

Uniaxial pressure dependencies of the phase boundary of TlCuCl_3

N. Johannsen^a, A. Oosawa^b, H. Tanaka^c, A. Vasiliev^d, and T. Lorenz^{a,*}

^a*II. Physikalisches Institut, Universität zu Köln, Zùlpicher Str. 77, 50937 Köln, Germany*

^b*Advanced Science Research Center, Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute, Tokai, Ibaraki 319-1195, Japan*

^c*Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Oh-okayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan*

^d*Department of Low Temperature Physics, Moscow State University, Moscow 119992, Russia*

Abstract

We present a thermal expansion and magnetostriction study of TlCuCl_3 , which shows a magnetic-field induced transition from a spin gap phase to a Néel ordered phase. Using Ehrenfest relations we derive huge and strongly anisotropic uniaxial pressure dependencies of the respective phase boundary, e.g. the transition field changes by about $\pm 185\%$ /GPa depending on the direction of uniaxial pressure.

Key words: magnetoelastic coupling, low-dimensional magnets, Bose-Einstein condensation

PACS: 75.30.Kz, 75.80.+q, 65.40.De

The magnetic subsystem of TlCuCl_3 may be viewed as a set of three-dimensionally coupled spin dimers with a singlet ground state. Due to the rather strong interdimer couplings the first excited triplet state has a pronounced dispersion and as a consequence the minimum spin gap $\Delta \simeq 8$ K is significantly smaller than the intradimer coupling $J \simeq 64$ K.[1] Thus a magnetic field of about 6 T is sufficient to close Δ . Above 6 T a field-induced Néel order with transverse staggered magnetization is observed,[2] which has been described by a Bose-Einstein condensation of magnons.[1] The ground state of TlCuCl_3 is very sensitive to pressure, hydrostatic pressure of order 0.5 GPa is sufficient to induce the Néel order already in zero magnetic field.[3] In order to obtain more information about this drastic influence of pressure we performed high-resolution measurements of the thermal expansion $\alpha_i = \partial \ln L_i / \partial T$ and the magnetostriction $\epsilon_i = (L_i(H) - L_i(0)) / L_i(0)$ and derive the uniaxial pressure dependencies of the transition temperatures and fields.

Fig. 1 shows α_i perpendicular to the (010) and $(10\bar{2})$ cleavage planes of TlCuCl_3 measured for different

magnetic fields. In zero field α_{010} ($\alpha_{10\bar{2}}$) shows a broad maximum (minimum) around 18 K. This anomalous temperature dependence can be traced back to a Schottky anomaly of α_i due to the thermal population of the triplet state with Δ depending on uniaxial pres-




Fig. 1. Thermal expansion α_i perpendicular to the (010) and the $(10\bar{2})$ cleavage planes of TlCuCl_3 for different magnetic fields ($H \parallel \alpha_i$).

* Corresponding author:

Email address: lorenz@ph2.uni-koeln.de (T. Lorenz).




Fig. 2. Left: Longitudinal magnetostriction ϵ_i ($H||\epsilon_i$) perpendicular to the (010) and the $(10\bar{2})$ cleavage planes of TiCuCl_3 at different temperatures. Right: Field derivatives $\partial\epsilon_i/\partial H$ from the measurements with increasing (—) and decreasing (o) field.

sure p_i . The opposite signs signal that $\partial\Delta/\partial p_{010} > 0$ and $\partial\Delta/\partial p_{10\bar{2}} < 0$. A magnetic field hardly changes α_i above about 10 K, but for lower T well-defined anomalies develop for $H > 6$ T, which arise from the above-mentioned Néel order. The anomalies are of opposite signs for both directions. Fig. 2 displays representative magnetostriction measurements. The field-induced Néel order causes a kink in ϵ_i leading to a jump-like change of $\partial\epsilon_i/\partial H$ (again of opposite signs). The $\partial\epsilon_i/\partial H$ curves obtained with increasing and decreasing field hardly differ from each other and we do not detect a hysteresis of H_c . Thus, our data agree with the expected behavior of a second-order phase transition and disagree with an ultrasound study showing a large hysteresis of order 0.5 T for H_c . [4]

The phase diagram obtained from our data (Fig. 3) well agrees with previous results. [5] A power-law fit of the form $(g/2)[H_c(T) - H_c(0)] \propto T^\Phi$ yields $\Phi = 2.6$ and $H_c(0) = 5.6$ T (with the g factor for the respective field direction [5]). The value of Φ agrees with that obtained by Quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) simulations. [6] The QMC studies also reveal that Φ sensitively depends on the temperature range of the fit, what may explain why the experimental Φ obtained at finite T is much larger than the expected critical exponent $\Phi = 1.5$ at $T \rightarrow 0$ K for a Bose-Einstein condensation.

Using Ehrenfest relations our data together with specific heat (C_p) and magnetization (M) data allow to calculate the uniaxial pressure dependencies

$$\frac{\partial T_c}{\partial p_i} = V_m T_c \frac{\Delta\alpha_i}{\Delta C_p} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial H_c}{\partial p_i} = V_m \frac{\Delta \frac{\partial \epsilon_i}{\partial H}}{\Delta \frac{\partial M}{\partial H}}. \quad (1)$$

Here, V_m is the molar volume, $\Delta\alpha_i$ is the anomaly size of α_i (see Fig. 1) and ΔC_p that of C_p [5], $\Delta \frac{\partial \epsilon_i}{\partial H}$ is the slope change of ϵ_i at H_c (see Fig. 2) and $\Delta \frac{\partial M}{\partial H}$ that of M [7]. In Fig. 3 we show some expected T_c and H_c values for a hypothetical uniaxial pressure $p_i = 0.1$ GPa with p_i perpendicular to the (010) (\blacktriangle) and $(10\bar{2})$ (\blacktriangledown) planes. The Néel phase is strongly destabilized by p_{010} and stabilized by $p_{10\bar{2}}$. The dashed lines represent power-law fits of the pressure-shifted phase




Fig. 3. Phase diagram of TiCuCl_3 from the magnetostriction and thermal expansion measurements perpendicular to the (010) (\circ) and $(10\bar{2})$ (\bullet) planes. The triangles display the calculated shift of T_c and H_c values for a uniaxial pressure of 0.1 GPa perpendicular to (010) (\blacktriangle) and $(10\bar{2})$ (\blacktriangledown). The lines are power-law fits of the phase boundaries.

boundaries. Their extrapolations to $T = 0$ K yield for the ambient-pressure spin gap $\Delta = g\mu_B H/k_B \simeq 7.5$ K and huge values for its uniaxial pressure dependencies: $\partial \ln \Delta / \partial p_{10\bar{2}} \simeq -180\%$ /GPa and $\partial \ln \Delta / \partial p_{010} \simeq +190\%$ /GPa. The respective signs of $\partial \ln \Delta / \partial p_i$ agree to those of the Schottky anomalies of α_i . Hydrostatic pressure also strongly stabilizes the Néel phase, [3] but due to the opposite signs the $\partial \ln \Delta / \partial p_i$ nearly cancel each other. Thus we conclude that uniaxial pressure parallel to the [201] direction, which is perpendicular to both of our measurement directions, will also strongly decrease Δ . Analyzing the relation between the uniaxial pressure dependencies of Δ and of the magnetic susceptibility around 30 K yields that both arise from a pressure-dependent intradimer coupling. [8]

In summary, the field- and temperature-dependent changes of different lattice constants yield huge and strongly anisotropic uniaxial pressure dependencies of the spin gap leading to drastic changes of the phase diagram of TiCuCl_3 .

This work was supported by the DFG via SFB 608.

References

- [1] T. Nikuni et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84** (2000) 5868; M. Matsumoto et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89** (2002) 077203; Ch. Rüegg et al, Nature **423** (2003) 62.
- [2] H. Tanaka et al, J. Phys. Soc. Japan **70** (2001) 939; A. Oosawa et al, Phys. Rev. B **66** (2002) 104405.
- [3] A. Oosawa et al, J. Phys. Soc. Japan **72**, (2003) 1026; H. Tanaka et al, Physica B **329** (2003) 697.
- [4] E. Ya. Sherman et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91** (2003) 057201.
- [5] A. Oosawa et al, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 134416 (2001).
- [6] S. Wessel et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87** (2001) 206407; O. Nohadani et al, Phys. Rev. B **69** (2004) 220402(R).
- [7] W. Shiramura et al, J. Phys. Soc. Japan **66** (1997) 1900.
- [8] N. Johannsen et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95** (2005) 017205.