E lectrore ectance spectroscopy in self-assem bled quantum dots: lens sym metry

A.H.Rodr guez^{1,2}, C.Trallero-G iner¹, M art n M unoz^{3,4}, and M ar a C.Tam argo³

¹Facultad de Fisica, Universidad de La Habana, 10400 C.Habana,

Cuba.

²Instituto de Fisica, Universidad Autonom a de Puebla, Apdo.

Postal J-48, Puebla, Pue. 72570, M exico.

³Chem istry Department,

City College of the City University of New York,

Convent Avenue at 138th Street,

New York, New York 10031.

⁴Physics Department,

Virginia Commonwealth University, 1020 W.Main

Street Richmond, VA 23284.

M odulated electrore ectance spectroscopy R=R of sem iconductor self-assembled quantum dots is investigated. The structure is modeled as dots with lens shape geometry and circular cross section. A microscopic description of the electrore ectance spectrum and optical response in terms of an external electric eld (F) and lens geometry have been considered. The eld and lens symmetry dependence of all experimental parameters involved in the R=R spectrum have been considered. Using the electrone mass formalism the energies and the electronic states as a function of F and dot parameters are calculated. Also, in the framework of the strongly conned regime general expressions for the excitonic binding energies are reported. Optical selection rules are derived in the cases of the light wave vector perpendicular and parallel to F. Detailed calculation of the Seraphin coe cients and electrore ectance spectrum are performed for the InAs and CdSe nanostructures. Calculations show good agreement with measurements recently performed on CdSe/ZnSe when statistical distribution on size is considered, explaining the main observed characteristic in the electrore ectance spectra.

I. INTRODUCTION

M odulation spectroscopy is a technique based on the changes of the re ectivity of a sample when a periodic perturbation is applied. Due to its nature, this technique provides derivative-like spectra related to the optical transitions in the structure under consideration. Since its early stages in the $60s^{1,2,3,4,5}$ this technique has been providing valuable information about the properties of bulk/thin. In semiconductors, reduced dimensional systems such as quantum wells and superlattices, 6,7 and semiconductor device structures. In spite of the versatility and success of modulation spectroscopy few works have been done using this technique for the analysis of quantum wires and quantum dot (QD) structures. The optical properties of self-assembled QDs (SAQD) have been widely studied using photolum inescence, 13,14 photolum inescence excitation spectroscopy, 13,14 and time resolved photolum inescence. However, the information obtained is restricted to lower energy states and does not allow to study the shape of the QD potential or the coupling elects in stacked structures. Even though modulation spectroscopy allows to perform studies of lower and higher energy transitions in QD structures very little work has been performed on this subject. 11,12

Reference [11] reported contactless electrore ectance (CER), which is a modulated technique that measures the changes in the optical rejectance of the material with respect to a modulating electric eld, at room temperature in CdSeQDs with ZnSe barriers. The studied structure consists of a GaAs buildress followed by GaAs/Alas short-period superlattice and CdSeQDs with ZnSe barriers (see inset in Fig. 1). The corresponding spectrum shows a probe related to the buildress. In the spectral region h! < 22 eV the typical Franz Keldysh oscillations are present, which are tusing Lorentzian broadened electro-optical functions. Also, the structures originating above 2.6 eV were tusing the rest derivative of a Gaussian lineshape. As shown in Fig. 1 the electrore ectance spectrum coming from the coninction presents a broad structure which cannot be tusing standard electro-optical functions. It is clear that a reliable theoretical model for any modulation spectroscopy technique applied to quantum dots should include the primordial geometric factor. The modulation spectroscopy rejects doubtless the inherent quantum dot geometries.

In this paper we present a modulation spectroscopy study of quantum lens (QL) structures, based on contactless electrore ectance (CER). By full incorporation of the elements entering these experiments, namely the elects of the geometry and electric eld on excitonic states conned in the dot, the oscillator strengths, exciton energies, and Seraphin coecients involved in the dielectric function, we provide the basis for quantitative analysis of CER in SAQD with lens symmetry. We study the electroscopy are eters on the electrore ectance spectrum, R=R; and the optical response. We found that the lens symmetry has strong and clear signatures in the modulation spectroscopy,

photolum inescence, and photolum inescence excitation spectroscopy. Moreover, we show that a detailed analysis of the optical response could provide information on the lens geometry and elective mass of the carriers, since they a ect strongly the general features and overall peak distribution and amplitudes of the R=R proles. Since the modulation spectroscopy data had played a prevalent role in the study of III-V and II-V I semiconductors compounds, and because of its intrinsic interest, we present a detailed analysis of a model for a SAQD, which captures the essential physics of the problem. In fact, the lens symmetry is likely to be a good model of SAQDs, where the characteristic dimensions, height/diameter< 1 are typical in these systems and our model should provide a good description.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section II deals with the general trends of the theory for R=R applied to the case of a quantum lens, discussing the nature of the excitonic states in these structures and taking into consideration the external electric elde ects. Section III presents theoretical calculations for the InAs/GaAs system, as well as the CdSe/ZnSe system. Section IV contains a to the R=R data in CdSe/ZnSe SAQD structures. Finally, Sec. V is devoted to the conclusions. In the appendix, we present the behavior of the Seraphin coecients for InAs/GaAs and CdSe/ZnSe quantum lenses and some technical details of the calculations.

II. BASIC RELATIONS

A precise know ledged of the interband transition energies in a sem iconductor can be traced by measuring the electrore ectance spectra. This spectroscopy technique is based on the modulation of an ac external electric eld which modiles the shape of the dielectric function "(h!): For normal incidence of the light the modulated electrore ectance, R=R is related to the real, "1, and imaginary, "2; parts of the dielectric function by

$$\frac{R (h!;F)}{R (h!;0)} = (h!) "_1 (h!;F) + (h!) "_2 (h!;F);$$
 (1)

where $"_i = "_i(h!;F)$ $"_i(h!;0)$ (i = 1;2), F is the intensity of the electric eld, h! is the photon energy, and ; are the Seraphin coe cients (see Appendix A).

U sing the standard sem i-classical approach to describe the interaction between light and matter, the imaginary part of the dielectric function for direct allowed transitions takes the form

$$"_{2} = 16 \frac{a_{B}^{3}}{V_{o}} \frac{R_{y}^{2}}{(h!)^{2}m_{0}} X Z$$

$$_{e; h} (r;r)d^{3}r^{2} p_{cv} j^{2} \frac{e; h}{(h!)^{2}m_{0}}; \qquad (2)$$

The K ram ers-K ronig relations provide the real part of dielectric function "1; ie.:

"₁ = 1 + 16
$$\frac{a_B^3}{V_o} \frac{R_y^2}{(h!)^2 m_0} X$$
 Z

{e; h} (r;r)d³r ½pp{cv} ½ L (h!;E _{e; h}); (3)

w here

$$L(h!;E_{e;h}) = \frac{E_{e;h}h!}{(h! E_{e;h})^2 + \frac{2}{e;h}} + \frac{E_{e;h}h!}{(h! + E_{e;h})^2 + \frac{2}{e;h}} = \frac{2E_{e;h}}{E_{e;h}^2 + \frac{2}{e;h}}$$
(4)

Two independent optical con gurations are possible by choosing properly the direction of the light wave vector w ith respect to the applied electric eld: i) k F k k and the vector of polarization k P k k which is the typical con guration used in CER experiments. Here, the three valence bands, k P k and heavy-hole k P k can couple to the incident light. ii) perpendicular to k P k chosen along the quantum lens growth direction and the vector polarization, k P k k. In this case the light-hole k P k chosen along the quantum lens growth direction and the vector polarization, k P k P k is the case the light-hole k P k P k chosen along the quantum lens growth direction and the vector polarization, k P k P k is the case the light-hole k P k P k chosen along the quantum lens growth direction and the vector polarization, k P k P k is the case the light-hole k P k P k chosen along the quantum lens growth direction and the vector polarization, k P k P k is the contribute to the optical spectrum.

A. Electronic structure

We will consider a typical SAQD with lens symmetry that presents a circular cross section of radius a and height be Electron-hole pairs (EHP) are connecting the SAQD domain under an electricely E parallel to its z axial symmetry axis. The exciton wave functions are taken as solutions of

$$\frac{h^{2}}{2m_{e}} 5^{2}_{e} \frac{h^{2}}{2m_{h}} 5^{2}_{h} \text{ eF} \quad (x \quad r_{h}) \quad \frac{e^{2}}{j_{e} \quad r_{h}j} \quad _{e;h} (r_{e}; r_{h}) = (E \quad E_{g}) \quad _{e;h} (r_{e}; r_{h}); \tag{5}$$

where E_g is the gap energy, is the dielectric constant, and m_i (\models e,h) is the quasiparticle electron mass. In the strong spatial connement (electron-hole Coulomb interaction can be considered as a perturbation) and according to the axial symmetry of the quantum lens, the electron-hole pair wave function E_i ; is given by a product of electronic wave functions E_i (E_i) exp (im E_i): Here, E_i is the zoom ponent of the orbital angular momentum and functions E_i satisfy a bi-dimensional Schrodinger equation for quantum dots with lens-shape geometry in an electric eld. Closed solutions of one-particle wave functions E_i and energy levels E_i (E_i) enumerates, for a xed value of m, the electronic levels by increasing value of the energy) as a function of the applied electric eld and lens shape geometry have been published elsewhere. The excitonic correction, appearing in Eq. (5) has been considered in rst order perturbation theory. It is possible to identify two cases:

i) $m_e = m_h = 0$; where the EH states are not degenerate and the excitonic correction is directly given by

$$E_{0} = \frac{2e^{2}}{1} \frac{X^{1}}{1} \frac{I_{1} (m_{e} = 0; m_{h} = 0; m^{0} = 0)}{21 + 1}$$
(6)

ii) If $m_e = m_h \in 0$ fourth-fold degeneracy of the EHP levels has to be considered and a 4 4 m atrix for the exciton eingenvalue is obtained. By sym m etry it follows that the total z-component of the EHP angular momentum $M = m_e + m_h$ is preserved under the electron-hole correlation. For example, if m_e ; $m_h = 1$ the states with M = 2 are degenerate with an energy equal to

$$E_{0} = \frac{2e^{2} X^{1}}{1 + 0} \frac{I_{1} (m_{e} = 1; m_{h} = 1; m^{0} = 0)}{21 + 1}$$
(7)

W hile the states with M = 0 are decupled with energies

$$E_{+} = E_{0} + \frac{2e^{2}}{1} \frac{X^{1}}{1 + 0} \frac{I_{1} (m_{e} = 1; m_{h} = 1; j_{m}^{0} j = 2)}{2l + 1};$$
(8)

$$E = E_0 = \frac{2e^2}{100} \frac{X^{\frac{1}{2}}}{100} \frac{I_1 (m_e = 1; m_h = 1; j_h)^0 j = 2)}{21 + 1}$$
(9)

 I_1 are dimensionless functions given in the Appendix B. The energetic order E < E $_0$ < E $_+$ is preserved for any value of the applied electric eld or lens geometry (see Fig. 2). Notice that the same behavior and equations are obtained for any values of the quantum numbers m $_e$; m $_h$ $\stackrel{\leftarrow}{\bullet}$ 0.

In Fig. 2 the rst calculated excitonic energies E ex (N $_{\rm e}$; m $_{\rm e}$; N $_{\rm h}$; m $_{\rm h}$) E $_{\rm g}$ for C dSe quantum lens as a function of the dimensionless electric eld F = F $_{\rm 0}$ are plotted (F $_{\rm 0}$ = E $_{\rm 0}$ =(jeja); E $_{\rm 0}$ = h 2 =(2 m $_{\rm e}$ a 2)). For the calculations we have used the values given in Table I.T wo types of quantum lens are considered representing the weak (F ig. 2a), b=a = 0:91) and the strong (F ig. 2b), b=a = 0:51) lens con nement domains, respectively. Excitonic states with m $_{\rm e}$ = m $_{\rm h}$ = 0,1; and 2 are shown by solid, dashed, and dotted lines, respectively. In both calculations the excitonic correction represents a very small contribution to the total energy and the e ect of the F on E is practically negligible. The splitting of the EHP levels, due to the electron-hole correlation, diminishes as the connement increases. In the case of weak spatial connement the electric elde ect upon energetic levels is stronger as shown in Fig. 2a) in comparison to Fig. 2b). The interplay between F and the ratio b=a determines the peculiarities of the excitonic energy as a function of F in particular on the excited states (for details see Ref. 18). Also, due to the lens geometry, the electronic energies present an asymmetric Stark shift with the external applied eld.

III. ELECTROREFLECTANCE

In the following, we analyze the electrone ectance spectrum R=R for the case of InAs/GaAs and CdSe/ZnSeSAQDs. This measurement gives rise to sharp, differential-like spectra in the region of the transitions. In the gures the main excitonic transitions are denoted by numbers (1,2,...), which correspond to a particular set of quantum numbers $(N_e; m_e; N_h; m_h)$. Due to the axial symmetry, the interband selection rules correspond to excitonic branch with $m=m_e$ $m_h=0$. The allowed transitions are resolved in the R=R spectrum as different \e ective gaps " and the peak positions are directly proportional to the lens geometry. In the case of $m_e=m_h \in 0$ the EHP degeneracy is broken and additional structure appears in the electrone odulation spectrum. We have only considered the incoming frequency in the range below the energy barrier, according to the material parameters listed in Table I.A full analysis of the electrone ectance response in each system provides complementary information to photolum inescence and photolum inescence excitation spectra to characterize the nanostructures involved and the quantum lens geometry.

A . In A s/G aA s

Figure 3 displays the electrore ectance spectra of InAs dots embedded in GaAs barriers for the cases of two independent optical con gurations: a)

F and b? b and b) ? F and b k b. For the calculation the value of E g=1.51 eV for G aA s has been used. Solid vertical arrows show the excitonic transitions for a lens geometry with a=16.0 nm and b=14.56 nm (solid lines), while dashed vertical arrows correspond to a Q L with a=20.5 nm, b=10.46 nm. For the case of Fig. 3a) we used the corresponding Seraphin coe cient and displayed in Fig. 6a), where the spatial con nement e ect it can be noticed. Also for closely spaced peaks, the interference between dierent resonant levels increases and the R=R signal is not simply the result of single contributions.

Due to the relative oscillator strength of the hh and lh valence bands, the electrore ectance features appear as relatively large resonant peaks in the case of jF (Fig. 3a)) in comparison to the spectrum for the optical conguration ? F; bk b (Fig. 3b)). Labels 1 and 2 for all graphs correspond to the transitions between $N_h=1$; $m_h=0$!, $N_e=1$; $m_e=1$; $m_e=1$; respectively. Notice in particular that in Fig. 3a) the transitions involving the hh exciton are substantially stronger and the lighthole oscillator strength is about 10 times smaller than that corresponding to the heavy hole. In the conguration where ? Fk b and bk b (Fig. 3b)) the heavy hole excitonic branches are forbidden and labels 1 and 2 represent the lighthole contributions.

B. CdSe/ZnSe

To illustrate the role of the II-V I m aterials that compose a Q L, Fig. 4 shows the electrone ectance spectrum as a function of the photon energy for C dSe dots with ZnSe barriers. A value of E g = 2.7 eV for ZnSe is used for the numerical evaluation. The obtained spectra correspond to the cases of Fig. 2, solid line for a Q L with a = 15.0 nm b = 13.65 nm, while dashed lines to the geometry with a = 20.0 nm, b = 10.20 nm. To calculate R = R we used the and parameters shown in Fig. 6b). The stronger oscillation strength is due to the excitonic branch N_e = N_h and m_e = m_h, the rest of the allowed transitions are too weak to be resolved in ER spectrum. A coording to this, the exciton dispersion relations calculated in Fig. 2 closely follow the calculated R = R structure. In general the spectra show the same general trend with respect to the InAs case. Nevertheless, two main dierences are present: i) Due to the heavy hole mass and for a given geometry the electrone ectance spectrum of CdSe has more structure that in the InAsQL.ii) The exciton degeneracy is broken for m_e = m_h € 0 (displayed in Fig 4 as a circle for the case of weak con ned b=a = 0.91). Excitonic binding energies E; for II-V I sem iconductors are larger than the III-V ones and consequently, the exciton degeneracy can be easily resolved by a spectroscopy technique.

IV. APPLICATION TO CADM IUM SELENIDE/ZINC SELENIDE QUANTUM LENS

Figure 1 shows the experimental CER spectrum of CdSe/ZnSe SAQD at room temperature. 11 We have performed calculations of the R=R within the framework of the model developed in this paper, in order to compare its ability to reproduce the experimental data. A typical QD structure is shown in the inset of Fig. 1. Details about the growth conditions of the CdSe/ZnSeQD samples are provided elsewhere. 11 The CER spectra of these structures have been obtained using a condenser-like system 16 consisting of a front wire grid electrode with a second metal electrode separated from the rst electrode by insulating spacers, which are approximately 0.1 mm larger than the sample dimension. The sample was placed between these two capacitor plates and the electromodulation was achieved by

applying an ac voltage of 1.2kV, 200~Hz across the electrodes. In Fig. 1 we can identified the differential-like spectra originating from the QDs in the region 2.2 < h! < 2.5~eV. In Fig. 5 electrore ectance data for the CdSe QD are displayed as solid circles. To the transitions originating from the QDs with QL geometry we took $a_0 = 11.98~m$ and $b_0 = a_0 = 0.24$. The solid line corresponds to the evaluation of Eq. (1) for a single quantum lens in presence of an electric eld equal to F = 50~kV/cm and a constant exciton broadening parameter $_{e;h} = 8~meV$. The sharp differential-like structure m atches very well with the measured QL allowed optical transitions. These peaks correspond to electron-heavy hole transitions $N_e = 1; m_e = 0!$ $N_{hh} = 1; m_{hh} = 0$ and $N_e = 1; m_e = 1!$ $N_{hh} = 1; m_{hh} = 1$:

Self-assem bled quantum dots have a distribution on size and shape. For a given photon energy h! we have to take into account the contribution of all quantum lenses that full the resonance conditions $h! = E_{e;h}$ and evaluate the average CER. In our calculation we keed the ratio $a_0 = b_0$ and perform ed an average over the size a:T he corresponding expression for the average electrone ectance (R=R) is written as

$$\frac{R}{R} = F(a) \frac{R}{R} da; \qquad (10)$$

where a G aussian size distribution function F (a) with mean value a_0 , and FW HM is assumed. Figure 5 displays our theoretical calculations for the average (R=R) spectrum (dashed lines) of an ensemble of CdSe quantum lens with average ratio $b_0=a_0=0.24$; $a_0=11.98$ nm, and $a_0=0.4$ nm: It can be seen that the observed measured broad spectrum is explained by size distribution of the QLs. Hence, the R=R signal of Fig. 5 is the contributions of QLs in dierent resonance regimes, i.e. those excitonic transitions full lling the condition $a_0=0.4$ nm: $a_0=0.4$ nm: It can be seen that the observed measured broad spectrum is explained by size distribution of the QLs. Hence, the R=R signal of Fig. 5 is the contributions of QLs in dierent resonance regimes, i.e. those excitonic transitions full lling the condition $a_0=0.4$ nm: $a_0=0.4$ nm:

V. CONCLUSIONS

The present theoretical description can be used to evaluate the modulated electrore ectance spectra of III-V and II-V I SAQD with lens shape geometry. Optical responses and electrore ectance spectra as a function of the electric led have been calculated for incoming photon energy above the fundamental ective gaps in QLs semiconductors. The Seraphin coe cients present a series of thresholds according to the excitonic (N $_{\rm e}$; $m_{\rm e}$; $N_{\rm h}$; $m_{\rm h}$) branch and the allowed optical transitions in the lens. The ER for InAs and CdSe dots show sharp dierential-like spectra which identify the interband excitonic transitions of the QDs. The calculated R=R dependence on h! reproduce quite well the experimental data available for CdSe/ZnSe quantum dots. This fact indicates that the present theoretical model through out this paper contains the main ingredients of the electrore ectance spectroscopy in SAQD with lens geometry, and thus can be used, in combination with experimental data, to obtain information on the lens shape and other physical parameters related to the growth conditions of the sample. An important outcome of the work is that by thing experimental data to this model we can estimate the size distribution of the QDs in the capped structures, which is a parameter not easily determined by other means.

APPENDIX A: SERAPHIN COEFFICIENTS

These one cients are related to the dielectric constant at zero electric eld. Their spectral dependences are obtained by the expressions

$$(h!) = \frac{2n}{n^2 + k^2} \frac{n^2 - 3k^2 - 1}{[(n+1)^2 + k^2][(n-1)^2 + k^2]};$$
(A1)

$$(h!) = \frac{2k}{n^2 + k^2} \frac{3n^2 + k^2 + 1}{[(n+1)^2 + k^2][(n-1)^2 + k^2]};$$
(A2)

The refractive index, n; and the extinction coe cient, k; are functions of " $_1$ and " $_2$ according to

$$n = \frac{s}{\frac{p}{\frac{m_1^2 + m_2^2 + m_1}{2}}} + n_1; k = \frac{s}{\frac{p}{\frac{m_1^2 + m_2^2}{2}}};$$
 (A3)

where n_1 is the refractive index at high frequency. Inserting Eqs. (2)-(4) at F=0 into Eqs. (A1), (A2), and (A3) we obtain the values of the Seraphin coe cients for the optical geometry k F k k and k k. Coe cients and as a

function of the photon energy for the InA s/G aA s and C dSe/ZnSe Q Ls are shown in Figs. 6a) and Fig.6b), respectively. We have considered the same lens geometries indicated in Figs. 3 and 4. We ake and strong spatial connement regimes are indicated by solid and dashed lines, respectively. Labels 1 and 2 represent the optical transitions between states $N_h = 1$, $m_h = 0$ to $N_e = 1$, $m_e = 0$ and $N_h = 1$, $m_h = 1$ to $N_e = 1$, $m_e = 1$. From the gures the strong in wence of the lens geometry on the Seraphin coecients and in consequence, on the electrone ectance, is clear.

APPENDIX B: EXCITON MATRIX ELEMENTS

In Eqs. (6)-(9) the matrix element I_1 has an explicit expression (see Refs.18 and 20):

$$I_{1}(m_{e};m_{h};m^{0}) = \begin{cases} X^{i} \\ C_{i}(N_{e};m_{e})C_{j}(N_{h};m_{h})C_{i^{0}}(N_{e};m_{e})C_{j^{0}}(N_{h};m_{h}) \\ i_{j};i^{0};j^{0} \end{cases}$$

$$f_{i,m_{e}}^{(o)}f_{j,m_{h}}^{(o)}\frac{r_{c}^{1}}{r_{c}^{1+1}}P_{1}^{jm^{0}j}(\cos_{e})P_{1}^{jm^{0}j}(\cos_{h})f_{i^{0},m_{e}}^{(o)}f_{j^{0},m_{h}}^{(o)};$$
(B1)

where coe cients C $_{i}$ (N ;m) and functions $f_{i,m}^{(o)}$ are de ned in Ref. 18. I_{1} depends on the lens deform ation b=a and dimensionless electric eld F=F $_{0}$: The excitonic correction integrals in Eq. (B1) were obtained by a M onte Carlo algorithm over the 2-dimensional lens domain.

A cknow ledgm ents. This work was partially supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. ECS0217646.

¹ M . C ardona: M odulation Spectroscopy, Solid State Physics, Supll.11 (A cadem ic, New York 1969).

 $^{^2\,}$ M .C ardona, Festkorperproblem e X , (Pergam on, O xford, 1970), p. 125.

³ Y. Ham akawa and T. Nishino, in: Optical Properties of Solids: New Developments, edited by B Ω. Seraphin (North-Holland, Am sterdam, 1976), p.255.

⁴ D.E.A. spnes, in: Handbook on Semiconductors, Vol. 2, edited by M. Balkanski (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980), p. 109.

⁵ F.H.Pollak, Proc. Soc. Photo-Optical Instrum. Eng. 276, 142 (1981).

⁶ F.H.Pollak and H.Shen, Mater. Sci. Eng. R 10, 275 (1993).

O.J.G lem bocki and B.V. Shanabrook, in: Sem iconductors and Sem imetals, Vol. 36, edited by R.K.W illardson and A.C. Beer (A cadem ic, New York, 1992), p. 221.

⁸ F.H.Pollak, Mater. Sci. Eng. B 80, 178 (2001).

⁹ F.H.Pollak, W.Krystek, M.Leibovitch, M.L.Gray, and W.S.Hobson, IEEE, J. of Selected Topics in Quantum Electronics 1, 1002 (1995).

M. Geddo, S. Di Lemia, A. Stella, A. Bosacchi, S. Franchi, M. Gentili, and D. Peschiaroli, Sol. State Comm. 100, 221 (1996).

¹¹ M.Murroz, S.P.Guo, X.Zhou, M.C. Tamargo, Y.S. Huang, C. Trallero-Giner, and A.H. Rodriguez, App. Phys. Lett. 83, 4399 (2003).

H. Qiang, F. H. Pollak, Y. S. Tang, P. D. Wang, and C. M. Sotom ayor Torres, App. Phys. Lett. 64, 2830 (1994); F. H. Pollak, in: Nano-Optoelectronics, edited by M. Grundmann, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2002), p. 215.

¹³ K.H.Schm idt, G.M edeiros Ribeiro, M.O estreich, and P.M.Petro, Phys.Rev.B 54, 11346 (1996).

¹⁴ R. Heitz, M. Grundmann, N. N. Ledentsov, L. Eckey, M. Veit, D. Bimberg, V. M. Ustinov, A. Yu. Egorov, A. E. Zhukov, P.S. Kop'ev, and Zh. I. Alferov, App. Phys. Lett. 68, 361 (1996).

S. Raymond, S. Fafard, P. J. Poole, A. Wojs, P. Hawrylak, S. Charbonneau, D. Leonard, R. Leon, P. M. Petro, and J. L. Merz, Phys. Rev. B 54, 11548 (1996).

¹⁶ F.H.Pollak in: Group III Nitride Semiconductor Compounds, edited by B.Gil (Clarendon, Oxford, 1998) p.158.

¹⁷ A rezky H . Rodr guez, Carlos R . Handy, and C . Trallero-Giner, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 15, 8465 (2003).

¹⁸ A rezky H . R odr guez and C . Trallero-G iner, J. Appl. Phys. 95, 6192 (2004).

¹⁹ The volume of a quantum lens is given by $V = a^3(b_0 = a_0 + (1=3)(b_0 = a_0)^3) = 2$ and for xed ratio of $b_0 = a_0$ the distribution in size a is directly related to a distribution in volume.

 $^{^{20}\,}$ J.D.Jackson, Classical Electrodynam ics (W iley, New York, 1999).

²¹ E.M enendez-Proupin, C. Trallero-Giner, and S.E. Ulloa, Phys. Rev. B 60, 16747 (1999).

Landolt-Bornstein NumericalData and FunctionalRelationships in Science and Technology, edited by O.M. adelung, New Series, Group III, Vol. 22 (Springer, Berlin, 1986).

TABLE I: Param eters used in calculations.

Param eters	InA s	C dSe
E _g (eV)	0.45 ^a	1.692 ^b
	14 . 6ª	9.3ª
$m_e = m_0$	0.023ª	$0.11^{\rm b}$
$m_{hh}=m_0$	0.34ª	0.44 ^b
$m_{lh} = m_0$	0.027ª	{
E c (%)	40% ^a	85% ^b
E v (%)	60% ^a	15% ^b
n_1	3.517°	2.5 ^d
$P^2 = m_0$ (eV)	10.0 °	11.1 °
_{hh} (meV)	3	3
_{lh} (m eV)	5	_

a Ref. [21]

FIG. 1: Contactless electrore ectance spectrum R (h!;F)=R (h!;0) for a CdSe quantum dot structure. Solid line correspond to the spectral measurement. Dashed lines in the spectral region h! < 22 eV represent tusing standard electro-optical functions, while for the region above 2.6 eV a tusing the rst derivative of a Gaussian lineshape. The QDs spectral region addressed in this paper is 2.2 < h! < 2.5. The inset shows a typical CdSe/ZnSe sample studied.

FIG. 3: Electrore ectance spectrum for InAs quantum lenses. Solid lines correspond to the lens domain a=16 nm, b=14:56 nm and dashed lines to a=20:5 nm, b=10:46 nm. Optical congurations: a) ? Fkb and bkb.b) kFkb and b? b. In the calculation a value of F=50 kV/cm is used. A llowed excitonic optical transitions are indicated by arrows

FIG. 4: Electrore ectance spectrum for C dSe quantum lenses for the optical con guration k F k b and b? b. Solid lines correspond to the lens dom ain a = 16 nm, b = 14.56 nm and dashed lines to a = 20 nm, b = 10.2 nm. F = 50 kV/cm is used and the allowed exciton ic optical transitions are indicated by arrows.

FIG. 5: Contactless electrore ectance spectrum R (h!;F)=R (h!;0) for the CdSe/ZnSe quantum dot structure shown in Fig. (1). Dots are the experimental data. The solid line represents the calculation for a QL with radius $a_0=11:98$ nm and height $b_0=2:88$ nm, while dashed lines correspond to average size calculation of R (h!;F)=R (h!;0).

FIG.6: Seraphin coe cients and for a quantum lens in the optical con guration k F k b and b? b. The allowed excitonic optical transitions are indicated by arrows. a) InAs/GaAs.b) CdSe/ZnSe.

FIG.2: Excitonic energy levels E ex $(N_e; m_e; N_h; m_h)$ E $_g$ for C dSe quantum lenses as a function of the electric eld. Excitonic branches are labeled by $N_e; N_h$ for the allowed optical transitions $m = m_e$ $m_h = 0$. a) Lens domain with a = 15 nm, b = 13.65 nm.b) a = 20 nm, b = 10.2 nm.

^b Ref. [11]

^c Ref. [22]

 $^{^{\}rm d}$ An average of the values reported in Ref. [22]