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#### Abstract

W e apply a functional-integral form alism for M ankovian birth and death processes to determ ine asym ptotic corrections to $m$ ean- eld theory in the M althus-Verhulst process (MVP).Expanding about the stationary m eaneld solution, we identify an expansion param eter that is sm all in the lim it of large $m$ ean population, and derive a diagram $m$ atic expansion in powers of this param eter. The series is evaluated to fth order using com putational enum eration of diagram s. A though the M VP has no stationary state, we obtain good agreem ent $w$ th the associated quasi-stationary values for the $m$ om ents of the population size, provided the $m$ ean population size is not sm all. W e com pare our results w th those of van Kam pen's expansion, and apply ourm ethod to the M VP w ith input, for which a stationary state does exist.
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## I. IN TRODUCTION

T he need to analyze $M$ arkov processes described by a m aster equation arises frequently in physics and related elds [1,2]. Since such equations do not in general adm it an exact solution, approxim ation $m$ ethods are of interest. A $w$ idely applied approxim ation schem e is van $K$ am pen's ' -expansion', which fumishes corrections to the (determ inistic) m eaneld or $m$ acroscopic description in the lim it of large e ective system size [1]. A nother approxim ation $m$ ethod, based on a path-integral representation for birth-and-death type processes, w as proposed by Peliti [3]. This approach was recently review ed and extended [4], and applied to derive a series expansion for the activity in a stochastic sandpile [5].

It should be noted that while e ectively exact results can be obtained via num erical analysis of the $m$ aster equation, the calculations becom e extrem ely cum bersom e for large populations or multivariate processes. A further lim itation of num erical analyses is that they do not fiumish algebraic expressions that $m$ ay be required in theoretical developm ents. For these reasons it is highly desirable to study approxim ation m ethods for stochastic processes.

In the present work we apply the path-integral based perturbation approach to a sim pler problem, nam ely, the M althus $V$ erhulst process (MVP), a birth-and-death process in which unlim ited population grow th is prevented by a saturation e ect. (T he death rate per individual grows linearly w ith population size.) $T$ his is an im portant, though highly sim pli ed $m$ odelin population dynam ics. A though them aster equation for this process is readily solved num erically, the m odel serves as a usefiul testing ground for approxim ation $m$ ethods. A lattige of coupled M VP s exhibits (in the in nite-size lim it) a phase transition belonging to the directed percolation universality class.

In the perturbation approach developed here $[3,4], \mathrm{m}$ om ents of the population size $n$ are expressed as functional integrals over a pair of functions, ( $t$ ) and ${ }^{\sim}(t)$, involving an e ective action. T he latter, obtained from an exact $m$ apping of the original $M$ arkov process, generally includes a part that is bilinear in the functions ( $t$ ) and ${ }^{\sim}(\mathrm{t})$, whose $m$ om ents can be determ ined exactly, and interaction' term $s$ of higher order, that are treated in an approxim ate $m$ anner. In the present approach, the interaction term $s$ are analyzed in a pertunbative fashion, leading to a diagram $m$ atic series. W ith increasing order, the num ber of diagram s grow s explosively, so that it becom es convenient to devise a com putational algorithm for their enum eration and evaluation. Elaboration of such an algorithm does not, how ever, require any very sophisticated techniques, and could in fact be applied to a variety of problem $s$. This is the approach that was applied to the stochastic sandpile in Ref. [5]. In the latter case, the evaluation of diagram $s$ involves calculating multidim ensional wave-vector integrals. T he present exam ple is free of this com plication, allow ing us to derive a slightly longer series than for the sandpile.

In this work we focus on stationary $m$ om ents of the M VP. The series expressions are apparently divergent, but nevertheless provide nearly perfect predictions aw ay from the sm all-population regim $e$, as com pared w ith direct num ericalevaluation ofquasi-stationary
properties. O ne m ight suppose that the divergent nature of the perturbation series is due to the MVP not possessing a true stationary state. (T he process $m$ ust eventually becom e trapped in the absorbing state, although the lifetim e grow s exponentially $w$ th the $m$ ean population [6].) A pplying ourm ethod to the M VP w ith a steady input, which does possess a stationary state, we nd how ever that the perturbation series continues to be divergent, although again providing excellent predictions over m ost of param eter space.

In the follow ing section we de ne the $M$ althus-V erhlst process, explain the perturbation $m$ ethod and report the series coe cients for the rst four $m$ om ents, up to fth order in the expansion param eter. In Sec. III we brie y com pare these results to those of the expansion. Then in Sec. IV we present num erical com parisons of our m ethod (and of the expansions) against quasi-stationary properties. W e apply ourm ethod to the M VP w ith input in Sec. V , and sum $m$ arize our ndings in Sec. VI.
II. PERTURBATION THEORY FOR THE MALTHUS-VERHULST PROCESS

C onsider the M althus-Verhulst process (MVP)n(t), in which each individual has a rate to reproduce, and a rate of $+(n \quad 1)$ to die, if the total population is $n$. By an appropriate choice of tim e scale we can elim inate one of these param eters; we choose to set $=1$. Then in what follows we use a dim ensionless time variable $t^{0}=t$ and dim ensionless rates ${ }^{0}=$ and $^{0}==$. From here on we drop the prim es.

The $m$ ean- eld or rate equation description of the process is

$$
\underline{x}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(1) x & \underline{x} \tag{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $x \quad h n(t) i$, with the nontrivial stationary solution $\bar{x}=(1)=$ for $\quad>1$. We are interested in deriving system atic corrections to this result, and in calculating higher $m$ om ents of the process.

O ur starting point is the expression for the $r$-th factorialm om ent of a generalprocess taking non-negative integer values,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{hn}^{\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{t}) i_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{t}}^{(\mathrm{r})}(=\mathrm{p}) ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, for sim plicity, we have assum ed an initial Poisson distribution $w$ ith param eter $p$ (see Eq. (108) of Ref. [4]), so that the probability generating function at time zero is $o(z)=e^{p(z \quad 1)}$. Here, the kemel $U_{t}^{(r)}$ is given by the functional integral,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{t}^{(r)}() \frac{@^{r} U_{t}(z ;)}{@ z^{r}}=D_{z=1}^{Z} D^{Z} D^{\wedge}(t)^{r} F\left[;^{\wedge}\right]_{z=1} \exp \left[S_{I}\right] ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(equivalent to Eq. (106) of [4]), w ith

$$
\left.F\left[;^{\wedge}\right]_{z=1}=\exp \int_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{dt}^{0}{ }^{\wedge} @_{\mathrm{t}^{0}}+(1 \quad)\right]+
$$

containing the bilinear part of the action, and, in the case of the M VP, the \interaction" part,
(see Eq. (54) of [4]).
O ur goal is to expand each factorialm om ent about its m ean- eld value. To this end, consider the shiff of variable,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t)=\bar{n}+\quad(t) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\overline{\mathrm{n}}$ is a real constant. On perform ing this shift, the argum ent of the exponential (i.e., of the factors $F\left[;^{\wedge}\right]_{z=1}$ and $\exp \left[S_{I}\right]$ ) in Eq. (3) becom es,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{t}} \\
& \left.+\mathrm{dt}^{0} \mathrm{f}{ }^{\wedge} \llbracket_{t^{0}}+1 \quad+2 \overline{\mathrm{n}}\right] \quad-\mathrm{n}(1 \quad+-\hat{\mathrm{n}}) \\
& +\overline{\mathrm{n}}(\quad \overline{\mathrm{n}})^{\wedge^{2}}+(2 \overline{\mathrm{n}})^{\wedge} \quad \wedge^{2} \quad \wedge^{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~g} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

This simpli es if we let $\bar{n}=(1)=$, which elim inates the term $/ \wedge$. Introducing w 1 (equal to $w$ as de ned in [4]), the argum ent of the exponential is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\int_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{dt} \mathrm{t}^{0} \mathrm{f}{ }^{\wedge}\left[_{t^{0}}+\mathrm{w}\right]+\overline{\mathrm{n}}^{\wedge 2} \\
& +(2)^{\wedge 2} \quad \wedge^{2} \quad \wedge^{2}{ }^{2} g \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

W e recognize the exponential of plus the rst term in the integrand as $F[\hat{i} ;]_{z=1}$; the rem aining term $s$ then represent $S_{I}^{0}$, the new e ective interaction, which will be treated perturbatively. The four term sofS $S_{I}^{0}$ are represented graphically, follow ing the conventions ofRef. [4], in Fig. 1.


The analysis of the M VP now follow s the lines of [4]. Let
Z Z
A] $\mathrm{D} \quad \mathrm{D}^{\wedge} \mathrm{A}\left(;^{\wedge}\right) \mathrm{F}\left[;^{\wedge}\right]$;
denote the free expectation of any function $A$ of and ${ }^{\wedge}$. From the discussion of Sec. 4 Ref. [4] we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[(t)^{\mathrm{r}}\right]=(\mathrm{p} \quad \overline{\mathrm{n}})^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{rwt}} ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(here we used (0) $=\mathrm{p} \quad \overline{\mathrm{n}}$, and have already canceled the factor e in $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{t}}^{0} \mathrm{w}$ ith the corresponding factor in the inital generating function, $0(1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[^{\wedge}(\mathrm{t})\right]=0 ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left[\left(t_{1}\right)^{\wedge}\left(t_{2}\right)\right]=\left(t_{1} \quad \hbar\right) e^{w\left(t_{1}\right.} t_{2}\right): \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

C onsider now the series for the $m$ ean population size hn ( t )i. T he zeroth-order term is sim ply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{hn}(t) \dot{i}_{0}=\bar{n}+[(t)]=\bar{n}+(p \quad \bar{n}) e^{w t} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which converges to the $m$ ean- eld result ast! 1 . Sim ilarly, the leading term in $\mathrm{hn}^{\mathrm{r}}$ ( t ) $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{f}}$ is $\overline{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{r}}$, so that the stationary probability distribution, in m ean-eld approxim ation, is Poisson w ith param eter $\bar{n}$.

C orrections involving $S_{I}^{0}$ are conveniently represented as diagram sw ith all lines leaving vertioes contracted w ith ingoing lines, either at vertioes to the left, or at the \sink" lying to the left of all vertioes. (In the calculation ofhn ${ }^{r}(t) i_{f}$ the $\sin k$ is a point $w$ ith $r$ incom ing lines.) The low est-order diagram in hn ( t ) i is depicted in F ig. 2.


Fig. 2. O ne-and two-vertex diagram $s$ in the series for hn ( t )i.
$T$ his diagram $m$ akes the follow ing contribution to hn ( t ) i :

$$
\int_{0}^{Z_{t}} d t_{1} e^{w\left(t t_{1}\right)}(p \quad \bar{n})^{2} e^{2 w t_{1}}=\quad \bar{w}(p \quad \bar{n})^{2} e^{w t}\left(1 \quad e^{w t}\right):
$$

The four diagram s (in the series for hn (t)i) having two vertioes are also shown in $F$ ig. 2. Only the rst of these four makes a nonzero contribution to $\mathrm{hn}_{1} \mathrm{i} \quad \lim _{\mathrm{t} \text { ! }} \mathrm{hn}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{i}$, nam ely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2-\frac{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{Z}} \int_{0}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{dt} \int_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{t}_{1} \mathrm{dt}_{2} e^{\mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{t} \mathrm{t}_{1}\right)} e^{2 \mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{t}_{1} \mathrm{t}_{2}\right)}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{w}}\left(1 \quad e^{\mathrm{wt}}\right)^{2}: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

(T he com binatorial factor 2 represents the num ber of $w$ ays the lines $m$ ay be contracted betw een source and bifurcation.)

If we are only interested in stationary properties, it is convenient to use the Laplace transform . Let $f_{D}$ be the $n$-fold integral over tim e variables in a given $n$-vertex diagram D. Noting that tim e-dependent factors are associated with each propagator and each uncontracted incom ing line, we see that $f_{D}$ is of the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{D}(t)=\int_{0}^{Z} d t_{1} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} d t_{2}:: \int_{0}^{t_{n} 1} d t_{n} e^{1\left(t t_{1}\right)} \quad 2\left(t_{1} t_{2}\right)::: n_{n}\left(t_{n} 1 t_{n}\right) \quad 1 t_{1} \quad t_{n} t_{n} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i$ is $w$ tim es the num ber of uncontracted lines incident on vertex $i$. (In the rst graph of $F i g .2,1=2 \mathrm{w}$.) The factors $i$ are given by $w$ tim es the num ber of lines (propagators) running between vertioes $i$ and $i \quad 1$ (w ith $i=0$ representing the sink), regardless of where these lines originate or term inate. $N$ ow consider

$$
\tilde{f}_{\mathrm{D}}(\mathrm{~s})=\int_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}_{1}} \text { dte }{ }^{s t} f_{\mathrm{D}}(t):
$$

U sing Eq. (16) we m ay write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{llll}
Z_{1} & Z_{1} & Z_{1}
\end{array} \\
& f_{\mathrm{D}}(\mathrm{~s})=d t \quad d t_{1}::=d t_{n} \exp \left[(1+s)(t \quad t) \quad(2+1+s)\left(t_{1} \quad \text { t }\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.(1+\quad n+s) t_{n}\right] \\
& =\left[\left({ }_{1}+S\right)\left({ }_{2}+{ }_{1}+S\right) \quad{ }_{n}+\left(1_{1}+\quad{ }_{n}+S\right)\left({ }_{1}+{ }_{n}+S\right)\right]^{1}: \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

The contribution of D to $\mathrm{hn}_{1} \mathrm{i}$ is proportional to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{D}} \quad \lim _{\mathrm{t}!1} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{D}}(\mathrm{t})=\lim _{\mathrm{s}!0} \mathrm{sf}(\mathrm{~s}) ; \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the $F$ inalV alue $T$ heorem ofLaplace transform $s$. This is zero unless $i=0,8 i=1 ;:: ; i n$. In the latter case,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{f}_{\mathrm{D}}=\left[\left({ }_{1}+s\right)\left(\mathrm{c}_{2}+\mathrm{s}\right) \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}+(\mathrm{s})\right]^{1}: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

T hus the only diagram s contributing to $\mathrm{hn}_{1}$ i (and by extension, to $\mathrm{hn}_{1}^{\mathrm{r}}$ i) are those free of uncontracted lines. This is in fact evident on physical grounds: each such line carries a factor $p \bar{n}$, whereas stationary properties cannot depend on the initialm ean population p . In diagram s contributing to $\mathrm{hn}_{1} \mathrm{i}$, the rst vertex (i.e., im m ediately to the right of the $\sin k$ ) $m$ ust be a conjunction, while the $n$-the vertex $m$ ust be a source.

## B.D iagram $m$ atic analysis for the stationary $m$ ean population

The contribution of a diagram $D$ to $\mathrm{hn}_{1} i$ is the product of three factors: $\overline{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{D}}$ discussed above; a com binatorial factor counting the num ber of contractions consistent w the diagram topology; the product of vertex-associated factors show in Fig. 1.

C ertain in nite classes ofdiagram sm ay be sum $m$ ed up exactly. C onsider the sequence shown in Fig. 3: between the source and conjunction we insert any num ber of 4-vertioes or bifurcation-conjunction pairs.


Fig. 3. A summ able set of diagram $s$ in the series for $h n(t) i$.

A short calculation show s that the contribution of this series is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\frac{\overline{\mathrm{n}}}{} \mathrm{w}^{2}}_{\mathrm{n}=0}^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \overline{\mathrm{w}} \quad 1+\frac{2}{\mathrm{w}}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{w}+\quad=\mathrm{w}}: \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this way, by m ultiolying the $n=2$ contribution, $\frac{1}{w}$, by $\quad\left(1+\quad=w^{2}\right)^{1}$, we have included alldiagram s of the form offig. 3, i.e., diagram $s$ in which all lines exiting vertex i are contracted on vertex i $1,8 i=1 ;::: ; n$. W ith this sim ple replacem ent such reducible diagram s are no longer to be included explicitly.

A sim ilar observation allow s us to add arbitrary sequences of 4-vertiges or bifurcationconjunction pairs to any diagram of four or m ore vertioes. (D iagram s outside the series depicted in Fig . 3 have n 4 vertioes.) The diagram consists of a body' containing vertioes 2; :::;n 1 linked to a conjunction (vertex 1) and a source (vertex n). C all the factor associated w ith the body A, so that the diagram m akes a contribution of $\overline{A n}$ to $\mathrm{hn}_{1}$ i. A fam ily of diagram $\mathrm{s} m$ ay be constructed by adding sequences, as above, betw een vertex n and the body; the sum of these contributions is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{An}_{\mathrm{n}=0}^{\mathrm{X}^{1}} \quad{\overline{\mathrm{w}^{2}}}^{\mathrm{n}}=\quad \frac{A \mathrm{n}}{1+\mathrm{w}^{2}}: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the sam e reasoning wem ay insert arbitrary sequences betw een the rst vertex and the body, yielding the sam e set ofcontributions. A s a result, w em ay m ultiply the contribution of any diagram (not included in the sequence offig. 3) by ${ }^{2}$, and thereby autom atically include all diagram $s$ w th the sam e body but arbitrary linear sequences betw een the rst vertex and the body, and betw een vertex $n$ and the body. Such diagram s are no longer included explicitly. This procedure will be called \dressing" the conjunction (vertex 1) and the source (vertex $n$ ).

At this stage we have essentially exhausted the sim pli cations (via \dressing" or sum $m$ ing sets of trivial variations to a diagram ) that can be realized in the Laplace transform representation. Sum $m$ arizing, the contributions to $\mathrm{hn}_{1}$ i are as follow s.

1. The $m$ ean - eld contribution $\bar{n}$.

## 2. The set of diagram $s$ show $n$ in $F$ ig. 3, giving =w .

3. D iagram s offour orm ore vertioes, w ith no dangling lines, and sub ject to the restrictions $m$ entioned above. Each such contribution is to be m ultiplied by ${ }^{2}$.

Including diagram s of up to four vertiges we nd:

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\mathrm{hn}_{1} \mathrm{i} & =\overline{\mathrm{n}} 1 & \frac{}{\mathrm{w}^{2}}+\frac{\left.2^{22^{2}(2}\right)}{\mathrm{w}^{4}}+ \\
& =\overline{\mathrm{n}} 1 \quad(1 \quad)+2(2 \quad)\left(1 \quad{ }^{2}\right. \tag{23}
\end{array}
$$

where the higher order term $s$ com e from diagram $s$ of ve or $m$ ore vertices. This result suggests that we adopt

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \quad= \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

as the expansion param eter. Up to diagram s of four vertioes we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{hn}_{1} \mathrm{i}=\overline{\mathrm{n}} 1 \quad+2(2 \quad)^{2}+\quad: \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst correction $\overline{\mathrm{n}} / 1=$, as ! 1 .
N ote that / to lowest order. The lowest order in at which a given diagram contributes to $\mathrm{hn}_{1} \mathrm{i}$, when expressed in the form of Eq . (25), m ay be found as follow s . $F$ irst observe that the diagram carries a factor ${ }^{c+f}{ }^{s+1}$ where $C$, $f$ and $s$ represent the num ber of conjunctions, four-vertioes and souroes, respectively, and the contribution of 1 in the exponent is due to the prefactor $\bar{n}$. Certain constraints exist betw een the num bers of vertioes. Equating the total num ber of lines em anating from vertioes $w$ th the total num ber entering, we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(s+f+b)+c=2(f+c)+b+1 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where b is the num ber of bifurcations and the 1 on the rh.s. represents the sink. T hus $\mathrm{c}=2 \mathrm{~s}+\mathrm{b} \quad 1.0 \mathrm{n}$ the other hand the total num ber of vertioes is $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{s}+\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{f}+\mathrm{c}$, and using this we nd the power of to bect $f \quad s+1=n \quad c \quad m$.

A sim ple analysis yields the algebraic factor associated with a given diagram having n 4 vertices:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\text {alg }}=(1)^{+f{ }^{2}\left(2 \quad \rho_{W} \mathrm{sin}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{c}: ~: ~\right.} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is readily expressed in term s of the param eter as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\text {alg }}=(1)^{+f}\left(2 \quad p_{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{f} \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\left(1 \mathrm{~g}^{2}\right.}:\right. \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we intend to organize the expansion in powers of , it is of interest to know which values of $n$ correspond to a given $m$. We begin by noting that in diagram $s$ of four or $m$ ore vertices, the second vertex (from the left) must be a conjunction, just as the rst is. (If it were a bifiurcation or a four-vertex the result would be a diagram already included by dressing the rst vertex.) Thus c 2, and there are in fact diagram s w ith just two conjunctions, for any $n$ 4. We therefore have $m \quad n \quad 2$ or $n \quad m+2$. To nd an upper bound on $n$, we nd an upper bound on c . Recall that $\mathrm{c}=2 \mathrm{~s}+\mathrm{b}$ 1. To $m$ axim ize $c$ we let $b=f=0$ (a diagram consisting of only sources and conjunctions), in
which case $c+s=n$, yielding $m=n \quad c=s=(n+1)=3$, or $n \quad 3 m \quad 1$. Thus form $=2$ we have contributions from diagram sof 4 and 5 vertioes, while for $m=5$ the num ber of vertioes ranges from 7 to 14 .

In order to evaluate the contributions from diagram $s$ of ve or $m$ ore vertioes we have developed an enum eration code. Reducible diagrams are elim inated by im posing the follow ing rules:

1) The second vertex, like the rst, is a con junction.
2) Vertex $n \quad 1$ cannot be a 4-vertex.
3) If vertex $n \quad 1$ is a conjunction, vertex $n \quad 2 m$ ust be a souroe.

U sing the enum eration code we are able to evaluate contributions up to $\mathrm{O}\left({ }^{5}\right)$. At this order there are approxim ately 818 diagram s. (T here are 9, 1317 and 594339 diagram s at orders 2,3 and 4, respectively.) This explosive grow th in the num ber of term s prevents our going to higher order. The fth-order calculation requires about two days on a fast PC.

W riting Eq. (25) in the form $h n_{1} i=\bar{n}\left[1+\begin{array}{llll}\mathrm{P} & g_{m} & m\end{array}\right]$, and using $u \quad 2$, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{1}=1 ; \\
& g_{2}=2 \mathrm{u} \quad 5 ; \\
& g_{3}=10 u^{2}+(554 w) u+19 w \quad 60 ; \\
& g_{4}=78 u^{3} \quad(689 \quad 62 w) u+\left(1665 \quad 504 w+8 w^{2}\right) u \\
& 65 w^{2}+745 w \quad 1165 ; \\
& g_{5}=750 u^{4}+(9437 \quad 880 w) u^{3} \quad\left(37078 \quad 10259 w+266 w^{2}\right) u^{2} \\
& +\left(5762032328 w+3117 w^{2} 16 w^{3}\right) u \\
& +211 w^{3} 6040 w^{2}+27786 w \quad 29390 \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

A particularly sim ple case is $=2$, for which we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{mni}=\frac{1}{-}\left[1 \quad \text { § } \quad 41^{3} \quad 485^{4} \quad 7443^{5} \quad:::\right] \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ratios of successive coe cients in the series are: $1,5,82,11.829$ and 15.346 , suggesting unlim ited grow th and therefore a divergent series. N um erical results (see below) nevertheless reveal excellent agreem ent w ith quasi-stationary properties for su ciently large.

W e tum now to the expansion ofhigher stationary factorialm om ents. From Eqs. (3) and (6) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{hn}^{\mathrm{r}} i_{\mathrm{f}}=\left[(\bar{n}+)^{\mathrm{r}} e^{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{I}}}\right]: \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Expanding the product, we have rst the $m$ ean- eld contribution $\overline{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{r}}$, and then a series of
 appeared in the series for the $q$-th factorialm om ent. Thus for $r=2$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{hn}^{2} i_{f}=\bar{n}^{2}+2 \bar{n}\left[e^{s_{I}}\right]+\left[{ }^{2} e^{S_{I}}\right]: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that $\left[e^{S_{I}}\right]$ is sim ply $D_{1}$, the sum of alldiagram $s w$ ith a single line entering the sink, that is, the diagram $m$ atic series forhni. C onsider now the nalterm in Eq. (32), the series $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ ofdiagram s w ith two lines entering the sink. Recalling that, in alldiagram s in $\mathrm{D}_{1}$, the rst (leftm ost) vertex is a conjunction, we see that there is a one-to-one correspondence between $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$. For a given diagram, making a contribution of $A$ to $D_{1}$, there is a corresponding diagram (w ith the conjunction and one-line sink replaced by a two-line $\sin k$ ) that contributes $w A==-n A$ to $D_{2}$, that is, $D_{2}=\bar{n} D_{1}$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{hn}^{2} i_{\mathrm{f}} & =\overline{\mathrm{n}}^{2}+\overline{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{D}_{1} \\
& =\overline{\mathrm{n} h n} i_{;} \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

where in the second line we used hni= $\overline{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{D}_{1}$. U sing Eqs. (29) and (33), we nd that

$$
\operatorname{var}(\mathrm{n}) \quad \mathrm{hni}=\overline{\mathrm{n}}^{2} \quad\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & (2 \mathrm{u} & 4) \tag{34}
\end{array}\right]:
$$

For a Poisson distibnubtion the di erence is zero; here it approaches $1=$ as ! 1 .
For r 3 we do not have a sim ple relation between $D_{r}$ and $D_{1}$, so the diagram $m$ atic series $m$ ust be evaluated to the desired order. In general we have

$$
\ln ^{r} i_{f}=\begin{array}{ll}
X^{r} & r  \tag{35}\\
j=0 & j
\end{array} \bar{n}^{r}{ }^{j} D_{j} ;
$$

where $D_{1}=\left[{ }^{j} e^{S_{I}}\right]$, with $D_{0}=1$. (N ote that for $r=3$ the $j=1$ and $j=2$ term $s$ cancel.) A $s$ in the case of hn i , we include an overall factor of $\overline{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{r}}$, and w rite

$$
\mathrm{hn}^{\mathrm{r} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{f}}=\overline{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{r}} 1+\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{r}} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{r}=1  \tag{36}\\
\mathrm{j}
\end{array} \quad \overline{\mathrm{w}}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{j}} \quad: \quad \text { : }}
$$

N ote that for r 3 we can dress the leftm ost source, as before, but that it is no longer possible to dress the sink. T hus the algebraic factor associated with an arbitrary diagram in $(=w)^{r} D_{r}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\text {alg }}=(1)^{+f} u^{b} w^{s i n ~ r c+f ~ s+r}: \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

A $n$ analysis along the lines presented in the $r=1$ case leads to $m=n \quad c$ (as before) for the order in , and to $s \mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{r}=\mathrm{f}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\mathrm{alg}}=(\quad 1)^{+\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{f}} \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\left(1 \mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{I}}{ }^{1}\right.}: \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The lim its on $n$, for $x e d$ order $m$, are $m \quad n \quad 3 m \quad r$. $(\mathbb{N}$ ote that $n=m$ is possible for $r \quad 3$ because there are diagram $s w$ th no conjunctions.)

As in the case $r=1$, we have constructed an enum eration code to evaluate the corpections due to diagram s. W riting the contribution to $\mathrm{hn}^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{f}}$ com ing from $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{r}}$ as $\overline{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{r}}{ }_{\mathrm{m}} \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{m}}$, we have, to $\mathrm{r}=4$ and $\mathrm{m}=5$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{3 ; 1}=0 ; \\
& g_{3 ; 2}=2 u \quad 5 ; \\
& g_{3 ; 3}=10 u^{2}+(57 \quad 4 w) u+19 w \quad 65 ; \\
& g_{3 ; 4}=78 u^{3} \quad(699 \quad 62 w) u+\left(1722 \quad 508 w+8 w^{2}\right) u \\
& 65 w^{2}+764 w \quad 1230 ; \\
& g_{3 ; 5}=750 u^{4}+(9515 \quad 880 w) u^{3} \quad\left(37777 \quad 10581 w+266 w^{2}\right) u^{2} \\
& +\left(5843232836 w+3125 w^{2} \quad 16 w^{3}\right) u \\
& 30560+28550 w \quad 6105 w^{2}+211 w^{3} \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{4 ; 1}=0 ; \\
& g_{4 ; 2}=3 ; \\
& g_{4 ; 3}=6 u^{2} \quad 41 u \quad 9 w+53 ; \\
& g_{4 ; 4}=54 u^{3}+(547 \quad 30 w) u^{2} \quad(1452 \quad 330 w) u \\
& +27 \mathrm{w}^{2} \quad 576 \mathrm{w}+1088 \text {; } \\
& g_{4 ; 5}=570 u^{4} \quad(7785 \quad 564 w) u^{3}+\left(32515 \quad 7799 w+114 w^{2}\right) u^{2} \\
& \text { (52118 26354w + 1895 }{ }^{2} \text { ) u } \\
& +28058 \quad 24234 w+4361 w^{2} \quad 81 w^{3}: \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

N um erical com parisons of these series against quasi-stationary properties of the M VP will be discussed in Sec. 4.

```
III.COM PARISON W ITH THE EXPANSION
```

A wellknow $n \mathrm{~m}$ ethod for obtaining approxim ate solutions to them aster equation is van K am pen's expansion [1]. T hem ethod depends on the system size (or the expected value of the stochastic variable of interest) being large, so that uctuations are sm all com pared to the value $\bar{n}(t)$ predicted by the $m$ acroscopic equation. T he stochastic variable $n$ is then w ritten in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=\quad(t)+\quad 1=2 \quad \text { ( } t) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the rst, determ inistic, term represents the solution to the $m$ acroscopic equation, w th denoting the size of the system. T he stochastic contribution, represented by the second term, is expected on general grounds to scale as ${ }^{1=2}$. As shown in Ref. [1], (t) satis es the m acroscopic equation, while the probability density ( ; t) satis es, to low est order in ${ }^{1=2}$, a linear Fokker P lanck equation. In the present case the $m$ acroscopic equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}=(\quad 1) \quad 2 \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., the $M$ althus-Verhulst equation, $w$ ith nontrivialstationary solution $=(1)=={ }^{-} n$. The equation goveming the evolution of is, in the present instance,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ t}=\left(1+2 \quad \frac{\varrho^{@}}{@}()+\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\quad+\frac{\varrho^{2}}{\varrho^{2}}:\right.\right. \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

This im plies that in the stationary state, is G aussian $w$ ith mean zero and variance $=$, so that, setting the form al expansion param eter to unity in Eq. (41), $n$ is $G$ aussian $w$ ith $m$ ean $\bar{n}$ and variance $=$. In the pertunbation expansion developed in the preceding section, $n$ is, to zeroth order, a P oissonian random variable $w$ ith $m$ ean $\bar{n}$. C onceptually, a P oisson distribution seem spreferable to a G aussian as the reference distribution (since $n$ is discrete and cannot assum e negative values), but in practical term $s$ we expect the di erence to be very sm all.

To nst order in , we found hni $=\bar{n}(1 \quad)$ and $\operatorname{var}(n)=-n\left(1+{ }^{-} n\right)$. N oting that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{n}}(1+\overline{\mathrm{n}})=L^{1}+\frac{1}{}^{2} \frac{1}{(1)^{2}+}=-\frac{1}{(1)^{2}} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that the di erence from the expansion result, to rst order in $\quad 1=2$ is sm all for 1. In the -expansion, corrections to the $m$ om ents $h{ }^{r}{ }_{i}$ can be obtained via the procedure detailed in $\mathrm{Ref}$. . [1]. In the present case one nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h} i=\frac{1}{1}^{1=2} ; \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{hni}=\overline{\mathrm{n}} \quad 1 \overline{(\quad 1)^{f}}: \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

The series prediction is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{hni}=\overline{\mathrm{n}}(1 \quad)=-\overline{\mathrm{n}} 1 \quad \overline{(1)^{2}}+O\left({ }^{2}\right) ; \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the two $m$ ethods agree to rst order in . Extending the -expansion to include term soforder ${ }^{1}$ in , one nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{hni}=\overline{\mathrm{n}} 1 \frac{}{(1)} \frac{2}{(1)^{4}}\left({ }^{2} 3+4\right): \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

These results are com pared against the series in the follow ing section.

> IV.NUMERICALCOM PARISONS

In this section we com pare the -series predictions $w$ ith exact (num erical) results for quasi-stationary ( Q S) properties of the $\mathrm{M} V \mathrm{P} . \mathrm{T}$ he latter are obtained via recursion relations leading to the $Q S$ distribution as detailed in [6]. Q S properties are those obtaining at arbitrarily long tim es, conditioned on survival (ie., the process has never visited the absorbing state). A though a condition on survival is not involved in the perturbative analysis of Sec. 2, it seem s reasonable to com pare its predictions w ith Q S properties, since the true stationary properties are the trivial ones of the absorbing state population zero, no uctuations). W e note that, as suggested above, the series in appears to be divergent for any set of param eter values, as re ected in the ratios betw een coe cients of successive term S , whose ratios appear to grow without lim it. For suitably large values of
the ratios are very sm all, although increasing w ith orderm, so that the series, truncated at fth order, appears to have \converged". The num erical evidence (lim ted to m 5, of course) points nevertheless to a divergent series.

In Fig. 4 we com pare the QS m ean population size $w$ ith $\mathrm{hn}_{1}$ i as predicted by the series, as a function of , w ith xed at 0.01. For less than about 12, the series is useless (it yields a negative population size!), and is inferior to $m$ ean-eld theory. For

1:4 on the other hand, we nd good agreem ent with the $Q S$ result. For a m ore detailed com parison we plot, in Fig. 5, the di erence n between hni (as given by the Q S distribution and the series) and the $m$ ean-eld prediction $\bar{n}$; there is perfect agreem ent
for $1: 5$. (The correction to the $m$ ean population size decays / $1=$ for large. . This is readily seen from Eq. (23) if we note that $\bar{n} \quad 1=$ for $\quad$ 1.) $F$ igures 6 and 7 show that sim ilar behavior obtains for $=0: 1$ and 0.001 . T he sm aller , the sm aller the value of required for agreem ent between series and QS values. (For $=0: 1$ agreem ent sets in for $0: 024$, approxim ately; in the other cases $0: 06$ is su cient.) At the point $w$ here the series and QS results begin to agree, the $m$ ean population is not particularly large; for $=0: 01,=1: 4$ corresponds to hni' 35.


Fig. 4. Stationary $m$ ean population size versus birth rate in the M VP with $=0: 01$. Solid line: exact Q $S$ value; dotted line: m ean-eld prediction $\overline{\mathrm{n}}$; dashed line: series to order $\mathrm{O}\left({ }^{5}\right)$.


Fig. 5. D i erence $n$ between the stationary $m$ ean population and the $m$ ean- eld value in the M VP w ith $=0: 01$. Solid line: exact Q S value; dashed line: fth-order series.


Fig. 6. Same as Fig. 5 but for $=0: 1$.

In order to gauge the im portance ofsuccessive term S , weplot ( F ig. 8) n (for $=0: 01$ ) as predicted by the series to order ${ }^{m}$, form $=1, \ldots, 5$. For $1: 5$ very good agreem ent w th the QS m ean population is obtained using the result to $\mathrm{O}\left({ }^{3}\right)$. For this value of the absolute di erence between the three-and ve-term series is about 0.04 The relative di erence is about one part in a thousand; the di erence rapidly decreases for larger .


Fig. 7. Same as Fig. 5 but for $=0: 001$.


Fig. 8. Stationary $m$ ean population size versus bith rate in the M VP with $=0: 01$. The curve exhbiting a minm um near $=1: 3$ represents the exact $Q S$ value. The other curves represent (in decreasing $m$ agnitude) the series truncated at rst, second,..., fth order.


Fig. 9. Di erence $\operatorname{var}(\mathrm{n})$ between the stationary variance and its $m$ ean- eld value in the M VP with $=0: 01$. Solid line: exact Q S value; dashed line: fth-order series.

In light of the poor perform ance of the series in the vicinity of $=1$, it is natural to apply a resum $m$ ation technique such as P ade approxim ants. $W$ e therefore constructed the $[2,3],[3,2]$ and $[4,1]$ approxim ants to the series $1+g_{1}+5^{5}+$ agid to the series for the logarithm of this expression. N one of the P ade approxim ants yielded any im provem ent over the original series; the approxim ants are ill-behaved (large and negative) near $=1$ (they typically exhibit a pole in this region) and reproduce the excellent agreem ent w ith the Q S results whenever the original series does.


Fig. 10. As in Fig. 9, but for the third centralm om ent.

The trends observed for the $m$ ean population continue when we com pare series and Q S predictions for higher $m$ om ents. In $F$ ig. 9 we plot the di erence, $\operatorname{var}(\mathrm{n})$, betw een the variance fumished by these predictions and that given by $m$ ean- eld theory. (Since the latter yields a P oisson distribution, the variance is sim ply equal to $\bar{n}$ in $m$ ean- eld approxim ation.) For ' 1 the series prediction is useless; close agreem ent w th the QS result sets in (for $=0: 01$ ), around $=1: 5$. N ote that $\operatorname{var}(\mathrm{n})$ approaches $1=$ as
! 1 , as predicted by Eq. (34); the absolute value of the di erence does not approach zero for large , as it does for the $m$ ean. (T he relative di erence $\operatorname{var}(n)=\operatorname{var}(n)$ does of course approach zero in the lim it ! 1 .) In $F$ ig. 10 we com pare the di erence from $m$ ean- eld theory for the third central $m$ om ent $h(n \quad h n i)^{3} i(m$ ean-eld theory yields $\bar{n}$ for this quantity); $F$ ig. 11 is a sim ilar com parison for the fourth centralm om ent [in this case the $m$ ean-eld prediction is $(3 \bar{n}+1) \bar{n}]$. The series agrees well $w$ th the $Q S$ result (again, for the case $=0: 01$ ), for $2: 5$.


Fig. 11. As in Fig. 9, but for the fourth centralm om ent.

O $n$ the basis of these com parisons we conclude that the perturbation theory developed in the previous section is incapable ofdescribing the vicinity of the transition ( 1), but agrees extrem ely wellw ith quasi-stationary properties (including higher mom ents) above a certain, not very large value of . In this regim e, the perturbation approach yields accurate analytic expressions for Q S properties.


Fig. 12. Comection $n$ to the $m$ ean population size versus birth rate in the MVP with
$=0: 01$. Bold curve: $Q$ S distribution; dotted line: lowest order comection in -expansion; solid line: series truncated at rst order.

In Fig. 12 we com pare the low est order -expansion prediction for n and the corresponding result of the series (truncated at order) w th the QS result, for $=0: 01$. The expansion result is seen to be slightly better, although it diverges as ! 1. Fig. 13 is a sim ilar com parison for $\operatorname{var}(n)$. The expansion is again slightly superior. Finally, Fig. 14 show s that the fth-order series is superior to the second order expansion result, as is to be expected.


Fig. 13. Conection $\operatorname{var}(\mathrm{n})$ versus birth rate in the M VP with $=0: 01$. Symbols as in Fig. 12.


Fig. 14. Correction $n$ to the $m$ ean population size versus birth rate in the MVP with $=0: 01$. Sym bols as in Fig. 12 .

## V.MVP W IT H INPUT

In this section we exam ine the e ect of a steady input of individuals at rate . For $>0$ the $\mathrm{n}=0$ state is no longer absorbing, and the system approaches a true stationary state ast! 1 . The forw ard transition rate is now $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{n}+1}=+\mathrm{n}$; the reverse rate $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{n}} 1 ; \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n} \quad 1)$ as before. The evolution operator is that of the $\mathrm{M} V$ process w ith the addition of a term (1), in the notation of [4]. This corresponds to a new term in the action (i.e., the argum ent of the exponential in Eq. (4)),


W e now proceed as in Sec. II, introducing the shift of variable $=\bar{n}+\quad(t)$. Equating the coe cient of ${ }^{\wedge}$ in the action to zero yields the stationary solution of the $m$ acroscopic equation, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{1}{2}(\quad 1+\mathrm{w}) \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w h e r e w=P \frac{1 f+4}{(1)}$ A fter the shiff, the action has the sam e form as for the $M V$ process w thout a source, but the factors associated w th the source and the bifiurcation are changed. The factor for the souroe is now :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \bar{n}(+1 \quad \text { w) } \quad \Gamma n \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

while that for the bifurcation is 1 w. The factors associated with the conjunction and the 4 -vertex are , as before.

C onsider the contribution to $\mathrm{hn}_{1} \mathrm{i}=\overline{\mathrm{n}}$ due to the low est order diagram (i.e., the second diagram of $F$ ig. 2); this is readily seen to be ! $=w^{2}$. As before, we may dress the diagram (see Fig. 3), which again has the e ect of multiplying the above result by the factor $=\left[1+=\mathrm{w}^{2}\right]^{1}$. Letting $=1$, the lowest order contribution, when dressed, is !. For diagram $\mathrm{s} w$ th n 4 both rightm ost source and the leffm ost conjunction can be dressed, leading to the algeb raic factor

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\mathrm{alg}}=(1)^{+\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{b}}!_{\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{n}}}^{\mathrm{s}} \frac{1+\mathrm{w}^{\mathrm{s}}}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\left(1+\mathrm{w}^{2}\right)^{2}}: \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{where} \mathrm{m}=\mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{c}$ and $\mathrm{u}=1 \quad \mathrm{w}$ as before. In term s of the param eter we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\text {alg }}=(1)^{+f} h u^{b} v^{s} w^{2 m}{ }^{n} \frac{m}{\left(1 \mathrm{~g}^{2}\right.} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\frac{2}{+w \quad 1} \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}=\frac{{ }^{2} \mathrm{u}^{2}}{4}: \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ th these results the enum erations derived for the original process $m$ ay be used to develop an series for the MVP w ith input. The input rate enters via the expression forw. Up to third order we nd,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{hn}_{1} \mathrm{i} & =\overline{\mathrm{n}} 1 \quad!\quad+\text { vh } 2 \mathrm{hw} \quad \frac{5-\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{~W}} \\
& + \text { vh } \quad 4 \mathrm{uw} \quad 10 \mathrm{u}+19 \mathrm{v}+55 u \frac{\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{w}} \quad 60 \frac{\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{~W}}{ }^{2} \quad 3 \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

Series is com pared w the exact value of $\mathrm{hn}_{1}$ i (from the stationary solution of the $m$ aster equation, obtained num erically), and with the $m$ ean-eld prediction $\bar{n}$ in $F i g .15$, for param eters $==0: 01$. Exœllent agreem ent between series and the exact result is found for 1:55 and again (see inset) for 0:75. In the regions of agreem ent is sm all ( $0: 14$ for $0: 75$; $0: 032$ for $1: 55$ ), but it becom es large in the interm ediate region, attaining a m axim um of about 0.96 for $=1$. In this region the series prediction deviates strongly from the true value, and can becom e negative. Sim ilar results are found for other values of and.


Fig. 15. Stationary mean population size versus birth rate in the MVP with $=0: 01$ and input at rate $=0: 01$. Sym bols as in $F$ ig. 4 .
VI. CONCLUSIONS

W e apply the path-integralbased perturbation theory for $M$ arkovain birth-and-death processes $[3,4]$ to the $M$ althus $-V$ erhulst process and a variant of this process that includes particle input. At zeroth order the form alism yields a P oisson distribution whose $m$ ean is given by them ean- eld orm acroscopic equation. C om putationalenum eration ofdiagram s allows us to derive the series coe cients for the rst four stationary $m$ om ents of the process. The expansion param eter, , is sm allwhen the m ean population size hni is large, but is of order unity when hni is sm all. In the latter regin e the expansion fails, but in the form er its predictions are in near-perfect agreem ent with num erical results, despite evidence that the series is divergent. T runcating the series at third order, we nd excellent
agreem ent w ith num erical results w hen them ean population hni 35.0 ur analysis yields asym ptotic expressions (valid for large population size) for the $m$ om ents of M VP.

O ur study of the M VP w ith input show s that the poor behavior of the series, when is not sm all, is not due the presence of an absorbing state, since input elim inates such a state from the process. Since the present approach is based on system atic approxim ations to m ean- eld theory, one should not expect it to yield usefiul results where the latter is seriously in error, as is the case for $\quad 1$ in the MVP w ith or without input. For param eter values such that the $m$ ean- eld solution is reasonable, the series provides useful corrections to it. D evelopm ent of a globally accurate perturbation $m$ ethod rem ains as an open challenge, one we hope to explore in fiuture work.

C om parison w ith van $K$ am pen's -expansion show sthat (for the problem s considered here), the latter $m$ ethod, to low est order, yields results that are very sim ilar, though slightly superior to our rst-order expansion. Including higher order term s , the quality of the series im proves. In the present case (and in other problem s likely to arise in stochastic $m$ odeling), deriving higher-order corrections is sim pler using the diagram $m$ atic approach, $m$ aking our $m$ ethod an attractive altemative to the expansion.
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