## A Large A tom N um ber M etastable H elium B ose-E instein C ondensate

A.S.Tychkov, T.Jeltes, J.M.McNamara, P.J.J.Tol, N.Herschbach, W.Hogervorst, and W.Vassen

Laser Centre Vrije Universiteit, De Boelekan 1081, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands

(Dated: October 3, 2018)

W e have produced a B ose-E instein condensate of m etastable helium ( ${}^{4}$ H e) containing over 15 10<sup>7</sup> atom s, which is a factor of 25 higher than previously achieved. The improved starting conditions for evaporative cooling are obtained by applying one-dimensionalD oppler cooling inside a magnetic trap. The same technique is successfully used to cool the spin-polarized ferm ionic isotope ( ${}^{3}$ H e), for which therm alizing collisions are highly suppressed. Our detection techniques include absorption imaging, time-of- ight measurements on a microchannel plate detector and ion counting to monitor the form ation and decay of the condensate.

Ten years after the rst experim ental realization of Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) in dilute, weakly interacting atom ic system s [1] the eld of degenerate quantum gases has developed into a major area of research. For most elements it has not yet been possible to produce Bose-Einstein condensates containing large numbers of atoms. Only for hydrogen, sodium and rubidium have condensates with m ore than  $10^6$  atom s been realized [2]. Large condensates provide a better signal-to-noise ratio, allow a study of both the collisionless and the hydrodynam ic regime and are especially useful for sympathetic cooling and atom laser applications. In this realm metastable atoms are of particular interest, offering alternative detection methods due to their high internal energy. Absorption in aging is the technique most frequently applied to detect and measure a BEC. M etastable helium (2  ${}^{3}S_{1}$  state) is the only species for which detection of the condensate has been performed using a m icrochannel plate (M C P) detector [3]; the same detector was also used to measure ions produced by Penning ionization (while absorption imaging was not used). In a recent experiment, after pioneering work with metastable neon [4], Schellekens et al. used a position sensitive MCP detector to observe the Hanbury Brown and Twiss e ect both above and below the BEC threshold [5]. In the second experiment in which BEC was realized with He\* [6], optical detection was used and up to  $6 \quad 10^5$  atom s could be condensed. Recently, this group reported a high precision m easurem ent of the metastable helium scattering length a, which was perform ed using a two-photon dark resonance [7]. The value a = 7:512 0:005 nm is favorable for experiments with the ferm ionic isotope <sup>3</sup>He . It ensures a stable ultracold <sup>4</sup>He /<sup>3</sup>He boson-ferm ion mixture, as the inter-isotope scattering length will be large and positive [8]. Large num bers of <sup>4</sup>He atom s all along to the critical tem perature provide an e cient reservoir for sym pathetic cooling and will facilitate the production of degenerate <sup>3</sup>H e clouds with large num bers of atom s.

In this letter we present an experiment that combines the various detection methods used previously [3, 6] and describe the realization of a BEC of <sup>4</sup>He containing more than 1.5  $10^7$  atoms. This large im-

provem ent is primarily due to the application of onedimensional Doppler cooling inside the magnetic trap rather than three-dimensional Doppler cooling prior to magnetic trapping. Doppler cooling of polarized atoms was originally proposed for atom ic hydrogen [9], and has recently been demonstrated for optically dense sam ples of magnetically trapped chromium atoms [10]. Compared to the laser cooling methods we investigated previously [11, 12], this con guration is more e cient and sim ple.

The experim ental setup is an extended and improved version of our previous setup [11]. In short, we start with a beam of metastable atoms produced by a liquidnitrogen cooled dc-discharge source. The atom ic beam is collim ated, de ected and slowed by applying laser beam s resonant with the 2  ${}^{3}S_{1}$  ! 2  ${}^{3}P_{2}$  transition at 1083 nm. Typically 2 10<sup>9</sup> atom s are loaded into a m agneto-optical trap (MOT) at a tem perature of 1 m K. Since our previous experiments [11] we have installed a new ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) cham ber and m agnetic trap. The coils for our cloverleafm agnetic trap are placed in water-cooled plastic boxes and positioned in re-entrant windows. Inside the UHV cham ber two MCP detectors (Ham am atsu F4655) and an rf-coil are mounted. The rst MCP detector is positioned 10 cm from the trap center and attracts positively charged ions produced in Penning ionizing collisions:  $He^* + He^* ! He^+ + He(1 ^1S) + e$ (or  $He^* + He^*$ !  $He_2^+ + e$ ). These ionization processes are the primary loss mechanisms in cold clouds of He\*. A second (identical) MCP detector shielded by a grounded grid is positioned 17 cm below the trap center and detects neutral H e\* atom s that fall upon it. T his detector is m ounted on a translation stage and can be displaced horizontally to allow a vertical laser beam to pass through the trap center for absorption in aging. A bsorption in aging of the MOT cloud determ ines the num ber of atom s in the MOT with an accuracy of about 20% [11]; this is used to calibrate the He\* MCP detector. W hen the MOT is loaded we switch o all currents and laser beam s. In an applied weak magnetic eld we spin-polarize the cloud (atom s are pumped into the m = +1 m agnetic sublevel) and switch on the currents of the cloverleaf magnetic trap. Typically 60% of the atom s is transferred from the MOT into the magnetic trap in this procedure. We oper-



FIG.1: Time-of-ight signals of <sup>4</sup>He atoms released from them agnetic trap, with and without one-dimensionalD oppler cooling. The apparent signal increase after D oppler cooling is due to the increased fraction of atoms that is detected at lower temperature. The line is a tassum ing a M axwell-Boltzm ann velocity distribution.

ate the cloverleaf trap at a bias magnetic eld B  $_0$ = 24 G to suppress excitation of depolarizing transitions in the subsequent one-dimensional D oppler cooling stage.

One-dimensional Doppler cooling starts at the same time as the cloverleaf trap is switched on. It is im plem ented by retrore ecting a weak circularly polarized laser beam along the (horizontal) symmetry axis of the magnetic eld. During the cooling pulse the temperature decreases reducing the size and increasing the optical thickness. Cooling in the radial directions relies on reabsorption of spontaneously emitted red-detuned photons by the optically thick cloud. O ther possible energy redistribution mechanisms are collisional thermalization and anharm onic mixing. W hile the collision rate increases from  $1.5 \text{ s}^1$  to  $20 \text{ s}^1$  during D oppler cooling, anharmonic mixing is negligible in our trap. With a laser detuning of one natural linew idth below the resonance frequency for an atom at rest in the center of the trap and an intensity of 10  $^{3}$  I<sub>sat</sub> (I<sub>sat</sub> = 0:17 mW /cm<sup>2</sup>), optimum cooling is realized in 2 seconds. In a separate experiment  $10^{8}$  <sup>3</sup>He atom swere loaded into the magnetic trap and cooled using the same technique. For identical ferm ions s-w ave collisions are forbidden, while the contribution of the higher-order partial waves is highly suppressed in this tem perature range for He. We observed a tem perature decrease from 1 m K to 0.15 m K, which suggests that reabsorption of red-detuned photons scattered by atom s in the cloud is the main cooling mechanism in the radial direction.

Figure 1 shows two TOF traces, illustrating the e ect of one-dimensionalD oppler cooling in our cloverleafm agnetic trap. We typically trap N = 1.5  $10^9$  atom s, which are cooled to a temperature T = 0.13 m K, three times the D oppler limit. This im plies a temperature reduction by a factor of 8 and an increase in phase-space density by a factor of 600, while practically no atom s are lost from

2

the trap. For com parison, reaching this tem perature by means of rf-induced evaporative cooling would result in the loss of 90% of the atom s from the trap. In previous experim ents [11, 12] we applied three-dim ensional Doppler cooling or a two-color magneto-optical trap to in prove the starting conditions for evaporative cooling. O ne-dim ensional D oppler cooling provides low er tem peratures, higher phase-space density and is easier to im plement. At this point the lifetime of the atoms in the magnetic trap is about 3 m inutes, lim ited by collisions with background gas. To compress the cloud we reduce the bias eld in the trap center to 3 G in 2.5 seconds, which increases the tem perature to 0.2 mK. The param eters of our magnetic trap then are modest: the axial and radial trap frequencies are  $!_z=2 = 47 = 1$  Hz and  $!_{?} = 2 = 237$  4 H z respectively. H igher frequencies are possible but are not required to achieve BEC. Our procedure is sim ilar to previous experim ents on evaporative cooling in our group [13]. A fter com pression we cool the gas by rf-induced evaporative cooling in 12 s to BEC, which is achieved at a tem perature of 2 K.W e apply a single exponential rf ram p, starting at 50 M Hz. The frequency decreases to zero but the ram p is term inated at around 8.4 MHz. Shorter ramps, down to 2 s, also produce a condensate, albeit with fewer atom s.

Them ost sensitive method to detect BEC is TOF analysis of the expanding cloud on the He MCP detector. A typical TOF signal, obtained in a single shot, is shown in Fig. 2a. The double structure is a combination of the inverted parabola indicating the presence of a BEC released from the harm onic trap and a broad therm ald istribution. This signal is used to determ ine the num ber of atom s in the condensate as well as in the therm alcloud. In contrast to the O rsay experiments [3, 5], all atom s stay in the m = +1 sublevel during the trap switch-o. Applying the MCP calibration, the area under the tted curve determ ines the num ber of atom s that have hit the detector. W hen we consider a therm all cloud, this num ber is only a small fraction of the total num ber of therm alatom s N  $_{\rm th}$  . Therefore the determ ination of N  $_{\rm th}$ relies upon the m easured tem perature and the MCP calibration. The condensate expansion, determ ined by the mean-eld interaction energy, is much slower. Thus the condensate will fall completely on the detector sensitive area (diameter of 1.45 cm), allowing us to measure the num ber of condensed atom s N 0 using the M C P calibration alone. The maximum number of atoms in the condensate deduced in this way is  $1 \quad 10^7$ . This number is an underestim ate due to MCP saturation e ects, which will be discussed below. By applying an additionalm agnetic eld pulse we com pensate sm all residual eld gradients, which otherwise lead to a slight deviation from free fall expansion. With stronger eld pulses we can also push the cloud towards the detector and this way realize shorter expansion times. The model used to t the time-of-ight signals of the partly condensed clouds



FIG.2: Observation of BEC, (a) on the He MCP detector; the dashed t shows the condensed fraction and the dasheddotted t the broader them al distribution, (b) on a CCD cam era; after an expansion time of 19 m s a round them al cloud surrounding a cigar-shaped condensate is visible, (c) on the ion MCP detector; the condensate starts to grow at t = 0.95 s, at t = 0.45 rf ram p ends and at t = 0 the trap is switched o.

(Fig. 2a) is a combination of a therm alpart (Bose distribution) and a condensate part (parabolic distribution). The chem ical potential , the number of atom s and the tem perature T are the free param eters of the t; e ects of interactions are not included in the function used for the therm alpart. In the Thom as Ferm ilim it [14], the chem ical potential is given by  $5=2 = 15h^2m^{1=2}2 5=2 N_0!^3a$ , where h is Planck's constant divided by 2 , ! is the geom etric m ean of the trap frequencies, m is the mass of the helium atom and a = 7:512(5) nm [7] is the scattering length. A maximum value of extracted from the t of the TOF signal is 1:3 10<sup>29</sup> J, which corresponds to 5:1  $10^7$  atom s in the condensate. A possible cause for the discrepancy between the number of atom s determ ined from the integrated signal and from the measurement of the chemical potential may be saturation of the MCP when detecting a falling condensate (peak ux of  $10^9$  atom s/second); this leads to an underestimation of N<sub>0</sub> as well as . A nother possible cause is distortion of the velocity distribution during the trap switch-o and in uence of remaining magnetic eld gradients on the expansion of the cloud. This may lead to an overestimation of , and therefore also of N<sub>0</sub>.

W hen the MCP detector is shifted horizontally, we can detect the condensate on a CCD camera. A weak  $(I = 10^{1} I_{sat})$ , 50 s long on-resonance laser pulse is applied to image the shadow of the atom s on the CCD camera for which a quantum e ciency of 1.6% is measured at 1083 nm . As expected, the condensate expands an isotropically while the therm all cloud shows an isotropic expansion (Fig. 2b). Absolute calibration of the num ber of atom sat K tem peratures could not be perform ed by optical means. The analysis of the absorption im ages, taken between 1 m s and 70 m s after the trap was switched o, shows that the condensate expansion deviates from the theoretical predictions [15]: it expands faster than expected in the radial direction and slower in the axial. From these observations we conclude that the expansion of the cloud is in uenced by magnetic eld gradients during the switch-o of the trap. A di erence in the switch-o times of the axial and radial con nement could cause an additional in balance in the redistribution of the condensate interaction energy between the two directions. This may in uence the measurements of both the chem ical potential and the tem perature. In order to check if the interaction energy is conserved, we extract the asymptotic kinetic energy gained during the expansion from absorption images of the cloud [16]. In the Thom as Ferm i approximation this so-called release energy should equal the interaction energy in the trap. W e obtain N<sub>0</sub> = 4  $10^7$  from this analysis assuming that no extra energy is added to (or taken from ) the system during the trap switch-o. This is not exactly fullled in our case as switching is not fast enough to ensure diabaticity.

To verify our TOF signal analysis, we plot the chem ical potential as a function of N  $_0^{2=5}$  using data obtained from the MCP measurements (here N $_0$  is the number of condensed atom sm easured by integrating the MCP current). The data points lie on a straight line which goes through zero with a slope larger than expected, m eaning that either is overestim ated or N  $_0$  is underestim ated. The form er is supported by the analysis of the absorption in ages so we correct . The corrected data points as well as the theoretical line are presented in the inset of Fig. 3. The plot also shows that the MCP detector saturates, when the number of atom s in the condensate exœeds  $10^6$ . W hen we now extract the number of atoms from the measured chemical potential, after the correction for the distortion during the trap switch-o, we nd N<sub>0</sub> = 1:5  $10^7$  in our largest condensates. This num ber is still a low er lim it, as the analysis assum es that



FIG. 3: Decay of a quasi-pure BEC (circles) and a BEC in the presence of a large (N <sub>th</sub> = N <sub>0</sub>) them alfraction (squares). The dashed curve represents the atom ic transfer m odel [19], with two- and three-body loss rate constants obtained from a t (full curve) to the decay of the quasi-pure BEC. D ata points that lie above N <sub>0</sub> =  $10^6$  are corrected for the saturation e ects. Inset: Chem ical potential () as a function of N  $_0^{2-5}$ . The same data as for the quasi-pure BEC decay are used in the plot. The value of is multiplied by a constant (0.61), to bring the data points on the theoretical line (see text).

is not a ected by saturation of the M CP detector. We, however, measure a reduction in when we push the BEC towards the detector, thus increasing the saturation problem .

W ith a second M CP detector we observed the growth and decay of our condensate by counting the ions produced during evaporative cooling. Due to the increase in density the ion signal increases, although the number of trapped atoms decreases. When BEC sets in, a sharp increase is expected, indicating the form ation of a dense cloud in the centre of the trap [3]. This is demonstrated in Fig. 2c, which shows the growth of the condensate as well as its decay.

The dynam ics of form ation and decay of the condensate is an interesting aspect that was discussed and investigated earlier to some extent [18]. In our group a m odelwas developed describing the decay of the condensate in the presence of a therm al fraction [19]. The model assum es therm alization to be fast com pared to the rate of change in therm odynam ic variables, so the system remains in thermal equilibrium during the decay. It was shown that under this assumption a transfer of atoms should occur from the condensate to the therm alcloud, enhancing the condensate decay rate. To verify this, we perform ed m easurem ents of the BEC lifetim e using the TOF signal. Due to the high detection e ciency it was possible to detect a condensate up to 75 s after it was produced. Results of these measurements are summarised in Fig. 3. We t the model to the experim ental data for a quasi-pure BEC decay; two-and three-body loss rate constants are used as free param eters. Good agreem ent with the experiment is found for two-and three-body loss rate constants = 2 10<sup>14</sup> cm<sup>3</sup> s<sup>1</sup> and L = 9 10<sup>27</sup> cm<sup>6</sup> s<sup>1</sup> respectively, which compares well with theory [17]. When we use the extracted values of and L in our model for the decay of the condensate in the presence of a thermal fraction, the dashed curve included in Fig. 3 is obtained. The agreement with the experiment is good, so we can conclude that the model reproduces the data.

To sum marize, we have realized a condensate of  ${}^{4}\text{H}\,\text{e}$  containing more than 1.5  $10^{7}$  atom s and studied its grow th and decay by measuring the ion production rate in situ, observing its ballistic expansion by absorption imaging and by recording the time-of- ight signal on an M CP detector. The main ingredient that made this large atom number possible is one-dimensional D oppler cooling in the magnetic trap. We demonstrated that this technique can also be applied to cool spin-polarized helium ferm ions, where the Pauli principle forbids swave collisions. C om bining both isotopes in one setup may allow the observation of Ferm idegeneracy in boson-ferm ion mixtures of metastable atom s.

We thank Jacques Boum a for technical support. This work was supported by the 'Cold Atom s' program of the Dutch Foundation for Fundamental Research on M atter (FOM) and by the European Union (ESF BEC2000+/QUDED IS program and 'Cold Quantum Gases' network).

Electronic address: w.vassen@few.vu.nl

- M. H. Anderson et al., Science 269, 198 (1995);
  K.B.Davis et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 3969 (1995).
- [2] E.W. Streed et al., arX iv: cond-m at/0507348 (2005).
- [3] A. Robert, et al., Science 292, 461 (2001).
- [4] M. Yasuda and F. Shim izu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3090 (1996).
- [5] M. Schellekens et al., Science 310, 648 (2005).
- [6] F. Pereira D os Santos et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 3459 (2001).
- [7] S.M oalet al, arX iv cond-m at/0509286 (2005).
- [8] R.J.W .Stas et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 053001 (2004).
- [9] T.Hijmansetal, J.Opt.Soc.Am.B 6, 2235 (1989).
- [10] P.O.Schm idtetal, J.Opt.Soc.Am.B 960, 203 (2003).
- [11] N.Herschbach et al., J.Opt.B 5, 65 (2003); P.J.J.Tol et al, Phys. Rev. A 60, R761 (1999).
- [12] A.S.Tychkov et al, Phys.Rev.A 69,055401 (2004).
- [13] P.J.J.Tol, W .Hogervorst, and W .Vassen, Phys.Rev. A 70,013404 (2004).
- [14] F.Dalfovo et al, Rev.M od.Phys.71,463 (1999);
- [15] Y.Castin and R.Dum, Phys.Rev.Lett. 77, 5315 (1996).
- [16] M.J.Holland et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 3801 (1997).
- [17] P.O. Fedichev et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 2921 (1996);
  P.Leo et al., Phys. Rev. A 64, 042710 (2001).
- [18] S. Seidelin et al, J. Opt. B 5, 112 (2003); J. Soding et al, Appl. Phys. B 69 257 (1999); C. W. Gardiner et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 1793 (1997); M. Kohlet al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 080402 (2002).
- [19] P.Zin et al., J. Phys. B 36, L149 (2003).