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#### Abstract

We study the behavior of the optim al path between two sites separated by a distance $r$ on a d-dim ensional lattice of linear size $L$ with weight assigned to each site. Wefocus on the strong disorder lim it, i.e., when the weight of a single site dom inates the sum of the weights along each path. W e calculate the probability distribution P ( ${ }_{\mathrm{opt}} \dot{j} ; \mathrm{L}$ ) of the optim al path length 'opt, and nd for $r$ L a power law decay with 'opt, characterized by exponent $g_{\text {opt }}$. W e determ ine the scaling form of P ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{opt} \boldsymbol{j} ; \mathrm{L}$ ) in tw O - and three-dim ensional lattioes. To test the con jecture that the optim al paths in strong disorder and ow in percolation clusters belong to the sam e universality class, we study the tracer path length 'tr of tracers inside percolation through their probability distribution $P\left({ }^{\dagger} \operatorname{trj} \dot{j} \mathrm{~L}\right) . W$ e nd that, because the optim al path is not constrained to belong to a percolation cluster, the two problem s are di erent. H ow ever, by constraining the optim al paths to rem ain inside the percolation clusters in analogy to tracers in percolation, the two problem s exhibit sim ilar scaling properties.


## I. INTRODUCTION

$F$ low in porous m edia, due to its ubiquitous nature, has received a great deal of attention

 sim ulated through percolation system $s$ [ from the idealized ant in a labyrinth [9] $[\underline{9}]$ to the very practical ones like convective tracer ow


In the study of ow in percolation clusters, it has been hypohesize that transport properties $m$ ay be related to som e geom etric property of the cluster. H ow ever, despite considerable
 for the particular case of convective tracer ow in percolation clusters, a connection exists betw een transport properties and the static properties of a m odel of optim al paths in disordered lattices, described in detail below. This relation allow sfor a m apping betw een the tw o problem s that opens new approaches for their study.

T he rst hint of a possible connection betw een ow in porousm edia and the optim alpath problem arouse in the w ork of Lee et al. [1̄2]. T his reference deals w ith a sim pli ed m odel of ow in porous $m$ edia related to secondary oil extraction, in which an invading uid (water, steam, etc.) in jected at A pushes oil out through extraction well B. This m ethod is used when the oil reservoir does not have enough pressure to be exploited w ithout this added driving pressure. The system is considered in the follow ing way: the m edium is m odeled by a percolacion cluster at the critical threshold density, and a steady state lam inar ow of an incom presible uid is established between A and B. Then, tracers which $m$ im idk the ow of the driving uid are in jected at A and travel purely by convection to B (Sec. 焦而) . The tracer length ( ${ }_{\text {tr }}$ ) probability density function (PDF), P ( ${ }_{\mathrm{tr}}$ ), wasm easured and it was found that the m ost probable traveling length ' ${ }_{t r}$, de ned as the value of ' tr for whidh P ( $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{tr}}$ ) is $m$ axim um, scales $w$ th the distance $r$ betw een the in jection and extraction sites $A$ and $B$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}_{\operatorname{tr}} \quad \mathrm{r}^{\operatorname{tr}} \quad\left[\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{tr}}=1: 21 \quad 0: 02 \text { for } \mathrm{d}=2\right]: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rem arkable feature of Eq . ( $\overline{\underline{1} 1}$ ) is that, for $d=2$, the exponent $d_{t r} \quad d_{o p t}$, where $d_{\text {opt }}$ is the exponent for the optim alpath length in the strong disorder lim it as de ned by C ieplak

directly related to the optim al path in strong disorder, and we support our proposal w ith extensive num erical sim ulations for d-dim ensional lattices $w$ th $d=2$ and 3 .

The optim alpath problem form ulated by C ieplak in Ref. of low est cost to go from one end of a d-dim ensional lattioe to the other end when to each site (or bond) $i$, we associate a weight $i=e^{a x_{i}}$, with $x_{i} 2[0 ; 1$ ). This is equivalent to choosing $i$ from the distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}(1)=\frac{1}{\mathrm{a}} \quad 2[1 ; \exp a]: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The energy of any path of length ' on the lattioe is given by the sum

$$
\text { E } \quad \begin{gather*}
X_{j}^{\prime}  \tag{3}\\
j
\end{gather*}
$$

$w$ here $j$ is an index running over the sites of the path. The lim it a! 1 is known as the strong disorder lim it. The optim al path of length ${ }_{\text {opt }}$ is the path forwhich $E$ is $m$ inimal w ith respect to all other paths. The optim alpath length scales w ith r as [i]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { opt } \quad \mathrm{r}^{\text {dopt }} \quad\left[\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{opt}}=1: 22 \quad 0: 01\right]: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst reference that we have found to this problem, although form ulated in a di erent context is that of A m begaokar [1] a percolation $m$ odel in which sites represent possible electron states which can be reached only by hopping through quantum tunneling, and the hopping rates are exponential, $\mathrm{mo-}$ tivating Eq. (2). A nother context in which these weights have been observed is that of m agnetoresistance in thin Ni m s [ī]

O ur work can be equated to the follow ing question: is ow in percolation clusters, a dynam icalprocess, connected to the optim alpath length in strong disorder, a static property,
 for the optim alpath to have a length 'opt, given a system size $L$, and an Euclidean distance $r$ between the starting and ending sites A and B of the path. W ew ill com pare this PD F
 system of size L at criticality, where the starting and ending sites are at a distance r.

There are som e indications that this connection is indeed present, given that other relations between percolation and strong disorder optim al paths have been reported. For instance, for a lattioe w ith disorder given by Eq. (2ָi) in the strong disorder lim it, the most
probable largest weight of the site used by the optim al path is $e^{a_{c}}$, where $p_{c}$ is the peroo-
 ow on a lattice w ith disorder, that the strong disorder lim it has a length scale that scales as a, where is the connectedness exponent of percolation [ $\left[\frac{1}{1}\right]$, and hence a system is in the strong disorder lim it only when a > L. In this paper, we study the ultram etric lim it a! 1 .

 Sec.iñín

## II. OPTIMALPATH DISTRIBUTION

 path length 'opt between sites $A$ and $B$ is found by elim inating (bom bing) sites of the lattice in decreasing order of weight, but leaving those sites necessary to keep A and B connected. W hen all sites that do not disconnect $A$ and $B$ are elim inated, only the sites of the optim al

 features appear:

The m ost probable optim alpath length opt scales $w$ ith $r$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { oopt } \quad \mathrm{r}^{\text {dopt }} \text { : } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values of $d_{\text {opt }}$ have been reported for several lattice dim ensions $d$, and also have
 calculated elsew here for the average optim al path length 'optr but, as Figs. show, $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{opt}}$ also produces the correct scaling for ${ }_{\mathrm{opt}}$. O ur results for $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{opt}}$ are reported in Table it for $\mathrm{d}=2$ and 3 .

A low er cuto $\mathbb{E}$ ig $\left.g_{i}^{\prime-1}(\mathrm{~b})\right]$ which, in analogy w ith the distribution ofm in m al paths in
 form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}(x)=\exp \left(x^{\text {opt }}\right) \quad x \quad \frac{\text { oppt }^{\prime}}{r^{\text {dopt }}} ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is a lattice-dependent constant, and opt is a universal exponent satisfying


$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{opt}=\frac{1}{d_{\mathrm{opt}} 1}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

An upper cuto due to the e ect of the nite lattioe size L. A stretched exponential
 the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{2}(\mathrm{y})=\exp \left(\quad \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{opt}}\right) \quad \mathrm{y} \frac{\mathrm{`}_{\mathrm{opt}}}{\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{opt}}} ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$



A power-law region described by
 $\left.\stackrel{2}{2} 2,12 \overline{2} \bar{n}_{1}\right]$
where the prefactor $1=r^{\text {dopt }}$ is necessary for norm alization. W e have tested this A nsatz for $d=2,3$ and found it to be consistent w ith our earlier sim ulations $\left.\underline{\underline{R}}_{\underline{1}}^{1} 1\right]$.

An interesting feature of $P\left({ }_{\mathrm{opt}} \mathrm{j} ; \mathrm{L}\right.$ ) ) is that, as d increases, $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{opt}}$ decreases. In other w ords, the longer optim alpaths at larger dim ensions have a larger probability (see Tableit). A dditionally, since $g_{o p t}<2$ for all d, 'opt and allhigher mom ents diverge as L! 1 .

To calculate the exponents opt and opt of Eqs. ( $(\overline{1})$ and $(\underset{-1}{(8)})$, we introduce the function


$$
\begin{aligned}
& 23
\end{aligned}
$$

$W$ e have $m$ ade use of $L=r$ in the argum ent of the function $f_{2}$ so that $f_{2}\left({ }_{\text {opt }}=L^{\text {dopt }}\right)=$ $f_{2}\left(d_{\text {opt }}{ }_{\text {opt }}=r^{d^{\text {opt }}}\right)$. The constant $A$ is an auxiliary param eter chosen to $m$ ake the $m$ in $m$ um
 for $d=2$ and the $t$ lines for the exponents ofboth $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$, which are reported in $T$ able it. The values of opt we calculate are close to the values predicted by Eq. $(\bar{\eta})$ for $\mathrm{d}=2,3$.
III. COMPARISON BETW EEN FLOW IN PERCOLATION AND THEOPTIMAL PATH

W e now study the PDF P ( ${ }_{\text {tr }} \dot{j} ; \mathrm{L}$ ) w ith the purpose of com paring it to P ( ${ }_{\mathrm{opt}} \dot{j} ; \mathrm{L}$ ) , and address analyze the detailed conditions under which optim ization and ow in percolation occur. O ur analysis (see Sec. $\bar{i} \overline{\mathrm{~V}} \overline{\mathrm{~V}}_{1}$ ) explains the di erences we observe, and also the \right } way" in which the two problem s becom e equivalent.

Since sim ulations for ow on percolation chusters are perform ed, we describe the twodim ensional case of the algorithm [i్1.]. We represent the reservoir as a two-dim ensional site percolation cluster, and choose sites at ( $r=2 ; 0$ ) and ( $r=2 ; 0$ ), denoted by A and B, respectively, to be the in jection and extraction well positions. Points A and B are separated by a geom etric distance $r$, and the system box has comers at ( $L=2$; $L=2$ ). We construct percolation chusters at $p_{c}$ using the Leath algorithm $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[2 \overline{4} 4] .}\end{array}\right.$

To m odel tracer m otion we use the analogy w ith electrical circuits, where for each bond, the pressure drop corresponds to the voltage di erence, and the ow corresponds to the electrical current on the bond. A pressure di erence between sites A and B drives the tracer. For each realization, $10^{4}$ tracers are introduced at site $A$, and then collected at site B. T he set of allsites through which there is a non-zero current de nes the cluster backbone of $M_{B}$ sites.

The \pressure" di erence across bonds is equivalent to a \voltage" di erence, so by solving $K$ irchho 's equations on the backbone, we obtain the potential (pressure) drops V over all bonds for a given realization. D ue to the nature of the $m$ odel, no tunbulence or other com plex uid ow e ects are considered, which is equivalent to assum ing lam inar ow inside the system. A dditionally, given that the bonds have vanishing radius, the tracer ow \perfectly m ixes" at the nodes. For site i having $s_{i}$ outgoing bonds, the tracer selects a
bond w th a probability

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{i j} \frac{\sum_{j} V_{i j}}{V_{i j}}\left[j=1 ;::: ; S_{i} ; i=1 ;::: ; M_{B}\right]: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For incom ing bonds, $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{ij}}=0$. This guaranties that the tracer dynam ics are com pletely
 is the num ber of bonds of the path connecting A and B, chosen by this tracer. Since the particles do not interact $w$ ith one another, it is equivalent to launching one particle at a tim e into the cluster. This procedure is known as particle launching algorithm tion determ ine the probability distribution of the tracer traveling lengths P ( ${ }^{2} \mathrm{trj} ; \mathbf{j} \mathrm{L}$ ) by counting the num ber of particles that travel from site A to site B along a path of length 'trr over all the particles and all realizations of the percolation cluster.

The form of $P\left({ }_{t r} \dot{j} ; \mathrm{L}\right)$ for the two-dim ensional case was suggested in [ī $\left.\bar{i}\right]$ ]. Here, we extend these results to $d=3$ ( $F$ ig. ${ }_{-1}^{\prime 2}$ ). . O nce again, the pow er law and stretched exponential behaviors are present. The scaling of the most probable tracer path length is given by tr $\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{drr}}$. These results yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left({ }_{t r} \dot{j} ; L\right) \quad \frac{1}{r^{d_{t r}}} \frac{\grave{t r}^{r^{d_{t r}}}}{{ }^{g t r}} h_{1} \frac{t_{r}}{r^{d_{t r}}} h_{2} \frac{{ }_{t r}}{L^{d_{t r}}} \text {; } \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where functions $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ have the form $s$

$$
h_{1}(z)=\exp \left(\begin{array}{lll} 
& z^{t r} \tag{15}
\end{array}\right) \quad z \quad \frac{{ }^{t r}}{r^{d t r}} ;
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{2}(u)=\exp \left(\quad u^{\operatorname{tr}}\right) \quad u \quad \frac{t_{r}}{L^{d_{t r}}}: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

A rgum ents sim ilar to those leading to Eq. (1, $\overline{\overline{1}} \mathbf{2})$ indicate how to determ ine the exponents tr and try reported in Table it

The power law region is characterized by the exponent $g_{t r}$, which is di erent from $g_{o p t}$ (see Table ${ }^{\prime}$ $P$ ( ${ }_{\mathrm{opt}} \dot{j} ; \mathbf{L}$ ) in $d=2$ and 3. In Table it we the di erence in the slope of the power law
 increases, indicating the di ering behavions for the two problem s. In the next section, we explain the origin of the di erences, how these di erences can be rem oved, and under which conditions the two problem s coincide.

IV . D ISCUSSIO N

T he num erical results presented above show the di erence in the values of the scaling exponents $g_{o p t}$ and $g_{t r}$ of the distributions. To understand these di erences, we now elaborate on the characteristics of the optim alpath problem in com parison to those oftracer paths in percolation.

In F ig. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{I}_{-1}$ (a) we represent the optim al path in strong disorder, where the dark areas represent regions w ith site weights $i=e^{a x_{i}} w$ th $x_{i} \quad$ R, and the white areas regions $w$ ith site weigths $=e^{x_{i}} w$ ith $x_{i}>p_{c}$. Typically, the arbitrary choige of $A$ and $B m$ ay lead to a path connecting them that requires visiting regions $w$ ith site weights $>e^{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{c}}}$. In contrast, the tracers inside percolation clusters m ust, by de nition, travel on the sam e percolation cluster (spanning or otherw ise), because the ow takes place only if there is a percolating path betw een $A$ and $B$. Therefore, this di erence between the ow and optim al path problem spresents a possible explanation for the di erences between P ( ${ }_{\mathrm{opt}} \mathrm{F} ; \mathbf{r} \mathrm{L}$ ) and P ( $\left.{ }_{t r} \underset{\mathrm{I}}{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{L} \mathrm{L}\right)$. O ptim alpaths tend to be longer because they are able to visit $m$ ore sites of the lattiae and are therefore of longer length, whereas tracers in peroolation ow are con ned to a given cluster, and their traveling lengths are $m$ uch $m$ ore lim ited. T hese features intuitively explain why $g_{t r}$ is larger than $g_{o p t}$ •

The above considerations lead to the follow ing hypothesis: if the optim al path search is constrained to pairs of sites w ithin regions of the lattioe that are part of the sam e cluster

 that their highest weight is at or below peroolation. T his condition foroes the optim alpaths to be inside percolation clusters. Indeed, for this case ( $F$ ig. $\left.\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ ), the two quantities exhibit very sim ilar behavior, supporting our hypothesis. The exponent $g_{o p t}$ inside percolation now becom es very close to $g_{t r}$. On the other hand, $d_{o p t}$ does not change, con $m$ ing the equivalence of the tw o problem s. W e also have sim ilar results for three-dim ensional lattioes.

In sum $m$ ary, we have shown that $P\left({ }_{\text {opt }}\right.$ jr; L) has a power law tail with an exponent Gopt which decays as d grows and is di erent from the power law tail of P ( tr jr; Lu). This di erence seem s to be related to the fact that the optim al path crosses percolation clusters and thus tends to have longer lengths com pared w ith tracers w hich are alw ays inside percolation clusters. W hen 'opt is m easured only inside percolation clusters, our results suggest
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TABLE I: Exponents characterizing P ( $\left.{ }_{\mathrm{opt}} \dot{\mathcal{F}} ; \mathrm{L}\right)$ and $\mathrm{P}\left({ }_{\mathrm{tr}} \mathrm{j} \boldsymbol{j} ; \mathrm{L}\right)$, whidn are de ned in the text.
 region is the longest. T he values of opt and opt are from $F i g .2$.

| Optim alP ath in Strong D isorder ( $\backslash$ Static") |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a | $\mathrm{d}_{\text {opt }}$ |  | Gopt |  | opt | (calculated) | $\mathrm{opt}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}_{\text {opt }} 1}$ | opt (calculated) |
| 2 | 1:22 | $0: 01$ | 1:55 | 0:01 |  | 0:5 | 4:55 0:21 | 5:3 0:3 |
| 3 | 1:42 |  | 1:37 | $0: 01$ | 2:1 | $0: 1$ | 2:3 0:1 | 4:3 0:3 |
| O ptim alP ath in Strong D isorder inside P ercolation ( $\backslash \mathrm{M}$ odi ed Static") |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1:21 | 0:02 | 1:82 | 0:01 | 4:9 | 0:4 | 4:76 0:45 | 2:3 0:4 |
| 3 | 1:40 | $0: 03$ | $2 \cdot 2$ | :1 |  | $0: 1$ | $2: 5 \quad 0: 2$ | 3:6 0:2 |
| Tracer P ath ( $\mathrm{D}^{\text {y }}$ y am ic") |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| d | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{tr}}$ |  | Gtr |  | tr (calculated) |  | $\mathrm{tr}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{dtr}^{\text {r }}}$ | tr (calculated) |
| 2 | 1:21 | $0: 02[\underline{[12]}$ | 1:82 | 0:01 |  | $0: 4$ | 4:76 0:45 | 2:7 0:2 |
| 3 | 1:37 | $0: 05$ | $2 \cdot 23$ | $0: 0$ | $1: 81$ | 0:02 | 2:7 0:4 | 3:46 0:04 |



FIG.1: (a) D istribution P ( ${ }_{\text {opt }}$ jr; L ) for $r=4$ and system sizes $\mathrm{L}=64 ; 128$ and 256. A sL increases, the power law region w ith exponent gopt becom es better de ned, and the upper cuto increases. (b) Probability distribution $P\left({ }_{\mathrm{opt}} \mathrm{j} ; \mathrm{L}\right)$ for $(r=8 ; L=64)($ solid line), $(r=16 ; L=128)$ (dashed line), and ( $r=32 ; L=256$ ) (dotted line) for two-dim ensional system $s . T$ he ratio betw een $L$ and
 length 'opt $=r^{d_{o p t}}$ for the curves in (b). T he collapse has been achieved using the exponent $d_{o p t}$ reported for ' opt, which is also valid for the m ost probable length ' ${ }_{\text {opt }}$ as evidenced in the plot.


FIG.2: The scaling function ( $\mathrm{x} ; \quad$; A ) for $A=0: 1 \mathrm{vs}$. the scaled optim alpath length $\mathrm{x} \quad{ }^{\prime}$ opt $=\mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{d} o p t}$ for system sizes $(r=8 ; L=64),(r=16 ; L=128)$, and $(r=32 ; L=256)$. $T$ he two straight lines serve as guides to the eye for the data that determ ine the exponents opt and opt.


FIG . 3: Probability distribution $P(\operatorname{trj} \dot{f} ; L)$ for $r=2$ and $L=128$ in $d=2$ and $d=3$. In a sim ilar
 feature of this plot is the increasing steepness of $P$ ( $t_{r} j r ; L$ ) as $d$ increases (im plying $g_{t r}$ increases w ith $d$ ), a feature for which $P\left({ }_{o p t} j r ; L\right)$ has the opposite behavior, as gopt decreases w ith $d$.

 upper pair is for $d=2 \mathrm{w}$ th $\mathrm{L}=256$ and $r=4$. The lower pair is for $d=3 \mathrm{w}$ th $\mathrm{L}=128$ and $r=2$.


F IG . 5: (a) Schem atic of occupied sites for p below the percolation threshold $p_{c}$, and the optim al path in strong disorder. $T$ he darker regions represent sites that are still present $w$ hen $p_{c}$ is reached. W e see in this case that 'opt m ust cross the region above $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{c}}$ (i.e., leave the cluster) to connect A and B. (b) If sites A and B are chosen w thin the a cluster below $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{c}}$, the optim al path does not leave the cluster because that w ould increase the cost.

 $32 ; L=128)$ and $(r=64 ; L=256) . T$ he solid lines represent the optim al path distribution, and the long dashed lines the tracer length distributions. T he values of $r$ and $L$ have a xed ratio equal to $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{r}=4$. T he sim ilarity betw een distributions is clear, supporting our hypothesis. T he sm all
 pairs of curves) is due to non-universal details of the two m odels.

