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A m odelofB oolean gam ew ith only one free param eterp that denotes the strength ofherd behavior is proposed where each agent acts according to the inform ation obtained from his neighbors in netw ork and those in them inority are rew arded. T he sim ulation results indicate that the dynam ic of system is sensitive to netw ork topology, w here the netw ork of larger degree variance, i.e. the system of greater in form ation heterogeneity, leads to less system pro $t$. The system can selforgan ize to a stable state and perform better than random choige gam e, although only the local inform ation is available to the agents. In addition, in heterogeneity netw orks, the agents $w$ ith $m$ ore inform ation gain $m$ ore than those $w$ ith less inform ation for a $w$ ide extent of herd strength $p$.

PACS num bers: 02.50 Le,05.65.+ b,87.23.G e,89.75 Fb

## I. INTRODUCTION

C om plex adaptive system s com posed of agents under m utual in uence have attracted considerable interest in recent years. It is not unexpected that the system s w ith globally shared inform ation can be organized. A basic question in studies of com plexity is how large system $s$ w ith only local inform ation available to the agents $m$ ay becom e com plex through a self-organized dynam ical process[1]1].

The m utual in uence can be properly described as the so-called inform ation netw ork, in which the nodes represent agents and the directed edge from $x$ to $y m$ eans the agent $y$ can obtain inform ation from $x$. For sim plicity, the undirected netw orks are considered in this paper. In this way, node degree $k$ is proportional to the quantity of inform ation available to the corresponding agent. T he tw o extensively studied inform ation netw orks of ecosystem are regular[2] ofw hich have a characterized degree-thèm ean degree hki: for regular netw orks, all the node are of degree hki; and for random ones, the degree distribution decays quickly in a Possionian form when $k>h k i$. The existence of characterized degree $m$ eans every node has alm ost the sam e capacity of inform ation. H ow ever, previous em pirical studies have revealed that the inform ation netw orks $m$ ay be of scale-free property $\left[\begin{array}{ll}6 \\ 6\end{array}, 1\right.$ heterogeneity of inform ation exists. The nodes of larger degree predom inate $m$ uch $m$ ore inform ation than those of less degree thus the inform ation heterogeneity can be $m$ easured by the degree variance $h k^{2} i$. T he question is how the topology a ects the system dynam ic, will the

[^0]greater inform ation heterogeneity induce more pro $t$ for the system, or contraryly?

A nother question being concemed of in this paper is about the herd behavior, which has been extensively studied in Behavioral Finance and is usually considered as one factor of the origins of com plexity that $m$ ay enhance the uctuation and reduce the system
 sure the strength of herd behavior, it is m ore proper to look at how far the agents' actions are determ ined by others rather than how far the agents $w$ ant to be in $m a-$ jority, since in $m$ any real-life cases, the agents w ould like to be in m inority but the herd behavior still occurs. W e w onder w hether agents have di erent responses under a
xed herd strength, and whether the variation trends of system pro $t$ and individual pro $t$ are the same as the increase of herd strength.

In this paper, a m odel of B oolean gam e w ith only one free param eter $p$ that denotes the strength of herd behavior is proposed where each agent acts according to the inform ation obtained from $h$ is neighbors in netw ork and those in the $m$ inority are rew arded. A though the m odelm ay be too sim ple and rough, it o ens a starting point aim ing at those questions above. We have found that the topology of inform ation netw ork a ects the system dynam ic m uch and the system can selforganize to a stable state $w$ th $m$ ore pro $t$ com paring $w$ ith random choide gam e even only the local inform ation is available.

## II. M O D EL

B oolean gam e is rstly proposed by $K$ au $m$ an where each agent has only one binary choide such as either buying or selling a stock [1] [1]. T he studies of B oolean gam e have attracted not only the physicists' but also the ecol-


FIG. 1: The variance of the num ber of agent choosing +1 as a function of herd strength $p$. The four plots are the cases of star, regular, random and scale-free netw orks, respectively. The solid line represents the random choice gam e where ${ }^{2}=$ $0: 25 \mathrm{~N}$. It is clear that the system pro $t$ is $m$ ore than random choice gam e when p $2(0 ; 0: 7)$, p $2(0 ; 0: 7)$ and p $2(0 ; 0: 4)$ in regular, random and scale-free netw orks, respectively. For any p $2(0 ; 1),{ }^{2}$ of the four cases satisfy that ${ }_{r}^{2}$ regular $<$ ${ }_{\text {random }}^{2}<{ }_{\text {scale-free }}^{2}<{ }_{s t a r}^{2}$, that $m$ eans the system prot $S$ satisfy that $S_{\text {regular }}>S_{\text {random }}>\mathrm{S}_{\text {scale-free }}>\mathrm{S}_{\text {star }}$.


F IG . 2: (C olor online)T he num ber of agent choosing +1 vs time. The sim ulation takes place on regular netw orks of size $\mathrm{N}=$ 1001. At the beginning, a large event w ith 701 agent choosing +1 happens. The red thick and black thin curve show the variety of $A_{t}$ after this large event for the tw o extrem e cases $p=0$ and $p=1$, respectively. $C$ learly, in the case $p=0, A_{t}$ slow ly reverts to the equilibrium position A $\frac{N}{2}$; while in the case $p=1$, the system displays obvious oscillation behavior. T he inset exhibits the oscillation of $A_{t}$ in the case $p=1$ for the rst 30 tim e steps.
ogists' and econom ists' attention since it could explain $m$ any em pirical data and $m$ ight contribute to the understanding of the underlying $m$ echanism $s$ ofthe $m$ any-body ecosystem $s$, although the dynam ic nule is sim ple $\left.\underline{1}_{1}^{1}, 1,15_{1}^{1}\right]$.

Inspired by the idea of $m$ inority gam e[1]], which is a sim ple but rich $m$ odel describing a population of sel sh individuals ghting for a com m on resource, we propose the present B oolean gam e where each agent chooses betw een tw o opposing actions, sim pli ed as +1 and -1 , and the agents in the $m$ inority are rew arded. Each $w$ inner's score increases by one thus the system pro $t$ equals to the num ber of $w$ inners $[17,1$, 1019$]$. In our $m$ odel, at each tim e step, each agent acts based on his neighbors at probabillty p, or acts all by him self at probability 1 p. In the form er case, we assum e each neighbor has the sam e force. Since the arbitrary agent x would like to be in the $m$ inority, he $w$ ill choose +1 at the probability $\frac{s_{1}^{x}}{s^{x}{ }_{1}+s_{+1}^{x}}$, or choose -1 at the probability $\frac{s_{+1}^{x}}{s^{x}{ }_{1}+s_{+1}^{x}}$, where $s_{1}{ }_{1}$ and $S_{+1}^{x}$ denote the num ber of $x^{\prime}$ s neighbors choosing -1 and +1 in the last tim e step, respectively. In the latter case, since there is no inform ation from others, the agent will sim ply inherits his action in the last tim e step or chooses the opposite action at a sm allprobability m, nam ed mutation probability. It is worthw hile to em phasize that, the agents do not know who are w inners in previous steps since the globalinform ation is not available, which is also one of the $m$ ain di erences from the previous studies on m inority game.

T he real-life ecosystem often seem s a black box to us: the outcom em ay be observed, but the underlying $m$ echanism is not eyeable. If we see $m$ any agents display the sam e action, we say the herd behavior occurs, although those agents $m$ ight prefer to be in the $m$ inority. In another point of view, if each agent acts all by him self, there is no preferentialchoige for +1 and -1 so as no herd behavior w ill occur. T herefore, if the herd behavior occurs, the agents' actions m ust be at least partly based on the inform ation obtained from others. In this paper, the strength of herd behavior is $m$ easured by how far the agents' actions are determ ined by others thus we set p as the herd strength. This m easurem ent is not quantitative since in som e netw orks there exists an interval belong w hich the positive p does not lead to herd behavior com paring w ith the random choice gam e, how ever, it is the $m$ easurem ent for the underlying possibility of the occurrence of herd behavior.

## III. SIM ULATION S

In this paper, all the sim ulation results are the average of 100 realizations and for each realizations the tim e length is $\mathrm{T}=10^{4}$ unless a specialstatem ent is addressed. The num ber of agents $\mathrm{N}=1001$ and m utation probability $m={ }_{P} 0: 01$ are xed. Figure one show s the variance $2=\frac{1}{T}^{P}{ }_{t=1}^{T}\left(A_{t} \quad \frac{N}{2}\right)^{2}$ as a function of $p$ in star, regular, random and scale-free netw orks, w here $A_{t}$ is the num -


F IG . 3: The agent's winning rate vs degree. Each point denotes one agent and the solid line represents the average $w$ inning rate over all the agents. In the cases of $p=0: 0$ and $p=1: 0$, no correlation is detected. In the cases of $p=0: 03$ and $p=0: 4$, the positive correlation betw een agent's pro $t$ and degree is observed.
ber of agents who choose +1 at tim e step $t$. C learly, the sm aller ${ }^{2}$ corresponds to the $m$ ore system pro $t$, and for the completely random choioe gam e, ${ }^{2}=0: 25 \mathrm{~N}$. The regular netw ork is a one-dim ension lattioe $w$ ith periodic boundary conditions and coordination num ber $z=3\left[20^{2}\right]$, the random network is the ER network of connecting probability $6 \quad 10^{3}$ [21 $21, \quad\left[22^{2}\right]$, and the scale-free netw ork is the BA network of $\mathrm{m}_{0}=\mathrm{m}=3$ 2 $\overline{1} 1 \mathrm{l}$. Therefore, all the netw orks except the star netw orks are of average degree hki $=6$. Since the num ber of edges $\frac{h k i N}{2}$ is proportional to the total quantity of inform ation available to agents, the netw orks used for sim ulating exœpt star netw orks have the sam e capacity of in form ation. In star netw ork, it is not unexpected that the system pro t will be reduced when the herd strength increases. M ore interesting, in each of the latter three cases, the system preform sbetter than the random choice gam e when $p$ is in a certain interval, indicating the self-organized process has taken place upon those netw orks.

A though having the sam e capacity of inform ation, the dynam ic of scale-free netw orks is obviously distinguishable from that in regular and random netw orks, indicating that the topology a ects the dynam ic behaviorm uch. $N$ ote that, although the topology of regular and random netw orks are obviously di erent for they have com pletely di erent average distance and chustering coe cient and so on [2] [2] the dynam ic behavions are alm ost the sam e in those two networks. The com $m$ on ground is they have alm ost the sam e degree variance ${h k^{2}}^{2}$ i. A ccording to the inequality

$$
h k^{2} i_{\text {star }}>h k^{2} i_{\text {scale-free }}>h k^{2} i_{\text {random }}>h k^{2} i_{\text {regular }}
$$



F IG . 4: (C olor online) The agent's winning rate as a function of herd strength. T hem ain plot is obtained by the sim ulation upon a BA netw ork of size $N=1001$, in which the black, red, green and blue curves from up to bottom represent the four agents of degree 105, 46, 6 and 3 respectively. T he in set show s the case upon a BA netw ork of size $\mathrm{N}=2001$, where the black, red, green and blue curves from up to bottom represent the four agents of degree 137, 77, 13 and 3 respectively. It is observed that the agents having $m$ ore inform ation gain $m$ ore than those w ith less inform ation.
and the sim ulation results, we suspect that the larger degree variance, i.e. the greater inform ation heterogeneity, w ill lead to less system pro $t$.

In gure one, one can see clearly that for all the four cases, the variance ${ }^{2}$ is rem arkably greater than the random choige game at large p. C onsider the extrem e case $p=1$, if the agent choosing +1 and -1 are equably $m$ ixed up in the netw orks, and the num ber of agent choosing +1 at present tim e is $A_{t}$, then in the next tim e step, the expectation of $A_{t+1}$ is $h A_{t+1} i=N \quad A_{t}$, w ith departure f $A_{t+1} i \quad \frac{N}{2} j=\lambda_{t} \quad \frac{N}{2} j$. If at present time $A_{t}$ is larger than $\frac{N}{2}$, then $A_{t+1} w$ ill be sm aller than $\frac{N}{2} m$ ost probably, and the departure from $\frac{N}{2} w$ ill not be reduced in average. $T$ herefore, in the case of $p=1$, when the $\backslash$ large event" happens, that is to say $A_{t}$ is $m$ uch larger orm uch sm aller than $\frac{N}{2}$ at som e tim e $t$, there $w i l l$ be a long duration of oscillation after $t$, in which A skips between up-side $A>\frac{N}{2}$ and down-side $A<\frac{N}{2}$. The oscillation behaviorofA is shown in gure two. At the beginning, a large event w ith $A_{0}=701$ is given, then the large oscillation goes on about 30 tim e steps. In $p=1$ case, if A gets apart from $\frac{N}{2}$, the in uence (large oscillation behavior) w ill stand for long tim e, leading very large ${ }^{2}$. H ow ever, in random choice gam $e$, whatever $A_{t 1}$, the expectation of $A_{t}$ is alw aysh $A_{t} i=\frac{N}{2}$, and the distribution of $A_{t} \quad \frac{N}{2}$ obeys $G$ uassian form . That is the reason why the system shaving poor perform ance at large $p$ com paring $w$ ith the random choice gam e.


F IG . 5: The agents' winning rate hsi under di erent choice pattems $G_{0}$ of the ve hub nodes. The sim ulation takes place on the BA netw orks of size $N=1001$, and the herd strength is $x e d$ asp $=0: 1$. The hollow and solid histogram s represent the $w$ inning rates of a hub node $(k=105)$ and a sm all node $(k=3)$, respectively. O ne can see clearly, the $w$ inning rates of the sm all node under di erent pattems are alm ost the sam e as hsi $i_{k}=3 \quad 0: 49$, which is obviously sm all than those of the hub node especially in the case $\mathrm{G}_{0}=0$ and $\mathrm{G}_{0}=5$.

In another extrem e case $p=0$, the expectation of $A_{t+1}$ is $h A_{t+1} i=A_{t}\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & m\end{array}\right)+m\left(1 \quad A_{t}\right)=A_{t}+m\left(12 A_{t}\right)$. Assume $A_{t}>\frac{N}{2}$, for $0<m<\frac{1}{2}$, we have $A_{t}>A_{t+1}>\frac{N}{2}$. So in this case when $m$ close to zero, no oscillation of A will occur, but A slow ly reverts to the equilibrium po-
sition A $\frac{N}{2}$ after a large event. O ne can easily prove that even for very sm allm, if the titeration tim e $T$ is sufciently long, the system pro $t w i l l$ be equal to random choice game, that m eans ${ }^{2}=0: 25 \mathrm{~N}$. This is strongly supported by the sim ulation results shown in gure one. W e also have check that the value of $m \mathrm{w}$ ill not a ect the characters of these dynam ic system $s$ unless $m$ is very large. The red thick curve in gure two is an exam ple for the case $p=0$. At time $t=0$, a large event $A_{0}=701$ occurs, and then the curve $A_{t}$ slow ly reverts to $\frac{N}{2}$. After about 170 tim e steps, it arrives at the equilibrium position A $\frac{\mathrm{N}}{2}$.

The two extrem e cases also exhibit a clear picture why the system pro $t$ can be $m$ axim ized at a special value of $p$. The herd $m$ echanism ( $w$ ith probability $p$ ) w ill bring oscillation, while the independent $m$ echanism (w ith probability 1 p) will lead to a long reversion process. T he form erm echanism $m$ akesA skipping from one side to another, while the latter one keeps A's side. So, under a proper value of $p$, the system can quickly arrive at the equilibrium position A $\frac{N}{2}$ after a large event occurs, which leads to $m$ ore system pro $t$. The existence of optim alp has been dem onstrated in gure one.

In succession, let's focus on the scale-free case since it $m$ ay be closer to reality. F irstly, we assum e the agent choosing +1 and -1 are equably $m$ ixed up in the network. Since there is also no degree-degree correlation for BA netw orks [2] [], for arbitrary agent of degree $k$ (here we do not di erentiate betw een node and the corresponding agent), the probability at which he willchoose +1 at tim e step $t+1$ is

$$
\left.1(k ; t+1)=p\left({ }_{i=0}^{X^{k}} \frac{i}{k} C_{k}^{i}{\underset{1}{i}(t)(1}_{1}(t)\right)^{k} i\right)+\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & p
\end{array}\right)(1 \quad 1(t))=1+2 p_{1}(t) \quad p \quad{ }_{1}(t) ;
$$

where ${ }_{1}(t)$ denotes the density of agents choosing +1 at tim e step $t$, and $C_{k}^{i}=\frac{k!}{(k \text { i })!i!}$. Since the probability ${ }_{1}(k ; t+1)$ is independent to $k$, there $m$ ust be no correlation betw een agent's degree and pro $t$. In gure three, we report the agent's winning rate vs degree, where the w inning rate is denoted by the average score hsi for individual during one tim e step. $p=0: 0$ and $p=1: 0$ correspond to the com pletely independent and dependent cases respectively, $p=0: 03$ is the point where the system perform sbest, and $p=0: 4$ is anotherpoint where the system pro $t$ is equal to the random choioe gam e. O ne can see clearly that there exist the positive correlation betw een agent's pro $t$ and degree in the cases $p=0: 03$ and $p=0: 4$, which $m$ eans the agents of larger degree willperform better than those of less degree. $F$ igure four show $s$ the agent's $w$ inning rate as a function of $p$ for dif-
ferent $k$. It is clear that for a w ide extent ofp, the agents having $m$ ore inform ation $w$ ill gain $m$ ore. Therefore, the assum ption is not true, thus there $m$ ust be som e kind of correlation, which is another evidence of the existence of self-organized process.

A natural question is addressed: why the agents of large degree $w$ illgain $m$ ore than those of less degree? T he reason is the choige of a few hub nodes (i. e. the nodes of very large degree) can strongly in uence $m$ any other sm all nodes' (i. e. the nodes of very sm all degree) choice in the next tim e step, and those hub nodes can clean up from this in uence. D enote $H$ the set of those hub nodes and $G_{0}(t)$ the num ber of hun nodes choosing +1 at time t. W e assum e at a certain tim e step $t, G_{0}(t)>\frac{j H j}{2}$, that $m$ eans the num ber ofhub nodes choosing +1 ism ore than half. $T$ his departure $w i l l m$ ake som e nodes connecting to
those hub nodes, especially the sm all nodes, choose - 1 in time $t+1 \mathrm{w}$ ith a greater probability. Because the $m$ ajority of these sm all nodes' hub neighbors choose +1 at present, this in uence is rem arkable and can not be neglected since the sm allnodes have only a few neighbors. The m ore departure $j_{0} \quad \frac{j H j}{2} j w i l l$ lead to the greater in uence.
$F$ igure ve exhibits an exam ple on BA netw orks of size $N=1001$, where $H$ contains only ve hub nodes of the highest degree. In each tim e step, all the choice of these
ve nodes form a choice con guration. There are in total $2^{5}=32$ di erent con gurations, which are classi ed into 6 pattems by identifying the num ber of agents choosing +1 . For exam ple, $G_{0}=2$ denotes the pattem that there are 2 agents choosing +1 and other 3 choosing -1 . U nder each choice pattem $0 \quad G_{0} \quad 5$, since $J_{0} \quad \frac{H j j}{2} j=$ IG 0 2:5jisbigger than zero at alltim e, the hub node can alw aysgain $m$ ore than the sm allnode. A nd clearly, under the choice pattem w ith larger departure, such as $G_{0}=0$ and $G_{0}=5$, the di erent of $w$ inning rates betw een the hub node and the sm all node under these pattems is $m$ uch greater than the case of sm aller departure.

## IV . CONCLUSION

In sum $m$ ary, inspired by the $m$ inority gam $e$, we propose a m odel of B oolean gam e. the sim ulation results upon various netw orks is show $n$, which indicate the dynam ic of system is sensitive to the topology of netw ork, where the netw ork of larger degree variance, i.e. the system of greater inform ation heterogeneity, leads to less system pro $t$. The system can perform better than the
random choice gam e. That is a bilievable evidence of the existence of self-organized process taking place upon the netw orks although only local inform ation is available to agents. W e also have found that in heterogeneity networks, the agents $w$ th $m$ ore inform ation gain $m$ ore than those with less inform ation for a wide extent of herd strength p . In addition, it is clear that the trends of varying of system pro $t$ and individual pro $t$ are di erent as the increasing ofherd strength, for exam ple, in the scale-free netw ork w ith $p=0: 5$, the system pro $t$ is less than random choice gam e but the pro tof agent of large degree is $m u c h ~ m$ ore than that in random choice gam e.

A though thismodel is rough, it o ers a sim ple and intuitionistic paradigm of the $m$ any-body system $s$ that can self-organize even when only local inform ation is available. Since the self-organized process is considered as one of the key ingredients of the origins of com plexity, it $m$ ight contribute to the understanding of the underlying $m$ echanism of the com plex system $s$.
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