Staggered ladder spectra

E. Arvedson, 1 M. W ilkinson, 2 B. Mehlig, 1 and K. Nakam ura 3

¹D epartm ent of Physics, G oteborg University, 41296 G othenburg, Sweden

²Faculty of M athem atics and Computing, The Open University,

Walton Hall, Milton Keynes, MK7 6AA, England

³D epartm ent of Applied Physics, O saka C ity U niversity, Sum iyoshi-ku, O saka 558-8585, Japan

W e exactly solve a Fokker-P lanck equation by determ ining its eigenvalues and eigenfunctions: we construct nonlinear second-order di erential operators which act as raising and lowering operators, generating ladder spectra for the odd and even parity states. These are staggered: the odd-even separation di ers from even-odd. The Fokker-P lanck equation describes, in the lim it of weak dam p-ing, a generalised O mstein-U hlenbeck process where the random force depends upon position as well as time. Our exact solution exhibits anom alous di usion at short times and a stationary non-M axwellian momentum distribution.

PACS num bers: 05.40.-a,05.45.-a,02.50.-r,05.40Fb

There are only few physically signi cant system swith ladder spectra (exactly evenly spaced energy levels). Examples are the harmonic oscillator and the Zeemansplitting Hamiltonian. In this letter we introduce a pair of exactly solvable eigenvalue problem s. We use them to solve two (closely related) physically signi cant extensions of a classic problem in the theory of di usion, namely the Omstein-Uhlenbeck process. These eigenvalue problem s have ladder spectra. O ur system s di er from the examples above in that their spectra consist of two ladders which are staggered; the eigenvalues for eigenfunctions of odd and even symmetry do not interleave with equal spacings. We introduce a new type of raising and low ering operators in our solution, which are nonlinear second-order di erential operators. O ur generalised 0 mstein-Uhlenbeck system s exhibit anom alous di usion at short times, and non-Maxwellian velocity distributions at equilibrium; we obtain exact expressions which are analogous to results obtained for the standard 0 mstein-Uhlenbeck process.

1. O mstein-U hlenbeck processes. B efore w e discuss our extension of the Omstein-Uhlenbeck process, we describe its usual form . This considers a particle of m om entum p subjected to a rapidly uctuating random force f (t) and subject to a drag force p, so that the equation of motion is <u>p</u>= p + f (t). The random force has statistics hf (t) i = 0, hf (t) f (t⁰) i = C (t t) (angular brackets denote ensemble averages throughout). If the correlation time off (t) is su ciently short (1), the equation ofm otion m ay be approxim ated by a Langevin equation: pdt + dw, where the Brownian increment dw dp = has statistics hdw i = 0 and $hdw^2 i = 2D_0 dt$. The diusion constant is $D_0 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{1} dth (t) f(0) i$. This problem is discussed in many textbooks (for example [1]); it is easily shown that the variance of the momentum (with the particle starting at rest) is

$$hp^{2}(t)i = [1 exp(2 t)]D_{0} = ;$$
 (1)

that the equilibrium momentum distribution is G aussian and that the particle (of mass m) di uses in space with di usion constant D $_x$ = D $_0$ =m²².

In many situations the force on the particle will be a function of its position as well as of time. Our extension of the 0 mstein-U hlenbeck process is concerned with what happens in this situation when the damping is weak. We consider a force f(x;t) which has mean value zero, and a correlation function hf $(x;t)f(x^0;t^0)i =$ x;t t). The spatial and tem poral correlation C (x scales are and respectively. If the momentum of the particle is large compared to $p_0 = m =$, then the force experienced by the particle decorrelates more rapidly than the force experienced by a stationary particle. Thus, if the dam ping is su ciently weak that the particle is accelerated to a momentum large compared to p_0 , the di usion constant characterising uctuations of m om entum will be smaller than D_0 . The impulse of the force on a particle which is initially at x = 0 in the time from t = 0 to t = t is

$$w = \int_{0}^{Z} t (\text{pt=m};t) + O(t^{2}): \quad (2)$$

If t is large compared to but sm all compared to 1= , we can estimate h w $^2i = 2D$ (p) t, where

D (p) =
$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{Z_{1}} dt C$$
 (pt=m;t): (3)

W hen p p_0 we recover D (p) = D₀. W hen p p_0 , we can approximate (3) to obtain

$$D(p) = \frac{D_1 p_0}{p_j} + O(p^2); D_1 = \frac{m}{2p_0} \int_{-1}^{2} dX C(X;0): (4)$$

If the force is the gradient of a potential, f(x;t) = @V(x;t)=@x, then $D_1 = 0$. In this case, expanding the correlation function in (3) in its second argument gives $D(p) = D_3 p_0^3 = j p^3 j$, where D_3 may be expressed as an integral over the correlation function of V(x;t). To sum – marize: the momentum di usion constant is a decreasing function of momentum, such that $D(p) = j p j^1$ for a generic random force, or $D(p) = j p j^3$ for a gradient force.

2. Fokker-P lanck equation. The probability density for the momentum, P (p;t), satis es a Fokker-P lanck (generalised di usion) equation. Following arguments in [1], one obtains

Sturrock [2] introduced a related Fokker-P lanck equation (w ithout the dam ping term) and also gave an expression for D (p) analogous to equation (3). H is work was applied to the stochastic acceleration of particles in plasm as, and subsequent contributions have concentrated on re ning m odels for the calculation of D (p) (see, for exam ple, [3, 4]). In the following we obtain exact solutions to (5) in the cases where D (p) = D $_1p_0=pj$ (which we consider rst) and D (p) = D $_3p_0^3=pj^3$ (which can be treated in the sam e way, and for which we discuss the results at the end of the paper).

Introducing dimensionless variables ($t^0 = t$ and $z = p(=p_0D_1)^{1=3}$), the Fokker-P lanck equation for the case where D (p) / 1=p becomes

$$\theta_{t^0} P = \theta_z z P + jz j^{-1} \theta_z P \qquad F P :$$
 (6)

It is convenient to transform the Fokker-P lanck operator \hat{F} to a Herm itian form , which we shall refer to as the Ham iltonian operator

$$\hat{H} = P_0^{1=2} \hat{F} P_0^{1=2} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\dot{z}^3}{4} + \frac{\theta}{\theta z} \frac{1}{\dot{z}^3 \dot{\theta} z}$$
(7)

where $P_0(z) / \exp(\frac{iz}{2}=3)$ is the stationary solution satisfying $\hat{F}P_0 = 0$. We solve the di usion problem by constructing the eigenfunctions of the Ham iltonian operator. In the following we make free use of the D irac notation [5] of quantum mechanics to write the equations in a compact form and to emphasise their structure.

3. Summary of principal results. We start by listing our results (for the case of random forcing, where D (p) / 1=p). We construct the eigenvalues $_n$ and eigenfunctions $_n$ (z) of the operator \hat{H} . We identify raising and low ering operators \hat{A}^+ and \hat{A} which map one eigenfunction to another with respectively one more or one fewer nodes. We use these to show that the spectrum of \hat{H} consists of two superposed equally spaced spectra (ladder spectra) for even and odd parity states:

$$n^{+} = 3n; n = (3n + 2); n = 0:::1 : (8)$$

The spectrum of the H am iltonian (7) is displayed on the rhs of of F ig. 1. It unusual because the odd-even step is di erent from the even-odd step, due to the singularity of the H am iltonian at z = 0. Our raising and lowering operators allow us to obtain m atrix elements required for calculating expectation values. One interesting result is the variance of the momentum for a particle starting at rest at t = 0:

$$hp^{2}(t)i = \frac{p_{0}D_{1}}{2} \frac{2^{-3}}{2} \frac{3^{7-6}}{2} (2=3)}{2} (1 - e^{3-t})^{2=3} : (9)$$




FIG.1: The spectrum of \hat{H} (right) is the sum of two equally spaced (ladder) spectra $_{n}$ and $_{n}^{+}$ shifted w.r.t each other (left).

This is reminiscent of equation (1) for the standard O mstein-U hlenbeck process, however (9) exhibits anom alous di usion for small times. At large times lp^2 (t) i converges to the expectation of p^2 with the stationary (non-M axwellian) m om entum distribution

$$P_0(p) = N \exp (p_0^3 - (p_0 D_1))$$
 (10)

(N is a norm alisation constant). At large times the dynam ics of the spatial displacement is di usive hx^2 (t)i 2D xt with di usion constant

$$D_{x} = \frac{(p_{0}D_{1})^{2=3}}{m^{2} 5=3} \frac{3^{5=6}}{2 (2=3)^{2}} F_{32} \frac{1}{3}; \frac$$

(here F_{32} is a hypergeom etric function). At small times, by contrast, we obtain anom abus di usion

$$hx^{2}$$
 (t) $i = C_{x}$ ($p_{0}D_{1}$)²⁼³ $^{8=3}m^{2}t^{8=3}$ (12)

where the constant $C_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ is given by (30) below .

4. Ladder operators and eigenfunctions. The eigenfunction of the Fokker-Planck equation (5) are alternately even and odd functions, de ned on the interval (1;1). The operator \hat{H} , describing the limiting case of this Fokker-Planck operator, is singular at z = 0. We identify two eigenfunctions of \hat{H} by inspection, $_{0}^{+}(z) = C_{0}^{+} \exp((\frac{i}{2}z) = 6)$ which has eigenvalue $_{0}^{+} = 0$ and $_{0}^{+}(z) = C_{0} ziz jexp((\frac{i}{2}z) = 6)$ with eigenvalue $_{0} = 2$. These eigenfunctions are of even and odd parity, respectively (zero and one node, respectively). Our approach to determ ining the full spectrum will be to de ne a raising operator \hat{A}^{+} which m aps any eigenfunction $_{n}(z)$ to its successor with the same parity, $_{n+1}(z)$, having two additional nodes.

We now list de nitions of the operators we use: these include the de nitions of the raising and low ering operators, \hat{A}^+ and \hat{A} , as well as an alternative representation of the H am iltonian:

$$\hat{a} = (\hat{e}_{z} \quad z \not{z} \not{j} = 2)$$

$$\hat{A}^{2} = \hat{a}^{+} \not{z} j^{-1} \hat{a}^{+} ; \quad \hat{A}^{+} = \hat{a} \not{z} j^{-1} \hat{a}$$

$$\hat{H}^{2} = \hat{a} \not{z} j^{-1} \hat{a}^{+} ; \quad \hat{G}^{2} = \hat{a}^{+} \not{z} j^{-1} \hat{a} :$$

$$(13)$$




FIG. 2: Shows hp² (t)i and hx² (t)i. Computer simulation of the equations of motion $\underline{p} = p + f(x;t)$ and $m \underline{x} = p$ (symbols); theory, eqs. (10) and (26), red lines. A lso show n are the limiting behaviours for hx² (t)i, (11) and (12), at long and short times (dashed lines). In the simulations, C (X;t) = ${}^{2} \exp[X^{2}=(2^{2}) t^{2}=(2^{2})]$. The parameters were m = 1, = 10³, = 0:1, = 0:1, and = 20.

N ote that \hat{A}^+ is the H erm it in conjugate of \hat{A} . The m ost signi cant properties of the operators \hat{A} and \hat{A}^+ are

$$[\hat{H};\hat{A}] = 3\hat{A} \text{ and } [\hat{H};\hat{A}^+] = 3\hat{A}^+ \quad (14)$$

(the square brackets are commutators). These expressions show that the action of \hat{A} and \hat{A}^+ on any eigenfunction is to produce another eigenfunction with eigenvalue increased or decreased by three, or else to produce a function which is identically zero. The operator \hat{A}^+ adds two nodes, and repeated action of \hat{A}^+ on $\frac{1}{0}$ (z) and $\frac{1}{0}$ (z) therefore exhausts the set of eigenfunctions. Together with $\frac{1}{0} = 0$ and $\frac{1}{0} = 2$ this establishes that the spectrum of \hat{H} is indeed (8). Some other useful properties of the operators of equation (13) are

$$[A^{+}; \hat{A}] = 3(\hat{H} + \hat{G}); \hat{H} \quad \hat{G} = \hat{I}; A^{+}A = \hat{H}^{2} + 2\hat{H} : (15)$$

We represent the eigenfunctions by of \hat{H} by kets $j_n i$ and $j_n^+ i$. The actions of \hat{A} and \hat{A}^+ are

$$\hat{A}^{+} j_{n} i = C_{n+1} j_{n+1} i \text{ and } \hat{A} j_{n} i = C_{n} j_{n-1} i :$$
(16)

where (using (15)) we have $C_n = \frac{P}{3n(3n-2)}$.

A peculiar feature of \hat{A} and \hat{A}^+ is that they are of second order in e=ez, whereas other examples of raising and low ering operators are of rst order in the derivative. The di erence is associated with the fact that the spectrum is a staggered ladder: only states of the same parity have equal spacing, so that the raising and low ering operators must preserve the odd-even parity. This suggests replacing a rst order operator which increases the quantum number (total number of nodes) by one with a second order operator which increases the quantum number by two, preserving parity.

There is an alternative approach to generating the eigenfunctions of (5). This equation falls into one of the classes considered in [6], and we have written down rstorder operators which m ap one eigenfunction into another. However, these operators are them selves functions of the quantum number n, making the algebra cumbersom e. W e have not succeeded in reproducing our results with the Schrödinger factorisation' method.

5. Propagator and correlation functions. The propagator of the Fokker-Planck equation $\mathfrak{G}_{t^0}K = \widehat{F}K$ can be expressed in terms of the eigenvalues $_n$ and eigenfunctions $_n(z) = P_0^{1=2} _n(z)$ of \widehat{F} :

$$K (y;z;t^{0}) = \begin{cases} X^{1} & X \\ a_{n} (y) \\ n=0 = 1 \end{cases} (z) \exp(a_{n} t^{0}) : (17)$$

Here y is the initial value and z is the nal value of the coordinate. The expansion coe cients $a_n(y)$ are determ ined by the initial condition K $(y;z;0) = (z \quad y)$, namely $a_n(y) = P_0^{1=2} n(y)$. In term s of the eigenfunctions of \hat{H} we have

$$K (y;z;t^{0}) = \int_{n}^{X} P_{0}^{1=2}(y) (y) P_{0}^{1=2}(z) (z) exp(x^{0}):$$
(18)

The propagator determ ines correlation functions. Assuming $z_0\ =\ 0$ we obtain for the expectation value of a function 0 (z) at time t

$$ho (z(t^{0}))i = \int_{n=0}^{X^{1}} \frac{-(0)}{0} h_{0}^{+} (0) h_{0}^{+} (t^{0}) h_{0}^$$

Similarly, for the correlation function of O (z (t₂)) and O (z (t₁)) (with $t_2^0 > t_1^0 > 0$)

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{ho} (z(t_2^0)) \text{O} (z(t_1^0)) \text{i} = \displaystyle \prod_{nm}^{X} \displaystyle \frac{\frac{+}{m}(0)}{\frac{+}{0}(0)} \text{h} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ - \end{smallmatrix} \right] \text{for } (2) \text{j}_n \text{i} \\ \\ \text{h}_n \text{for } (2) \text{j}_m^+ \text{i} \text{exp} \left[\begin{smallmatrix} n \\ - \end{smallmatrix} \right] (1) \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ - \end{smallmatrix} \right] \text{for } (2) \text{fo$$

6. Momentum di usion. To determine the timedependence of $hp^2(t)i$ we need to evaluate the matrix elements $Y_{0n} = h_0^+ j^2 j_n^+ i$. A recursion for these elements is obtained as follows. Let $Y_{0n+1} =$ $h_0^+ j^2 \hat{A}^+ j_n^+ i = C_{n+1}^+$. Write $2\hat{A}^+ = 2\hat{G} + 2(\hat{A}^+ - \hat{G}) =$ $2(\hat{H} - \hat{I}) + 2(\hat{A}^+ - \hat{G})$. It follows

$$h_{0}^{+} \dot{y}^{2} \hat{A}^{+} j_{n}^{+} i = (\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{array}) Y_{0n} + h_{0}^{+} \dot{y}^{2} (\hat{A}^{+} \hat{G}) j_{n}^{+} i : (21)$$

Using $(A^+ \hat{G}) = \hat{z}\hat{a}$ and $[\hat{z}^3;\hat{a}] = 3\hat{z}$ we obtain $Y_{0n+1} = \stackrel{+}{n} + 2Y_{0n} = C_{n+1}^+$, and together with $Y_{00} = 3^{7-6}$ (2=3)=(2) this gives

$$Y_{0n} = (1)^{n+1} \frac{3^{17=12}}{p} \frac{(2=3)^{3=2}}{2} \frac{p}{(n+1=3)} \frac{(n+1=3)}{p} \frac{(n+1=3)}{(n+1)} : (22)$$

Wealso nd

$${}^{+}_{n}(0) = {}^{+}_{0}(0) = (1)^{n} \frac{p_{\overline{3}}(2=3)}{2} \frac{(n+1=3)}{(n+1)}$$
(23)

and after performing the sum in (19) we return to dimensional variables. The nal result is (9). 7. Spatial di usion. The time-dependence of $hx_t^2 i$ is determ ined in a sim ilar fashion, using (20) and (23):

$$hx^{2}(t)i = \frac{1}{2} \frac{p_{0}D_{1}}{m^{2}} \frac{2^{=3}}{m^{2}} \frac{1}{m^{2}} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{z_{t^{0}}}{dt_{1}^{0}} \frac{z_{t^{0}}}{dt_{2}^{0}} hz_{t_{1}^{0}} z_{t_{2}^{0}}i: (24)$$

The matrix elements $Z_{mn} = h_m^+ \dot{z} j_n$ i are found by a recursion method, analogous to that yielding (22):

$$Z_{mn} = (1)^{m} \frac{n}{6} \frac{3^{5-6}}{6} (m + n + 1) (2=3)$$
(25)
$$p \frac{(n + 1) (m + 1=3)}{(m + 1) (n + 5=3)} \frac{(n - m + 1=3)}{(n - m + 2)} :$$

for 1 m 1 and zero otherwise. U sing 2(0)

$$hx^{2}(t)i = \frac{(p_{0}D_{1})^{2=3}}{m^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{k} \sum_{l=k-1}^{k} A_{kl}T_{kl}(t^{0})$$
(26)

with $A_{k1} = \begin{pmatrix} + \\ k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} + \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} + \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} Z_{01}Z_{k1}$ and

$$T_{k1}(t^{0}) = \begin{array}{c} Z_{t^{0}} & Z_{t^{0}} \\ dt_{1}^{0} & dt_{2}^{0} e^{1} (t_{2}^{0} t_{1}^{0}) + t_{k}^{*} t_{1}^{0} \\ & & Z_{t^{0}} & Z_{t_{1}^{0}} \\ & & + dt_{1}^{0} & dt_{2}^{0} e^{1} (t_{1}^{0} t_{2}^{0}) + t_{k}^{*} t_{2}^{0} : \end{array}$$
(27)

W e remark upon an exact sum -rule for the A_{kl} , and also on their asymptotic form for k 1, l 1:

$$X^{1} A_{k1} = 0 ; A_{k1} \frac{(2=3)^{2}}{3^{1=3}4^{2}} \frac{k+1}{k^{2=3}1^{4=3}(1-k)^{5=3}} : (28)$$

W e now show how to derive the limiting behaviours (11) and (12), shown as dashed lines in Fig. 2. At large time x evolves di usively: hx^2i $2D_xt$, with the di usion constant obtained from the equilibrium momentum correlation function:

$$D_{x} = \frac{1}{2m^{2}} \frac{p_{0}D_{1}}{p_{0}D_{1}} \lim_{\substack{T \leq 1 \\ T \leq 1 \\$$

which evaluates to (11). At sm all values of t^0 the double sum (26) is dominated by the large k; l term s. We

thus evaluate the sm all t^0 behaviour by approximating the sums in (26) by integrals and using the asymptotic form for the coe cients A $_{k1}$. There is a non-integrable divergence of A (k; l) as k ! l, which can be cancelled by using the sum rule in equation (28). We obtain the limiting behaviour (12) with

$$C_{x} = C dx x^{8=3}$$
(30)
$$Z_{1}^{0} dy \frac{a(x) a(xy)}{1 y} + xa^{0}(x) \frac{1+y}{y^{2=3}(1 y)^{5=3}}$$

where $a(x) = [1 \exp(x)]=x$ and $C = 3^{7=3} (2=3)=(2^{2})$. The integral is convergent and can be evaluated num erically to give $C_x = 0.57$:::. This is in good agreement with a num erical evaluation of the sum (26), as shown in Fig. 2.

8. G radient-force case. In the case where the force is the gradient of a potential function, we have D (p) = $D_3 p_0^3 = \mathbf{\dot{p}}\mathbf{j}^3 + 0$ (p ⁴) [7]. In dimensionless variables the Fokker-P lanck equation is

$$\mathfrak{g}_{t^0} \mathbf{P} = \mathfrak{g}_z \ z\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{j}z \mathbf{j}^3 \mathfrak{g}_z \mathbf{P} \qquad \mathbf{F} \mathbf{P} \qquad (31)$$

instead of (6). This Fokker-Planck equation has the non-M axwellian equilibrium distribution $P_0(z) = \exp(\frac{i}{2}\frac{5}{3}=5)$. The raising and lowering operators are of the form $\hat{A}^+ = \hat{a} \frac{i}{2}\hat{j}^3\hat{a}$ and $\hat{A}^- = \hat{a}^+ \frac{i}{2}\hat{j}^-\hat{a}\hat{a}^+$ with $\hat{a} = (\hat{e}_z \quad z\hat{z}\hat{z}\hat{z}\hat{z}=2)$. The analogue of (14) is $[\hat{H};\hat{A}] = 5\hat{A}, [\hat{H};\hat{A}^+] = 5\hat{A}^+$, and the eigenvalues are 0; 4; 5; 9; 10; 14; 15;::.. In this case, too, a closed expression for example for lp^2 (t) i can be obtained which is analogous to (1), but which exhibits anom alous di usion:

$$hp_{t}^{2}i = \frac{p_{0}D_{3}}{(3=5)} \frac{2^{2=5} \sin((-5))}{(4=5) 1 e^{5-t}}$$
(32)

The short-time anom alous di usion is consistent with the asymptotic scaling obtained in [7, 8] for the special case of undam ped stochastic acceleration.

A full account of our results, and their extension to higher dimensions, will be published elsewhere.

9. Acknowledgements. We thank Stellan Ostlund for illuminating discussions. MW thanks JSPS for a visiting fellow ship.

- [L] N.G. van Kampen, Stochastic processes in physics and chem istry, 2nd ed., North-Holland, Am sterdam, (1992).
- [2] P.A. Sturrock, Phys. Rev., 141, 186, (1966).
- [3] U. A chatz, J. Steinacher and R. Schlickeiser, A stron. A strophys., 250, 266-79, (1991).
- [4] O. Stawicki, J. Geophys. Res., 109, A 04105, (2004).
- [5] P.A.M.Dirac, The principles of Quantum Mechanics,

Oxford University Press, Oxford, (1930).

- [6] L.Infeld and T.E.Hull, Rev. M od. Phys., 33, 21, (1951).
- [7] L.Golubovic, S.Feng, and F.A.Zeng, Phys. Rev. Lett., 67, 2115, (1991).
- [8] M. N. Rosenbluth, Phys. Rev. Lett., 69, 1831, (1992).