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In [1], the tem perature dependence of the probability density function (PDF) of the $m$ agnetization (the order param eter) was rst show $n$ by num ericalM onte $C$ arlo analysis using the nite $2 \mathrm{D} X \mathrm{Y} \mathrm{m}$ odel. T his result contradicted the previous claims found in the literature [2]. Recently, [3] shows sem i-analytically that the PDF depends on the system tem perature $T$. To show this dependence the authors com pute the contribution of the multi loop graphs (MLG) to the $m$ om ents of the PDF within the $H$ arm onic approxim ation of the $X Y \mathrm{~m}$ odel. $T$ hey show that the M LG depend on $T$ and $m$ ay not be neglected in the therm odynam ic lim it contrary to previous assum ptions [2]. U sing a $M$ onte C arlo sim ulation they com pute the skew ness 3 or nom alized third $m$ om ent of the PDF, which \provides a clear $m$ easure of the variation of the PFD with tem perature", and using a M onte C arlo sim ulation, obtain the num erically approxim ated expression for 3 , valid for a square lattice of lattice size $L=16$,

$$
3 \text { (T ) } \quad 0: 85+0: 126 \mathrm{~T} \quad 0: 0048 \mathrm{~T}^{2}
$$

$T$ hey also obtained for the lattioe size $L=32$ \a value much closer to the theory" $w$ ith 3 ( T ) $0: 88+0: 15 \mathrm{~T}$, and nally argued that the skew ness is relatively com putationalexpensive due to the need for averaging and, as their results appear to con $m$ the evolution of $3 w$ th $T$, they $\backslash \ldots$ leave the determ ination of the precise form of 3 ( $T$ ) from larger system $s$ to another tim e".
$T$ his com $m$ ent is devoted rst to show that an explicit analytical expression for 3 ( $T$ ), valid for anbitrary system size and to all orders in $T$, can be deduced starting from their equation (11) for the higher $m$ om ents $\mathrm{hm}^{\mathrm{p}}$ i of the PDF, and second to show that the num erical values of the slope of 3 reported in their paper are not just num erically incorrect, but their scaling w th the lattioe size is w rong.

Starting from their equation (11) and using the translational invariance of the lattioe propagator G , the exact expression for the m om ents to allorders in T can be obtained, yielding:

$$
\begin{align*}
h M \quad i & =\exp [T \quad G(0)=2]  \tag{1}\\
M^{2} & ={\frac{h M i^{2}}{N}}_{x}^{x} \cosh \left[T\left(G\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)\right]\right. \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{3}=\frac{h M i^{3}}{2 N^{2}}{ }_{x ; \prime}^{x} \quad \exp (T G(x)) \cosh [T(G(y)+G(x \quad y)]+\exp (T G(x)) \cosh [T(G(y) \quad G(x \quad y) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where denotes the lattice, $N=L^{2}$ is the volum e and the lattice propagator $G$ is given for exam ple by its Fourier representation
$K_{L}$ is the lattice $m$ om entum de ned as usual as $\left(K_{L}\right)_{i}=2 \sin \left(K_{i}=2\right) w$ ith $i=1 ; 2$ and $K_{i}$ lies in the rst $B$ rillouin zone. W e now use the de nition of the skew ness as the third norm alized moment $\quad \mathrm{m}(\mathrm{T})=\left[\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{M} & \mathrm{h} M & \text { i) }=\quad]^{3},\end{array}\right.$ and w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{3}(T)=\frac{1}{n_{h M^{2}} i h_{M} i^{O_{3=2}}} \mathrm{hD}^{3} \quad 3 M^{2} h M i+2 h M i^{3} \text { Ei } \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and explicitly expanding up to order T, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
3(T)=g_{3}{\frac{2}{g_{2}}}^{3=2} 1 \frac{3}{4} \frac{\left(g_{2}\right)^{2}}{g_{3}} T+O\left(T^{2}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the quantities $g_{n}$ are de ned in term s of the power $n$ of the lattioe propagator $G$ as $g_{n}=G^{n}(0)=N^{n}{ }^{1}$. The expression of eqn. (5) agrees w ith the corresponding equation (33) of ref. [5], where the skew ness and kurtosis are com puted for the full2D XY m odelup to tw o-loops, including the anharm on ic corrections to the $H$ am iltonian (see the tw o last term s in eqn. (33)), which are suppressed by a volum e factor $N$, and which are negligible in the them odynam ic lim it but are relevant for nite lattice sizes. $H$ igher order corrections in T can be directly com puted along the lines outlined here. From the num erical point of view, we use the know n num erical values for the lattice coe cients $g_{n}$, which are directly and easily evaluated by using M AT LAB for exam ple, and obtain 3 ( $T$ ) $0: 8540+0: 1358 \mathrm{~T}$ for $\mathrm{L}=16$ and 3 (T) $0: 8763+0: 1331 \mathrm{~T}$ for $\mathrm{L}=32$ respectively. Independent of the num erical di erences found for the rst tw o coe cients of the skew ness, one observes that the values for the slope (linear term in $T$ ) reported in [3] increases $w$ ith the system size, contrary to the tendency of the analytic expression displayed by eqn. (5). In fact, the slope is a decreasing function of the system size and its them odynam ic lim it converges to the value 0.1319. Finally, and in order to com pare our results $w$ ith the accurate num erical values obtained in [5] for the skew ness, we evaluate the contribution obtained from the anharm onic corrections

$$
{ }_{3}(T)=\frac{3}{2 N} g_{3} \quad{\frac{2}{g_{2}}}^{3=2} \frac{g_{1}^{2}}{2 g_{2}} \quad \frac{g_{1} g_{2}}{g_{3}} T
$$

and obtain 3 ( $T$ ) 0:0197T for $L=16$ and $3^{(T)} 0: 0191 \mathrm{~T}$ for $L=32$ respectively . Perfect agreem ent is found w ith the corresponding value in [5], (see eqn. (36)), when adding this contribution to the value obtained in eqn. (5) for 3 (T):
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