M agnetic properties of correlated electrons

R om uald Lem anski Institute of Low Tem perature and Structure Research, Polish Academ y of Sciences, W rochw, Poland

Abstract

We analyze a system composed of itinerant electrons and localized spins with on-site interactions representing the Hund's rst rule. Properties of the system are studied rigorously on the in nite square lattice but the con gurational space is restricted to the lowest-period phases only. Using exact expressions for the ground state energy an evolution of the phase diagram with an external magnetic eld is determined. For a wide range of electron densities m etam agnetic phase transitions are detected. It is shown that jumps of magnetization of the electrons at the metam agnetic transitions are much smaller than changes of magnetization of the localized spins.

I. IN TRODUCTION

In this paper we consider a model of localized spins and moving electrons on the square lattice. The spins do not interact between them selves directly, but only by means of the electrons. The interaction is local (on-site), however, since the electrons move, it spreads out over the whole system. A coording to the H und's rst rule we assume the coupling between the localized spins and the spins of moving electrons to be ferrom agnetic and, for sim plicity, we choose the Ising-type anisotropy of the interaction.

The model can be viewed as a simplied version of the Falicov-K in ball model with the Ising on-site interaction we studied in our precedent papers¹. Indeed, if in the later model we impose exactly one localized f-electron per site then the only relevant terms that left are those given in the rst line of the Ham iltonian (1). However, now we include also Zeem an type terms describing action of the magnetic eld on both the localized spins and the electrons.

A type of magnetic order results from a competition between all the terms of the H am iltonian. The H und's term and the magnetic eld terms tend to align all the spins ferrom agnetically. On the other hand, the kinetic energy term gives a favour of the antiferrom agnetic order at half lling and close to that point.

The model H am iltonian has the following form .

$$H = t_{\text{hi;ji} = ";\#}^{P P} d_{ij}^{+} d_{j}; \qquad \stackrel{P}{i} (n_{ij}^{d} + n_{ij\#}^{d}) \quad J_{i}^{P} (n_{ij,"}^{d} - n_{ij\#}^{d}) s_{i}^{z}$$

$$h_{i}^{P} (n_{ij,"}^{d} - n_{ij\#}^{d}) \quad h_{ij\#}^{P} s_{i}^{z}; \qquad (1)$$

where < i; j > m eans the nearest neighbor lattice sites i and j, is a spin index, $d_{i;}$ $(d_{i;}^{+})$ is an annihilation (creation) operator, and $n_{i;}^{d}$ is an occupation number of itinerant electrons. The on-site interaction between localized spins and itinerant electrons which re ects the H und's rule force is represented by the Ising-type coupling constant J. The other parameters are: the hopping amplitude t (in the sequel we measure all energies in units of t) and the chemical potential .

In order to simulate various dopings of the d-electrons we allow for any value of their density, i.e. $0_{d} = _{d"} + _{d\#} 2$. Consequently, at a given site the d electron occupancy $n_{d} = n_{d;"} + n_{d;\#}$ is assumed to be equal to 0, 1 or 2. So there are 2 states per site allowed for the spins (" and #) and 4 states per site allowed for the d electrons ($n_{d} = 0; n_{d;"} = 1$ and $n_{d;\#} = 0; n_{d;"} = 0$ and $n_{d;\#} = 1; n_{d} = 2$).

The rst two terms of the Ham iltonian (1) describe kinetic energy of the electrons in the ground canonical energy ble, the third one – on-site Hund interaction that couples localized spins and spins of itinerant electrons, and the last two terms represent energies of spins and electrons in an external magnetic eld.

The localized spins play a role of an external potential for the electrons. This potential tunes its shape in such a way, that the total energy of the system attains its minimum. So there is a feedback between the subsystem s of spins and electrons, and this is the feedback that is responsible for long-range arrangements of the spins,

O ur purpose here is to exam ine how ground-state arrangements of the spins evolve when an external magnetic eld h is applied. We solve the problem by constructing a restricted phase diagram of the model (1) within the con gurational space, composed of all periodic phases (and their mixtures), for which the number of sites per unit cell is less or equal to 4. Then, for a chosen densities of electrons, we calculate magnetization of the electrons as a function of the magnetic eld.

II.M ETHOD OF CALCULATION

Our trial set contains 12 periodic con gurations of the spins. For each of them we perform the Fourier transform ation of the Ham iltonian (1) and determ ine the electronic band structure of the conduction electrons. In other words, we solve the eigenvalue problem and nd the eigenvalues $E(k_x;k_y)$, with branch index = 1;2;:::;N₀ 4, spin index and the B loch wavevector $k = (k_x;k_y)$ (for m ore details see Refs.^{2;3}). This requires us to diagonalize up to 4 4 m atrices and result in analytical form ulae for at m ost 4 di erent energy bands, separately for spin-up and spin-down electrons.

In order to determ ine the ground-state energy we use a B rillouine zone grid ofm om entum points (typicaly 100 100) and sum eigenvalues of each band stucture for each number of the conduction electrons. Then we construct the ground canonical phase diagram by direct comparing the G ibbs therm odynam ical potentials of all phases from the trial set and selecting the lowest one. Next, we translate the grand-canonical diagram to the canonical phase diagram for arbitrary densitiv $_{d} = _{d"} + _{d\#}$ of the d electrons. This procedure assures therm odynam ical stability of all phases (both periodic and their m ixtures) present in the resulting canonical phase diagram s⁴.

In the current study we repeat the calculations for a discrete set of values of the m agnetic eld h, starting from 0 and up to $h_{max} = 0.04$, with the steps equal to 0.002.

The phase diagram (Fig. 1) has been constructed within the restricted space for J = 0.5. A part from the ferrom agnetic (F) and the sim plest antiferrom agnetic (AF) we have found ve other periodic phases in the diagram : two ferrim agnetic and three antiferrom agnetic. A ll the phases, but one ferrim agnetic, are stable already at h = 0.

It is obvious that with an increase of the magnetic led h antiferrom agnetic phases become energetically less favorable than ferrim agnetic and ferrim agnetic less favorable than ferrom agnetic. This general rule is also observed in our phase diagram, however, details of the phase transform ations are not so evident. The simplest situation appears for electron densities close to 0 or 2, where the F phase is stable already for h = 0. The opposite lim it is for d = 1, where the AF phase persists up to relatively high values of h, and then transform s into the F phase (the transition is not shown in the diagram).

M ore complex situation is observed for intermediate values of $_{\rm d}$, where either a ferrimagnetic or an antiferrom agnetic phase is a ground state for h = 0. Then, even a tiny magnetic led h is able to invoke a metam agnetic transition to the F phase, or a series of the transitions: rst to a ferrimagnetic and then to the F phase (see Fig. 1,2).

IV.OTHER RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS

The present studies show that even a tiny magnetic eld can cause a substantial change of magnetic structure, especially for interm ediate dopings. A lthough the range of densities d, where phases other than F and AF are ground states shrinks with an increase of h, som e eld induced arrangements (like one of the ferrim agnetic phases found in the present study) can appear on the diagram. It suggests that m etam agnetic phase transitions are perhaps common phenomena in doped magnetic systems. Then, it would be interesting to verify experimentally the conjecture by examination properties of relevant solid solutions in magnetic elds.

A reorientation of the spins at the metam agnetic phase transitions are accompanied by jumps of magnetization of the electrons. However, the jumps are much smaller than the change of magnetization of the spins, as it can be noticed in Fig. 3, where the magnetization versus magnetic eld for J = 0.5 and two diment electron desities: $_{d} = 0.3$, and $_{d} = 0.55$ (i.e. along the red lines in Fig. 1) is shown.

It is also interesting that for some intervals of h the magnetization of the electrons remains constant. It means that in this intervals the density of states of the electrons has energy gaps.

Let us nally mention that the phase diagram restricted to the lowest period phases provides only a crude inform ation on the full diagram of the model, but we expect that this is the most essential inform ation. The conjecture is justiled by the results found previously for the spinless Falicov-K im ball model, where an increase in size of allowed unit cells does not produce signile cant qualitative changes in the phase diagram ^{2;3}.

A cknow ledgem ent The work nanced from the resources of the Polish State Committee for Scientic Research (KBN) in the period 2004-2006 as a scientic project No. 1 PO3B 031 27.

REFERENCES

- ¹R.Lem anski, Phys.Rev.B 71,035107 (2005); R.Lem anski, phys.stat.sol. (b) 242,409 (2005).
- ²G.I.W atson and R.Lem anskiJ.Phys.Condens: Matter 7, 9521 (1995).
- ³R.Lem anski, J.K.Freericks and G.Banach, Phys.Rev.Lett. 89, 196403 (2002); J.Stat. Phys. 116, 699 (2004).
- ⁴ Z.Gajek, J.Jedrzejewski and R.Lemanski, Physica 223 A, 175 (1996).

FIGURES

FIG.1. Phase diagram of the model for J = 0.5. Only the discret values of the magnetic eld are taken into account: h = 0.002p, where p = 0;1;2;:::;20. The horizontal straight line segments show electron density ranges inside which particular phases are stable. D i erent colours represent di erent phases: black - the ferrom agnetic (F), red - the sim plest antiferrom agnetic (AF). All other phases are displayed in Fig. 2 (their colours are related to the colours of corresponding straight line segments). B lank gaps between the stright line segments indicate those density intervals in which m acroscopic m ixtures of two periodic phases have low er energy than any of them. The vertical red lines indicate electron densities $_d = 0.3$ and $_d = 0.55$, for which we calculated the magnetization of electrons as a function of the magnetic eld (see Fig. 3).

FIG.2. A mangements of localized spins in phases represented in the phase diagram displayed in Fig.1 (the background colours identify the phases on the diagram).

FIG.3. M agnetization of the electrons versus magnetic eld for J = 0.5 and two di erent electron densities: $_{d} = 0.3$ (left panel) and $_{d} = 0.55$ (right panel). Colours of the dots indicate stable arrangements of the localized spins (see captions to Fig.1 and 2 for explanations). The lines are only guides to eyes.