

Comments on "Pathway from Condensation via Fragmentation to Fermionization of Cold Bosonic Systems"

A. Kwang-Hua CHU

P.O. Box 30-15, Shanghai 200030, PR China

Abstract

We make remarks on the paper by Alon and Cederbaum [*Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95** 140402 (2005)]. The information about the Pauli blocking for Fermi-Dirac and Bose-Einstein particle statistics were presented.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Hh, 03.75.Nt, 05.30.Jp, 32.80.Pj

Keywords:

Alon and Cederbaum just presented a pathway from condensation to fermionization where the evolution of the density profile of a cold bosonic system with increasing scattering length was followed [1]. With increasing scattering length the density profile acquires more and more oscillations, until their number eventually equals to N , the number of bosons. Once the number of oscillations equals the number of bosons and the system becomes fermionized. They showed that the ground state and density profile of a bosonic system strongly depend on the shape of the trap potential. This allows one to design systems with an intriguing ground state, e.g., for which one part is condensed and the other one is fermionized. However, the present author, based on the experiences in the quantum kinetic theory (especially the Uehling-Uhlenbeck approach) [2], would like to make some remarks about their claim : the pathway from condensation to fermionization depends on the shape of the potential. When more particles are trapped in the double-well trap employed there, the physical picture obtained above remains essentially the same? Alon and Cederbaum followed the pathway from weakly- to strongly-interacting bosons in real space and obtain a wavefunction picture of the ground state of cold atoms in the trap. This will allow them to monitor directly the fine changes in the spatial density of interacting bosons as the inter-particle interacting (λ_0) increases (say, $\lambda = \lambda_0(N - 1)/(2\pi) = 1$, the energy functional is minimal when all atoms reside in one orbital, thus recovering Gross-Pitaevskii theory [1]; N is the number of bosons). In fact, Alon and Cederbaum chose as an illustrative 1D example the potential profile $V(x)$ (cf. Fig. 1 in [1]) which was an asymmetric double-well potential with the left well being deeper and narrower than the right one. With their numerical results, from $\lambda \approx 155$ (or $\gamma \equiv \lambda_0/2n \sim 9$) on, all bosons reside in different orthogonal orbitals-the bosonic system has become fully fermionized!

Considering the spin characteristic and the Pauli blocking effect in quantum-mechanic sense [2-4], the above mentioned results should better be attributed to the intrinsic particle-statistics. To remind the readers with the definition of Pauli blocking, we shall cite the relevant work below for introducing the Uehling-Uhlenbeck equation [2-4], which extends its applicability to particles which obey non-conventional statistics. Consider particles (mass m) which obey the Bose-Einstein or Fermi-Dirac statistics. These particles interact elastically by means of binary encounters (cross section σ). In the space homogeneous case the UUE reads as follows ($\Lambda=1$ for bosons, $\Lambda = -1$ for fermions; Λ is the parameter characterizes the Pauli blocking effect):

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{h^3} \int d\mathbf{p}_1 d\mathbf{\Sigma}' [f' f'_1 (1 + \Lambda f)(1 + \Lambda f_1) - f f_1 (1 + \Lambda f')(1 + \Lambda f'_1)] \times g \sigma(g; \xi);$$

where $f = f(\mathbf{p}; t)$ is the quantum distribution function (dimensionless) and $f' = f(\mathbf{p}'; t)$; $f_1 = f(\mathbf{p}_1; t)$; $f'_1 = f(\mathbf{p}'_1; t)$. We denote by \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{p}_1 the momenta before collision, while \mathbf{p}' and \mathbf{p}'_1 are the momenta after collision: $\mathbf{p}' = (\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}_1 + mg\mathbf{\Omega}')/2$; $\mathbf{p}'_1 = (\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}_1 - mg\mathbf{\Omega}')/2$; where $g = |\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_1|/m$ and $\mathbf{\Omega}'$ is a unit vector. The cross section σ , in general, depends on both g and ξ , where $\xi = \mathbf{\Omega} \cdot \mathbf{\Omega}'$; $\mathbf{\Omega} = 1/(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}_1)$.

The tuning of λ in [1] is nothing but the tuning of the rarefaction parameter in [4] together with the Pauli-blocking parameter (say, B in [4] or cf. Chu in [5]). There might be resonances (or localizations), however, once λ increases to the order of magnitude of 1000 or more [5]. For bosons, the parity of particle and antiparticle is the same in contrast to fermions where the parity reverses between antiparticles. The other issue is the effective mass for different systems characterized by, say, λ . To conclude in brief, the Pauli blocking effect and the possible resonance (or localization) are crucial for those results in [1] which needs to be further checked.

-
- [1] O.E. Alon and L.S. Cederbaum, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 140402 (2005).
 - [2] A. K.-H. Chu, J. Phys. B At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **34** (2001) L711. V.V. Vedenyapin, I.V. Mingalev, and O.V. Mingalev, Russian Academy of Sciences Sbornik Mathematics **80** (1995) 271. E. Giglio, E. Suraud and P.-G. Reinhard, Ann. Physik **11** (2002) 291.
 - [3] E.A. Uehling and G.E. Uhlenbeck, Phys. Rev. **43** (1933) 552. R.L. Liboff, Kinetic Theory, Prentice-Hall, London, 1990.
 - [4] A. K.-H. Chu, Phys. Rev. B **68** (2003) 205308. A. K.-H. Chu, cond-mat/0411545.
 - [5] I. Butterworth, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **54** 413 (1969). A. K.-H. Chu, [quant-physics/0508204] Resonant States of Wave Propagation in Disordered System of Bosonic Particles.