D ynam ics of localized spins coupled to the conduction electrons with charge/spin currents

M asaru O noda^{1;3} and N aoto N agaosa^{1;2;3y} ¹Correlated Electron Research Center (CERC), N ational Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (A IST), T sukuba Central 4, T sukuba 305-8562, Japan ²D epartm ent of Applied Physics, U niversity of Tokyo, B unkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan ³CREST, Japan Science and Technology Corporation (JST), Saitam a, 332-0012, Japan

The e ects of the charge/spin currents of conduction electrons on the dynam ics of the localized spins are studied in terms of the perturbation in the exchange coupling J_{K} between them . The equations of motion for the localized spins are derived exactly up to 0 (J_{K}^{2}) , and the equations for the two-spin system is solved num erically. It is found that the dynam ics depends sensitively upon the relative magnitude of the charge and spin currents, i.e., it shows steady state, periodic motion, and even chaotic behavior. Extension to the multi-spin system and its implications including possible <code>\spin</code> current detector" are also discussed.

Ζ

PACS num bers: 72.25.-b, 72.25.Hg, 73.23.-b, 85.75.-d

The interplay between the current and spins is the subject of recent intensive studies [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. The giant magneto-resistive e ect (GMR) [1], the spin value e ect [2], the current-driven dom ain wall motion [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13], and the colossalm agneto-resistance (CMR) [14] are the representative phenom ena due to the interaction between the spin state and the charge dynam ics. E specially the charge current in the ferrom agnetic system is necessarily spin polarized, and the spin torque associated with it drives the nontrivial phenom ena [3, 4, 5]. On the other hand, the pure spin current without the charge current is highlighted in the studies of spintronics (such as spin H all e ect [15, 16] and quantum spin pum ping (such as spin battery [17]). Spin current is present even in the insulating m agnets. In the latter case, the electric polarization is induced by the spin current associated with the noncollinear spin con guration, o ering a new mechanism of the magneto-electric e ect [18].

However, the direct observation of the spin current is di cult because it does not appear in the M axwellequations. One possible way is to measure the electric eld or voltage drop accompanied by the spin current due to the A haranov-C ashere ect [19, 20]. However, the magnitude of the voltage drop is estimated to be very small. Therefore a more sensitive method to detect the spin current is desirable, which we propose below.

In this paper, we study the dynamics of the local spins weakly coupled to the conduction electrons with charge/spin currents. The equation of motion for the localized spins are derived up to the second order in the K ondo coupling J_K between the conduction electron spins and localized spins. This equation of motion is solved numerically and variety of dynamics is found in-

cluding the steady state, periodic motion, and even the chaotic motion in the phase diagram of charge current j^c and spin current j^s . It is not unrealistic to control both of them by several methods proposed [15, 17] in addition to the conventional spin-polarized current injection from the ferrom agnets. It is also noted that the polarization of the spin current component is controllable only in the case of weak J_K . In the strong coupling case, the spin of the conduction electron is forced to be parallel to the localized spin at each site, and we cannot arbitrarily manipulate its direction.

M odeland equation of motion { W e start with the doubleexchange model of continuum version treated in the closed time-path G reen's function (CTPGF) form alism [21], which is recently applied to the spin dynamics in a Josephson junction. (W e shall take the units in which $\sim = c = 1$.)

$$Z [S (r;t)] = Tr[T_p \exp(iS)];$$
(1)
$$Z Z$$

$$S = S_{W ZW N} + S_{ext} + dt dr ic^{V}(r;t) \theta_{t}c(r;t)$$

$$H_0 + \frac{v_{uc} J_K}{2} S (r;t) (r;t);$$
 (2)

$$dt = dt_{+} dt$$
(3)

where Z [S (r;t)] is the partition function in the CTPGF form alism, T_p is the time-ordering with respect to the closed time-path, $S_{W~ZW~N}$ is the Wess-Zum ino-W itten-Novikov (WZWN) term describing the Berry phase of the localized spins, H_0 is the Ham iltonian for free electrons. For simplicity, we consider H_0 of the quadratic dispersion and isotropic elective mass m. S_{ext} repre-

sents the coupling between the system with the external electrom agnetic eld and the driving force for the spin current of the conduction electrons. The electric action for S (r;t) is given by $S_e = iln Z [S (r;t)]$. Note that the constraint $\beta (r;t) = S = const$: is implicitly imposed.

Here we consider the weak coupling regime, $jJ_K j$

 $_{\rm F}$ ($_{\rm F}$: the Ferm i energy) and retain the term s up to the quadratic order in $J_{\rm K}$. Then, as in Ref.[22], $S_{\rm e}$ is estimated as follows.

$$S_{e} = S_{W ZW N} + g_{B} \quad dt \quad drB (r;t) \quad S (r;t)$$

$$\frac{V_{uc}J_{K}}{2} \quad \frac{2^{Z} 1}{1} \quad Z \quad dtdt^{0} \quad drdr^{0} \quad dtdt^{0} \quad drdr^{0} \quad S (r;t) \quad R \quad (r;t;r^{0};t^{0})S_{c} (r^{0};t^{0}); \quad (4)$$

where $S_c(r;t) = [S(r;t_+) + S(r;t_-)]=2$, $S(r;t) = S(r;t_+) - S(r;t_-)$, and $R(r;t;r^0;t^0) = i$ (t t^0)h[(r;t); $(r^0;t^0)i_{J_K}=0$ is the retarded spin G reen's function of the conduction electrons in nonequilibrium, which represents the response of the spin polarization of the conduction electrons to the localized spins in the linear order, i.e.,

h (r;t)i =
$$\frac{v_{uc}J_K}{2} \int_{1}^{Z_1} dt^0 dr^0 R (r;t;r^0;t^0)S_c (r^0;t^0)$$

The variational principle $S_e = S$ (r;t) = 0 at S (r;t) = 0 gives the equation of motion for localized spins,

$$\frac{@S(r;t)}{@t} = S(r;t) \qquad g_BB(r;t) + \frac{V_{uc}J_K}{2}h(r;t)i;$$
(6)

where we have used the abbreviation $S_c(r;t)$! S(r;t).

For simplicity, we shall consider the case B (r;t) = 0hereafter. In order to estimate ^R $(r;t;r^{0};t^{0})$, we approximate the anti-commutation relation fc $(r;t);c^{y_{0}}(r^{0};t^{0})g_{J_{K}=0}$ by its c-number value in equilibrium, and the nonequilibrium nature of the system is taken into account through the nonequilibrium distribution function g($_{k}$), where $_{k} = k^{2} = (2m)$.

Here we adopt the following form of $g(_k)$ in order to express the state with the charge current j^c and the spin current j^s of the conduction electrons.

$$g(_{k}) = f(_{k}) + e v_{k} E + e v_{k} E + \frac{i}{dE} (_{k}),$$

where f ($_k$) is the distribution function in equilibrium, is the electron lifetime, v_k is the group velocity, E is the external electric eld, q = 1=2 and E ^s is the (virtual) driving force for the spin current, i.e.,

 $hj^{c}i_{J_{K}\ =\ 0}\ =\ \frac{e^{2}n_{e}}{m}E$, $hj^{s}\ i_{J_{K}\ =\ 0}\ =\ \frac{e^{2}_{s}n_{e}}{m}E^{s}$, where $n_{e}\ =\ k_{F}^{3}$ =(3 $)^{2}$ and k_{F} is the Ferm in on entum .

Under the above assumption the equations of motion of discrete spins are obtained by using the relation S (r) $\$ _n (r _ $\$)S $_{r_n}$.

$$\frac{@S_{i}}{@t} = {}^{2}F_{0}^{(1)}(0)S_{i} \frac{@S_{i}}{@t} + {}^{X} {}^{h}F_{0}^{(0)}(r_{ij}) + F_{cc}^{(0)}(r_{ij})S_{i} S_{j} + {}^{jei} {}^{h}F_{sc}^{(0)}(r_{ij})S_{j} + {}^{i}F_{sc}^{(0)}(r_{ij})S_{j} + {}^{2}F_{0}^{(1)}(r_{ij}) + {}^{i}F_{cc}^{(1)}(r_{ij}) {}^{i}S_{i} \frac{@S_{j}}{##} + {}^{2}S_{i} F_{sc}^{(1)}(r_{ij}) \frac{@S_{j}}{@t}; (9)$$

where $r_{ij} = r_i$ r_j and $S_i = S_{r_i} = S_{p}$. The time scale is normalized as $t = {}^2_{F} t$ where $= {}^{b} S_{V_{uc}} n_e J_K = (2_F)$. c^0 : In the above equation of motion, we have retained the time derivatives up to n 1. The detailed forms of di-(5) mensionless functions $F_{0;cc}^{(n)}(r)$ and $F_{sc}^{(n)}(r)$ for the n-th time-derivatives are given elsewhere [23]. $F_0^{(0)}(r)$ is the Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida (RKKY) range function, $F_0^{(1)}(r) = {}^{9} \frac{1 \cos(2k_F r)}{(2k_F r)^2}$, $F_{cc}^{(n)}(r) / r$ fij $J_K = 0$ and $F_{sc}^{(n)}$; (r) / r fij $J_{J_K} = 0$. It is noted that at least two spins are needed in order to feel the ow of the charge/spin currents. This results is roughly consistent with the result obtained by Bames for the dynam ical RKKY problem [24]. It can be shown that the above equations of motion is valid in the present situation 2 1 and the magnetic eld is not too strong [23].

 $F_0^{(0)}(\mathbf{r})$ -term is the intrinsic torque between the localized spins due to the RKKY interaction. $F_0^{(1)}(\mathbf{0})$ term represents the G ibert damping due to the Ferm i bath of the conduction electrons, and $F_0^{(1)}(\mathbf{r})$ -term $(\mathbf{r} \notin \mathbf{0})$ works as a non-local damping/puming [24, 25]. $F_{cc}^{(0)}(\mathbf{r})$ -/ $F_{sc}^{(0)}(\mathbf{r})$ -term is the intrinsic torque due to the charge/spin currents. Depending on the separation of spins and the ow of charge/spin currents, $F_{cc}^{(0)}(\mathbf{r})$ -term introduces a torque term with a di erent symmetry, because the spin current is not a vector but a tensor. A swe shall see later, this term introduces the spin anisotropy in the direction of the spin component of the spin current. $F_{cc}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r})$ -/ $F_{sc}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r})$ -term is the correction to the nonlocal damping/pumping term due to the charge/spin currents. $F_{sc}^{(1)}(r)$ -term has a di erent symmetry from that of the original term and leads to a spin-anisotropic dam p-ing/pumping.

D ynam ics of two-spin system { Now we consider the dynam ics of the two-spin system in detail. For the num erical study, we norm alize the charge/spin currents as

$$J^{n} = \frac{2}{2e_{F}}hj^{c}i_{J_{K}=0}; \quad J^{s} = \frac{2}{2e_{s}F}hj^{s}i_{J_{K}=0}; (10)$$

where $_{\rm F} = 2 = k_{\rm F}$, and, take the forms of them as $J^{\rm n} = J^{\rm n} j_0$ and $J^{\rm s} = J^{\rm s} n_{\rm s} j_0$, where j_0 and $n_{\rm s}$ are the unit vectors representing the directions of the charge/spin-current ow and the spin-current polarization, respectively. The unit of charge current, $2e_{\rm F} = \frac{2}{\rm F}$, is estimated as 10^{5} A cm 2 for a semiconductor with $n_{\rm e} = 10^{18}$ cm 3 and $_{\rm F} = 1 \, {\rm meV}$, and as 10^{6} A cm 2 for a metalwith $n_{\rm e} = 10^{21}$ cm 3 and $_{\rm F} = 1 \, {\rm eV}$. The unit of time, $1 = (2 - {\rm F})$, is estimated as $10 - {\rm I}$ (1 m eV/ $_{\rm F}$) ps for

= 0.05. We put the two spins along j_0 which is set to be the x-direction. n_s is set to be the z-direction.



FIG.1: Phase diagram of the dynamics of the two-spin system in the plane of charge current J^n and spin current J^s .

Fig. 1 show the $\$ phase diagram " for the dynamics of the two-spin system with $k_F r = 1.0$ and $^2 = 0.05$. Figs. 2-4 show examples of motions in the regions II-IV respectively. The black line represent the inner product of the two spins $S_1 S_2$. The solid lines of green and orange are the z-components of upstream and downstream spins respectively, i.e., S_1^z and S_2^z . The dashed lines of green and orange are the x-components of upstream and downstream spins respectively, i.e., S_1^x and S_2^x .

In region I, the two spins reach the nal static collinear con guration with the easy-axis anisotropy in the direction of n_s . In the special case of $J^s = 0$, i.e., the pure charge current, the static collinear con guration is realized with no spin anisotropy. This region contains the cases with any amount of the weakly spin-polarized charge current and a sm all amount of the perfectly spin-polarized charge current. In region II and III, the spins show the periodic motions in which the angle between the two spins and the z-component of each spin takes a

constant value. The weakly-charged spin current belongs to region II, which is our main concern. An example of this case is given in Fig. 2, where the lines for S_1^z (green solid) and S_2^z (orange solid) are almost overlapped. W hen $jJ^n j$ is su ciently smaller than $jJ^s j$ the angle 12 between the two spins is a increasing (decreasing) function of jJ^sj (jJⁿ). The z-componet of a spin is a increasing (decreasing) function of $jJ^n j (jJ^s j)$. The frequency of m otion increases with both of j^n jand $j^s j$. In the case with $J^n = 0$, i.e., the pure spin current, the static coplanar con guration with the hard-axis parallel (or the easy-plane perpendicular) to n_s is realized and the angle between the two spins, 12, is determined by the magnitude of J^s as jtan $_{12}j = F_{sc}^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_{12}) = F_0^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}_{12})j$. Fig. 3 shows another type of a simple motion which occurs in region III. In region IV observed the chaotic or complicated motion in which both the angle between the two spins and the z-component of each spin are changing in motion and do not reach a steady state. This region contains the cases with a large amount of the strongly spin-polarized charge current and a large am ount of the strongly charged spin current. A lthough we observe various types of motions in this region, any sharp boundaries between them are not identi ed at least in the present simulation. We shall not undertake their precise classi cation. However, a relatively clear change can be observed around the dotted line in Fig.1. Under the dotted line in this region, the upstream spin slow ly precesses around n_s with a cycloidal trajectory and the downstream spin quickly precesses around the upstream spin. Deep in the region IV above the dotted line, the motion becomes chaotic as shown in Fig. 4. Note that a large amount of strongly spin-polarized charge current leads to this class of motion, where the strong coupling theories (for 2 1) [5, 26, 27] predict the instability of the ferrom agnetic order. Som e rem arks are in order here. First the dynamics highly depends on the initial condition in the vicinity of the boundary between the regions II and IV. Secondly there is a very narrow region between the regions I and IV, while the regions I and IV appear to touch each other. In this narrow region, we observe the motions similar to those in the regions II and III. Thus, the regions II and III m ay be connected through this region.



FIG. 2: Example of the motion in the region II. $J^n = 0.1$ and $J^s = 2.0$. For the meaning of each curves, see the text.



FIG.3: Example of the motion in the region III. $J^n = 2.0$ and $J^s = 0.6$.



FIG.4: Example of the motion in the region IV $.J^n = 1.0$ and $J^s = 1.0$.

D iscussion { The above consideration on the case of pure spin current can be easily generalized to the onedimensional periodic array of spins along the direction of j_0 . The equilibrium interaction $F_0^{(0)}(a_0)$ between the nearest neighbor spins with the separation a_0 is assumed to be ferrom agnetic. Even in the case with $J^s \notin 0$ but $J^n = 0$, we can construct an energy functional E_e which should be minimized for the spin conguration of nal steady state.

$$E_{e} = \begin{array}{c} X & h & i \\ F_{0}^{(0)}(r_{ij})S_{i} & \$ + F_{sc}^{(0)}(r_{ij}) & [\$ & S_{j}]: \\ i < j & i < s \end{array}$$

(11)

This suggests that even the dissipative transport spin current works on the localized spins in the same way as the equilibrium spin current does, while it is not the case for the charge current. In equilibrium, i.e., $J^n = J^s = 0$, the spins align ferrom agnetically, and the direction of alignment is arbitrary because there is no spin an isotropy in our model. When J^s is introduced, the spins feel D zyaloshinsky-M oriya-like interaction in addition to the ferrom agnetic one. For simplicity, we shall take into account only the nearest neighbor interaction and set ns to be in the positive z-direction. Then, the variational energy including the lowest energy state is given $F_0^{(0)}(a_0)\cos(qa_0) + F_{sc}^{(0);z}(a_0)\sin(qa_0)$. The by $E_{q} =$ lowest energy state is realized by the real-space con guration $S_i = S = [\cos(q_0 r_i + 0); \sin(q_0 r_i + 0); 0]$ where $F_{sc}^{(0);z}(a_0)=F_0^{(0)}(a_0)$ and $_0$ is an arbi- $\tan(q_0a_0) =$ trary constant. The spins is expected to show the helical order and the hard-axis anisotropy parallel (or the easy-plane an isotropy perpendicular) to n_s is introduced by the pure spin current. The pitch angle, j_0a_0j of

the helix increases with the magnitude of $i J^{s} i$ because $f_{sc}^{(0)}(a_0) j / j j^s j$. Hence this helical order is realized in the steady state with the pure spin current, this might be utilized as the spin current detector. In other cases than discussed above, we must consider the dynam ical equations of motion directly. However, the previous study of the two-spin system gives us the following insights. W hen the sm all amount of J^n is introduced to the pure spin current, the spins arise from the coplanar helical order in the easy plane and show the periodic motion around n_s as in the motion of the two spins in the region II. In the case with a small amount of the weakly spinpolarized current which belongs to the region I, the spins align ferrom agnetically as in equilibrium, but in this case the easy-axis anisotropy parallel to n_s is introduced by the spin-polarized current. However, for a large am ount of the strongly spin-polarized charge current belonging to the region IV, the instability of ferrom agnetic order would be observed. The details of the dynam icalm otion ofmulti-spin system will be reported elsewhere.

In conclusion, we have derived the equations of motion of localized spins weakly coupled to the conduction electrons with charge/spin currents. The dynam ics of localized spins are a ected signi cantly by these charge and spin currents of conduction electrons, and the dynam ics of the two-spin system has been studied in depth by numerical calculation. The phase diagram for the dynam ics in the plan of charge and spin currents are divided into 4 regions, where the steady state, periodic motion, and even chaotic motion are observed.

The authors thank S.E.Barnes, M.M. aekawa, J. Ieda, H. Im am ura, S.Takahashi, G.E.W. Bauer, A.V.Balatsky, and G.Tatara for fruitful discussions. This work is

nancially supported by NAREGIG rant, G rant-in-A ids from the M inistry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan.

E lectronic address: m .onoda@ aist.go.jp

- ^y E lectronic address: nagaosa@ appit.u-tokyo.ac.p
- [1] M.N.Baibich et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 2472 (1988).
- [2] B.Dieny et al., J.Appl. Phys. 69, 4774 (1991).
- [3] J.C.Sloncewski, J.M agn.M agn.M ater. 159, L1 (1996).
- [4] L.Berger, Phys. Rev. B 54, 9353 (1996).
- [5] Ya.B.Bazaliy, B.A. Jones, and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B 57, R 3213 (1998).
- [6] J.J.Vershuijs, M.A.Bari, and J.M.D.Coey, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 026601 (2001).
- [7] D.A.Allwood et al., Science 296, 2003 (2002).
- [8] M .Yam anouchiet al., Nature 428, 539 (2004).
- [9] A.Yam aguchiet al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 92, 077205 (2004).
- [10] S. Zhang, P.M. Levy, and A. Fert, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 236601 (2002).
- [11] G. Tatara and H. Kohno, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 086601 (2004).
- [12] S.Zhang, and Z.Li, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 127204 (2004).

- [13] S.E.Barnes and S.Maekawa, cond-m at0410035.
- [14] For a review see Y. Tokura and N. Nagaosa, Sience 288, 462 (2000).
- [15] S.M urakam i, N.Nagaosa, and S.-C. Zhang, Science 301, 1348 (2003).
- [16] J. Sinova et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 126603 (2004).
- [17] Y.T serkovnyak et al, cond-m at/0409242.
- [18] H.Katsura, N.Nagaosa, and A.V.Balatsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 057205 (2005).
- [19] S. Takahashi and S. Maekawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 116601 (2002).

- [20] P. Zhang et al., cond-m at/0503505.
- [21] K.-C.Chou et al, Phys.Rep.118,1 (1985).
- [22] J.-X. Zhu et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 107001 (2004).
- $\ensuremath{\left[23\right]}$ M .O noda and N .N agaosa, in preparation.
- [24] S.E.Bames, J.Phys.F 4, 1535 (1974).
- [25] Y.Rikitake and H.Im am ura, cond-m at/0408375.
- [26] J. Fernandez-Rossier et al., Phys. Rev. B 69, 174412 (2004).
- [27] J. Shibata, G. Tatara, and H. Kohno, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94,076601 (2005).