

# GAUCHE-TRANS ENERGY DIFFERENCES IN DIMETHOXYMETHANE AND DIMETHOXYETHANE

E.V.R.CHAN

Ab-initio self consistent field calculations using double zeta Gaussian basis set expansions were performed on conformers of Dimethoxymethane and Dimethoxyethane. The gauche-trans energy differences using the rigid rotor approximation were calculated.

## 1. DMM

Four conformers are possible for DMM (Dimethoxymethane also known as dioxymethylene dimethylether). They are TT ( $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = 180^\circ$ ,  $E = -267.796016$  a.u.), TG ( $\delta_1 = 180^\circ$ ,  $\delta_2 = -60^\circ$ ,  $E = -267.802131$  a.u.), GG ( $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = -63.3^\circ$ ,  $E = -267.808081$  a.u.) and G-G+ ( $\delta_1 = -63.3^\circ$ ,  $\delta_2 = +56.7^\circ$ ,  $E = -267.737630$  a.u.). See figure 1 for definitions of the dihedral angles  $\delta_1$  and  $\delta_2$ .




Fig. 1. Dimethoxymethane

A dihedral angle of close to  $180^\circ$  corresponds to trans or T, in the vicinity of  $60^\circ$  to gauche or G. Ab-initio SCF ( self-consistent field ) calculations used a double-zeta basis set (Appendix A), obtained from the atomic bases<sup>1</sup> contracted according to the method systematically studied by Dunning<sup>2</sup>. Among the four conformers, GG ( $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = -63.3^\circ$ ) was the most stable ( $E = -267.808081$  a.u.). The geometry<sup>3</sup> used was all tetrahedral angles, C-H =  $1.10 \text{ \AA}$ , C-O =  $1.43 \text{ \AA}$ , all other dihedral angles are  $180^\circ$ . Fixing  $\delta_1 = -63.3^\circ$  and varying  $\delta_2$  (the dihedral angle around the C2 - O2 bond) gives a value of the gauche-trans energy difference in the rigid rotor approximation<sup>4</sup>. The variation of energy with dihedral angle is shown in Figure 2.




Fig. 2. Dimethoxymethane  $\delta_1 = -63.3^\circ$

The trans minimum is very shallow; the barrier for the  $T \rightarrow G$  transition is about .6 Kcal/mole or the order of  $RT$  at room temperature. A parabola was fitted to the T and G- regions and the minimum energies were extrapolated to be -267.8030934 au. (  $-176.87^\circ$  ) and -267.8083169 au. (  $-69.55^\circ$  ) This corresponds to 3.3 Kcal/mole as the energy difference between the gauche and trans minima (using  $1 \text{ au.} = 627.3047712 \text{ Kcal/mole}$ ). These are in agreement with ab-initio, forcefields and experimental studies cited by Li<sup>5</sup> et al. Steric repulsion of the hydrogens in the G-G+ position is very strong (greater than 50 Kcal/mole above absolute minimum); the expected third minimum near  $+63.3^\circ$  is not obvious with this mesh size.

## 2. DME

For Dimethoxyethane (also known as dioxyethylene dimethylether and abbreviated here as DME) energies of the four lowest conformers were calculated . They are TTT ( $180^\circ, 180^\circ, 180^\circ$ , E = -306.815493 a.u.), TGT ( $180^\circ, -60^\circ, 180^\circ$ , E = -306.811580 a.u.), TTG ( $180^\circ, 180^\circ, -60^\circ$ , E = -306.803115 a.u.), and TGG ( $180^\circ, -60^\circ, -60^\circ$ , E = -306.800219 a.u.). See Figure 3 for definitions of dihedral angles.

The double zeta basis set of Appendix A was used. The geometry used was: all tetrahedral angles, C-C = 1.53 Å, C-O = 1.43 Å, C-H = 1.10 Å. Delta1 and delta3 were fixed at  $180^\circ$  and delta2 varied. The data are displayed in Figure 4; because of symmetry only half the values are shown.





Fig. 3. Dimethoxyethane

Fig. 4. Dimethoxyethane  $\delta_1 = \delta_3 = 180^\circ$ 

The secondary G minimum was extrapolated by parabolic fit to -306.812966 au. (80.28°). According to Figure 4, there may be a shallow minimum around 60° having a still higher energy; however, the minimum at 80.28° is clearly a lower energy and called gauche (G). The trans-gauche energy difference is 1.6 Kcal/mole. The G  $\rightarrow$  T barrier is approximately 1.3 Kcal/mole (from Figure 4). These results are in

agreement with the calculations of Anderson<sup>6</sup> et al. and with the experimental studies cited therein. The basis set superposition error (BSSE) was calculated by Han<sup>7</sup> et al. to be .4 Kcal/mole and the gauche trans energy difference 1.4 - 1.5 Kcal./mole. The repulsion (approximately 12.7 Kcal/mole above the trans minimum) in the  $\delta_2 = 0^\circ$  position is explained as due to interaction of oxygen lone pairs.

### 3. Appendix A

#### Double-Zeta Basis

	EXPONENT	COEFFICIENT
OXYGEN		
S	.10662284940D+5	.79900000D-3
S	.1599709689D+4	.61530000D-2
S	.364725257D+3	.31157000D-1
S	.103651793D+3	.11559600D+0
S	.33905805D+2	.30155200D+0
S	.12287469D+2	.44487000D+0
* * * * *		
S	.47568030D+1	.10000000D+1
* * * * *		
S	.10042710D+1	.10000000D+1
* * * * *		
S	.30068600D+0	.10000000D+1
* * * * *		
P	.34856463D+2	.15648000D-1
P	.78431310D+1	.98197000D-1
P	.23082690D+1	.30774800D+0
P	.72316400D+0	.49247000D+0
* * * * *		
P	.21488200D+0	.10000000D+1
* * * * *		
CARBON		
S	.5240635258D+4	.93700000D-3
S	.782204795D+3	.72280000D-3
S	.178350830D+3	.36344000D-1
S	.50815942D+2	.13060000D+0
S	.16823562D+2	.31893100D+0
S	.61757760D+1	.43874200D+0
* * * * *		
S	.24180490D+1	.10000000D+1
* * * * *		
S	.51190000D+0	.10000000D+1

```

* * * * *
S      .15659000D+0      .10000000D+1
* * * * *
P      .18841800D+2      .13887000D-1
P      .41592400D+1      .86279000D-1
P      .12067100D+1      .28874400D+0
P      .38554000D+0      .49941100D+0
* * * * *
P      .12194000D+0      .10000000D+1
* * * * *
HYDROGEN
S      .188614448D+3      .71800000D-3
S      .28276596D+2      .55610000D-2
S      .64248300D+1      .28453000D-1
S      .18150410D+1      .10938100D+0
S      .59106300D+0      .30105700D+0
S      .21214900D+0      .47252200D+0
* * * * *
S      .79891000D-1      .10000000D+1
* * * * *

ENDBASIS

```

## References

1. F. B. van Duijneveldt, Gaussian Basis Sets for the Atoms H - Ne for Use In Molecular Calculations *IBM Research* **RJ945**, December 10, (1971).
2. T. H. Dunning, *J. of Chem. Phys.* **53**, 2823 (1970).
3. E. E. Astrup, *Acta Chemica Scandinavica* **27**, 3271 (1973).
4. J. A. Pople, *Tetrahedron* **30**, 1605 (1974).
5. J.H.Lii, K.H.Chen, K.A.Durkin and N.L.Allinger, *J. Comput. Chem* **24**, 1473 (2003).
6. P. M. Anderson and M. R. Wilson, *Molecular Physics* **10**, 89 (2005).
7. Y.K.Han, K.H.Kim, S.K.Son and Y.S.Lee, *Bull. Kor. Chem. Soc.* **23**, 1267 (2002).  
<http://www.geocities.com/evrwebpage>