupling. The e ective action for the exciton condensate and the ferm ions are obtained to be (for details, see A ppendix A ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=S_{1}+S_{2} ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{\bar{v}}^{\mathcal{I}}{ }_{k}^{X} d_{k}^{b}(\underset{q}{y})^{b a} C_{k+q}^{a} \text {; } \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& +P_{\bar{v}} \frac{V U}{V+V^{0}} \underset{a}{X}\left(\begin{array}{c}
a a \\
0
\end{array}{\underset{0}{a a})}_{\#}\right. \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $k$ is the $N \quad N$ complex $m$ atrix for the exciton condensate eld in $m$ om entum space. Each com ponent ${ }_{k}^{a b}$ describes the condensate of excitons $m$ ade of particle in the a-th band and hole in the b-th band. (N ote that order of indices are im portant, ${ }^{\mathrm{ab}} \in$ ba .) Self-consistent equations are solved for ${ }^{\mathrm{ab}}$. Various solutions are possible depending on the values of the $V, V^{0}$ and $U$. In Appendix A, a few saddle point solutions are obtained for $N=3$. In the follow ing we concentrate on one of the solutions,

$$
a b=o+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
d & 0 \tag{10}
\end{array}\right) a b \text {; }
$$

where both the diagonal and o -diagonal com ponents have nite am plitude.
$W$ th the exciton condensation the single particle excitation gap becom es larger than the bare band gap because it takes extra energy in breaking the particle-hole binding. At energy far below the single particle excitation gap the uctuations of the exciton bose condensates becom ee ective degrees of freedom. Integrating out the ferm ions we obtain the e ective action for the excition condensate elds. Symmetry enables us to construct the low energy theory w ithout actual calculation. Since the ferm ions appear only in $S_{1}$ the sym $m$ etry of $S_{1}$ determ ines the form of the action generated by their integration. $S_{1}$ is invariant under global $U(\mathbb{N}) \quad U(\mathbb{N})$ transform ation,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{k}}!\quad \mathrm{UC}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \\
& \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{k}}! \\
& \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}}!\mathrm{Ud}_{\mathrm{k}} ;  \tag{11}\\
&{ }_{k} V^{\mathrm{y}} ;
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{k}^{T}=\left(c_{k}^{1} ; c_{k}^{2} ;::: ; C_{k}^{N}\right), d_{k}^{T}=\left(d_{k}^{1} ; d_{k}^{2} ;::: ; d_{k}^{N}\right)$ are $N-$ com ponent vectors for the ferm ion elds and $U$ and $V$ are independent $U(\mathbb{N}) m$ atrices. The sym $m$ etry put stringent constraint on possible term in the e ective action because it must be invariant under the last line in Eq. (111). Up to the sixth order we write the resulting e ective action,

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{1}=X^{Z} d^{h} k \operatorname{tr} \underset{i}{y} @ \quad{ }_{i} \quad \mathrm{X} \quad \operatorname{tr}(\underset{i+\hat{s}}{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{i}+\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{C}:) \\
& \text { i } \hat{r}=\hat{1} ;:: ; ; \hat{d} \\
& +k_{2} \operatorname{tr} \underset{i}{Y} i_{i}+k_{4}^{0}(\operatorname{tr} \underset{i}{Y} i)^{2}+k_{4} \operatorname{tr} \underset{i}{y} \underset{i}{Y} \underset{i}{Y} \\
& +k_{6} \operatorname{tr} \underset{i}{y} \underset{i}{\underset{i}{y}} \underset{i}{i} \underset{i}{y} i_{i}+k_{6}^{0}\left(\operatorname{tr} \underset{i}{y} i_{i}\right)(\operatorname{tr} \underset{i}{y} \quad \underset{i}{y} \quad i) \\
& +\mathrm{k}_{6}^{\infty}\left(\operatorname{tr} \underset{i}{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{i}^{3}\right)^{3} \text { : } \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $i$ is the exciton condensate eld at space coordinate i. $\hat{\mathrm{r}}$ is a unit vector in the d-dim ensional square (cubic) lattice for $d=2(d=3)$. In principle, all of the param eters $k, k$ and $k_{n}$ can be determ ined from the H am iltonian (117). N ote that there is only linear derivative in the im aginary tim e direction because the exciton is non-relativistic boson. It is convenient to discretize the im aginary tim e direction to rew rite the above action as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{1}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{h}} \frac{\mathrm{~K}}{2} \operatorname{tr} \underset{\mathrm{i}+}{\mathrm{Y}} \quad \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{K}} \underset{\mathrm{i}}{\mathrm{X}} \operatorname{tr}(\underset{\mathrm{i}+\hat{\mathrm{y}}}{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{i}+\mathrm{C}: \mathrm{C}:) \\
& +K_{2} \operatorname{tr} \underset{i}{y} i+K_{4}^{0}(\operatorname{tr} \underset{i}{y} i)^{2}+K_{4} \operatorname{tr} \underset{i}{Y} i_{i}^{Y}{ }_{i}^{Y}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +K_{6}^{\infty}(\operatorname{tr} \underset{i}{y})^{3}: \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $i=(; i)$ is index for lattioe point in $D$-dim ensional Euclidean space-time. The dim ensionless coupling constants in the lattioe action are given by $\mathrm{K}=2 \mathrm{k}$, $\mathrm{K}_{2}=\mathrm{k}_{2}+\mathrm{k}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}$, where the discrete tim e step is set to be $=\frac{\mathrm{K}}{4 \mathrm{k}}$.
$T$ he term $S_{2}$ in Eq. (9) has lower sym $m$ etry. The rst term is proportional to $V^{0}$ which distinguishes betw een the interaction betw een particles w ith the sam e and different avor indioes. The $U(\mathbb{N}) \quad U(\mathbb{N})$ symmetry is broken down to $U(1)^{2 \mathrm{~N}}$ where each $U(1)$ is generated by diagonal $U(\mathbb{N}) m$ atriges $U$ and $V$, i.e., $U_{a b}=a b e^{i^{a}}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ab}}=\mathrm{ab}^{\mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{a}}}}$. The second term is proportional to U which explicitly break the phase sym $m$ etry of the diagonal exciton condensate. This further breaks the sym $m e-$ try dow $n$ to $U(1)^{N}$ where each $U(1)$ is generated by the diagonalm atrix $U=V$. In discrete space tim e the total action is easily w ritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S=S_{X}+S_{2} ; \quad X \quad i^{(14)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K_{V^{0}}=\frac{V V^{0}}{V+V^{0}} \quad$ and $K_{U}=\frac{V U}{V+V^{0}} \quad$.

The $U(1)^{N}$ transform ation rotates only the phase of each com ponent ${ }^{\text {ab }}$. ( Strictly speaking, the action (14) has only $U(1)^{\mathrm{N}} \quad{ }^{1}$ sym $m$ etry because itself is invariant under one $U(1)$ transform ation $w$ th $U=V=e^{i}$.) Thusallam plitude uctuations of ${ }^{a b}$ are gapped and we ignore the am plitude uctuations. W ith the nonzero am plitudes for allexciton elds at the saddle point ( $\left(\underline{1} \underline{O}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, we have $\mathrm{N}^{2}$ independent $U$ (1) phase $m$ odes. The phases of the N diagonalm odes aa are xed by the explicit sym $m$ etry breaking term $K_{U}$. Thus the uctuations of the diagonal $m$ odes are gapped. A ltematively, in the case $w$ here the sym $m$ etry breaking of the diagonal excitons is spontaneous and $U=0$, there $w$ ill be $N$ Goldstone m odes. In the follow ing we will focus on the dynam ics of the rem aining $\mathrm{N}^{2} \quad \mathrm{~N}$ ○-diagonalm odes which will be the sam e in both cases.

Thee ective action for the o -diagonalm odes can be readily obtained from the full action (14.). The phase locking of the diagonalm odes plays tw o im portant roles here. First, it create $m$ ass for som e of the o -diagonal m odes. W e pick out term sin Eq. (14) which are proportional to ${ }_{0}^{2}$ and products of the the diagonal elem ents
${ }^{\text {aa }}$. The derivation is straightforw ard and only the results are show $n$ here (for details see A ppendix B). This is analogous to the $H$ iggs $m$ echanism. D epending on the sign of the $m$ ass' term for the o -diagonalm odes,

is constrained to be either H em itian or antiH erm itian at low energy. Second, the coherent diagonalm odesm ake the dynam ics of the o diagonalm ode relativistic. O nce
is constrained to be $H$ erm itian or antiH erm itian $m$ atrix, the excitons ${ }^{\mathrm{ab}}$ and ba are no longer independent, but they are anti-particles to each other. The physicalpicture is that two excitons ${ }^{a b}$ and ba scatterwith each other to becom e two diagonalexcitons aa and bb. $T$ he diagonal excitons are condensed into vacuum and from the point of view of the o diagonal excitons, this is an annihilation process of two excitons. C onversely, two o diagonal excitions can be created out of vacuum. Since the low energy theory is relativistic, the e ective action has sym $m$ etric form in space and tim e directions. It reduces to a $H$ erm itian $m$ atrix $m$ odel (for details see A ppendix B ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{K}{4}{ }_{<i ; j>}^{X} \operatorname{tr}^{0}(\underset{i}{y} j+h: c:)+{ }_{n}^{X} K_{n}^{\sim} X_{i}^{X} \operatorname{tr}^{0} \underset{i}{n} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mathrm{K}_{2}<0$ or an antiHem itian m atrix m odel,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{K}{4} \underset{<i ; j>}{X} \operatorname{tr}^{0}(\underset{i}{y} j+h: C:)+{\underset{n 2}{X} K_{2 n}}_{X}^{i} \operatorname{tr}^{0} \underset{i}{2 n} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $K_{2}>0$. Here $i ; j$ are indices for lattice in $D-$ dim ensional Euclidean space-time. is Herm itian (antiHerm itian) matrix $w$ ithout diagonal elem ent in ( $1 \bar{T}_{1}$ ) ( (18 $\left.\mathbf{q}^{\prime}\right)$ ). T he ${ }^{0}$ sign in the trace is to recall that there is no diagonal elem ent in . The explicit form of $K_{n}$ is also obtained in the A ppendix B. The rst term in the above actions is the kinetic energy term for the exciton condensate and the second term, the potential energy term .
Som e rem arks are in order for these models. First,
 ever they are equivalent in som e special cases. The Herm itian m atrix m odel w th $K_{2 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{I}_{1}=0$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2 \mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{k}_{2 \mathrm{n}}$ is equivalent to the antiH em itian $m$ atrix $m$ odel $w$ ith $K_{2 n}=(1)^{n} k_{2 n}$. They are related to each other by the transform ation ${ }^{\circ}=$ i. Sim ilar equivalence also exist $w$ thin the $H$ erm itian $m$ atrix $m$ odel. The Herm itian $m$ atrix $m$ odel $w$ th $K_{n_{0}}$ is equivalent to the $H$ em itian $m$ atrix $m$ odel $w$ th $K_{n}^{0}=(1)^{n} K_{n}$. They are related by the transform ation ${ }^{n}=$. Second, the low est order nonzero interaction is $m$ ost im portant in the sec-
 the e ective phäse sti ness for the o -diagonalm odes is suppressed by factor of ${ }_{o}^{n}$. M ore precisely, nonzero $K_{1}$ with sm allest 1 determ ines the dynam ics of the theories
 greatly reduces the num ber of theories to consider. Up to the quartic order, we have only the follow ing three di erent low energy theories,

## A. H erm itian $m$ atrix $m$ odelw ith $\mathrm{K}_{3} \in 0$

B . antiH erm itian $m$ atrix $m$ odel $w$ ith $K_{4}<0$ (equivalently, H em itian m atrix m odel w ith $\mathrm{K}_{3}=0$ and $\mathrm{K}_{4}<0$ )
C. antiH erm itian $m$ atrix $m$ odel $w$ ith $K_{4}>0$ (equivalently, H erm itian m atrix m odel w th $\mathrm{K}_{3}=0$ and $K_{4}>0$ )

In this paper we exam ine the low energy physics of the above three cases in detail. $W$ e note that the cases in parenthesis in cases $B$ and $C$ are non-generic and require som e tuning param eter to set $K_{3}=0$. W e do not consider non-generic cases w th $\mathrm{K}_{3}=\mathrm{K}_{4}=0$ where m ore low energy degrees of freedom $m$ ay em erge.

## III. EM ERGENT U (1) GAUGE THEORY $\mathbb{N}$ THEMATRIX MODELS

In this section we will analyze the low energy physics of the three theories (19-1) in the strong coupling lim it $\kappa_{n} j{ }_{o}^{n} \gg 1$. The degrees of freedom of the (anti) Her$m$ itian $m$ atrix $w$ ill be further reduced by the dynam ical constraints im posed by the strong interaction. W e will see that the low energy degrees of freedom are U (1) gauge
eld and particles which carry only fractional quantum num ber of exciton. The statistics and spin of the fractionalized particle will be determ ined by the coupling constants.

## A. Em ergence of fractionalized boson and U (1) gauge eld

H ere we consider the rst case in $\left(\overline{1} \overline{9_{1}^{\prime}}\right)$ : the H em itian $m$ atrix $m$ odel (171) w ith $K_{3} \in 0$. W thout loss of generally we assum e $\bar{K}_{3}<0$. For sim plicity we consider the case w th $K_{n}<0$ for all $n$ even though only the $K_{3}$ is im portant for sm all $\circ$. The $K_{3}$ term in $\left(1 \eta_{1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.K_{3}{\underset{o}{3}{ }_{a ; b ; c}^{X^{0}} \cos \left({ }^{a b}+b c+c a\right)(c a)}^{c a}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

leads to constraints (in addition to the H erm itivity),

$$
\begin{equation*}
a b+b c+c a=0 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the strong coupling lim $\operatorname{tt} \pi_{3} j_{0}^{3} \gg 1$. These conditions also constrain sum of $n \quad 4$ phases. For exam ple, by sum $m$ ing the tw o conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& a b+b c+c a=0 \\
& a c+c d+d a=0 \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

and using the Herm Itivity ${ }^{c a}+{ }^{a c}=0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ab}+\mathrm{bc}+\mathrm{cd}+\mathrm{da}^{\mathrm{da}}=0: \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hus the higher order interaction term $s$ in $\left(\overline{1} \bar{T}_{-}\right)$are also m inim ized w th $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}<0$. The num ber of independent constraints im posed by $\left(2 \overline{I_{1}^{\prime}}\right)$ is $\left.\frac{(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)(\mathbb{N}}{2} \quad 2\right)$ l2 to $\frac{\mathrm{N}(\mathbb{N} 1)}{2} \quad \frac{(\mathbb{N} 1)(\mathbb{N} \quad 2)}{2}=\mathrm{N} \quad 1$ soft modes. These $m$ odes can be param etrized by the $N$ phases ${ }^{a}$ so that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a b  \tag{24}\\
i
\end{array}=\begin{aligned}
& a \\
& i
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& b \\
& i
\end{aligned}
$$

w th a redundancy ${ }_{i}^{a} \quad{ }_{i}^{a}+i$. The ( $\mathbb{N}-1$ ) low energy bosons are the soft $m$ odes associated w th the $U(1)^{\mathrm{N}}{ }_{1}$ sym $m$ etry of the Landau theory (14 $\underline{L}_{1}^{\prime}$ ). N ote that $e^{i^{2}}{ }_{i}$ carries only one avor quantum number while exciton carries two. Thus we refer to this boson as slave boson. At this stage, the slave boson is just a book-keeping tool. It $m$ ay or $m$ ay not appear as low energy excitation. If the slave boson appears as true low energy excitation, we w ill refer to it as fractionalized boson, the term which is $m$ ainly used in literatures.

For the low energy modes ${ }_{i}^{a}$ there is no potentialenergy since Eq. (2 $\mathbf{2 G}^{\prime}$ ) is $m$ inim ized. The e ective action becom es
 transform ation for the quartic term,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{c}
\exp -\overline{4} e^{i\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a \\
i & { }_{j}^{a}
\end{array}\right)} e^{i\left(\begin{array}{ll}
b & b \\
j & b
\end{array}\right)}+c: C: \\
2
\end{array} \\
& =\overline{4} \quad d_{i j}^{a b} d_{i j}^{a b} d \underset{j i}{a b} d_{j i}^{a b} \\
& \exp \quad \overline{4} j_{i j}^{a b} \stackrel{2}{j}+j_{j i}^{a b} \stackrel{\rho}{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

and param eterizing the two complex HubbardStratonovich elds by four real variables,

$$
\begin{align*}
& a b=j_{i j}^{a b} j^{e^{w}} \begin{array}{l}
a b+i\left(a_{i j}^{+a b}\right. \\
i j \\
\left.a_{i j}^{a b}\right)
\end{array} \\
& a b=j_{i j}^{a b} j^{w_{i j}^{a b}+i\left(a_{i j}^{+a b}+a_{i j}^{a b}\right)} ; \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

we obtain the action

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{b}=\overline{4}_{<i ; j>; a<b}^{X} 2 j_{i j}^{a b} \stackrel{\rho}{h} \cosh \left(2 w_{i j}^{a b}\right) \\
& \left.\dot{\gamma}_{i j}^{a b} \dot{e}^{w_{i j}^{a b}+i\left(a_{i j}^{+a b}\right.} a_{i j}^{a b}\right) e^{i\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & a \\
i
\end{array}\right)} \\
& j_{i j}^{a b} \dot{j}{ }^{w_{i j}^{a b}+i\left(a_{i j}^{+a b}+a_{i j}^{a b}\right)} e^{i\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & a \\
i
\end{array}\right)} \\
& j_{i j}^{a b} j^{w i j}+i\left(a_{i j}^{+a b}+a_{i j}^{a b}\right) e^{i\left(\begin{array}{cc}
b & b \\
j & b
\end{array}\right)} \\
& \left.j_{i j}^{a b} \dot{e}{ }^{w_{i j}^{a b}+i\left(a_{i j}^{+a b}\right.} a_{i j}^{a b}\right) e^{i\left(\begin{array}{ll}
b \\
j & b \\
i
\end{array}\right)} \text { i } \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Am ong the auxiliary elds, there is only one $m$ assless $m$ odes associated $w$ ith the $U(1)$ gauge sym $m$ etry,

$$
\begin{array}{rcc}
a & ! & a r_{i} ; \\
i &  \tag{29}\\
a_{i j}^{a b} & ! & a_{i j}^{a b}+r_{i} \quad r_{j}:
\end{array}
$$

W e retain the $U(1)$ gauge eld and neglect the uctuations of other auxiliary elds. In general the action (28) is not realbut reality is restored at the saddle point where $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ij} ._{~_{-}}^{+}}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ and $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ have saddle points in the im aginary direction [23]. In our case, by com paring the rst and last term $s$ in $E q$. (2d), we note that the only solution where the e ective hopping is the sam e for all species ofbosons is

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i j}^{+a b^{0}}=w_{i j}^{a b}=0: \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $m$ assless $m$ ode is one $w$ here $a_{i j}^{a b}$ is independent of the avor index, i.e., $a_{i j}^{a b}=a_{i j}$ and the low energy action becom es
where $j_{i j}^{a b} j=$. This is the fam iliar problem of com pact $U$ (1) gauge theory coupled with $N$ bosons. The
bare gauge coupling is in nite. At low energy the gauge coupling is renorm alized to be nite. For exam ple, by expanding in and integrating out bosons which hop around a plaquette, we produce a gauge coupling associated w ith the M axw ellian term on the unit plaquette in the D -dim ensional (hyper) cubic lattioe, $g^{2} \frac{1}{t^{4} \mathrm{~N}}$ w ith $t \quad \overline{4}(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)$. In the $s m$ all $g$ lim it the con nem ent phase occurs. T he slave bosons do not appear as excitation. A ll excitations are gapped. $T$ his is the disordered phase of the o diagonalexcitons. In the large $t$ lim it the bosons have phase coherence (H iggs phase). O ne of the N boson is eaten by the m assive $\mathrm{U}(1)$ gauge eld. There are rem aining $\mathrm{N} \quad 1 \mathrm{~m}$ assless m odes. In term soforiginal exciton, this is the phase where the $\mathrm{N}^{2} \mathrm{~N} \circ$ diagonal excitons are bose condensed. Due to locking w ith the already correlated diagonal excitons, only N 1 m odes survive as the Goldstone $m$ odes associated $w$ ith the o diagonal exciton condensates. This is the expected result from the $U(1)^{N} \quad{ }^{1}$ sym $m$ etry as discussed in Sec. II. $T$ hese are the possible phases in 2+1D. In 2+1D the decon nem ent phase $m$ ay exist only at the critical point between the con ning phase and the H iggs phase. In higher dim ension the C oulom b phase can occur betw een the con nem ent and $H$ iggsphases. In the C oulom b phase the photon em erges as a $m$ assless collective excitation of excitons. The physicalm eaning of the photon in term sof originalexciton $w$ illbe discussed in the Sec. V.A. In th is phase the bosons are gapped and interact by exchange of photons. T he schem atic phase diagram in 3+1D is shown in Fig.

Since the fractionalized boson em erges from the exciton which is charge neutral to electrom agnetic eld, it is obvious that it is charge neutral as well. The fractionalized boson is structureless and it seem s obvious that the boson has spin 0 . By spin 0 we m ean that the boson transform s trivially under Lorentz transform ation in the continuum lim it. H ow ever we need to take into account the coupling to the gauge eld in order to determ ine the spin of the boson at low energy. Let us follow only one species ofboson by integrating out the rest of the bosons. For sm alltwe obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.S=t^{X} \quad e^{i(i} j^{i} a_{i j}\right)+c: C: \\
& \text { <i;j> } \\
& \text { X } \frac{1}{2 g_{C}^{2}} \text { cosbc : } \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

$H$ ere the avorindex for the rem aining boson is dropped. C denotes loop in space-tim e traced by the integrated bosons. $b_{c} \quad c_{c} a$ is the ux associated w ith the loop. $g_{C}^{2} \quad \frac{1}{(N \quad 1) t^{\text {( }} \text { (C) }}$ is the generalized gauge coupling for the non-local gauge action $w$ ith $L(C)$, the length of the loop C. In the m assive phase for the fractionalized boson $w$ ith $t \ll 1$ sm all loop contributions dom inate the gauge action. N ote that the gauge coupling can be m ade sm all w ith large $N$ even for sm allt. In the C oulom b phase the gauge eld has the saddle point value $a_{i j}=0$. Hence the background gauge eld for the rem aining boson is


FIG. 3: Schem atic phase diagram s of (a) the $H$ erm itian $m$ atrix $m$ odelw ith $K_{3} \in 0$ and (b) the antiH erm itian $m$ atrix m odel (equivalently the H erm itian m atrix m odelw ith $\mathrm{K}_{3}=0$ ) in $d=3$. The Ising transition line is not show $n$ in (b).
sm oothly varying in space-tim e. T hus the boson rem ains to be structureless in the long-w avelength lim it. The continuum Lagrangian for the em ergent boson becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=X \quad e(@ \quad a)^{2}+{\frac{1}{2 g^{2}}}^{X} ; f^{2} \text {; } \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $t$ and $g$ are the renorm alized phase sti ness and gauge coupling respectively. f = @ a @ a is the eld strength tensor for the em ergent non-com pact gauge eld. T he fractionalized boson is in the disordered phase due to the proliferation of vortices and em erges as gapped excitation which has the relativistic dispersion,

$$
\begin{equation*}
!_{k}=P \overline{v^{2} k^{2}+m^{2}} ; \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v=P \overline{t_{1}=t_{0}}$ is the velocity $w$ ith $t_{0}=t=0, t_{1}=$ $e_{\in 0}$ and $m$, the $m$ ass gap. Them ass gap vanishes at the
transition to the H iggs phase. If the background gauge eld had tumed out to be rapidly varied in the lattioe scale, the em ergent particle in the long-w avelength lim it would have been di erent from the boson in the lattioe scale. If this were the case, $m$ ultiple com ponents which correspond to spin quantum numbers could em erge in the continuum lim it from the doubling of unit cell. This tums out to be the case for em ergent ferm ions, as we shall see later.
B. Em ergence of fractionalized boson, Ising m ode
and $U$ (1) gauge eld

In this section we consider the second case in (1-9). For sim plicity, we consider the $H$ erm itian $m$ atrix $m$ odel w ith $K_{2 n}<0$ for all $n$. Equivalently, we $m$ ay use the anti-H em itian $m$ atrix $m$ odelw ith $K_{2 n}=(1)^{n}{ }^{1} K^{K}{ }_{2 n} j$. H ere we use the $H$ erm itian $m$ atrix $m$ odel because it is $m$ ore convenient to $m$ ake the coupling constant have sam e sign. T he fourth order term in (1d),

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{4}{\underset{0}{4}{ }_{a ; b ; c ; d}^{X^{0}} \cos \left({ }^{a b}+b c+c^{c d}+d a\right) ~}_{d a} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

leads to the constraints

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{i}{a b}+\underset{i}{b c}+\underset{i}{c d}+\underset{i}{d a}=0 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\kappa_{\pi_{4}} j^{4}{ }_{0}^{4} \gg 1$. Sunprisingly, these conditions also constrain the sum of three excition phases. By sum $m$ ing the three equations,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underset{i}{a b}+\underset{i}{b d}+\underset{i}{d c}+\underset{i}{c a}=0 ; \\
& \underset{i}{a c}+\underset{i}{a b}+\underset{i}{b d}+\underset{i}{d a}=0 \text {; } \\
& \underset{i}{a d}+\underset{i}{d c}+\underset{i}{c b}+\underset{i}{b a}=0 \text {; } \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

and using the H em 斗ivity, $\underset{i}{a b}+\underset{i}{b a}=0$, we obtain

$$
2\left(\begin{array}{c}
c b  \tag{38}\\
i
\end{array}+\underset{i}{b d}+\frac{d c}{i}\right)=0:
$$

Therefore the sum of three phases are xed up to $Z_{2}$ degree of freedom,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{i}{c b}+\underset{i}{b d}+\underset{i}{d c}=0 \text { or }: \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he constraint for the four phases (3G) is less restrictive than the constraint for the three phases ( $\left.2 \overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ in the previous section and an extra $Z_{2}$ degrees of freedom is needed. Thus the low energy degrees of freedom are param eterized by the N bosonic variables and a $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$ variable,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{i}{a b}=\underset{i}{a} \quad \underset{i}{b}+\frac{1}{2}\left(1+z_{i}\right) ; \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}}=1$. The Herm itivity is satis ed w th the decom position. The $K_{2 n}$ term $w$ th $n \quad 3$ is also $m$ inim ized because

$$
\cos \left(\begin{array}{c}
a_{1} a_{2}  \tag{41}\\
i
\end{array}{ }_{i}^{a_{2} a_{3}}+::::_{i}^{a_{2 n} a_{1}}\right)=1:
$$

Thus one exciton eld is decom posed into three degrees of freedom. The two $U$ (1) phases are the sam emodes which appear in the previous section. The Ising $m$ ode is the avor independent $Z_{2}$ degree of freedom. It is noted that $z_{i}$ is an independent degree of freedom because it can not be absorbed into ${ }^{a}$ or ${ }^{b}$. This can be illustrated by the relation $z_{i}=e^{i\left({ }^{a b}+{ }^{b c}+{ }^{c a}\right)}$ whose value is not a ected by the change of ${ }^{a}$. The $z_{i}$ describes the low energy $Z_{2}$ degree of freedom for a com posite of three excitons. A nother in portant consequence of this relation is that because $z_{i}$ is filly determ ined in term $S$ of ${ }^{\mathrm{ab}}$ ' s , there is no gauge sym $m$ etry under which the $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$ variable transform s nontrivially. This is contrary to the
 structure of local sym $m$ etry in the decom position deter$m$ ines how the slave particles are coupled to em ergent gauge eld at low energy. There w illbe U (1) gauge eld coupled to the $U$ (1) elds, but no gauge coupling to the Ising m ode.

Thee ective action for the low energy $m$ odes becom es

w here $=2 \mathrm{~K}{ }_{0}^{2} . \mathrm{W}$ e rst decom pose the $\mathrm{U}(1)$ variables from the $Z_{2}$ variables,

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\overline{4} \quad d_{i j}^{a b} d_{i j}^{a b} d_{j i}^{a b} d_{j i}^{a b} \\
& \exp \quad-\quad j_{i j}^{a b} \rho+j_{j i}^{a b} \rho \\
& { }_{i j}^{a b} e^{i\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & a \\
i
\end{array}\right)} \begin{array}{ll}
i\left(\begin{array}{ll}
b \\
i & b \\
j
\end{array}\right) & a b \\
i j
\end{array} e^{i \overline{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
z_{i} & z_{j}
\end{array}\right)} \\
& { }_{j i}^{a b} e^{i\left(\begin{array}{c}
a \\
i
\end{array} \underset{j}{a}\right)+i\left(\begin{array}{ll}
b & b \\
i
\end{array}\right)} \underset{j i}{a b} e^{i-\left(z_{i} z_{j}\right)}{ }^{i} \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

$W$ ith the param eterization for the H ubbard-Stratonovich elds

$$
\begin{align*}
& a b=j_{i j}^{a b} \dot{e}^{x_{i j}^{a b}+i\left(c_{i j}^{+a b}+c_{i j}^{a b}\right)} ; \\
& \underset{j i}{a b}=j_{i j}^{\sim a b} \sum^{x_{i j}^{a b}+i\left(c_{i j}^{+a b} c_{i j}^{a b}\right)} \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

the action becom es

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S=\overline{4}_{\left\langle i_{i} j>; a<b\right.} \underset{i j_{i j}^{\sim a b}}{\mathrm{X}} \cosh \left(2 \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\mathrm{ab}}\right) \\
& \text { <1, }>\text {, } a<b \\
& j_{i j}^{\sim a b} e^{e_{i j}^{a b}+i\left(c_{i j}^{+a b}+c_{i j}^{a b}\right)} e^{i\left(\begin{array}{l}
a \\
i
\end{array}{ }_{j}^{a}\right) \quad i\left(\begin{array}{ll}
b & b \\
j
\end{array}\right)} \\
& \left.j_{i j}^{\sim a b} j e^{x_{i j}^{a b}+i\left(c_{i j}^{+a b}\right.} c_{i j}^{a b}\right) e^{i\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & a \\
j
\end{array}\right)+i\left(\begin{array}{ll}
b & b \\
i
\end{array}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& j_{j j}^{a b} \dot{e} e^{x_{i j}^{a b}+i\left(c_{i j}^{+a b}+c_{i j}^{a b}\right)} e^{i \overline{2}\left(z_{i} z_{j}\right)^{i}} \text { : } \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he action is not $H$ em itian. H ow ever the $H$ erm itivity is restored at the saddle point $x_{i j}^{a b}=i x_{i j}^{a b}$ and $c_{i j}^{+a b}=i e_{i j}^{+a b}$
$w$ ith real $x_{i j}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ and $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{+\mathrm{ab}}$. At the saddle point we have real action,
where $z \quad j_{i j}^{a b} \dot{j} e_{i j}^{+a b}+i\left(x_{i j}^{a b}+c_{i j}^{a b}\right) \quad$ and
$\left.j_{i j}^{\sim a b} j^{e^{+}{ }^{+a b}+i\left(x_{i j}^{a b}\right.} c_{i j}^{a b}\right)$. All the uctuations of the auxiliary eld around the saddle point is $m$ assive. $T$ hus the $U(1)$ phase $m$ odes and the Ising $m$ ode are decoupled at low energy. T he saddle point values of the auxiliary elds are determ ined from the self-consistency conditions,

The solution w ith ${ }_{\mathrm{z}} ; \quad>0$ satis es the self-consistency. That is, positive $D_{D}$ gives $e^{i_{\overline{2}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}z_{i} & \left.z_{j}\right)\end{array} E^{>} 0 \text { and positive }\right.}$ $z$, in tum, gives $\left.e^{i\left(\begin{array}{cc}a \\ i & a \\ j\end{array}\right)} \begin{array}{l}i\left(\begin{array}{c}b \\ i\end{array}\right. \\ j\end{array}\right)>0$. Thus the $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$ variable is ferrom agnetically coupled w th each other. $T$ he nal result is the same as that of the usual $m$ eaneld decom position w ith AB! $\langle A>B+A<B><$ $\mathrm{A}><\mathrm{B}>$. The $m$ erit of the above procedure is that one can easily identify the low energy degrees of freedom beyond the saddle point approxim ation [23].
$T$ he quartic term of the $U$ (1) phase $m$ odes are decoupled by the sam e transform ation used in the previous section. Finally, we obtain the com pact $U$ (1) gauge theory coupled w ith N bosons and the ferrom agnetically coupled Ising eld,

$$
\begin{align*}
& S=t^{X} \quad \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{~h} e^{i\left(\begin{array}{c}
a \\
i
\end{array} a_{i j}\right)+c: C} \text { : } \\
& t_{z}^{\stackrel{a}{X} \stackrel{i ; j>}{ }{ }_{<i ; j>} z_{i} Z_{j} ;} \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

where $t={ }_{4}(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)_{z} \quad$ and $t_{z}={ }_{4} \mathrm{~N}(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)$.
$T$ he physics of the $U(1)$ gauge sector is the sam e as the one discussed in the previous section. On the other hand, the Ising variable undergoes a second order phase transition from the disordered phase to ordered phase as $t_{z}$ increases. The low energy dynam ics of the Ising m ode is described by the realk lein-G ordon $m$ odel. The energy dispersion becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
!_{k}=\frac{q}{v_{I}^{2} k^{2}+m_{I}^{2}} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $v_{I}$ is the velocity and $m_{I}$, the $m$ ass gap. Them ass gap vanishes only at the critical point. In the ordered phase the com posite eld of three excitons has long range correlation. Since the low energy theories are decoupled for the $U(1)$ and the Ising sectors, the Ising transition can occurw ithin any phase in the phase diagram of F ig.
(b). If the Ising transition occurs with in the Higgs phase, the H iggs phase of the fractionalized boson w ithout long range correlation of exciton is possible. In this Bhase pairseof o diagonal excitons are condensed, i.e., $\left.e^{i\left({ }^{a b}+\right.}{ }^{c d}\right)$. This is in contrast to case A.

## C.Em ergence of fractionalized ferm ion, Ising $m$ ode and U (1) gauge eld

In this section we consider the third case in (19). H ere we choose to use the antiH erm itian $m$ atrix $m$ odel $w$ ith $\mathrm{K}_{2 \mathrm{n}}>0 \mathrm{which}$ is equivalent to the Herm itian m atrix m odelw ith $K_{2 n}=(1)^{\mathrm{n}} \mathcal{K}_{2 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{j}$. The potential energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{2 n} \operatorname{tr}^{0} 2 n=K_{2 n}{\underset{o}{a n}}_{2 n}^{a_{1}::: ; a_{2 n}} \quad \cos \left(a_{1} a_{2}+a_{2} a_{3}+:::+a_{2 n} a_{1}\right) \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

is minim ized when
 dition (511) de nes the "low energy valley' in the phase space of ${ }^{\text {ab }}$. H ow ever there is no bosonic param eterization for the low energy $m$ odes because of frustration in the interaction. T his can be argued in the follow ing ways. F irstly, ( ${ }^{2} \underline{11}_{1}^{1}$ ) is not consistent w ith the anti-H erm itian $m$ atrix. The constraint ${ }_{i}^{a b}+\underset{i}{b c}+{ }_{i}^{c d}+{ }_{i}^{d a}=$ leads to ${ }_{i}^{a b}+\underset{i}{b a}+\underset{i}{b c}+\underset{i}{c b}=$ for $d=b$. H ow ever this is inconsistent w th the antif em tivity condition $i_{i}^{a b}+\underset{i}{\text { ba }}=$ which leads to ${ }_{i}^{a b}+\underset{i}{b a}+\underset{i}{b c}+\underset{i}{c b}=0 \mathrm{~m}$ ode 2 . Secondly, the conditions (511) are frustrated am ong them selves. Sum $m$ ing the two equations ${ }_{i}^{a b}+\underset{i}{b c}+\underset{i}{c d}+\underset{i}{d a}=$, ${ }_{i}^{a e}+\underset{i}{e c}+\underset{i}{c b}+\underset{i}{b a}=$ and using the anti-H em itivity
 0 m od 2 . In other words, the set of constraint given by Eq. ( $5 \mathrm{~S}_{1}^{1}$ ) is inherently frustrated and can not be all satis ed by any set of $f{ }^{a b} g$ where ${ }^{a b}$ are c-num bers.

We are thus motivated to introduce anticom $m$ utativity,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i_{i}^{a b}}=i{ }_{i}^{a}{ }_{i}^{b}: \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here is G rassm ann elds. The i is introduced to satisfy antiH em 计刻y, $e^{i_{i}^{\text {ba }}}=e^{i_{i}^{\text {ab }}}$. Because of the anticom $m$ utativity, allof the constraints can be satis ed, i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { D } e^{i\left({ }_{i}^{a b}+{ }_{i}^{b c}+{ }_{i}^{c d}+\underset{i}{d a}\right)}=\quad \begin{array}{llllllll}
a & b \\
i & b & b & c & c & d & d & d \\
i & i & i & i & i
\end{array} \\
& =\quad \begin{array}{llllllll}
a & a & b & b & c & c & d & d \\
i & i & i & i & i & i & i & i
\end{array} \\
& =1 \text { : } \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

H ere the ferm ionic fiunctional integral is perform ed w ith the $m$ easure,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \quad a<b \frac{d^{a b}}{2}=\quad a^{Z}\left[d^{a} d^{a}\right] M \text {; }  \tag{54}\\
& M=i^{N} e^{i^{P}} a^{a} \text { a } ; \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

where the integration in the left hand side of Eq . (54) is understood to be perform ed over the low energy valley in the energy landscape for the exciton phases. H ow ever the decom position (52') is not com plete yet. This is because the ${ }_{\mathrm{E}}$ ferm ionic decom position also predicts $e^{i\left({ }_{i}^{a b}+{ }_{i}^{b c}+{ }_{i}^{c a}\right)} 0^{0}$, while it is zero in the originalexciton representation. It should be zero due to the $Z_{2}$ symmetry ( $\begin{gathered}a b \\ i\end{gathered} a_{i}^{a b}+$ ) of the action (1-d). Thus we include the extra $Z_{2}$ degree of freedom,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i_{i}^{a b}}=i_{i}^{a}{ }_{i}^{b} e^{i-\left(1+z_{i}\right)} \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}}=1$. The situation is sim ilar to the bosonic case in the previous section.
$W$ ith the decom position we have the consistency for elem entary integrations,
and the potential energy is $m$ in im ized,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{hcos}\left(a_{i}^{a_{1} a_{2}}+{ }_{i}^{a_{2} a_{3}}+:::+{ }_{i}^{a_{2 n} a_{1}}\right) i=1 \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $a l l f a_{1} ; a_{2} ;::: ; a_{2 n} g$. At the sam $e$ tim $e$ the product of odd num ber of exciton elds has zero expectation value because of the uctuating $Z_{2}$ degree of freedom. This is also consistent $w$ ith the property of original eld. Thus these ferm ionic variables and the $Z_{2}$ spins are the low energy $m$ odes of the system in the strong coupling regim $e$. $N$ ote that the ferm ionic decom position is over-estim ating the expectation value of potentialenergy because the $a b-$ solute value of the left hand side in Eq. (5d) should be less than 1. For a quantitative agreem ent one $m$ ay have to introduce four or higher ferm ion term $s$ in the $m$ easure. H ere we proceed w ith the $m$ easure ( $55^{5}$ ) because the four or higher ferm ion interaction is irrelevant at low energy ford 2 .
$T$ he partition function is $w$ ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z={ }^{Z} X \quad i ; a\left(i_{i}^{a} d_{i}^{a}\right) e^{s} ; \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$w$ ith $=2 \mathrm{~K}_{0}^{2}$. The $m$ easure of the integration can be cast into the conventional form by the

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h 1 i=\frac{1}{2}^{X} \quad \text { a }\left[d^{a} d^{a}\right] M=1 \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& D e^{i^{b c}} e^{i^{b c}}=\frac{1}{2}^{z} \quad \text { } X^{Z}\left[d^{a} d^{a}\right] M \quad \text { b } \quad c \quad c \quad b=1 \text {; } \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

transform ation [2] nd $_{1}^{1}$.

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
a & =e^{i \overline{4}} a^{0} ;  \tag{61}\\
i & i \\
a & =e^{i} a^{4} a^{0} .
\end{array}
$$

$N$ ote that this transform ation does not respect the original complex conjugacy' of $\underset{i}{a}$ and $\underset{i}{a}$. However it is legitim ate because $1 \quad{ }_{i}^{a}$ and $2 \quad{ }_{i}^{a}$ can be regarded as two independent $G$ rassm ann variables. T he partition function in the transform ed elds becom es,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=X_{z}^{Z} X \quad d_{i}^{a^{0}} d_{i}^{a^{0}} e^{s} \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the action

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S=
\end{aligned}
$$

In the follow ing we w illdrop ${ }^{0}$ sign in $\quad a_{i}^{0}$. The procedure of decom posing the $Z_{2}$ spin and the ferm ionic variables is exactly the same as the bosonic case in the previous section. T he nallow energy action is obtained to be two decoupled theories,

$$
\begin{align*}
& t_{z} \quad z_{i} z_{j}:  \tag{64}\\
& \text { <i;j> }
\end{align*}
$$

The Ising variable can have disordered and ordered phases as discussed in Sec. III. B. In the follow ing we focus on the ferm ions coupled $w$ ith the compact $U$ (1) gauge eld. N ote that this ferm ion is spinless (structureless). The spin-statistics theorem does not apply here because there is no full Lorentz invariance in lattice. It is in the long wavelength lim it m uch larger than the lattice scale where the spin-statistics theorem requires half integer spin for the ferm ion because of the full Lorentz invariance. In the follow ing we will see how the ferm ion acquires spin at low energy. Sim ilarly to the bosonic case, we focus on one species of ferm ion by integrating out the rest of ferm ions. $W$ e obtain the e ective action for the gauge eld,

$$
\begin{align*}
& +{ }_{c}^{\mathrm{X}} \frac{1}{2 g_{\mathrm{C}}^{2}} \operatorname{cosb}_{\mathrm{C}}: \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

bc ${ }_{1}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{C}$ is the $u x$ associated w ith the loop C. $g_{C}^{2}$ $\left.\frac{1}{(N)} 1\right) t^{L^{L}(C)}$ is the generalized gauge coupling for the nonlocal gauge action w ith L (C), the length of the loop C . $N$ ote that the sign of the gauge action is opposite to the
one obtained from the bosonic action ( $\left.6 \overline{-1} \overline{5}_{1}\right)$. The di erence in sign com es from the di erence in the statistics of the integrated $m$ atters. This di erence in statistics $m$ akes the di erence in the low energy spin structure, con m ing the spin-statistics theorem .

In the small $t$ lim 五, the unit plaquette term is $m$ ost im portant in the gauge kinetic energy term. Because of the opposite sign in the gauge kinetic energy term, the saddle point of ( $6-5$ ) is ' $-u x^{\prime}$ phase where every spacetim e plaquette encloses ux . N ow we derive the low energy theory for the ferm ion in $2+1 \mathrm{D} . \mathrm{Generalization}$ to higher dim ension is straightforw ard. For the ' - ux', it is convenient to work in a unit cell which is doubled in both the x and y directions, but not the z direction, w ith the gauge choice

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{\mathrm{r}+\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{r}}=i ; \\
& \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{r}+\mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{r}}=(1)^{r_{\mathrm{x}}+r_{\mathrm{y}}} ; \\
& \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{r}+\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{r}}=\mathrm{i}(1)^{r_{\mathrm{x}}+r_{\mathrm{y}}}: \tag{66}
\end{align*}
$$

The above ' - ux' has been used in lattioe gauge theory to put D irac ferm ion in lattioe using only one-com ponent ferm ion $\left[25_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. The di erence from the Ref. $\left.\overline{2} \overline{5}\right]$ is that in our case the $z$ direction is the im aginary $\operatorname{tim}^{-}$e direction. M ore im portantly in our case the ' - ux' is the dynam ical consequence while it is assum ed in Ref. [251]. In the ' ux' phase the action for the rem aining ferm ion becom es

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { I } \\
& +i_{I+x 1} I 2+i_{I+x 4} I 3+\quad I+y 2 \quad I 3 \quad I+y 1 \quad I 4 \quad i
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { C:C:; } \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I$ is the unit cell index and $A=1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4$ labels the sites within a unit cell. Introducing fourcomponent spinor', $I=\left({ }_{I 1} ;{ }_{I 2} ;{ }_{I 3} ;{ }_{I 4}\right)$, ${ }_{I}^{T}=$ ( I1; I2; I3; I4), the action is written

where $\hat{I}$ is identity $m$ atrix and $p I=I+p \quad I \cdot W e$ rescale the coordinates $\mathrm{x}!\varrho_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{y}!\varrho_{\mathrm{y}}, 2 \mathrm{z}$ ! $\varrho_{\mathrm{z}}$ in the continuum $\lim$ it. Introducing a new basis

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\mathrm{U} \quad{ }^{0} ;={ }^{0} \mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{Y}} \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
U=\frac{1}{1} \frac{0}{2 t} \stackrel{0}{\mathrm{~B}} \begin{array}{cccccc}
i & 0^{2} & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & i & 1 & 0 & A & \text { A }  \tag{70}\\
i & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}
$$

and allow ing the uctuation of the gauge eld, we obtain the canonicalD irac Lagrangian,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.L=0^{0} \text { i @ ia }\right)+\frac{1}{t} \quad 0+\frac{1}{g^{2}} f^{2} \text {; } \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\quad 0 \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th the P aulim atrioes for $=1 ; 2 ; 3$. T his is the $4-$ com ponent $D$ irac ferm ion $w$ ith $m$ ass $\frac{1}{t}$. N ote that we obtained 4 -com ponent D irac ferm ion even though the m inim al Dirac ferm ion has two component in (2+1)dim ension $[\underline{2} \overline{-1}]$. This is because of the parity sym $m$ etry in our theory. $\bar{A} \mathrm{~m}$ ass term involving only the 2 -com ponent D irac ferm ion would have broken parity sym m etry ${ }_{2} \bar{Z}_{1}^{\prime}$ I.

In $(2+1)$ dim ension only con nem ent phase can occur for the com pact $U$ (1) gauge theory $w$ ith the $m$ assive $D$ irac ferm ions. H ow ever the $m$ ass of the ferm ion decreases as $t$ increases. Thus it is possible that the D irac ferm ions becom em assless at a critical point, stabilizing
 critical point, the o -diagonal excitons condense. This corresponds to pair condensation of the D irac ferm ions, which generates generalized $m$ ass term ${ }^{a} M_{a b}{ }^{b}$. To identify m assless excitations in the condensed phase, we decom pose the phase of the o-diagonalexciton into two parts as ${ }_{i}^{a b}={ }_{i}^{(0) a b}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}a & b \\ i & i\end{array}\right)$. Here ${ }_{i}^{(0) a b}$ parameterizes the uctuations which involves the change in the sum of phases ${ }_{i}^{a_{1} a_{2}}+{ }_{i}^{a_{2} a_{3}}+:::+{ }_{i}^{a_{2 n} a_{1}}$ and ${ }_{i}^{a}$, the uctuations which does not change the sum . Because of the frustration in Eq. $(5 \underline{d})$, there are at directions in both ${ }_{i}^{(0) a b}$ and $i_{i}$ classically. A though not shown here, quantum uctuations lift the degeneracy in ${ }_{i}^{(0) a b}$ because of singu larities in the space of classical vacuum . $T$ his leaves only the $(\mathbb{N} \quad 1) m$ assless $G$ oldstone $m$ odes. The $m$ assless spectrum of the exciton condensed phase w ith $K_{4}>0$ is the sam e as that of the $H$ iggs phase w ith $\mathrm{K}_{4}<0$. Thus we expect that the tw o phases are continuously connected. It is, of course, a possibility that the tw o phases are separated by a phase transition.

It is interesting to note that the $m$ assless $D$ irac ferm ions can arise at the quantum critical point of the bosonic $m$ atrix model. The critical point is described by the algebraic spin liquid [2d]. It corresponds to the decon ned quantum criticality $\left[121_{2}^{2}\right.$ w here $m$ assless $m$ odes at critical point stabilizes decon nem ent phase. This is the rst exam ple where fractionalized ferm ions occur at the criticalpoint as opposed to fractionalized bosons [12]'].
$T$ he frustration in the interaction is closely related to the ferm ionic nature of fractionalized particle. A nd the spin follow $s$ the ferm ionic statistics. In this sense both the em ergent ferm ionic statistics and the spin are the results of the frustration in the bosonic $m$ atrix $m$ odel. In (3+1)-dim ension the con nem ent to decon nem ent phase transition can occur. For sm all $t$, the con $n-$ ing phase arises where the ferm ions are con ned by the
$U$ (1) gauge eld. For large $t$, the $m$ assless $U$ (1) gauge eld (photon) and gapped ferm ions em erges as low energy excitations. The schem atic phase diagram in (3+1)dim ension is show in $F$ ig. (b).

It $m$ ust be em phasized that the fractionalized ferm ion is a di erent ob ject from_ the original ferm ion of the m icroscopic H am iltonian (111) . The original ferm ion is spinless and has no intemalgauge charge, but it carries electrom agnetic (EM) charge. T he fractionalized ferm ion has spin and charge for the intemal gauge eld. The only quantum number they have in com $m$ on is the avor index. M oreover the fractionalized ferm ion is particle-hole sym $m$ etric, while the original ferm ions are in general not in that $\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{d}}$.

```
IV . DUALITY M APPING OF THE COMPACT
    U (1) GAUGE THEORIES W ITH M ASSIVE
                MATTER FIELDS
```

In the next section, we w ill describe the $m$ atrix $m$ odels in dualrepresentation. T he goalofthe dualdescription is to provide a $m$ ore vivid picture of fractionalization and the em ergent gauge eld by describing the sam e phenom ena in term sof the originalexciton language. Before doing that, in this section we w ill review the dual representation of the com pact U (1) gauge theory coupled w ith m atter elds. This will help to identify what plays the role of the fractionalized particle and the gauge eld in the originalexciton picture which w illbe discussed in the next section.

## A. bosonic m atter

In this section we discuss the dual representation of the com pact U (1) gauge theory coupled w ith bosonic $m$ atter eld. T he action ( $\left.\mathbf{B}_{2} \overline{2}_{-}\right)$is rew ritten

$$
\begin{gather*}
S=\frac{t}{2}^{X} \quad(\cos (i+) \quad \text { (i) a (i)) } 1) \\
X_{C} \frac{1}{2 g_{C}^{2}}\left(\operatorname{cossb}_{C} \quad 1\right):
\end{gather*}
$$

H ere $i$ is lattioe site and refers to $D$ positive direction of link. $t$ is the phase sti ness ofboson and $g_{c}$, the generalized gauge coupling associated with the ux through a $\mathrm{loop}_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{C}$. E ach loop is assigned a unique orientation and $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{c}}={ }_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{T}$ he choige oforientation does not m atter because of the cos ( $b_{c}$ ). T he sum $m$ ation of $C$ in the second term in Eq. (7) is over all possible closed loops. If only the $s m$ allest plaquette is allowed for the gauge kinetic term, Eg. (7] $m$ odel $\left[\begin{array}{c}{[d]}\end{array}\right]$. partition function,


$$
\begin{align*}
& \exp \frac{t}{4}_{i ;}^{X} f(i+\quad)  \tag{i}\\
& \text { X } \frac{1}{4 g_{C}^{2}} \text { flo } \quad 2 q g^{2} \text { : } \tag{74}
\end{align*}
$$

H ubbard-Stratonovich transform ation for the quadratic term $s$ leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \exp \frac{1}{t}_{i ;}^{X}\left(\mathrm{l}(\mathrm{I})^{2}\right. \\
& { }_{i} \quad l \begin{array}{l}
\text { (i)f } \\
\text { (i+ })
\end{array} \text { (i) a (i) } 2 \mathrm{p} \text { (i)g } \\
& X^{i ;} g_{C}^{2} n_{C}^{2} \quad i^{x} \quad n_{C} \text { flo } \quad 2 q g: \tag{75}
\end{align*}
$$

From the identity $P_{n=1}^{1} \quad e^{2 \text { in } x}=P_{n=1}^{1} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & n\end{array}\right)$ the partition function becom es the sum over integer elds,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{l} \text { (i) } \mathrm{nc}_{\mathrm{c}} \\
& \text { i } \quad \text { (i)f (i+ ) (i) a (i) } g \\
& \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{i} ;} \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{C}}^{2} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{C}}^{2} \quad \mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{C}} \quad \text { : } \tag{76}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating out and a we obtain the constraint for the current conservation of boson and the ux conservation of the electric ux line,

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{l} \text { (i);0} \quad \text { i; } \quad 1 \text { (i) } \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{CO}_{3(\mathrm{i} ;}, \mathrm{S}\left(\mathrm{C}^{0} ; i ;\right) \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{c} 0} ; 0} \text { : } \tag{77}
\end{align*}
$$

Here 1 (i) is integer de ned on link. N onzero value of it at a given line ( $i ;$ ) represents that the link is a part of w orld lines of boson (s). N egative value represents w orld line of antibooson. The rst constraint in the second line of $\left(\overline{7}^{7} \overline{7}_{1}\right)$ im plies that the world line of boson should form closed loop. This is the result of current conservation of boson. $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{C}}$ is an integer valued ' eld' assigned to the loop C, i.e., it is de ned on the space of loops, the set of all possible closed loops. T he typical size of the loop is given by the inverse $m$ ass of the integrated $m$ atter eld. It is convenient to think of the loop as a boundary of a surface. $T$ hen nonzero value of $n_{C}$ im plies that the surface enclosed by $C$ is a part of a world sheet passing through the surface. The value w ith $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{C}}>1$ is regarded as multiple world sheets and negative value, a w orld sheet of opposite orientation. The sign S (C ;i; ) which appears in the second constraint in Eq. $\left(7 \bar{T}_{1}\right)$ is 1 ( 1 ) if the orientation of $C$ is along (against) the vector associated
w ith the ( $\mathrm{i} ;$ ). This constraint im plies that such world sheet cannot end by itself. In the absence of boson current ( $(\mathrm{i})=0$ ) the end of one world sheet should be jined by the end of another w orld sheet of sam e orientation. This $m$ eans that they should form oriented closed surface in space-tim e. In the presence of boson current (l (i) 0) the world sheet can end on the world line of boson. In lattice gauge theory, $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{C}} \in 0$ represents the presence of quantized electric ux on that link. Thus the bosons serve as souroes of the electric ux lines. The second constraint in Eq. ( $\left.7_{1}^{-1} \mathcal{T}_{1}\right)$ is the ux conservation law. N ote that the w orld sheet is not well-de ned in the lattice scale because of the uncertainty in determ ining a unique surface enclosed by a loop. W e can de ne a sm oothly varying surface only in the long distance scale larger than the typical length of the loops. This is attributed to the fact that gauge theory em erges only in the energy scale lower than the $m$ ass of the integrated $m$ atter eld.

$$
\text { B. ferm ion ic } m \text { atter }
$$

H ere we consider the com pact $U$ (1) gauge theory coupled $w$ th ferm ion in (65),

$$
\begin{align*}
& S=t^{X X} e^{\text {ia (i) }}{ }_{i+} \quad i \\
& +{ }_{i} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{i}} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{C}} \frac{\mathrm{X}}{2 g_{C}^{2}}\left(\operatorname{cosb}_{C} \quad 1\right): \tag{78}
\end{align*}
$$

Here denote the nearest neighbor link in all 2D directions and $a(i+)=a$ (i). Note that the sign of the gauge kinetic energy term is opposite to that of ( $\mathbf{2 B}_{1}^{\prime}$ ). W e introduce an additional phase in the ux term to apply the usual V illain approxim ation and the H ubbard-Stratonovich transform ation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.e^{\frac{1}{2 g_{C}^{2}}\left(\cos b_{c}\right.} 1\right)=X_{n_{c}}^{X} e^{P}{ }_{c} g_{C}^{2} n_{c}^{2} i^{P}{ }_{c}^{n_{c}\left(b_{c}\right.} \quad\right): \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the $m$ atter eld we expand in $t$,

where < A > ${ }^{R} D \quad D$ Ae ${ }^{P} i^{i}$. Because of the conservation of $m$ atter current, only loop of ferm ion world lines contribute to the partition function,

Herem is the num ber of ferm ion loops w here each loop is represented as $C_{m}$. There is a distinction of orientation for each ferm ion loop which is detem ined by the direction of ferm ion current. Unlike the bosonic case there is no am biguity in counting the num ber of loops. This is because tw o loops cannot intersect ow ing to the anticom mutativity ${ }_{i}^{2}=0 . L\left(C_{m}\right)$ is the length of each loop and $\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{a}$ is the $\mathrm{U}(1)$ gauge ux penetrating the loop. $T$ he altemating sign $w$ th the number of ferm ion loops originates from the antigom $m$ utativity of the $G$ rassm ann eld. U sing $(\overline{7} \overline{9})$ and ( $\left(\overline{8} \overline{D_{1}}\right)$ and integrating out the gauge eld we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \text { (i) }=0 ; 1 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{C}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(82) A. D uality description of fractionalized boson and U (1) gauge eld

0 and $1, e^{1=t}$ is replaced $w$ ith $t$ and there is a factor ( 1) for each ferm ion loop and $n_{C}$. The $m$ inus sign for each $n_{C}$ originates from the opposite sign in the gauge kinetic energy term .

> V. DUALAPPROACH TO THE EMERGENT
> U (1) GAUGETHEORY IN THEMATRIX MODELS

In this section we dualize the three di erent theories of (19으́) in the w orld line representation ofexciton. The fractionalization, the em ergence of photon in the C oulom b phase and the statistics of the fractionalized particle w ill be discussed in the exciton language.

Here $m(1)$ is the number of ferm ion loops for a given con guration of 1 (i). This is sim ilar to the action with the bosonicm atter in (7근) except that 1 (i) can have only

C onsider the e ective action for o -diagonalphases of the H erm itian $m$ atrix w ith $K_{\mathrm{n}}<0$,
$H^{a b}=\quad b a$ is the phase of the $H$ em itian $m$ atrix. W e de ned $a_{n+1} \quad a_{1}$ for notational sim plicity. $=2 \mathrm{~K} \quad 2$ and $n=4 n \pi_{n} j{ }_{o}^{n}$. The summ ation $\left[a_{1} ; a_{2} ;::: ; a_{n}\right]$ is over all $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} m$ odulo cyclic perm utation and the reverse of the order of indices. $N$ o repeated consecutive indices are allowed, that is, $a_{i} a_{i+1}$. $f{ }^{\left[a_{1} ; a_{2} ;:: ; a_{n}\right]}$ is a symmetry factor which com pensate the double counting in the cyclic perm utation and the reverse of the indices. For exam ple, $\mathrm{f}^{[1 ; 2 ; 3]}=\mathrm{f}^{[1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4]}=1$ and $\mathrm{f}^{[1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 1 ; 2 ; 3]}=\frac{1}{2}$, etc. W ith the V illain approxim ation forboth the kinetic energy term and the interaction term, the partition function becom es

Introducing tw $O$ H ubbard-Stratonovich elds $l^{a b}$ (i) and $V^{\left[a_{1}::: a_{n}\right]}$ (i) and perform ing the sum $m$ ation over $p^{a b}$ (i) and $q_{\left[a_{1} ;:: ; a_{n}\right]}$ (i), the partition function becom es sum over integer num bers for the exciton current and interaction vertex,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Z X }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { i; } ; a<b
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left[a_{1}::: a_{2 n}\right]={ }_{n} f^{\left[a_{1} ; a_{2} ;::: ; a_{2 n}\right]}$. Here ${ }^{\text {ab }}$ (i) repre- interaction. The free energy cost of unit current in a link sents current of ab excitions and $\mathrm{V}^{\left[a_{1}::: a_{n}\right]}$ (i), vertex of

(a)

(b)

(e)

(a)

(d)

(b)

(e)

F IG .4: E xam ples of vertices in the w orld line rep resentation of excition with (a) $V^{123}=1$, (b) $V^{213}=1$, (c) $V^{123}=2$, (d) $\mathrm{V}^{1234}=1$ and (e) $\mathrm{V}^{1243}=1$. An incom ing ab-exciton is same as an outgoing ba-exciton because is Herm itian. The direction of the excition world line attached to vertioes are draw $n$ w th the reference of ab-exciton with $a<b$.
is $\frac{1}{}$ and that of unit vertex at a site is $\frac{1}{\left[a_{1}:: a_{n}\right]}$. Integration over the exciton phase leads to current conservation condition,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { X } \quad l^{\mathrm{ab}}(\mathrm{i}) \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{X} \quad\left(\mathrm{~V}^{\left[\mathrm{aba}_{3}::: \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]} \quad \mathrm{V}^{\left[\mathrm{ba} a_{3}::: \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]}\right)=0: \\
& \text { n } a_{3} ;:: ; a_{n} \tag{86}
\end{align*}
$$

T he constraint (8] ${ }^{-1}$ ) im plies that the vertex $V\left[a_{1} a_{2}:: a_{n}\right]$ is a source or sink of the currents. E xciton current of each avor is conserved if $V\left[a_{1} a_{2}::: a_{n}\right]=0$ for all $\left[\mathrm{a}_{1} a_{2}:: a_{n}\right]$. IfV ${ }^{\left[a_{1} a_{2}::: a_{n}\right]}$ (i) 0 , the site $i$ becom es a source for currents $j^{a_{1} a_{2}}, \ldots, j^{a_{i 1} a_{i+1}}, \ldots, j^{a_{n} a_{1}}$ and a sink for the currents $j^{a_{2} a_{1}}, \ldots, j^{a_{i+1} a_{i}}, \ldots, j^{a_{1} a_{n}}$. Exam ples of the vertioes are show $n$ in $F$ ig. ${ }^{\prime \prime}(\mathrm{A})$-(e). T he vertioes describe interaction am ong excitons. O ne species of exciton is annihilated and other exciton is created at the vertex as constituent ferm ion exchange their partners. Even though the exciton current ofeach species is not conserved at vertex, the avor current is alw ays conserved. This becom es m ore transparent if we represent exciton world line in double


In the double line representation the link with $\mathrm{l}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ (i) $=$ 1 can be view ed as two w orld lines of a particle of avor a and an anti-particle of avor b. Each single line will be interpreted as the w orld line of the em ergent slave boson. Since each avor current is alw ays conserved, the single line should form loop. T he single line should represent the world line of boson not ferm ion because the phase factor associated w the loop of single line is 1 . $T$ his is consistent w ith the earlier discussion in Sec. III. A. At this stage the boson is just a book-keeping' tool for the exciton avor. W hether this boson occurs as a low energy excitation or not is a dynam icalquestion. In

FIG. 5: D ouble line representation of the same vertices show $n$ in $F$ ig. 'it w ith (a) $V^{123}=1$, (b) $V^{213}=1$, (c) $V^{123}=2$, (d) $V^{1234}=1$ and (e) $V^{1243}=1$. The world line of exciton w ith avor ab is denoted as two lines where one line of avor a $m$ oves in the sam e direction as the exciton world line and the other line of $a v o r b$ in the opposite direction.
the weak coupling lim it ( $n \ll 1$ ) the energy cost of a vertex is very high. Each exciton $m$ aintains its identity and the boson is con ned w thin a single exciton for a long tim e $1=n$. The low energy physics is described by weakly interacting excitons. $T$ his is the disordered phase of the o diagonalexciton condensate. It is in the strong coupling lim it ( $n \gg 1$ ) where the bosons have chance to be liberated. In the strong coupling lim it the vertex is cheap and exciton com pletely looses its identity w ithin the discretized tim e (distance) scale. The scattering occurs so often that the boson is no longer con ned w thin a single exciton. The exciton is not a quasiparticle. On the other hand the slave boson can propagate for $m$ uch longer tim e (distance) than excition as they keep changing their partner. Then the slave boson em erges as low energy excitation of the system. Som e rem arks are in order for the fractionalization. First, it does not $m$ ean that an excition is really separated into two slave bosons. Instead a boson is alw ays part of som e exciton. The point is that a boson is liberated from a particular exciton by frequently changing its partner in the strong coupling lim it. Then the boson (anti-boson) appears to be free in the $m$ edium of other anti-bosons (bosons). (H ow ever the boson is not necessarily a quasiparticle because of the coupling to an em ergent photon as will be discussed below.) It is em phasized again that the drastic change from the exciton quasiparticle picture to the fractionalization is due to the strong interaction. Second, the concept of fractionalization can be useful in an interm ediate energy scale even in the case where there is no fractionalization in the low energy lim it. In the follow ing we explain these in $m$ ore detail.

First we consider what con gurations contribute to partition function ( $8=1 \overline{1}_{1}$ ). The w orld line of the slave boson form s closed loop and is accom panied w th another line with opposite current. T he sim plest con guration is two overlapped loops w th current of avor a in one direction and $b$ in the other direction. It describes a process where ab-exciton and ba-exciton pop out of vacuum and annihilate. In this sense the ab and ba-excitons are anti-particles to each other even though they are two independent excitons. $T$ his is a consequence of the condensation of diagonal excitons. Two diagonal excitons (aa and bb) in condensate collide to becom e tw o o -diagonal excitons ( $a b$ and ba) vioe versa. T he role of the phase coherent diagonalexcitons is im portant even though it is hidden behind the dynam ical stage. N ote that we have ${ }^{\mathrm{ab}}=\quad \mathrm{ba}$ because of the m ass term in (1-1) which is generated by the phase coherent diagonalexcitons. G eneral con gurations consist of a collection of connected webs m ade of boson world lines (w orld line web). Each w orld line web de nes closed surfaces as depicted in Fig. !'G. M any such closed surfaces m ay co-exist and interpenetrate each other. It is noted that the closed surfaces $m$ ade of the w orld line web are oriented. This is because the boson current has direction and only two currents w ith opposite direction can share a link. H ow ever, can we still de ne the orientation even if there is a crossing of avor in a vertex ? The answ er is yes. O ne crossing diagram is shown in $F$ ig. $7_{1}$ (a). The crossing vertex in Fig. $\bar{T}_{1}$ (a) can be obtained from the non-crossing vertex in F ig. $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ (b) through a continuous deform ation involving rotation as is show $n$ in the gure. T he oriented nature of the surface has im portant consequences for the gauge group of the em ergent gauge eld and the statistics of the slave particle as will be shown below. N ote that there are three independent length scales in the world line web. The shortest scale is the length of double line segm ent ( $1_{1}$ ) which corresponds to the life tim e of a single exciton. The other is the size of the loop of single line $(2)$ which is the life tim e of the slave boson. N ote that there is distribution in the size of the loops of single lines from the sm all loop of size 1 to the large loop whose size is $m$ uch larger than 1 . The sm aller loops of size 1 are lling the area between the large loops of size 2 . Even though there exist large loops of single lines, the life tim e of a single exciton is kept to be 1 . This is how the slave boson can em erge as low energy excitations. The largest length scale is the size of the bubble $m$ ade of the web (3). In generalwe have $1<2<3$. In the follow ing the con nem ent, C oulomb and $H$ iggs phases are described in term s of the dynam ics of the web.

In the sm all lim it, all length scales are of order of lattice scale. As increases 2 and 3 increases. H ow ever ${ }_{1}$ rem ains alm ost same for xed coupling constants $\left(\sim_{n} \gg 1\right)$. For ${ }_{2} \ll 3$ the closed surface of the world line web is well-de ned in the length scale $x \quad 3$. Thus the low energy theory reduces to the statistical problem of uctuating surface. The surface can be regarded as the w orld sheet of oriented closed string. The string ten-

$\longrightarrow \xi_{3}$


FIG. 6: Sphere and torus form ed by web of exciton world lines.


FIG.7: C rossing vertex as a tw isted uncrossed vertex.
sion is determ ined by the density of exciton and. In the gauge theory picture the string corresponds to electric ux line and the string tension, the gauge coupling. $T$ he gauge group should be $U(1)$. This com es from the orientedness of the surface. In contrast, in the $Z_{n}$ gauge theory there are lines where $n$ currents of sam e direction can $m$ eet. Then $n$ surfaces can join at these lines. This leads to an unoriented surface. If 3 is nite there is -
nite tension for the world sheet of electric ux line. 3 corresponds the con ning scale. H ow can we probe the con ning gauge eld ? This can be done by exam ining the potential energy betw een the tw o slave bosons.
$C$ onsider creating tw o excitons of avors ab and bc separated by distance $r$. T hen ask the probability am plitude
for the two excitons to end up with excitons of avors ad and dc after $={ }_{1}$. We follow only the avor of a and c by tracing out avor $b$ and $d$ and $m$ easure

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\lim _{1!1} \frac{1}{1} \ln _{b ; d} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{ad}}(\mathrm{i} ; 1)+\mathrm{dc}(i+\mathrm{r} ; 1)\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\left(\mathrm{ab}(i ; 0)+{ }^{\mathrm{bc}}(i+\mathrm{r} ; 0)\right)^{E}} \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

where iand $r$ is the ( $D-1$ )-dim ensionalspace vector and , the im aginary tim e. The w orld line of the $b$ and d bosons w ill be present only for a nite time scale near $=0$ and 1 respectively. A fter an initial transient tim e, we are left w ith boson a and anti-boson c connected by the w orld lineweb as is show $n$ in $F$ ig. ' $\overline{1} 1$. . The end point of the web is the slave boson and the web is the electric ux line connecting them. Thuswee ectively $m$ easure the potential energy betw een the slave bosons. If $2 \ll r \ll 3$ tw o test bosons separated by $r$ are sub ject to $C$ oulom b interaction, $V(r) \quad \ln r$ for $D=3$ and $V(r) \quad 1=r^{D} \quad 3$ for $\mathrm{D}>3$. T his is because the world line web uctuates wildly in this length scale. The linear potential, $V(r) \quad r$, sets in for $3 \ll r \ll \frac{\frac{2}{3}_{2}^{2}}{2}$ where the electric ux line is alm ost straight. For $r \gg \frac{2}{2}$ the energy associated w th the extended electric ux line exceeds the $m$ ass gap of slave boson. The boson and anti-boson pop out to screen the test bosons and create tw o separate excitons. $T$ hen the potentialbecom es at, $V(r)$ constant. This is what we observe in the con nem ent phase of the U (1) gauge theory w th m assive bosons. In the interm ediate energy scale $1={ }_{3} \ll \mathrm{E} \ll 1={ }_{2}$ the e ective theory is the em ergent pure $U(1)$ gauge eld $w$ ith alm ost gapless photon. It is know $n$ that pure com pact $U$ (1) gauge theory is alw ays con ned in $2+1$ dim ension. This m eans that 3 is alw ays nite and in the low est energy scale $\mathrm{E} \ll 1=3$ every excitation is gapped. The C oulom b phase is not a stable phase but can exist only as a cross-over. In space dim ension greater than 2 the real Coulomb phase can arise even in the low energy lim it. In the follow ing we discuss the C oulom b phase.

As increases further, the bubbles grow and overlap. The surfaces of tw o bubble can re-connect to form a large and a sm all bubbles. In this way an in nitely large surface can form and the length scale 3 diverges while 2 rem ains nite. The world line web condenses (but not the individual boson). The low energy excitation is the collective excitation of the condensed w orld line web. In a given tim e slice, the intersection of the web surface w th the tim e slice form s strings. In the deconned phase, these strings are in nite in extent. This is a space-tim e picture for the string-net condensation $\left[{ }^{3} \mathbf{B}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$.


F IG. 8: Propagation of two excitons separated by distance $r$ in the con nem ent phase. The end points of the web are boson and anti-boson, and the web is the electric ux line connecting them . 3 is the length scale associated $w$ ith the transverse uctuations of the web.

In the gauge theory language the electric ux lines are the strings which are condensed, and the low energy collective excitation becom es the gapless photon'. This is the Coulomb (decon nem ent) phase.

W hat is them assless photon' in term soforiginalexciton ? To answ er the question we rst construct the W ilson operator. The $W$ ilson operator creates a closed electric ux line in the gauge theory picture. Since the w orld sheet ofelectric ux line corresponds to world line web in the exciton picture, the $W$ ilson operator corresponds to creation operator of series of excitons. H ow ever in order to create low energy excitations, the operator needs to create excitons in a special order along the loop, as we see from the follow ing argum ent. T he w orld line w eb corresponding to low energy excitations need to have large num ber of loops. This is because each loop contributes


FIG.9: (a) Series ofexcitons created by theW ilson operator. The solid (open) circle denotes avor (anti- avor) of exciton. (b) W orld sheet spanned by the tim e evolution of the $W$ ilson operator.
$\ln N(\mathbb{N}$, the num ber of avor) to the action. From this consideration we identify the $W$ ilson operator,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{i_{1} i_{2}::: i_{n}} \quad X_{a_{1} a_{2}::: a_{n}} e^{i\left({i_{1}}_{a_{1} a_{2}}+a_{i_{2}}^{a_{2} a_{3}}+:::+{ }_{i_{n}}^{a_{n} a_{1}}\right)}: \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

This operator creates a series of exciton along a contour $C$ de ned by the sites $i_{1} ;:: ; i_{n}$. T he neighboring excitons have same avor and anti- avor (see Fig. ${ }_{\underline{\prime}}$ (a) (a). A s the series of excitons propagate in tim e they can readily form a web as is shown in $F i g$. $\overline{19}(b)$. The correlation betwen avors enables excitons to e ciently form a web $m$ axim izing the num ber of loops.
W hat does the W ilson operator do to existing excitons ? It creates avor current. For example if we apply W $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}^{12}+{ }_{j}^{23}+j_{j}^{34}+{ }_{1}^{41}\right)}$ to the states

$$
\begin{align*}
& j_{1}>=e^{i\left({ }_{i}^{23}+{ }_{j}^{34}+{ }_{j}^{41}+{ }_{1}^{12}\right)} j 0>; \\
& j_{2}>=e^{i\left({ }_{i}^{41}+{ }_{j}^{12}+{ }_{j}^{23}+{ }_{1}^{34}\right)} j 0> \tag{89}
\end{align*}
$$

with $j 0>$ being a vacuum without any o-diagonalexciton, we have

$$
\mathrm{W} j_{1}>=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\left(\frac{13}{13}+{ }_{j}^{24}+j_{j}^{31}+\mathrm{i}_{1}^{42}\right)} \mathrm{j} \boldsymbol{0}>\text {; }
$$


(a)

(b)

FIG. 10: The avor current caused by the $W$ ilson operator. $a b$ indicates an exciton $m$ ade of particle in the a-th band and hole in the b-th band. The $W$ ilson operator induces the $m$ otion of boson in the counter-clockw ise direction and antiboson in the clockw ise direction.

$$
\begin{equation*}
W j_{2}>=e^{i\left({ }_{i}^{42}+{ }_{j}^{13}+{ }_{j}^{24}+{ }_{1}^{31}\right)} j 0>: \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere we used the fact that once ab and bc excitons are on a site they decay into ac and bb excitons to low er energy by condensing the diagonal exciton. K eeping only the o -diagonal excitons we obtain the above result. This is shown in $F$ ig. 10. The $W$ ilson operator induces avor current in one direction (Fig. 10 (a)), and anti- avor current ( $F$ ig. 10 (b)) in the other direction, thus inducing net avor current. $\mathrm{W}{ }_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{y}}$ induces the opposite current and the rotational com ponent of the avor current can be identi ed as

$$
{ }_{c}^{I} j^{f} d x \quad\left(\mathbb{W}_{c} \quad W_{C}^{y}\right) ;
$$

where $j^{f}$ is the avor current.
W ith the excitonic expression for the $W$ ilson operator we are readily to discuss the physical origin of the em ergent photon. In the C oulom b phase there exist innitely large bubbles of w orld line web. This causes long range correlation betw een the W ilson loop. C onsider the


FIG.11: The connected diagram contributing to the correlation function betw een tw o W ilson operators.
correlator betw een the uctuations of tw o $W$ ilson loops,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D} \underset{\mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{C}_{2}}^{\mathrm{y}}}{ } \quad \mathrm{~W}_{\mathrm{C}_{1}} ; \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left.W_{C}=W_{C}<W_{C}\right\rangle$ and $C_{1}, C_{2}$ are two loops separated by $\quad \gg 2$ in the im aginary tim e direction. Since $W_{C_{1}}\left(W_{C_{2}}^{y}\right)$ creates (annihilates) world line web, the loops $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ becom es the boundary of the closed surface as is show in Fig. 1111. . Only the connected diagram contribute to the correlatorbecause the background value is subtracted. In the con ning phase w ith nite 3 , the contribution of the connected diagram is exponentially $s m$ all for $\gg 3$. In the decon nem ent phase, there are bubbles of arbitrarily large size which connect the $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$. This w ill leads to pow er law decay in the correlator, which signi es the presence ofm assless m ode. T his is the em ergent photon in the Coulomb phase. In this w ay the em ergent photon can be understood in term $s$ of the exciton language. In the fractionalized boson picture, the em ergent photon is the long-w avelength uctuation of the avorcurrents. The avorcurrent in Fig. 10 can be regarded as if the bosons are really liberated from the anti-bosons. On the other hand what is really happening is the concerted $m$ otion of the neutral excitons and the exchange of avors between excitons on a site. $T$ herefore the em ergent boson should be electrom agnetically neutral.

As _ keep increasing 2 grows fiuther. As seen from Fig. ' IG, areas w th large 2 correspond to punctures of the bubble. In the length scale x 2 the individual loops bordering the punctures on the 2 -dim ensional surface begin to be notioeable. The surface is no longer closed surface. The individual bosons form the boundary of w orld sheets and appear as low energy excitations

(a)

(b)

FIG. 12: A large loop surrounded by sm all loops in the exciton w orld line web (a). In the length scale com parable to the large loop, the sm all loops are regarded as sm ooth surface connecting the large loop (b).
(not necessarily quasiparticle). For a given length scale x , the loops $w$ ith sizes sm aller than x form sm ooth surface and are regarded as parts of electric ux line of the U (1) gauge eld. The loops w ith sizes larger than $x$ are regarded as the world lines of the fractionalized boson. $T$ his is displayed in Fig. init. The size of the large loop represents the inverse m ass of the fractionalized boson. A nite loop im plies a nonzero $m$ ass of the fractionalized boson. N ote that only one avor of exciton is observable in the large length scale because the sm ooth surface does not carry net avor. This is how the fractionalized boson arises. In a given tim e slice, the boson and anti-boson are the end of strings form ed by the intersection of the web surface and the tim e slice ${ }^{\prime 2}$ ory becom es the non-com pact $U$ (1) gauge theory coupled $w$ ith gapped bosons. In the large lim it not only 3 but also 2 diverges. The slave boson itself condenses. This is the H iggs phase. U nlike the C oulom b phase the $H$ iggs phase can occur even in the $2+1$ dim ension.

## B . D uality description of fractionalized boson, Ising $m$ ode and $U$ (1) gauge eld

$T$ he duality $m$ apping for the $H$ erm itian $m$ atrix $m$ odel w ith $K_{2 n}{ }_{1}=0$ and $K_{2 n}<0$ is exactly same as the one in the previous section except for the fact that only vertices of even order are allowed. T hus we will not repeat the sam e procedure. Instead wew illdiscuss the new feature which arises from the absence of vertex of odd order. A s discussed in the Sec. III. B, there exists extra $Z_{2}$ degree of freedom which undergoes the disorder to order phase transition. H ow can we interpret the phase transition in the dual representation? W e w ill show that the phase transition corresponds to a spontaneous generation of the odd order vertex. To see this, we rst note that the presence of quartic vertex im plies the presence


F IG. 13: The generation of the sixth order vertex from the quartic order vertices.


F IG. 14: Two sixth order vertices connected by the world lines of three excitons.
of all higher vertices of even order. For exam ple, F ig. "13' show s the generation of a sixth order vertex from the one-loop contribution. In the length scale larger than the loop, the sixth order vertex can be regarded as a local interaction. T w o sixth order vertices can e ectively act as tw o third order vertioes connected by world lines of three excitons as is show $n$ in $F i g$. ${ }^{1} 1 \bar{L}_{1}^{\prime}$. In the disordered phase of the Ising variable, the com posite of the three excitons has only short range correlation. T hus the two third order vertices in Fig. 114 is linearly con ned to each other. In the ordered phase the world line of the three excitons can be in nitely large. T hus the third order vertioes are unbound. O ne can regard the $K_{3}$ term as an explicit sym $m$ etry breaking term for the $Z_{2}$ sym $m$ etry of the exciton Lagrangian. Then the generation of the third order vertex $w$ thout bare $K_{3}$ is the spontaneous sym $m$ etry breaking of the $Z_{2}$ sym $m$ etry. In the world line picture it corresponds to the binding unbinding transition of the e ective third order vertices $m$ ade of the even order vertioes.
C. D uality description of fractionalized ferm ion,
Ising m ode and $U$ (1) gauge eld

Here we exam ine the antiHem itian matrix model w th $\mathrm{K}_{2 \mathrm{n}}>0$. The duality m apping can be perform ed in a parallel $w$ ay to the $m$ apping for the fractionalized boson except for the two di erences. First, the coe cients of the potential energy term has opposite sign. Second, the $m$ atrix is antiH erm itian. These tw o di erences cause the transm utation of the statistics of the slave particle. $T$ hee ective action for the o -diagonalphases is w ritten
$w^{2 b}={ }^{\mathrm{ab}}+$ is the phase of the antiH em itian $m$ atrix. O ther notations are sam e as those in Sec. V.A.N ote that that there is an extra phase in the potential term accounting for the opposite sign of the coupling constant.

From the V illain approxim ation and the Hubbard-Stratonovich transform ations we obtain the sim ilar partition function to ( 8 ( $\mathbf{5}_{1}$ ),

The extra phase factor $Q_{V}$ in the partition function is the consequence of the opposite sign in the coupling constant.

It is given by

$$
Q_{V} \quad \exp \quad \begin{array}{cccc}
X^{1} & X & X  \tag{95}\\
& & & \\
& & \text { i } & {\left[a_{1} ; a_{2} ;::: ; a_{2 n}\right]}
\end{array} \quad V^{\left[a_{1}::: a_{2 n}\right]} \text { (i) }
$$

and it can be sim ply w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{V}=e^{i v} ; \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V$ is the total num ber of vertioes in the polygon $m$ ade of the exciton world lines. Besides $Q_{v}$, there is another phase arising from the anti-H erm tivity. Since
$\mathrm{ab}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ and are not independent elds, one has to replace
${ }^{\mathrm{ba}}$ into ${ }^{\mathrm{ab}}+$ for $\mathrm{a}<\mathrm{b}$ in integrating over ${ }^{\mathrm{ab}}$. A fter integration it leads to the sam e constraint as (8-6) but w th another phase factor,
$S^{\left[a_{1}::: a_{2 n}\right]}$ (i) counts the number of em anating excition world lines attached to the vertex $V^{\left[a_{1}::: a_{2 n}\right]}$ (i) whose double index is in descending order (e.g. $\mathrm{s}^{[1234]}$ (i) $=1$ because there is one descending double index 41 am ong $12,23,34,41$; sim ilarly we have $s^{[1243]}$ (i) $=2$.) $T$ he second extra phase factor, in tum, becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{E}=e^{i E} \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n=2 \quad \text { i } \quad\left[a_{1} ; a_{2} ;::: ; a_{2 n}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

(97) here E is the total num ber of exciton w orld line segwhere

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{\left[a_{1}::: a_{2 n}\right]}(i)={\underset{i=1}{X^{n}} \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{a_{i}}{a_{i+1}}}_{\dot{\mathcal{A}}_{i}}^{a_{i+1} j}+1: \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$ m ents. T his is because each exciton w orld line is attached to tw o vertices and the double index is in ascending order in one vertex and in descending order in the other vertex (see Fig. . 1

Finally we have

The extra phase factor can be related to the num ber of faces $F$ of the polygon because the Euler characteristic is a topological invariant,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Euler } \quad V \quad E+F=2(1 \quad g) \text {; } \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $g$ is the genus of the surface. Since $g$ is integer we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i(V E)}=e^{i F}: \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand the number of the faces is sam e as the num ber of the loops of single line. There is extra phase factor ( 1) for every loop of single line. T hus each single line represents the world line of a ferm ion. For exam ple the diagram in $F$ ig. $1{ }^{-1} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{a})$ has 4 loops and Fig. ī̄ (b) has 5 loops of single line. They contribute to partition function $w$ ith the opposite sign. This is the signature of the em ergent ferm ion in the exciton partition fiunction. As was m entioned before, the orientedness of the closed surface is im portant for the sim ple relation (102) because the Euler characteristic (1011) can be odd integer for non-orientable surface. It is straightforw ard to obtain the sam e result from the $H$ em itian $m$ atrix $m$ odel $w$ ith $K_{2 n}=(1)^{n} K_{2 n} j$.

The con nem ent to decon nem ent phase transition can be understood as the divergence of the scale of the
w orld line web as discussed in Sec. V.A. The di erence is that the bubblesm ade of exciton w orld lines contribute to the partition function $w$ ith oscillating sign as the num ber of single line loops increases. T he oscillating sign also appears in the partition function for the gauge theory coupled to ferm ions as seen from the phase factor $e^{i} n_{c}$ in Eq. (82z). T hus we see that this case corresponds to an em ergent gauge theory with the opposite sign of the kinetic energy term (Eq. (7\%)).

The phase transition for the $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$ variable can also be understood in the sam e way as discussed in Sec. V.B. $T$ he di erence is that the ordered phase of the Ising vari$a b l e$ is not related to the generation of the usual $K_{3} \operatorname{tr}^{0}{ }^{3}$ term in (1] $]_{1}$ ). It cancels $w$ ith its com plex conjugate term for the antiH erm itian m atrix. The spontaneously generated interaction in the ordered phase corresponds to a avor dependent interaction,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{K}_{3}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{b} \in \mathrm{c}} \mathrm{ab} \text { bc } \mathrm{ca} \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $\mathrm{K}_{3}^{\text {abc }}=\mathrm{K}_{3}^{\mathrm{cba}}$. W ith the avor dependent coupling constant, the complex conjugate tem no longer cancel each other under the antiH erm itian constraint. Eq. (103') contributes to (993) as vertex of third order. $N$ ote that the overall sign of $K_{3}^{[a ; b ; c]}$ does not $m$ atter because the third order vertex should occur in pair because


FIG. 15: ab-exciton is em anating from the vertex $V_{i}$ and ba-exciton, from the vertex $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{j}}$. T hus there is one $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}}$ factor associated w ith the exciton world line either from $V_{i}$ or $V_{j}$.


FIG.16: C on gurations of exciton world lines involving (a) 4 loops and (b) 5 loops of single line.
statistics, in tum, is show $n$ to be responsible for the generation of spin in the continuum lim it in agreem ent $w$ ith the spin-statistics theorem. T he fractionalization is explained in two consistent point of view s. O ne is based on $m$ ore conventional approach where the fractionalized degrees of freedom are obtained from decom position of original exciton eld into $m$ ultiple $m$ odes as required by constraints im posed by strong interaction. T he other approach is based on the w orld line representation of originalexciton. In the latter approach, a m ore vivid physical picture for the fractionalization is obtained in term $s$ of the original exciton language, while m ore quantitative but abstract analysis is given in the rst approach.
$W$ e close by $m$ aking som e com $m$ ents on the observational consequences of the em ergent photon and fractionalized particles in the C oulom b phase. T he photon is the only gapless $m$ ode and $w$ ill dom inate the speci $c$ heat at low tem perature, $\mathrm{as}^{3}$ in $\mathrm{d}=3$ and $\mathrm{T}^{2} \mathrm{w}$ ith cross-overto a sm allgap to $d=2$. T he fractionalization of the exciton will greatly a ect the spectralproperties. T he exciton is not a well de ned excitation. T he exciton propagator is a product of two fractionalized particles in space-tim e, connected by a strongly uctuating web, i.e. interacting via the exchange of photons. If the coupling to the photon is weak, it can be ignored and the exciton spectralfunction is a convolution of tw o spectral functions of fractionalized particles, and w illbecom e extrem ely broad w ith an unusual line-shape.
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of the constraint betw een the num ber of vertioes and the double line segm ents,

$$
{ }_{n}^{X} \quad n V_{n}=2 E:
$$

$F$ inally, the $m$ assless ferm ion can arise if the size of the loop diverges.

## VI. C O N C LU S IO N S

In this paper we studied an exciton $m$ odel which supports various fractionalized phases $w$ th either fractionalized boson or ferm ion along w ith em ergent photon. P henom enologicalm atrix $m$ odel for exciton bose condensate is derived from a m icroscopic theory. The statistics and spin of the fractionalized particles are determ ined dynam ically. T he bosonic fractionalized particle is shown to occur m ore generically. The ferm ionic nature of the fractionalized particle is show $n$ to be rooted to frustration in the underlying bosonic $m$ atrix $m$ odel. The ferm ionic

A ppendix A.E ective action of exciton condensate and the saddle-point solutions

The ob jective of this appendix is two-folded. T he rst one is to derive the e ective action ( $\overline{7}, \mathbf{1})-(\overline{9})$ ) from the m icroscopic H am iltonian (11). T he second one is to present the saddle point solutions for the self-consistent equations for the exciton condensate eld.

W e rearrange the the attractive interaction as

$$
\begin{align*}
& X V^{a b}{ }^{X} C_{k+q}^{a} C_{k}^{a} d_{k}^{b}+q d_{k}^{b} \\
= & X^{a b} V^{a b} \stackrel{k}{k}^{0} ; q  \tag{A1}\\
a b & d_{k}^{b} C_{k}^{a}+q C_{k}^{a}+q d_{k}^{b} ; q
\end{align*}
$$

and introduce H ubbard-Stratonovich eldsdescribing the exciton condensate to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\underset{\sim}{P_{\bar{V}}}}_{\frac{a b}{q}}^{{ }_{k}} C_{k}^{b} C_{k+q}^{a}: \tag{A2}
\end{align*}
$$

$W$ ith the anisotropic Coulomb interaction, $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{ab}}=\mathrm{V}+$ $\mathrm{V}^{0} \mathrm{ab}$ and a dim ensionless exciton eld, ${ }^{\mathrm{ab}}=\frac{\mathrm{ab}}{\mathrm{V}}$, the e ective Lagrangian becom es

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +X^{q} X^{a b} h C_{k}^{a}(@+\underset{k}{c}) c_{k}^{a}+d_{k}^{a} @+{ }_{k}^{d} \quad d_{k}^{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& X \quad X^{a b} \quad k \\
& +U \quad\left(C_{k}^{a} d_{k}^{a}+d_{k}^{a} C_{k}^{a}\right): \tag{A3}
\end{align*}
$$

Absorbing the $m$ ixing ( $U$ ) term into by a shift of the exciton eld

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{q}{a b}={ }_{q}^{0}{ }_{q}^{0}+\frac{U P}{V} \bar{V}_{a b} q ; 0 ; \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

we nally obtain the Lagrangian,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{V U}{V+V^{0}}{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{~V}}_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{X}}\left(\begin{array}{l}
{ }^{0}{ }_{0}{ }_{0}+{ }_{0}^{0}{ }_{0}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +d_{k}^{a}\left(@+{ }_{k}^{d}\right) d_{k}^{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

From now on we om it the prim e in the ${ }^{\circ}$ and drop the last constant term .
$N$ ow we consider the $m$ ean- eld solution for the exciton condensate. W e consider a translationally invariant saddle point A nsatz,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{ab}}=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{V}}^{\mathrm{ab}} \underset{\mathrm{q} ; 0}{ } \tag{A6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the exciton condensate and solve the self-consistent equations for ab ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \frac{V^{0}}{V+V^{0}} a b \quad a b+\frac{U}{V+V^{0}} a b=\frac{1}{V}_{k}^{X}<d_{k}^{b y} c_{k}^{a}>: \tag{A7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the saddle point equation for ${ }^{a b}$ has di erent form from (2) because of the rescaling and shift in ab .

H ere we present the saddle point solutions for $\mathrm{N}=3$ obtained in the $20 \quad 20$ square lattioe. For sim plicity we use the particle-hole sym $m$ etric tight-binding dispersion , $\underset{\mathrm{c}}{\mathrm{c}} \quad=\binom{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{t}\left(\operatorname{cosk}_{\mathrm{x}}+\operatorname{cosk}_{\mathrm{y}} 2\right)+\mathrm{w}$ ith $\mathrm{t}=1$ and $=1$. Various solutions are possible depending on the param eters of $V, V^{0}, U . T$ he follow ings are the saddle point solutions :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{V}=10, \mathrm{~V}^{\circ}=5, \mathrm{U}=0: \\
& 0  \tag{A8}\\
& \mathrm{ab}= @ \begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{d} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \mathrm{~d} & 0 \\
& 0 & 0
\end{array} \\
& \mathrm{~d}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith $\mathrm{d}=0: 68$.

$$
\mathrm{V}=10, \mathrm{~V}^{0}=5, \mathrm{U}=0:
$$

$$
a b=\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & & & 1^{1}  \tag{A9}\\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
& 0 & 1^{A} ; \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}
$$

w ith $\quad 1=0: 4$.

$$
\mathrm{V}=10, \mathrm{~V}^{0}=5, \mathrm{U}=0: 3:
$$

$$
a b=\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & & \begin{array}{c}
1 \\
d
\end{array} & { }^{1} \\
2 & d & { }_{1} A
\end{array}
$$

$$
w \text { ith } d=0: 06,1=0: 07 \text { and } 2=0: 39
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{\mathrm{N} \mathrm{U}^{2}}{\mathrm{~V}+\mathrm{V}^{0}} \mathrm{~V} \tag{A5}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& V=10, V^{0}=5, U=0: 7: \\
& a b=\begin{array}{llll}
0 & & & 1 \\
d & \circ & \circ & \\
\circ & d & \circ & A \\
& \circ & \circ & d
\end{array}  \tag{A11}\\
& \text { with } d=0: 14 \text { and } \circ=0: 27 \text {. }
\end{align*}
$$

The above solutions are locally stable．H ow ever it is possible that they are not globalm inim a．O ne m ay have to add further interaction term to stabilize the desired saddle point solution．

A ppendix B．D erivation of $H$ erm itian and antiH erm itian $m$ atrix $m$ odels

In this appendix we derive the $H$ erm itian $\left(1 \bar{\eta}_{1}\right)$ and the antiH erm itian $m$ atrix $m$ odel（18）from the e ective ac－ tion of the exciton condensate（14）．This is done by re－ placing the diagonalelem ent of by the am plitude of the diagonalcondensate，$d$ in（14－1）and extract the term $s$ for the o－diagonalelem ents of ${ }^{-}$．In the quartic interaction

$$
\mathrm{K}_{4}^{\mathrm{X}} \underset{\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{c} ; \mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{ba}} \text { bc dc da; }
$$

there are 5 di erent possibilities of how the diagonal and o－diagonal elem ents are m ixed depending whether 2,1 or 0 of the elds are diagonal．$T$ his leads to the action for the o diagonalphase modes，

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{K}_{4}}= & \mathrm{K}_{4}{ }_{\mathrm{d}}^{2} \operatorname{tr}^{0}(\mathrm{~h}: \mathrm{c}:) \\
& +2 \mathrm{~K}_{4} \mathrm{dtr}^{0}(\mathrm{y}+\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{c}:) \\
& +\mathrm{K}_{4} \operatorname{tr}^{0} \mathrm{y} \mathrm{y} ; \tag{B2}
\end{align*}
$$

wheretr $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}: \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ denotes trace for the product ofm a－ trices which involves on ${ }_{P} y$ the o－diagonalelem ent ofeach $m$ atrix，e．g．， $\operatorname{tr}^{0} A B={ }_{a \in b} A_{a b} B_{b a}$ ．Sim ilarly，the six－ th order interactions also give rise to potentialenergy for the o－diagonalphase m odes，

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{K}_{6}}=3 \mathrm{~K}_{6}{ }_{\mathrm{d}}^{4} \operatorname{tr}^{0}(\quad+\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{c}:)+\mathrm{K}_{6}{ }_{\mathrm{d}}^{3} \operatorname{tr}^{0}\left({ }^{3}+\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{C}:\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +3 K_{6}{ }_{d}^{2} \operatorname{tr}^{0} y y+6 K_{6}{ }_{d}^{2} \operatorname{tr}^{0}{ }^{y} y \quad y \\
& +3 K_{6} \operatorname{dtr}^{0}(\mathrm{y} y+h: C:) \\
& +K 6 \operatorname{tr}^{0} \quad y \quad y \quad y \text {; } \\
& L_{K_{6}^{0}}=\mathrm{NK}_{6}^{0}\left(\begin{array}{c}
2 \\
d
\end{array}+(\mathbb{N} \quad 1){ }_{0}^{2}\right) \operatorname{tr} \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{y}} \quad \text { : } \tag{B3}
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{K}}{ }_{6}$ has the sam e form as $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{K}_{4}}$ and this renorm alizes $\mathrm{K}_{4}$ into $K_{4}^{\infty} \quad K_{4}+\mathrm{NK}_{6}^{0}\left(\begin{array}{c}2 \\ d\end{array}+(\mathbb{N} \quad 1){ }_{0}^{2}\right)$ ．Sum ming $L_{K_{4}}$ ， $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{K}_{6}}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{K}_{6}}{ }_{6}$ we have

$$
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{K}}=\left(\mathrm{K}_{4}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{d}}^{2}+3 \mathrm{~K}_{6}{ }_{\mathrm{d}}^{4}\right) \operatorname{tr}^{0}(\quad+\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{C}:)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +K_{6}{ }_{d}^{3} \operatorname{tr}^{0}\left({ }^{3}+h: c:\right) \\
& +\left(2 K_{4}^{00} d+9 K_{6}^{3}\right) \operatorname{tr}^{0}\left(\mathrm{y}^{0}+\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{C}:\right) \\
& +3 K_{6}{ }_{d}^{2} \operatorname{tr}^{0}\left({ }^{3} y+h: C:\right) \\
& +3 K_{6}{ }_{d}^{2} \operatorname{tr}^{0} \quad \text { y } y \\
& +\left(K_{4}^{\infty}+6 K_{6}{ }_{d}^{2}\right) \operatorname{tr}^{0} y \quad y \\
& +3 K_{6} \operatorname{dtr}^{0}(\mathrm{y} \quad \mathrm{y}+\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{C}:) \\
& +\mathrm{K}_{6} \operatorname{tr}^{0} \quad \mathrm{y} \quad \mathrm{y} \quad \mathrm{y} \text { : } \tag{B4}
\end{align*}
$$

For $\circ \ll 1$ the second order term of is most im－ portant．W e rew rite the second order term in $\left(\mathbf{B}_{-1}^{-1}\right)$ ，

$$
\left.\frac{\mathrm{K}_{2}}{2} \operatorname{tr}^{0}(+\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{c}:)=\mathrm{K}_{2} \operatorname{tr}^{0}{\underset{\mathrm{a}}{\mathrm{a} \in \mathrm{~b}}}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\cos (\mathrm{ab}}+\mathrm{ba}\right) ; \quad(\mathrm{B} 5)
$$

where

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
K_{2} & \sum_{h}\left(K_{4}^{00}{ }_{d}^{2}+3 K_{6}\right. \\
d \tag{B6}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

If $K_{2}<0$ ，the energy of $\left[\begin{array}{l}-5 \\ 5\end{array}\right)$ is $m$ inim ized by

$$
\begin{align*}
& a b  \tag{B7}\\
& i
\end{aligned}=\quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { ba } \\
& i
\end{align*}:
$$

$T$ his gives $m$ ass to the $\frac{N(N \quad 1)}{2}$ sym $m$ etric m odes $w$ ith $\mathrm{ab}=\mathrm{ba}$ ．The rem aining modes are $\frac{\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{N} \quad 1)}{2}$ antisym－ m etric modes $w$ ith ${ }^{\mathrm{ab}}=$ ba ．For $\mathcal{F}_{2} j_{0}^{2} \gg 1$ ，the sym $m$ etric $m$ odes are frozen and becom es H erm itian $m$ atrix $(y=)$ ．If $K_{2}>0$ ，the energy of $\left(\mathbb{E B}_{-1}^{-} 5_{1}^{-}\right)$is $m$ ini－ m ized by

$$
\underset{i}{a b}=\quad \begin{align*}
& b a  \tag{B8}\\
& i
\end{align*}+
$$

and becom es anti－H em itian $m$ atrix for $K_{2}{ }_{0}^{2} \gg 1$ （ $\mathrm{y}=$ ）．These H erm 斗ivity or the antiH em 斗ivity condition converts the dynam ics of the o diagonal exci－ ton into relativistic one．To see this we rew rite the rst term in Eq．（1） $\mathbf{1}_{1}$ ）as

$$
\begin{align*}
S & =\frac{K^{X}}{2} \operatorname{tr}^{0} \underset{i+}{y} \quad i \\
& =\frac{K_{i}^{i}}{4} \operatorname{tr}_{i}^{0}\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{y} \\
i+ \\
i+ \\
i+ \\
i
\end{array}\right) ; \tag{B9}
\end{align*}
$$

where w e used the H em 耻ivity or anti－H em itivity condi－ tion in the last line．From this，we obtain the H erm itian m atrix m odel，

$$
S=\frac{K}{4} \underset{\langle i ; j>}{X} \operatorname{tr}^{0}\left(\begin{array}{c}
y  \tag{B10}\\
i \\
j
\end{array}+h: C:\right)+{\underset{n}{3}}_{K_{n}}^{X} \operatorname{tr}^{0} \underset{i}{n} ;
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{K}_{3}=2\left[2 \mathrm{~K}_{4}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}+10 \mathrm{~K}_{6} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{d}}^{3}\right] \\
& \mathrm{K}_{4}=\mathrm{K}_{4}^{\infty}+15 \mathrm{~K}_{6}^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{d}}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{~K}_{5}=6 \mathrm{~K}_{6} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{i} \\
& \mathrm{~K}_{6}=\mathrm{K}_{6} \tag{B11}
\end{align*}
$$

for $K_{2}<0$, and the antiH em itian $m$ atrix $m$ odel,

w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{4}=K_{4}^{\infty}+3 K_{6}{ }_{d}^{2} ; \\
& K_{6}=K_{6} \tag{B13}
\end{align*}
$$

for $K_{2}>0$. Here $\langle i ; j>$ is the nearest neighbor in the D-dim ensional (hyper) cubic lattice. is Herm itian
 all odd order term $s$ vanish in the anti-i em itian $m$ atrix m odelbecause of the anti-f em itivity, e.g.,

$$
\operatorname{tr}^{0}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
y & y  \tag{B14}\\
y
\end{array}\right)=\operatorname{tr}^{0}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
y & y & y
\end{array}\right)=0 \text { : }
$$
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