

Fermi-Bose mapping for one-dimensional Bose gases

V.I. Yukalov^{1,2} and M.D. Girardeau³

¹*Institut für Theoretische Physik,
Freie Universität Berlin, Arnimallee 14, D-14195 Berlin, Germany*

²*Bogolubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics,
Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna 141980, Russia*

³*Optical Science Center,
University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA*

Abstract

One-dimensional Bose gases are considered, interacting either through the hard-core potentials or through the contact delta potentials. Interest in these gases gained momentum because of the recent experimental realization of quasi-one-dimensional Bose gases in traps with tightly confined radial motion, achieving the Tonks-Girardeau (TG) regime of strongly interacting atoms. For such gases the Fermi-Bose mapping of wavefunctions is applicable. The aim of the present communication is to give a brief survey of the problem and to demonstrate the generality of this mapping by emphasizing that: (i) It is valid for nonequilibrium wavefunctions, described by the time-dependent Schrödinger equation, not merely for stationary wavefunctions. (ii) It gives the whole spectrum of all excited states, not merely the ground state. (iii) It applies to the Lieb-Liniger gas with the contact interaction, not merely to the TG gas of impenetrable bosons.

Key words: One-dimensional Bose gas; Fermi-Bose mapping; Tonks-Girardeau gas; Lieb-Liniger gas; trapped atoms

PACS: 03.75.-b, 05.30.Jp

1 Introduction

Physics of ultracold Bose gases is a rapidly developing field of research due to recent remarkable achievements in experiment and intensive theoretical investigations (see reviews [1–4]). Among a number of important advancements in this field, one of the major steps forward has been the realization of quasi-one-dimensional Bose gases in elongated cylindrical traps and waveguides by tightly confining the transverse atomic motion [5–19]. The TG regime of strongly interacting bosons has been reached in a one-dimensional optical-lattice trap [20]. The one-dimensional TG gas of bosons moving freely was also recently realized [21] for ^{87}Rb atoms by trapping them with a combination of two light traps. By changing the trap intensities it was possible to vary the ratio of the effective interaction to kinetic energy, achieving the TG regime, with this ratio reaching 5.5. The physical properties of low-dimensional Bose gases have been discussed in reviews [22,23]. However the important problem of the Fermi-Bose mapping in one-dimensional gases has not received the proper attention. It is the aim of the present short survey to compensate this deficiency by concentrating primarily on the Fermi-Bose mapping, first advanced over 40 years ago [24,25] for one-dimensional Bose gases. Particular emphasis will be placed on demonstrating the generality of this mapping, whose applicability is essentially wider than solely to the ground states of impenetrable bosons, as it is often considered in literature, when the mapping is reduced to an absolute-value relation. We shall stress that the mapping in its general form [24,25] is valid for the total spectrum of excited states, for the time-dependent Schrödinger equation, and not only for impenetrable bosons, but also for the Lieb-Liniger gas with contact interactions of arbitrary strength.

2 TG gas

The mapping theorem was formulated for a quantum one-dimensional system of N bosons at zero temperature [24,25]. First, only the stationary states were considered. But, since the mapping procedure does not involve time, it was later stressed that the same mapping also applies for the time dependent many-body Schrödinger equation, which was employed for treating temporal interference properties of the one-dimensional hard-core Bose gas [26–31]. Therefore from the very beginning we may consider a time-dependent Hamiltonian $\hat{H} \equiv \hat{H}(x_1, \dots, x_N, t)$ where $x_i \in [0, L]$, with $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. The Hamiltonian is written as a general expression

$$\hat{H} = \hat{K} + U + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j}^N \Phi_{ij}, \quad (1)$$

in which \hat{K} is a kinetic-energy operator, having for nonrelativistic atoms of mass m the form

$$\hat{K} \equiv -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}; \quad (2)$$

the term $U \equiv U(x_1, \dots, x_N, t)$ describes any external potentials, such as confining potentials, generally allowing for the time dependence; and $\Phi_{ij} \equiv \Phi(x_i - x_j)$ is a two-particle interaction potential. For bosonic atoms, the wave function

$$\psi_B \equiv \psi_B(x_1, \dots, x_N, t) \quad (3)$$

is symmetric with respect to the permutations of any x_i and x_j . The wave function (3) is a solution to the time-dependent Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi = \hat{H}\psi . \quad (4)$$

In the stationary case, this reduces to the eigenvalue problem

$$\hat{H}\psi = E\psi . \quad (5)$$

The two-particle interaction is assumed to contain a hard core of diameter a , which can be conveniently treated as a constraint on allowed wave functions

$$\psi(x_1, \dots, x_N, t) = 0 \quad (|x_i - x_j| \leq a) \quad (6)$$

for $1 \leq i < j \leq N$, rather than as an infinite contribution to the interaction potential. Under constraint (6), the infinite hard-core potentials can be omitted from the Schrödinger equation, at the same time including all other possible finite interactions into the term U . Then Hamiltonian (1) can be contracted to the form

$$\hat{H} = \hat{K} + U , \quad (7)$$

while imposing constraint (6) on the solution of the Schrödinger equation. The latter in a particular case of point-like impenetrable particles simplifies to

$$\psi(x_1, \dots, x_N, t) = 0 \quad (x_i = x_j) , \quad (8)$$

where $1 \leq i < j \leq N$.

The problem of a one-dimensional hard-core gas was raised by Tonks [32], who considered the statistical mechanics of the classical high-temperature regime, while Girardeau [24,25] gave the solution for the quantum problem. That is why the one-dimensional system of impenetrable bosons is now commonly called the Tonks-Girardeau gas.

The mapping theorem [24,25] can be formulated as follows. Let a wave function $\psi_F \equiv \psi_F(x_1, \dots, x_N, t)$ be a solution to the time-dependent Schrödinger equation (4), with Hamiltonian (7), possessing the fermionic antisymmetric property with respect to all permutations of any x_i and x_j , for $i \neq j$, and satisfying constraint (6). Introduce a unit antisymmetric function

$$A(x_1, \dots, x_N) \equiv \prod_{i>j}^N \text{sgn}(x_i - x_j) , \quad (9)$$

in which

$$\text{sgn}(x) \equiv \frac{x}{|x|} = \begin{cases} 1, & x > 0 , \\ -1, & x < 0 . \end{cases}$$

Then the bosonic solution to Eq. (4) is given by the mapping

$$\psi_B(x_1, \dots, x_N, t) = A(x_1, \dots, x_N) \psi_F(x_1, \dots, x_N, t) . \quad (10)$$

By this construction, function (10) satisfies the same hard-core constraint (6) as ψ_F . In the case of point particles, condition (8) holds automatically owing to the Pauli principle for ψ_F . Function (10) is totally symmetric under permutations of any x_i and x_j . It satisfies the initial and boundary conditions directly following from those for ψ_F . In the case of a stationary uniform system with periodic boundary conditions, the latter are preserved under mapping (10) if N is odd, but if N is even, periodic (antiperiodic) boundary conditions on ψ_B require antiperiodic (periodic) boundary conditions on ψ_F . However for large $N \gg 1$, the character of such boundary conditions becomes not important. The fermionic wave function ψ_F can be considered as corresponding to a fictitious system of spinless fermions, or better to say, to a system of real fermions with frozen spins aligned in the same direction.

The Fermi-Bose mapping (10) is valid for the time-dependent wave functions, since the antisymmetric function (9) does not include time. The system Hamiltonian may contain any external fields and any other finite particle interactions in addition to the hard-core ones. For the stationary Schrödinger equation (5), the mapping applies for the whole spectrum of all eigenstates.

3 Ground state

It is solely for the stationary ground state that mapping (10) reduces to a simplified form

$$\psi_0^B(x_1, \dots, x_N) = |\psi_0^F(x_1, \dots, x_N)|. \quad (11)$$

As is evident, the absolute-value mapping (11) cannot apply to excited states or to the time-dependent case, when the wave functions are, generally, complex, whereas mapping (11) yields only real functions. In addition, for excited states (11) introduces unphysical cusps in ψ_0^B arising from the requirement of orthogonality of different fermionic eigenstates ψ_0^F , and positivity of (11) violates the requirement of orthogonality of different bosonic eigenstates ψ_0^B . These defects are not present in the original mapping (10).

Under the assumption that the only two-particle interaction is a zero-range hard-core repulsion, represented by the hard-core constraint (8), and there are no external potentials, the ground state can be found explicitly [24]. Since the fermionic wave functions vanish automatically whenever any $x_i = x_j$ for $i \neq j$, the constraint has no effect, and the corresponding fermionic ground state is that of the ideal gas of fermions, given by a Slater determinant of the lowest N single-particle plane-wave orbitals. The exact bosonic ground state was found [24] to be a product

$$\psi_0^B(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \left[\frac{2^{N(N-1)}}{N!L^N} \right]^{1/2} \prod_{i>j}^N \left| \sin \frac{k_0(x_i - x_j)}{N} \right|, \quad (12)$$

in which k_0 plays the role of the Fermi wave vector,

$$k_0 \equiv \pi \rho \quad \left(\rho \equiv \frac{N}{L} \right). \quad (13)$$

The ground-state energy can also be determined exactly [24,33,34]. For large $N \gg 1$, it can be easily obtained from the expression

$$E_0 = L \int_{-k_0}^{k_0} \left(\frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} \right) \frac{dk}{2\pi} = \frac{\hbar^2 k_0^3}{6\pi m} L, \quad (14)$$

with k_0 defined by the integral

$$N = L \int_{-k_0}^{k_0} \frac{dk}{2\pi}, \quad (15)$$

which yields k_0 from Eq. (13). Then the ground-state energy is

$$E_0 = \frac{(\pi\hbar\rho)^2}{6m} N. \quad (16)$$

The lowest excitations above the ground state have a phonon character [24] with the sound velocity

$$c = \frac{\hbar k_0}{m}. \quad (17)$$

The pair correlation function

$$g(x, x') \equiv L^2 \int_0^L |\psi(x, x', x_3, \dots, x_N)|^2 dx_3 \dots dx_N, \quad (18)$$

with the ground-state wave function (12), depends only on the difference $x - x'$, so that $g(x, x') = g(x - x')$, and

$$g(x) = 1 - \frac{\sin^2(k_0 x)}{N^2 \sin^2(k_0 x/N)}. \quad (19)$$

For $x \ll L$, one finds [24] that

$$g(x) \cong 1 - \frac{\sin^2(k_0 x)}{(k_0 x)^2}. \quad (20)$$

The vanishing of $g(0) = 0$ at $x = 0$ reflects the hard-core nature of the two-particle interactions.

4 Trapped gas

The general mapping (10) holds true in the presence of any external potentials. The case of the harmonically trapped TG gas has been considered and an exact solution for the ground state has been obtained [31,35,36]. One-dimensional harmonic trap is described by the potential

$$U = \frac{1}{2} m\omega^2 \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^2. \quad (21)$$

The ground state of the Bose gas is given by mapping (11). The fermionic ground state is a Slater determinant of the lowest N single-particle eigenfunctions φ_n of the harmonic oscillator,

$$\varphi_n(x) = \frac{\exp(-x^2/2l_0^2)}{[\sqrt{\pi} 2^n n! l_0]^{1/2}} H_n\left(\frac{x}{l_0}\right),$$

where $l_0 \equiv \sqrt{\hbar/m\omega}$ is the oscillator length and $H_n(\cdot)$ is a Hermite polynomial. By rearranging the corresponding fermionic determinant, one gets [35] the Bose function

$$\psi_0^B(x_1, \dots, x_N) = C_N \left(\prod_{i<j}^N |x_i - x_j| \right) \prod_{i=1}^N \exp\left(-\frac{x_i^2}{2l_0^2}\right), \quad (22)$$

in which the normalization constant is

$$C_N = \left[\frac{2^{N(N-1)/2}}{\pi^N N! (\prod_{n=0}^{N-1} n!) l_0^N} \right]^{1/2} .$$

The single-particle density, normalized to N , is

$$\rho(x) \equiv N \int |\psi(x, x_2, \dots, x_N)|^2 dx_2 \dots dx_N , \quad (23)$$

which for the ground state (22) gives

$$\rho(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |\varphi_n(x)|^2 . \quad (24)$$

The pair correlation function becomes

$$g(x, x') = 1 - \frac{|\Delta(x, x')|^2}{\rho(x)\rho(x')} , \quad (25)$$

where

$$\Delta(x, x') \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \varphi_n^*(x) \varphi_n(x') .$$

For large $N \gg 1$, one has $\Delta(x, x') \approx \delta(x - x')$. But for $x = x'$, as is evident,

$$\Delta(x, x) = \rho(x) ,$$

because of which function (25) vanishes, $g(x, x) = 0$, as it must be for impenetrable particles.

5 Type of order

What type of order exists in the system is characterized by the behaviour of reduced density matrices [37–39]. Of particular importance is the first-order density matrix

$$\rho_1(x, x') \equiv N \int \psi(x, x_2, \dots, x_N) \psi^*(x', x_2, \dots, x_N) dx_2 \dots dx_N , \quad (26)$$

normalized as

$$\int \rho_1(x, x) dx = N . \quad (27)$$

The presence or absence of long-range order is described by the properties of the eigenvalues n_j of the density matrix (26), which are given by the equation

$$\int \rho_1(x, x') \varphi_j(x') dx' = n_j \varphi_j(x) . \quad (28)$$

The corresponding eigenfunctions $\varphi_j(x)$ are called the natural orbitals [39], since in their terms the single-particle density matrix acquires a diagonal representation

$$\rho_1(x, x') = \sum_j n_j \varphi_j(x) \varphi_j^*(x') . \quad (29)$$

The eigenvalues n_j play the role of the occupation numbers of the related orbitals and are normalized as

$$\sum_j n_j = N ,$$

which results from normalization (27). The Fourier transform of matrix (26) gives the momentum distribution

$$n(k) = \int \rho_1(x, x') e^{-ik(x-x')} dx dx' , \quad (30)$$

with the normalization

$$\int n(k) \frac{dk}{2\pi} = N .$$

The problem of calculating the first-order density matrix (26) for a uniform gas was first considered by Schultz [40], who found it in the form of a Toeplitz determinant. Using the known asymptotics of the Toeplitz determinants, it was possible to prove the absence of Bose-Einstein condensate by showing the power-law decay of the density matrix at large distance, that is, by demonstrating the absence of long-range order. The precise form of this power-law decay was found later by Lenard [41,42], who obtained the long-distance behaviour as

$$\rho_1(x, 0) \simeq C \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{k_0 x}} \quad (|x| \rightarrow \infty) , \quad (31)$$

where $k_0 \equiv \pi\rho$. The coefficient $C = 0.92418$ was found by Vaidya and Tracy [43,44]. Higher-order terms in the asymptotic behaviour of $\rho_1(x, 0)$ were derived by Jimbo et al. [45]. The most accurate results are due to the recent work by Gangardt [46], who obtained

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1(x, 0) \simeq \frac{C\rho}{\sqrt{k_0 x}} & \left[1 - \frac{1}{32(k_0 x)^2} - \frac{\cos(2k_0 x)}{8(k_0 x)^2} - \frac{3 \sin(2k_0 x)}{16(k_0 x)^3} + \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{33}{2048(k_0 x)^4} + \frac{93 \cos(2k_0 x)}{256(k_0 x)^4} \right] . \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

He also found the finite-size corrections for atoms in the harmonic trapping potential and for the case of circular geometry [46].

The investigation of the properties of the first-order density matrix (26) revealed that the number of particles in the Bose condensate, which is associated with the largest eigenvalue of eigenproblem (28), is of the order of $N_0 \equiv \sup_j n_j \sim \sqrt{N}$. This implies that there is no real Bose-Einstein condensate in the uniform Tonks-Girardeau gas.

The case of trapped atoms does not allow for a simple analytical expression of the largest eigenvalue n_j . The multidimensional integral (26) was evaluated numerically by Monte Carlo integration [35,36]. Highly accurate results for large values of N were found by Forrester et al. [47]. These results show that again, as in the spatially uniform case, $N_0 \sim \sqrt{N}$. Thus, there is no true Bose-Einstein condensate in the trapped TG gas, because of which the Gross-Pitaevskii equation, presuming the existence of a well-defined order parameter associated with genuine Bose-Einstein condensate, has limited utility, especially, for temporal processes [26,31,48]. This is contrary to the case of the trapped *ideal* gas, where Bose-Einstein condensation can develop, though as a gradual crossover but not as a sharp phase transition

[49]. Nevertheless, since for $N \gg 1$ the momentum distribution of the Tonks-Girardeau gas exhibits a peak $n(k) \sim k^{-1/2}$ in the neighbourhood of zero momentum, and a kind of order does exist, such a system displays some coherence effects, and the Gross-Pitaevskii equation has a limited region of applicability [50].

In order to accurately classify the type of order arising in the TG gas, it is possible to resort to the notion of the *order indices*, introduced for density matrices [39] and generalized for the case of arbitrary operators [51]. The order index of an operator \hat{A} is defined [51] as

$$\omega(\hat{A}) \equiv \frac{\log \|\hat{A}\|}{\log |\text{Tr} \hat{A}|}, \quad (33)$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ means a Hermitian norm. For an n -th order boson density matrix $\hat{\rho}_n$, one has $\|\hat{\rho}_n\| \sim \|\hat{\rho}_1\|^n$. Also, $\log |\text{Tr} \hat{\rho}_n| \simeq n \log N$, when $N \gg 1$. Therefore, applying definition (33) for a reduced density matrix $\hat{\rho}_n$, we have

$$\omega(\hat{\rho}_n) = \frac{\log \|\hat{\rho}_1\|}{\log N}. \quad (34)$$

Taking into account that

$$\|\hat{\rho}_1\| = \max_j n_j = N_0,$$

Eq. (34) can be rewritten as

$$\omega(\hat{\rho}_n) = \frac{\log N_0}{\log N}.$$

For the Tonks-Girardeau gas, both uniform as well as trapped, $N_0 \sim \sqrt{N}$. Thence

$$\omega(\hat{\rho}_n) = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (35)$$

In the case of a genuine Bose-Einstein condensate with long-range order, one would have $\omega(\hat{\rho}_n) = 1$. The order index (35) characterizes a system with *mid-range* order [39,51]. The occurrence of mid-range order means that, though there is no true Bose-Einstein condensate, some partial coherence does exist in the system.

6 Lieb-Liniger gas

Up to now, the Fermi-Bose mapping (10) has been applied to the TG gas, that is, the one-dimensional gas of impenetrable bosons. However, as it turned out, the applicability of this mapping is much wider, being valid for a large class of one-dimensional systems called the Lieb-Liniger gas, which is characterized by the contact two-particle interaction

$$\Phi(x) = \Phi_0 \delta(x). \quad (36)$$

The one-dimensional system with this interaction was studied by Lieb and Liniger [52,53]. The delta potential (36) leaves the wave function continuous but yields a jump in the derivative according to the condition

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) \psi_B \Big|_{x_i=x_j+0} = - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) \psi_B \Big|_{x_i=x_j-0} = \frac{m\Phi_0}{\hbar^2} \psi_B \Big|_{x_i=x_j \pm 0}. \quad (37)$$

The dimensionless ground-state energy of this gas,

$$e(g) \equiv \frac{2mE_0}{\hbar^2 \rho^2 N}, \quad (38)$$

expressed as a function of the dimensionless coupling parameter

$$g \equiv \frac{m\Phi_0}{\rho\hbar^2}, \quad (39)$$

is given [52] by the equation

$$e(g) = \left(\frac{g}{\lambda}\right)^3 \int_{-1}^1 f(x)x^2 dx, \quad (40)$$

in which the function $f(x)$ satisfies the integral equation

$$2\pi f(x) = 1 + 2\lambda \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(y) dy}{\lambda^2 + (x-y)^2}, \quad (41)$$

and the constant λ is defined by the normalization condition

$$\frac{g}{\lambda} \int_{-1}^1 f(x) dx = 1. \quad (42)$$

Numerical solution for $e(g)$ was given in Refs. [52,54]. A detailed table can be found on the website [55]. An analytical asymptotic expansion in the weak-coupling limit reads as

$$e(g) \simeq g + c_3 g^{3/2} + c_4 g^2 + c_5 g^{5/2}. \quad (43)$$

The coefficients

$$c_3 = -\frac{4}{3\pi} = -0.424413, \quad c_4 = \frac{1.29}{2\pi^2} = 0.065352$$

were found by Lee [56,57]. The coefficient c_5 is not known exactly. Its estimate is $c_5 = -0.017201$. The strong-coupling expansion, being based on the numerical results [55], can be derived as

$$e(g) \simeq e(\infty) \left(1 - \frac{4}{g} + \frac{12}{g^2} - \frac{32}{g^3} + \frac{80}{g^4}\right), \quad (44)$$

where

$$e(\infty) \equiv \frac{\pi^2}{3} \quad (45)$$

is the TG limit [24]. The first-order density matrix and the pair correlation function were investigated by Monte Carlo techniques [58]. It has been mentioned that mapping (10) can serve as a reasonable approximation for limited time intervals of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation [59].

Cheon and Shigehara [60,61] showed that mapping (10) is *exact* for the Lieb-Liniger gas, provided that the fermionic wave function satisfies the condition

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\right) \psi_F \Big|_{x_i=x_j \pm 0} = \frac{m\Phi_0}{\hbar^2} \psi_F \Big|_{x_i=x_j+0} = -\frac{m\Phi_0}{\hbar^2} \psi_F \Big|_{x_i=x_j-0}. \quad (46)$$

Here the derivative is continuous but the wave function is discontinuous.

Recently a new development has been proposed [35,62] for the case of the spin-aligned Fermi gas, suggesting exploitation of the generalized Fermi-Bose mapping [60–64] in the *opposite* direction, by mapping the fermionic Tonks-Girardeau gas, a spin-aligned Fermi gas with strong one-dimensional atomic interactions mediated by a three-dimensional p -wave Feshbach resonance, to the trapped ideal Bose gas.

It can be shown [62,64] that in the low-energy domain the one-dimensional longitudinal scattering of two spin-aligned fermions, confined in a single-mode harmonic waveguide, can be well represented by the contact condition

$$\psi_F|_{x_i=x_j-0} = -\psi_F|_{x_i=x_j+0} = a_{1D} \left. \frac{\partial\psi_F}{\partial x} \right|_{x_i=x_j\pm 0}. \quad (47)$$

Here the following notation is used for the effective one-dimensional scattering length:

$$a_{1D} = \frac{3a_p^3}{l_\perp^2} \left[1 + \frac{3\zeta(3/2)}{2\sqrt{2}\pi} \left(\frac{a_p}{l_\perp} \right)^3 \right]^{-1}, \quad (48)$$

in which a_p is the p -wave scattering length, $\zeta(3/2) = 2.612$ is the Riemann zeta function, and $l_\perp \equiv \sqrt{\hbar/m\omega_\perp}$ is the transverse oscillator length. The scattering length a_{1D} diverges at $(a_p/l_\perp)^3 \cong -1.134$. The fermionic TG gas regime occurs in the neighbourhood of this resonance. The one-dimensional scattering lengths are invariant under the Fermi-Bose mapping (10), as a result of which the scattering length a_{1D} is the same for bosons and fermions.

The contact condition (47) is generated by the one-dimensional pseudopotential operator [62]

$$\Phi^F(x) = \Phi_0^F \delta'(x) \frac{1}{2} \left(\left. \frac{\partial\psi_F}{\partial x} \right|_{x+0} - \left. \frac{\partial\psi_F}{\partial x} \right|_{x-0} \right), \quad (49)$$

in which $\delta'(x)$ is the derivative of the Dirac delta-function and the effective coupling strength is

$$\Phi_0^F = 2\hbar^2 \frac{a_{1D}}{m}.$$

This should be compared with the bosonic interaction strength

$$\Phi_0^B = -\frac{2\hbar^2}{ma_{1D}}.$$

The spin-aligned Fermi gas maps to the Lieb-Liniger Bose gas, with the fermionic and bosonic interaction strengths inversely related [60,61] as

$$\Phi_0^B \Phi_0^F = -\frac{4\hbar^4}{m^2}. \quad (50)$$

From here, it is clear that a strongly interacting Fermi gas can be mapped to a weakly interacting Bose gas. In the limiting case, when at the resonance the fermion interaction becomes divergent so that $a_{1D} \rightarrow -\infty$, the corresponding Bose gas is asymptotically free.

7 Discussion

In the present survey, we have considered one-dimensional Bose gases. The properties of such gases are drastically different from those of their three-dimensional counterparts. For comparison, we may recall the ground-state energy of the three-dimensional dilute Bose gas with a hard-sphere interaction. The dimensionless ground-state energy is

$$\frac{2mE_0}{\hbar^2 \rho^{2/3} N} \approx 4\pi\alpha^{1/3} \left(1 + b_1\alpha^{1/2} + b_2\alpha + b'_2\alpha \ln \alpha \right) ,$$

where $\alpha \equiv \rho a^3 \ll 1$, with a being the sphere diameter, and where the coefficients b_1 and b'_2 are

$$b_1 = \frac{128}{15\sqrt{\pi}} = 4.814418 , \quad b'_2 = 8 \left(\frac{4\pi}{3} - \sqrt{3} \right) = 19.653915 .$$

The coefficient b_1 was found in Refs. [65–69] and b'_2 in Refs. [70,71]. The coefficient b_2 has not been determined exactly. According to Wu [70], one has $b_2 = b'_2 \ln(12\pi) = 71.337$. Hugenholtz and Pines [72] give $b_2 \approx 74.617$. Another quantity that could be compared with its one-dimensional counterpart is the pair correlation function of the three-dimensional dilute gas of hard-sphere bosons, which is found [67] to be

$$g(r) \simeq 1 - \frac{C}{\alpha^{1/6}} \left(\frac{a_0}{r} \right)^4 ,$$

where $r \gg a_0$, $\alpha \ll 1$ and

$$C = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2 \sqrt{\pi}} , \quad \rho a_0^3 \equiv 1 ,$$

a_0 being the mean interparticle distance. The behaviour of both E_0 and $g(r)$ for the three-dimensional gas is essentially different from their one-dimensional analogs. Being principally different, the three-dimensional gas does not allow for a direct mapping between bosons and fermions, as in the one-dimensional case, which makes its treatment much more involved. While in the one-dimensional case, the Fermi-Bose mapping (10) gives a great advantage in the simplification of the mathematical description.

Nowadays one-dimensional Bose gases are not just artificial models, but vice versa are real physical objects realized in a number of experiments [5–21] with quasi-one-dimensional traps and waveguides. Quasi-one-dimensional dilute Bose gases can be treated as purely one-dimensional gases, with their effective interaction of the contact type (36), where the interaction strength

$$\Phi_0 = \frac{2\hbar^2 a_s}{ml_{\perp}^2} \left[1 - \frac{|\zeta(1/2)| a_s}{\sqrt{2} l_{\perp}} \right]^{-1}$$

is expressed through the three-dimensional scattering length a_s and the oscillator length $l_{\perp} \equiv \sqrt{\hbar/m\omega_{\perp}}$ of the confining radial potential [73,74]. Here $\zeta(1/2) = -1.4603$.

An additional dimension is provided by the Feshbach resonance techniques which make it possible: to vary the scattering length in a very wide range, transforming the interaction strength from weak to strong coupling; to realize heteronuclear resonances between two different atomic species [75,76]; and to perform a crossover between a degenerate fermionic gas and a gas of bosonic molecules (see Refs. [23,77–79]). In quasi-one-dimensional traps, the

Feshbach resonance techniques would allow for a continuous tuning of the system properties from a weakly interacting gas to the hard-core TG gas [80].

The remarkable generality of the Fermi-Bose mapping (10) provides us with a convenient practical tool for considering different regimes of one-dimensional or quasi-one-dimensional dilute Bose systems, from the TG gas of impenetrable bosons to the Lieb-Liniger gas with contact interactions. Moreover, mapping (10) is applicable for describing nonequilibrium processes in such one-dimensional gases. A great variety of available experiments, to which the general mapping (10) is applicable, makes the latter of fundamental importance.

References

- [1] P.W. Courteille, V.S. Bagnato, and V.I. Yukalov, *Laser Phys.* **11**, 659 (2001).
- [2] L. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, *Bose-Einstein Condensation* (Clarendon, Oxford, 2003).
- [3] J.O. Andersen, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **76**, 599 (2004).
- [4] K. Bongs and K. Sengstock, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **67**, 907 (2004).
- [5] J. Denschlag, D. Cassettari, and J. Schmiedmayer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 2014 (1999).
- [6] J.H. Thywissen, R.M. Westervelt, and M. Prentiss, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 3762 (1999).
- [7] D. Müller, D.Z. Andersen, R.J. Grow, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 5194 (1999).
- [8] N.H. Dekker, C.S. Lee, V. Lorent, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 1124 (2000).
- [9] M. Key, I.G. Hughes, W. Rooijackers, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 1371 (2000).
- [10] K. Bongs, S. Burger, S. Dettmer, et al., *Phys. Rev. A* **63**, 031602 (2001).
- [11] J. Arlt and K. Dholakia, *Phys. Rev. A* **63**, 063602 (2001).
- [12] F. Schreck, L. Khaykovich, K.L. Corwin, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 080403 (2001).
- [13] A. Görlitz, J.M. Vogels, A.E. Leanhardt, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 130402 (2001).
- [14] M. Greiner, I. Bloch, O. Mandel, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 160405 (2001).
- [15] S. Dettmer, D. Hellweg, P. Ryytty, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 160406 (2001).
- [16] D. Hellweg, S. Dettmer, P. Ryytty, et al., *Appl. Phys. B* **73**, 781 (2001).
- [17] S. Richard, F. Gerbier, J.H. Thywissen, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 010405 (2003).
- [18] F. Gerbier, J.H. Thywissen, S. Richard, et al., *Phys. Rev. A* **67**, 051602 (2003).
- [19] H. Moritz, T. Stöferle, M. Köhl, and T. Esslinger, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 250402 (2003).
- [20] B. Paredes, A. Widera, V. Murg, et al., *Nature* **429**, 277 (2004).
- [21] T. Kinoshita, T. Wenger, and D.S. Weiss, *Science* **305**, 1125 (2004).
- [22] D.S. Petrov, D.M. Gangardt, and G.V. Shlyapnikov, *J. Physique* **116**, 3 (2004).
- [23] V.I. Yukalov, *Laser Phys. Lett.* **1**, 435 (2004).
- [24] M. Girardeau, *J. Math. Phys.* **1**, 516 (1960).
- [25] M.D. Girardeau, *Phys. Rev. B* **139**, 500 (1965).
- [26] M.D. Girardeau and E.M. Wright, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 5239 (2000).

- [27] M.D. Girardeau and E.M. Wright, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 5691 (2000).
- [28] K.K. Das, G.J. Lapeyre, and E.M. Wright, Phys. Rev. A **65**, 063603 (2002).
- [29] M.D. Girardeau, K.K. Das, and E.M. Wright, Phys. Rev. A **66**, 023604 (2002).
- [30] K.K. Das, M.D. Girardeau, and E.M. Wright, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 170404 (2002).
- [31] M.D. Girardeau and E.M. Wright, Laser Phys. **12**, 8 (2002).
- [32] L. Tonks, Phys. Rev. **50**, 955 (1936).
- [33] A. Bijl, Physica **4**, 329 (1937).
- [34] T. Nagamiya, Proc. Phys. Math. Soc. Japan, **22**, 705 (1940).
- [35] M.D. Girardeau, E.M. Wright, and J.M. Triscari, Phys. Rev. A **63**, 033601 (2001).
- [36] G.J. Lapeyre, M.D. Girardeau, and E.M. Wright, Phys. Rev. A **66**, 023606 (2002).
- [37] O. Penrose and L. Onsager, Phys. Rev. **104**, 576 (1956).
- [38] C.N. Yang, Rev. Mod. Phys. **34**, 694 (1962).
- [39] A.J. Coleman and V.I. Yukalov, Reduced Density Matrices (Springer, Berlin, 2000).
- [40] T.D. Schultz, J. Math. Phys. **4**, 666 (1963).
- [41] A. Lenard, J. Math. Phys. **5**, 930 (1964).
- [42] A. Lenard, J. Math. Phys. **7**, 1268 (1966).
- [43] H.C. Vaidya and C.A. Tracy, Phys. Rev. Lett. **42**, 3 (1979).
- [44] H.C. Vaidya and C.A. Tracy, J. Math. Phys. **20**, 2291 (1979).
- [45] M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, Y. Mori, and M. Sato, Physica D **1**, 80 (1980).
- [46] D.M. Gangardt, e-print cond-mat/0404104 (2004).
- [47] P.J. Forrester, N.E. Frankel, T.M. Garoni, and N.S. Witte, Phys. Rev. A **67**, 043607 (2003).
- [48] M.D. Girardeau and E.M. Wright, e-print cond-mat/0010457 (2000).
- [49] W. Ketterle and N.J. Van Druten, Phys. Rev. A **54**, 656 (1996).
- [50] E.B. Kolehmainen, T.J. Newman, J.P. Straley, and X. Qi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 1146 (2000).
- [51] V.I. Yukalov, Physica A **310**, 413 (2002).
- [52] E.H. Lieb and W. Liniger, Phys. Rev. **130**, 1605 (1963).

- [53] E.H. Lieb, Phys. Rev. **130**, 1616 (1963).
- [54] V. Dunjko, V. Lorent, and M. Olshanii, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 5413 (2001).
- [55] V. Dunjko and M. Olshanii, <http://physics.usc.edu/~olshanii/DIST/>.
- [56] D.K. Lee, Phys. Lett. A **37**, 49 (1971).
- [57] D.K. Lee, Phys. Rev. A **3**, 345 (1971).
- [58] G.E. Astrakharchik and S. Giorgini, Phys. Rev. A **68**, 031602 (2003).
- [59] M.D. Girardeau, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 040401 (2003).
- [60] T. Cheon and T. Shigehara, Phys. Lett. A **243**, 111 (1998).
- [61] T. Cheon and T. Shigehara, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 2536 (1999).
- [62] M.D. Girardeau, H. Nguyen, and M. Olshanii, Opt. Commun. **243**, 3 (2004).
- [63] M.D. Girardeau, and M. Olshanii, e-print cond-mat/0309396 (2003).
- [64] B.E. Granger and D. Blume, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 133202 (2004).
- [65] T.D. Lee and C.N. Yang, Phys. Rev. **105**, 1119 (1957).
- [66] T.D. Lee, K. Huang, and C.N. Yang, Phys. Rev. **106**, 1135 (1957).
- [67] T.D. Lee and C.N. Yang, Phys. Rev. **112**, 1419 (1958).
- [68] S.T. Beliaev, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. **7**, 289 (1958).
- [69] S.T. Beliaev, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. **7**, 299 (1958).
- [70] T.T. Wu, Phys. Rev. **115**, 1390 (1959).
- [71] K. Sawada, Phys. Rev. **116**, 1344 (1959).
- [72] N.M. Hugenholtz and D. Pines, Phys. Rev. **116**, 489 (1959).
- [73] M. Olshanii, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 938 (1998).
- [74] D.S. Petrov, G.V. Schlyapnikov, and J.T.M. Walraven, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 3745 (2000).
- [75] C.A. Stan, M.W. Zwierlein, C.H. Schunk, et al., e-print cond-mat/0406129 (2004).
- [76] S. Inouye, J. Goldwin, M.L. Olsen, et al., e-print cond-mat/0406208 (2004).
- [77] S.J.J.M.F. Kokkelmans, G.V. Shlyapnikov, and S. Salomon, Phys. Rev. A **69**, 031602 (2004).
- [78] R.A. Duine and H.T.C. Stoof, Phys. Rep. **396**, 115 (2004).
- [79] Q. Chen, J. Stajic, S. Tan, and K. Levin, e-print cond-mat/0404274 (2004).
- [80] I.V. Tokatly, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 090405 (2004).