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#### Abstract

W e introduce a novelm odelforD NA condensation (whip-toroid transition) using the path integral $m$ ethod in the fram ew ork of the non-linear sigm a $m$ odel on a line segm ent. W e show that som ef its classical con gurations exhibit toroidal form $s$, and the system has phase transitions from a whip to toroidal phases $w$ ith a param eter $c=\frac{W}{21}\left(\frac{L}{2}\right)^{2} . W$ e also discuss stability and nite size e ect on these states.


PACS num bers: 87.14.G g, 87.10.+ e, $64.70 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~d}, 82.35 \mathrm{Lr}$

Since in living cells D NA is often packaged tightly, for instance, inside phage capsids, DNA condensation has draw n m uch attention [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6] as well as its biochem ical/medicalim portance in the em erging eld of gene therapy. In fact, when we put condensing agents as multivalent cations into DNA solution, it can cause DNA to undergo the condensation from a w orm -like chain (whip) to toroidal states [1, 2, 3, [4].

A double stranded DNA chain can be modelled, for exam ple, by a sem i exible hom opolym er chain [7, 8, 9]. To increase our understanding of \whip-toroid transition", sem i exible hom opolym ers in a poor solvent condition (i.e e ective interactions betw een polym er seg$m$ ents are attractive) have been investigated as sim ple m odels $10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21$, 22, 23, 24]. Sim ulations using M onte C arlo, Langevin approaches or $G$ aussian variational $m$ ethod, calculated phase diagram for the sem i exible chain in a poor solvent [10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16]. In theoretical works, existing phenom enological m odels balance the bending and surface free energies to estim ate toroidal properties $[17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24]$. It becom es increasingly probable that toroid is the stable low est energy state | the ground state. $W$ e note, how ever, that the theoretical aspects of the w orks assum e a prioritoroidalgeom etry as the stable low est energy state w ith no theoretical proof [21]. M oreover, com pared to the theory of coil-globule transition of exible chains $1,25,26,27,28]$, which are well described by eld theoretical form alism 25, 26], there is no sim plem icroscopic theory, which contains the salient physics to dem onstrate the whip-toroid transition of the sem i exible polym er. Di culties in form ulating theory results speci cally from the local inextensibility constraint of the sem i exible chain 8 , [], which $m$ akes the theory non-G aussian, and also from the non-local nature of the attractive interaction along the polym er chain, which $m$ akes the theory analytically intractable.

In th is letter, we investigate the whip-toroid transition of a single sem i exible hom opolym er chain w th attraction, using path integralm ethod and the nonlinear sigm a m odel on the line segm ent.

In the continuum lim it, the $G$ reen function (end-toend distribution) of a sti polym er chain $w$ ith attractive
interactions can be given by the path integral
w ith a constraint $j 4 j^{3}=1$ [8, [ $]$ ]. $s$ is the proper time along the sti polym er chain of total contour length $L$. $x(s)$ denotes the pointing vector at the tim $e^{\prime} s$ in our three dim ensional space while $u(s) \frac{@ x(s)}{@ s}$ corresponds to the unit orientation vector at s . N is the nom alisation constant. Follow ing Freed et al. [8, 26], the di$\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{R}}$ ensionless H am iltonian can be written by $\mathrm{H}[x ; \boldsymbol{c} ; \mathrm{W}]=$ $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L}}$ ds $\left.\mathbb{H}(\mathrm{s})+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{AT}}(\mathrm{s})\right]$ where $H$ ( s ) and $\mathrm{V}_{\text {A }}$ ( s ) are the l cal free H am iltonian and the attractive interaction term, respectively:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{~s})=\frac{1}{2} \frac{@}{@} \mathrm{~S}  \tag{2}\\
& \mathrm{Z}(\mathrm{~s})^{2} ;  \tag{3}\\
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{AT}}(\mathrm{~s})=\mathrm{W} \int_{0} \mathrm{ds}^{0}\left(x(\mathrm{~s}) \quad x\left(s^{0}\right)\right):
\end{align*}
$$

$l$ is the persistence length and $W$ is a positive coupling constant of the attractive interaction betw een polym er segm ents. Them odynam ic $=1=\left(k_{B} T\right)$ is im plicitly included in land $W$. This will be revived when we consider the them odynam ic behaviours of the system. In what follow $S$, we express $x$ by the unit tangent vector $u$ and therefore the $H$ am iltonian $H(~(\alpha)$ in term sof $\psi$. H ence, the $G$ reen function $G \quad \theta ; R ; \mathcal{H}_{i} ; \mathbb{U}_{f} ; L ; W$ becom es a path integral over $\mathbb{*}$ w ith a positive coupling constant $W$, regardless of $¥$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G={\underset{u_{i}}{Z_{f}} D[u(s)] \quad R_{0}^{R_{L}} d s u(s) \quad R \quad e^{H[u ; N]} ; ~}_{0} \quad \text {; } \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used $x(L)=R_{\mathrm{L}} d s u(\mathrm{~s})$ and the Jacobian is absorbed by N which is neglected here. The delta function selects out the end-to-end vector. $W$ hen $W=0$, our free dim ensionless H am iltonian w ith the constraint $j a(s){ }^{\jmath}=1$ can be interpreted as the low energy lim it of a linear sigm a m odel on a line segm ent, or quantum equivalently a nonlinear sigm a m odel on a line segm ent, rather than som e constrained H am iltonian system.

W e begin with $O$ (3) nonlinear sigm a m odel on a line segm ent which is nothing but a quantum $m$ echanics of a $\lim$ ited times 2 [ 0 ; ] w ith a constraint. The constraint $j a f=1$ restricts the value of $\theta$ on a unit sphere $S^{2}$. Its polar coordinate decom position in the free H am iltonian $H(u)=\frac{1}{2}{ }_{0}^{R_{L}} d s j u(s) J^{2}$ gives the action:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left[u ;^{\prime} u\right]=\frac{1}{2}_{0}^{Z} d s \quad\left(@_{u}\right)^{2}+\sin ^{2} u\left(@_{u}^{\prime}\right)^{2}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is called the nonlinear sigm a $m$ odel since the action is $O$ (3) sym $m$ etric but som $e$ of its transform ations are realised nonlinearly. $M$ inim izing the action (5) in term $s$ of $u$ and ' $u$ yields the classical equations ofm otion:

$$
\begin{align*}
& @^{2}+\frac{\sin 2 u}{2 u}\left(@_{u}\right)^{2} \quad u=0 ; \\
& @^{2}+2\left(@_{u}\right) \cot { }_{u} @ \prime_{u}=0: \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Our aim is now to explore classical solutions of eq.(6) and to study the whip-toroid phase transition in the presence of attractive interactions. C onsider classical solutions of eq.(6) $w$ th a trial solution $u=0$. The rst equation of (6) leads to $\sin 2 \mathrm{u}\left(r^{\prime}\right)^{2}=0$. Thus the solution is either $u=0 ; \frac{2}{2} ;$ or $^{\prime}{ }_{\mu}=0$. The solutions $u=0$; or ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{\mu}=0 \mathrm{w}$ th $\mathrm{u}=0$ are equivalent to having a constant $u$. A ccordingly, classical solutions reduce to $u=\overline{2}$ or $u=$ const. Substitution of $u=\overline{2}$ into the second equation ofm otion (6) gives $@^{2 \prime}{ }_{u}=0$. Therefore, we have tw o types of classical solutions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{~s})=\text { const: } \\
& \text { or } \\
& \quad=\frac{-}{2} \text { and },_{u}=\mathrm{as}+\mathrm{b} ; \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where a;b are constants. By sym $m$ etry argum ent, we state that the solutions (7) represent the generalsolutions [29]. That is either a constant $u$ (s) (rod solution) or a rotation at a constant speed along a great circle (toroid solution).

N ow we consider the attractive interaction term (3). It is di cult to intenpret it in the context of quantum theory due to its non-local nature along the poly$m$ er chain. H ow ever, we can solve them w th our classical solutions (7). Let us rew rite $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ eq.(3) by $\mathscr{\mathrm { R } ^ { 0 }}$ ( s )
 $V_{\text {AT }}(s)=W{ }_{0}^{R_{s}} \mathrm{ds}^{0} \quad{ }_{s^{0}}^{0} d t \mathbb{R}(t)$. Hence the problem is now reduced tor ${ }_{S}$ the one in the $w$ space: nding nonzero values of $\quad s_{s} d t u(t)$ w ith the classical solutions (77) . That is to nd $⿴\left(\frac{(8)}{s}\right.$ for a given $s$, which satis es ${ }_{s^{0}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{tu}=0$. In the polar copordinates, this is expressed
 $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{dt} \cos \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{u}}=0:$ The rst classical solution does not satisfy these equations and thus derives no attractive interactions. If we substitute the second classical solu\#ion of eq. (7) into the equations, we have cos u $(s)=0$, $R_{s}^{s_{s}^{0}} d t \cos (a t+b)=\frac{1}{a}\left(\sin (a s+b) \quad \sin \left(a s^{0}+b\right)\right)=0$ and $\begin{gathered}\mathrm{Rs}_{s}^{0} \\ s^{0}\end{gathered} d t \sin (a t+b)=\frac{1}{a}\left(\cos \left(a s^{0}+b\right) \quad \cos (a s+b)\right)=0$. Hence we have solutions: $s s^{0}=2 n=a>0, n 2 Z$.

W thout any loss of generality, we assume a $>0$ and n $2 Z_{+}$. Introd $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$ cing $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{s}) \quad\left[\mathrm{as}_{\overline{\mathrm{R}}_{\mathrm{s}}}^{2}\right]$ by $G$ auss' symbol $\left[\begin{array}{l}13 \\ R_{s}\end{array}\right.$, we obtain ${ }_{s} 2_{=a} d t a(t)=$
 tial is given by $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{A}}(\mathrm{s})=\mathrm{W} \quad \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{s})$. N ote that $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{L})$ represents the $w$ inding num ber of the classical solution (7) along a great circle of $S^{2}$. Finally, an integration over $s$ yields the dim ensionless H am iltonian w ith our classical solutions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { H }\left[\text { [ ; W ] }=Z_{\mathrm{L}}^{Z_{\mathrm{L}}} \operatorname{dsH}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{dsV}_{\mathrm{AT}}(\mathrm{~s})\right. \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{\mathrm{Ll}}{2} a^{2} \quad \mathrm{~W} \quad \mathrm{~L} \quad \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{~L}) 1 \quad \overline{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{aL}(\mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{~L})+1)^{\circ} \text { : } \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

The rst term denotes the bending energy, and the second and the third term $s$ are thought of as topological ${ }^{\prime}$ term $s$ from the $w$ inding num ber.

The non-zero w inding num ber of the classical solution in the $a$ space $m$ eans that the polym er chain $w$ inds in the r space as well. That is, when $a>\frac{2}{\mathrm{~L}}$, con gurations around the second classical solution (7) start form ing a toroidal shape since
and stabilise itself by attracting neighbouring segm ents. W e call such classical solutions the \toroid states." $W$ henever a increases and passes through the point $\frac{2 \mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{L}}$ for $\mathrm{n} 2 \mathrm{Z}_{+}$, another toroid state appears w ith the increased winding num ber $n$. N ote that the radius of the toroid state is given by $\frac{1}{a}$. W hen the chain of contour length $L$ winds $N$ ( $L$ ) tim es we have the $N$ ( $L$ ) circles of each length $\frac{2}{a}$ and the rest $L \frac{2}{a} N(L)$. The second and third term $s$ in the second line of eq. (8) result from the form er and the latter respectively. W hen $0<a \frac{2}{\mathrm{~L}}$, the chain cannot w ind like the toroid states. B oth ends of the chain are not connected to each other, thus can $m$ ove freely as wellas any other parts of the chain uctuate. A s long as the totalenergy of the chain does not exceed the bending energy of $\frac{2^{2} 1}{\mathrm{~L}}$ at $a=\frac{2}{\mathrm{~L}}$, they can whip with zero winding num ber. W e call such low-energy states the \whip states." A though the de nition includes uctuations around the classical solutions, unless otherw ise stated, w e prim arily refer to the classicalsolution s of such states, which are rather bow strings than whips.

W e now explore the exact energy levels of the whip and toroid states, and discuss the phase transitions betw een these states. The dim ensionless $H$ am iltonian of the second classical solution (7) is a function of $1 ; L ; W$ and $a$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{cl}}(\mathrm{a} ; 1 ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{W}) \\
& \quad \frac{\mathrm{Ll}}{2} \mathrm{a}^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{W}}{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{~L})(\mathbb{N}(\mathrm{L})+1) \quad \mathrm{W} L \quad \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{~L}):(10)
\end{aligned}
$$

This $m$ atches $w$ th the rst classical solution when $\frac{\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{L})}{\mathrm{a}}=0$ for $\mathrm{a}=0$ is de ned. A ccordingly, the above expression is valid for all classical solutions.

C onsider rst a case with L, W, and l xed. By de nition, H (a) $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{cl}}(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{l} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{W})$ is continuous in the entire region of a and is a sm ooth function in each segm ent: a $2 \frac{2 \mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~L}} ; \frac{2(\mathrm{~N}+1)}{\mathrm{L}}$ for N 2 Z 0 . However, it is not sm ooth at each joint of the segm ents: $\frac{a L}{2} 2 Z_{+}$. W eplot, in $F$ ig 1 , the energy level as a function of a for di erent values of $c$, show ing qualitative agreem ent $w$ ith $C$ onw ell et al. [5]. G iven $N(L)=N$ is xed, the $H$ am iltonian (10)


FIG. 1: The dependence of the energyph (a) on $x=a L=2$ and C. H (a) is scaled by the factor of $\bar{W} 1$ for convenience. The de nition of c w ill appear shortly.
takes a minimum at $a=a_{c}(N) \quad \frac{w}{L 1} N(\mathbb{N}+1)^{1=3}$. A ccordingly, each segm ent falls into one of three cases:
(i) W hen $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathbb{N}) \frac{2 \mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~L}}, \mathrm{H}$ (a) is a m onotonic function in the segm ent and takes its $m$ in im um at $a=\frac{2 \mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~L}}$.
(ii) $W$ hen $\frac{2 N}{L}<a_{C}(\mathbb{N})<\frac{2(N+1)}{L}, H$ (a) behaves quadratic in a and takes itsm inim um at $a=a_{c}(\mathbb{N})$.
(iii) $W$ hen $\frac{2(N+1)}{L}<a_{C}(\mathbb{N}), H$ (a) is $m$ onotonic in the segm ent and takes its $m$ inim um at $a=\frac{2(N+1)}{L}$.

T he rst and third cases are physically less relevant since they $m$ ean no ( $m$ eta-) stable point in the segm ent. So, we focus on the second case. Introducing a new param eter C $\quad \frac{L}{2}{ }^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~W}}{21}$, the condition for N or a to be in the second case tums out to be

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{c})<\mathrm{N} & <\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{U}}(\mathrm{C}) \\
1 & \text { for } \mathrm{C}  \tag{11}\\
1 & 4 ; \\
\mathrm{N} & <\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{U}} \\
\text { (c) } & \text { for } 0 \\
\mathrm{C}<4 ;
\end{array}
$$

 $N_{U}$ (c) $\frac{c}{2} 1+\overline{1+\frac{4}{c}}$.

W hen $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{U}}$ (c) 1 (i.e. $\mathrm{C} \quad \frac{1}{2}$ ), the above second condition vanishes and thus the whip states only survive at low energy. Therefore, at the critical value of $c=\frac{1}{2}$,
the whip phase to whip-toroid co-existence phase transition $m$ ay occur. On the other hand, when $c>\frac{1}{2}$, there alw ays exists at least one ( m eta-) stable state for som e non-zero value of $a$ w ith positive $w$ inding num ber $N$ ( $L$ ). $T$ he num ber of $m$ inim a are roughly given by the $w$ idth of the region for $N$, i.e., $N_{U}$ (c) $N_{L}$ (c). For exam ple, when $\mathrm{C} \quad 4, \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{U}}$ (c) $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}}$ (c) $>3$, and therefore there are at least three $m$ inim a $w$ ith positive $w$ inding num bers greater than 1 . W hen $0<c<4$, the condition of having three $m$ inim $a$ is $c>\frac{9}{4}$. To sum $m$ arise, when $c>\frac{9}{4}$ there exist at least three $m$ inim a w ith di erent $w$ inding num bers. This occurence of the multiple localm inim a is because the energy is given by the balance betw een the bending energy and the attractive potential energy. T he form er is a m onotonically increasing function of a while the latter is $m$ onotonically decreasing but not $s m$ ooth function of a. This non-sm oothness is a source of multiple stable states. N ote that our precise analysis show s that the number of $m$ inim a could be reduced in som e cases, for example, one can con $m$ it shortly by plotting the H am ittonian (12) w ith some nite size e ect on. The existence of $m$ ultiple $m$ inim a indicates the rst order phase transitions betw een these stable states. In fact, when the energy of the $N=1$ stable toroid state becom es zero, the whip dom inant to toroid dom inant phase transition occurs. Such a value of $c$ is $27=16$. W hen $c>27=16$, the toroid states will dom inate the action. Further discussions on the phase transitions can be seen by changing the value of $c$ [30].

O ne can plot the critical value of $c$ w here the $m$ inim um of the $N$ th segm ent em erges and vanishes. T he low er bound of the $N$ th segm ent is $C_{L}^{(N)}=\frac{N^{2}}{N+1}$, while the upper bound is $C_{U}^{(N)}=\frac{(N+1)^{2}}{N}$. So, when $C_{L}^{(N)}<c<G_{U}^{(N)}$, the $N-$ th segm ent has a $m$ inim al and ( $m$ eta-) stable point. For exam ple, when $\frac{1}{2}<c<4$, the nst segm ent a $2 \frac{2}{L} ; \frac{4}{L}$ ] (i.e. $N=1$ ) has $a m$ inim alpoint at $a=a_{c}(1)$.

So far we have dealt w th the classicalsolutions, which are derived from the rst derivative of the action. T hus, they $m$ ay correspond to the global/localm inim a of the action in the con guration space. H ow ever, the solutions are not necessarily stable unless we take into account the attraction, since the second derivative of the action is zero or even negative in $m$ any cases. W hen we take the attraction into account, we observe the follow ings. W hen c 4, the toroid states becom e stable under the quantum uctuations, since deviations from such toroid states w ill cost a large penalty in energy. W hen c is sm all, a num ber of the whip states becom e equally or m ore probable to any toroid state.

For large $c$, the ground state | the dom inant toroid state of the $w$ inding num ber $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ can be estim ated by the inequality relation of $c: C_{L}^{(N)}<c<C_{U}^{(N)}$. It reads $N_{c}{ }^{\prime} \quad c$. U sing this, we can estim ate that the radius of our ideal toroid behaves $r_{C}=\frac{L}{2 N_{c}}=\frac{4 \mathrm{l}}{\mathrm{WI}}$. O ur ideal toroids have zero thickness, but the nite size e ect of their cross sections can be approxim ated by the hexagonally arranged DNA chains w ith a van der $W$ aals type interaction, i.e., $w$ ith the e ective nearest neighbour interactions. In the
case of $N$ (L) 4, it leads to the $m$ odi ed H am iltonian:
$H(a)=\frac{L l}{2} a^{2} \quad \frac{2 \mathrm{~W}}{a} V D(\mathbb{N}(L))$
$\frac{2 \mathrm{~W}}{\mathrm{a}} \frac{\mathrm{aL}}{2} \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{~L}) \quad \mathrm{Gap}(\mathbb{N}(\mathrm{L})) ;$
where $\operatorname{VD}(\mathbb{N}) \quad 3 \mathrm{~N} \quad 2^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{3}^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{N}} \quad 1=4$ and G ap $(\mathbb{N})$ $V D(\mathbb{N}+1) \quad V D(\mathbb{N})$. Follow ing the sam e procedure for $C^{(\mathbb{N})}<c<G_{U}^{(N)}$, one can nd $N_{C}, \quad 2^{P}{ }_{3} c^{\frac{2}{5}}$ for large c. By $r_{C} \frac{L}{2 N_{c}}$, we now estim ate the $m$ ean radius of the toroid (i.e. the average of inner and outer radii) in a physical system in $m$ ore detail. A coupling constant can be given by $W=\frac{1}{l_{n}} \frac{k}{K_{B} T} \quad$ where $k$ is the num ber of the electric dipoles in a $m$ onom er segm ent, which create van derW aals interactions of the m agnitude . . . denotes the length of the $m$ onom er along the chain contour, taken to be $l_{n}$, $5 \mathrm{bp}=1: 66 \mathrm{~nm}$ in the end. Substituting $N_{c}$ and the above, we obtain

$$
r_{C}^{\prime}(6)^{\frac{1}{5}} L^{\frac{1}{5}} \frac{1}{W}{ }^{\frac{2}{5}}=(6)^{\frac{1}{5}} L^{\frac{1}{5}}\left(l_{\mathrm{n}} 1\right)^{\frac{2}{5}} \frac{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} T} \quad{ }^{\frac{2}{5}}:
$$

$T$ his $L^{\frac{1}{5}}$ dependence agrees $w$ ith the proposed exponent in the asym ptotic $\lim$ it $[18,22,23,24]$.
$W$ e estim ate the $m$ ean toroidal radius of T4DNA in low ionic conditions reported in ref. [4]. U sing $L=57 \mathrm{~m}$, $l^{\prime} 5060 \mathrm{~nm}$, and $l_{\mathrm{m}}$, the m ean radius of the toroid is $r_{C}=29: 09 \mathrm{~B} \quad \frac{2}{5} \quad 31: 29 \mathrm{~B} \quad \frac{2}{5} \quad[\mathrm{~nm}]$, where $\mathrm{B} \quad \frac{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} T} . \mathrm{T}$ his result is in good agreem ent with the experim ent $r_{c}$ '
$28: 5 \mathrm{~nm}$ for B 1:15. The sam e argum ent for Sperm DNA packaged by protam ines ( $L=20: 4 \mathrm{~m}$ ) gives the analytic value $r_{C}=23: 69 \mathrm{~B} \quad \frac{2}{5} \quad 25: 48 \mathrm{~B} \quad \frac{2}{5} \quad[\mathrm{~nm}]$, which is com parable to an experim entalresult $r_{C}{ }^{\prime} 26: 25 \mathrm{~nm}$. N ote that the latter has a larger diam eter of the segm ent and is expected to have the weaker interaction w ith sm aller B.

The exponents predicted in the literature for $r_{c} \quad L$ are $=\frac{1}{5}$ in $m$ ost cases [18, 22, 23, 24]. H ow ever, they are inconsistent w ith the experim entally w ell know n observation that the radius is independent of the chain length [2, 3, 4]. T his $m$ ight suggest that the real interaction is not van der $W$ aals like, or at least is not a single van der $W$ aals type interaction. It should be noted here that combinations of our ideal toroid and its nite size e ect can give a range of $=1 \frac{1}{5}$ in som e region. A nother im portant and interesting rem ark is that, in fact, when we apply C oulom b like interactions to our approxim ation, we observe som e asym ptotic behaviour that the radius rem ains constant as $L$ changes. T he precise analysis is to be studied and given in the near fiuture.
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