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#### Abstract

$R$ igorous relations for $G$ utzw iller pro jected BCS states are derived. The obtained results do not depend on the details of $m$ odel system $s$, but solely on the wave functions. B ased on the derived relations, physical consequences are discussed for strongly correlated superconducting states such as high $-T_{C}$ cuprate superconductors.


PACS num bers: $71.10 .-\mathrm{w}, 74.20 .-\mathrm{z}, 74.20 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{n}, 74.72 . \mathrm{h}$
$R$ ight after the discovery of high $-T_{C}$ cuprate superconductors [1] $\left.\underline{I}_{1}^{1}\right]$, A nderson has proposed a G utzw iller projected BCS wave function | a quantum $m$ any-body state incorporating strong on-site $C$ oulom_b repulsion | to describe the superconducting state $\left.{ }^{2}\right]$. Since then there have been extensive studies in understanding the nature of this state and its variants $\left[\overline{3}=1, \bar{\prime} \overline{4^{\prime}}\right]$. In addition, several reports have shown that this projected BCS wave function is indeed a good variational ansatz state to de-
 which are believed to capture the low energy physics of the cuprates $\left[\frac{9}{9}\right]$. A though these pro jected BCS states were proposed m ore than 15 years ago, very recently
 $T$ his is probably because recent expensive num erical calculations based on the $G$ utzw iller projected variational ansatz clearly indicate that $m$ any aspects of the physics of high $-T_{C}$ cuprate superconductors can be understood w thin this fram ew ork [1].

In this short com $m$ unication som e rigorous relations are derived for the G utzw iller projected BCS states. It is show $n$ that, as a consequence of the derived relations, the one-particle added excitation spectrum tends to be $m$ ore coherent than the one-particle rem oved excitation spectrum does. It is further shown num erically that this trend is still observed approxim ately for m ore involved G utzw iller projected BCS states. P ossible experim ental im plications of the present results are also discussed.

H ere our generalsystem consists of a single orbitalper unit cellon the two-dim ensional (2D ) square lattice with L sites [1] ${ }^{4}$ ]. The creation and annihilation operators of spin (=";\#) particle at site i are denoted by $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{y}}$ and $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$, respectively. A G utzw iller pro jected BCS state with N particles is described by

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \hat{0}_{(\mathbb{N})}^{i}=\hat{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}}} \hat{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{G}}}-\mathrm{BCSi} ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{P_{N}}$ is the projection operator onto the xed num ber $N$ of particles, $\hat{\mathrm{P}_{G}}={ }_{i}\left(1 \quad \hat{\mathrm{n}}_{i^{\prime \prime}} \hat{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\#}\right)$ is the $G$ utzw iller projection operator to restrict the $H$ ilbert space with no doub occupancy on each site, and $\hat{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$. BCSi $=\hat{k} ; \hat{k}_{k}$ joi is the ground state of the BCS m ean
eld H am iltonian where
are thep standard Bpgoliubov quasi-particle operators, $\theta_{k}={ }_{i} e^{i k}{ }^{i} e_{i}=\bar{L}$, jOi is the vacuum of particles, and the singlet pairing is assum ed [1] T]. The nature of this state has been extensively studied specially in the


A one-particle added state $w$ ith spin and $m$ om entum $k$ is sim ilarly de ned by using $\wedge_{k}^{Y}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{k}^{(N+1)} i=\hat{P_{N}+1} \hat{P_{G}}{ }_{k}^{Y} \mathcal{B C S i}: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This state $w$ as rst proposed by Zhang, et al [BW1], follow ed by several others $\left[10^{\prime}, 1,12,1\right]$, 1 wave functions for the $\bar{N}$-and $(\mathbb{N}+1)$-particle states are denoted by $j 0_{0}^{(\mathbb{N})} i$ and $j{ }_{k}^{(\mathbb{N}+1)}$ i, respectively.

First it is useful to show that the follow ing operator relation between $\theta_{k}$ and $C_{k}^{y}$ holds exactly:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{P_{G}} \theta_{k} \hat{C_{k}^{y}} \hat{P_{G}}=\theta_{k} \hat{P_{G}} C_{k}^{y}+\frac{1}{L} \hat{N^{\prime}} \hat{P_{G}}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\hat{N}={ }^{P}{ }_{i} e_{i}^{y} e_{i}$ and stands for the opposite spin of. This is easily proved by using $\hat{P_{G}} \mathcal{C}_{i}^{y} \hat{P_{G}}=\hat{P_{G}} \mathcal{C}_{i}^{y}$.

U sing the above equation (4,1), it is readily shown that the $m$ om entum distribution function $n(k)=$ $h_{0}^{(\mathbb{N})} \dot{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{V}} \hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{j}_{0}^{(\mathbb{N})}$ i calculated for the state $\mathrm{j} 0_{0}^{(\mathbb{N})} \mathrm{i}$ is related to the state $j_{k}^{(\mathbb{N}+1)}$ i through

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(k)=1 \quad \frac{N}{L} \quad j \mu_{k} J^{2} \frac{h_{k}^{(N+1)} j_{k}^{(N+1)} i}{h{\underset{0}{(N)} j_{0}^{(N)} i}_{o}^{(N)}, ~} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{N} \hat{P_{G}}=\hat{P_{G}} \hat{N}$ is used.
The quasi-particle weight for the one-particle added excitation is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}}^{(+)}=\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{k}}^{(\mathbb{N}+1)} \dot{\mathcal{H}}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{j}_{0}^{(\mathbb{N})} i^{2}: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we shall show that there exists a simple and exact relation betw een $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{(+)}$and $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{k})$. To this end, it is im portant to notice that since
$\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{(+)}$is sim pli ed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{k}^{(+)}=j_{k}^{2} j^{h} \frac{k_{k}^{(N+1)} j_{k}^{(\mathbb{N}+1)} i}{h 0_{0}^{(\mathbb{N})} j_{0}^{(\mathbb{N})} i}: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eqs. ( $\underline{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) and (운), we nally arrive at the desired relation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{k})+\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}}^{(+)}=1 \frac{\mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~L}}: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be em phasized that to derive the above equation we have not $m$ ade either any approxim ations or any assum ptions except for the form of the $w$ ave fiunctions for the $N$ - and $(\mathbb{N}+1)$-particle states given by Eqs. (11) and (3즈), respectively.

The equation ( $(\underset{1}{\mathbf{d}})$ is also sim ply veri ed num erically on sm all clusters using a $M$ onte C arlo technique. Typical results are presented in Fig. '11. A s seen in Fig. '11' and for all other cases studied, E q. $(\underline{9})$ is satis ed w ithin the statisticalerrors.


FIG.1: n (k) (circles) and $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{(+)}$(squares) calculated using a M onte C arlo technique for $\mathrm{L}=16 \quad 16$ and $\mathrm{N}{ }^{n}=\mathrm{N}$ \# $=$ 115 [ [1] li]. The sum of the two quantities ( $n(k)+Z_{k}^{(+)}$) is also plotted by crosses, which are $1 \mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{L}=0: 551 \mathrm{w}$ ith in the statistical error bars (sm aller than the size of the sym bols) .

In order to discuss a physicalconsequence ofE q. $(\underset{-1}{\bar{q}})$ on the one-particle excitation spectrum, let us rst derive a sim ple sam e rule. The one-particle excitation spectra for rem oving one particle $\left.\mathbb{A}^{\text {PES }}(\mathrm{k} ;!)\right]$ and for adding one particle $\left.\mathbb{A}^{\mathbb{P E S}}(k ;!)\right]$ are de ned respectively by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A^{\operatorname{PES}}(\mathrm{k} ;!)=\frac{1}{-} \mathrm{Im} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{y}} \frac{1}{!+\left(\hat{H} \quad \mathrm{E}_{0}\right)+i 0^{+}} \theta_{k}{ }^{+} \\
& A^{\operatorname{PES}}(k ;!)=\quad \frac{1}{-} \operatorname{Im} \quad \theta_{k} \frac{1}{!\left(\hat{H} \quad E_{0}\right)+i 0^{+}} C_{k}^{y} \quad ;
\end{aligned}
$$

where hôi is the expectation value of $\hat{O}$ for the exact ground state of the system described by $H$ am iltonian $\hat{H}$ w th its eigenvalue $\mathrm{E}_{0}$. It is generally proved that for any m odel system $s$ de ned $w$ ithin the restricted $H$ ibert
space $w$ th no double occupancy on any sites by particles, such as the $t-J \mathrm{~m}$ odel, the 0 -th m om ent of the spectral function satis es the follow ing sum rules:

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{1} \\
& Z_{1}^{1}  \tag{10}\\
& A_{1}^{\text {PES }}(k ;!) d!=h e_{k}^{y} A_{k} i \\
& A^{\text {total }}(k ;) d!=1 \quad N=L ; \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A^{\text {total }}(k ;!)=A^{\text {PES }}(k ;!)+A^{\mathbb{P E S}}(k ;!)$. The latter equation is easily proved by using Eq. (4). Eq. (1'q) is a rather standard sum rule, while Eq. (11) is due to the reduction of the $H$ ibert space by $\widehat{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{G}}}$ and it is indeed satis ed for, e.g., the $t-J \mathrm{~m}$ odel [181] .

Let us now discuss what physical consequences would be expected. First we assum e that there exists a system forw hich the ground state and the low -lying excited states are approxim ately described by the w ave functions, Eqs. ( $\overline{1} 1)$ and $(\overline{3})$, introduced above. Then it follow s im $m$ ediately from Eqs. (9, $\underline{g}_{1}$ \{ (11) that

$$
\mathrm{Z}_{1} \mathrm{~A}^{\mathbb{P E S}}(\mathrm{k} ;!) \mathrm{d}!=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}}^{(+)}:
$$

This relation im plies that the one-particle added excitation spectrum is all coherent since only one state contributes to $A^{\operatorname{PES}}(k ;!)$. It should be noted here that while several studies have recently reached the sim ilar conclusions [1], 1 rigorous and transparent.

N ext we shalldiscuss to w hat extend Eq. ( $(\underline{1})$ holds and therefore Eq. (12는) rem ains approxim ately true for $m$ ore involved wave fünctions. A natural and im portant extension of the sim plest G utzw iller pro jected BCS states described by Eqs. $\left[\overline{11}_{1}^{1}\right)$ and $(\overline{1})$ ) can be achieved by including charge Jastrow factors $\hat{\mathrm{J}}_{\mathrm{C}}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
& j \hat{0}_{(\mathbb{N})}^{i}=\hat{P_{N}} \hat{P_{G}} \hat{\mathcal{J}_{C}} \operatorname{BCSi} ;  \tag{13}\\
& j{ }_{k}^{(N+1)} i=\hat{P_{N}+1} \hat{P_{G}} \hat{J_{C}}{ }_{k}^{Y}-B C S i ; \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

for the ground state and the one-particle excited states, respectively. H ere

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{llr}
0 & & 1 \\
\mathrm{C}^{@} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{~A}^{\mathrm{A}} ;
\end{array}  \tag{15}\\
& \text { i;j }
\end{align*}
$$

$\hat{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{i}}=\hat{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\prime \prime}+\hat{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{i}}$, and the sum runs over all the independent pairs of sites $i$ and $j$. The im portance of $\hat{J_{C}}$ has been already reported for various lattice $m$ odels $\left.\bar{T}_{1},{ }^{1} 1 \mathrm{~d}\right]$. A typical exam ple of $v_{i j}$ is presented in $F$ ig. the independent $v_{i j}$ are optim ized for the 2D $t-t^{0}-J$ m odel $w$ ith $J=t=0: 3, t^{0}=t=0: 2$, and $N n=N_{\#}=115$ on $\mathrm{L}=1616$ [ 16 . C ertainly the inclusion of $\hat{J_{C}}$ im proves, e.g., the variational energy. Besides such quantitative changes, $\hat{\mathrm{J}}_{\mathrm{C}}$ can also $m$ ake a qualitative di erence. O ne of these exam ples is showp in Fig. (b), where the charge structure factor $N(q)={ }_{1} \exp ($ iq 1$) h h_{1} \mathrm{H}_{i+1}$ i for sm all
w ave num bers are calculated using the wave functions
 as jqj! 0 for $j_{0}^{(\mathbb{N})}$ i as expected, whereas $N(q)!$ nite
 not conserve the num ber of particles which is instead a



F IG .2: (a) C harge Jastrow factor $v(r)=v_{i j}$ as a function of distances $r=j$ jj. These quantities are optim ized in such a way that the variationalenergy of $j{ }_{0}^{(\mathbb{N})} i$ is $m$ inim ized for the 2D $t-t^{0}-J$ m odelwith $J=t=0: 3, t^{0}=t=0: 2$, and $N=N_{\#}=$ 115 on $L=1616[16,17]$. (b) C harge structure factor $N$ (q) calculated using $j{ }_{0}^{(\mathbb{N})} i$ (circles) and $j{ }_{0}^{(\mathbb{N})}{ }^{\text {( }}$ (crosses) for the 2D $t-t^{0}-J m$ odel $w$ ith the sam e $m$ odel param eters as in (a). $T$ he variationalparam eters are optim ized forboth states. The statistical error bars are sm aller than the size of the sym bols.


FIG.3: n (k) (circles) and $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{(+)}$(squares) calculated using the wave functions $j{ }_{0}^{(\mathbb{N})}$ i and $j{ }_{k}^{(\mathbb{N}+1)}$ i with $\hat{\mathcal{J}_{C}} \cdot$ Them odel param eters used are the sam e as in $F$ ig. 'z. The sum of the two quantities ( $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{k})+\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}}^{(+)}$) and $1 \quad \mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{L}=0: 551$ are also plotted by crosses and thick dashed lines, respectively. The statistical error bars are sm aller than the size of the sym bols.

It is now interesting to exam ine if the exact relation Eq. $(\underline{(\underline{g}})$ proved for the states $j{ }_{0}^{(\mathbb{N})} i$ and $j{ }_{k}^{(\mathbb{N}+1)} i$, and thus Eq. (12 $\overline{2}_{1}$ ), can still hold for these $m$ ore involved wave functions $j{ }_{0}^{-(\mathbb{N})}$ i and $j{ }_{k}^{(N+1)}$ i. The num erical results on sm all clusters are presented in $F$ ig $m$ odelw ith the sam emodel param eters as in $F$ ig. seen in Fig ${ }_{c}^{\text {'in, }}$, surprisingly Eq. $(\overline{9})$ rem ains satis ed, at least approxim ately. Thus it can be still argued that, because of the sum rule for the one-particle excitation
 satis ed, and therefore $m$ ost of the one-particle added excitation spectrum consists of a coherent part. Further num erical calculations have been carried out for the 2D $t-t^{0}-J \mathrm{~m}$ odelw ith di erent m odelparam eters, and it $w$ as found that Eq. (9, $\overline{1})$ is still satis ed w ithin $10\left\{15 \%\right.$ [ $\left.{ }_{2}^{2} \overline{3}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$.

So farwe have only considered the one-particle added excitations. Let us brie y discuss the one-particle rem oved excitations. A one-particle rem oved state is analogously constructed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.j_{k}^{(N} 1\right) i=\hat{P_{N}} \quad \hat{P}_{G} \wedge_{k}^{Y}-B C S i: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

A theugh $j{ }_{k}^{(N)} i$ and $j{ }_{k}^{(\mathbb{N}+1)} i$ are of very like form, the sim ilar conclusion about the coherence of the oneparticle excitations can not be draw $n$ for the one-particle rem oved excitations. A sim ple reason for this is the follow ing [12,

$$
\hat{P_{G}} C_{k}^{V} j 0_{0}^{(N)} i=\hat{P_{N}+1} \hat{P_{G}} C_{k}^{y} \quad B C S i / j_{k}^{(N+1)} i ;
$$

i.e., $\hat{P_{G}} \epsilon_{k}^{y} j 0_{0}^{(\mathbb{N})} i$ consists of only one state, while for the one-particle rem oved excitations $\hat{P_{G}} \hat{E}_{k} j{ }_{0}^{(N)} i=$ $\theta_{k} j{ }_{0}^{(N)}$ i, which is not described by $j{ }_{k}^{(N)}$ i) ialone. It is also checked num erically on sm allclusters that the quasiparticle weight for the one-particle rem oved excitation, $Z_{k}^{()}=h{ }_{k}^{(\mathbb{N}}{ }^{1)} \dot{\mathcal{j}}_{k} j_{0}^{(\mathbb{N})} i^{2}$, is substantially di erent from n ( k ).

Finally we shall discuss experim ental im plications of the present results. A m ost relevant experim ent is angleresolved inverse photoem ission spectroscopy on the superconducting state for the hole-doped cuprates. If we assum e that the G utzw iller pro jected B C S states discussed here are faithfuldescription for the superconducting state in the cuprates, it is expected that the inverse photoem ission spectroscopy spectrum hasm ore coherent characteristics than the direct photoem ission spectroscopy spectrum does [24]. The sim ilar trend is also expected in the superconducting state for the electron-doped cuprates except now that the direct photoem ission spectroscopy spectrum has m ore coherent characteristics. This is because the t-J like $m$ odels can also describe the electrondoped cuprates only after the particle-hole transform ation: $\left.\hat{\theta}_{\mathrm{k}}!\hat{\mathrm{h}}_{\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{Q}}^{\mathrm{Y}} \quad \mathrm{Q}=(;)\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}\text { 2 } \\ ]\end{array}\right]$, and the sam e argu$m$ ent presented here is still true for $\hat{\mathrm{h}}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{y}}$.

To sum $m$ arize, we have derived som e rigorous relations for the G utzw iller projected BCS states. U sing a sum rule for the one-particle excitation spectrum, it was shown that the one-particle added excitation spectrum tends to be $m$ ore coherent than the one-particle rem oved excitation does. P ossible experim ental im plications w ere also discussed. Finally, it should be noted that all the results presented here are based on the G utzw iller projected BCS states studied, and a question of whether these states can represent the exact eigenstates of som e particular $m$ odel $H$ am iltonian is beyond the scope of the present study.
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