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#### Abstract

The onbital dynam ics induced by the charge current is studied theoretically. The equation of $m$ otion for the isospin vector $T^{A}$ in the $S U(\mathbb{N})$ case is derived in the presence of the current, and is applied to the cases of $e_{g}(\mathbb{N}=2)$ and $t_{2 g}(\mathbb{N}=3)$ orbitals. In spite of the an isotropic transfer integrals betw een orbitals, the dynam ics is found to be isotropic for eg-orbitals sim ilarly to the spin case, while it is anisotropic for $t_{2 g}$-orbitals. The im plication of this result to the current driven orbital dom ain wall motion is discussed.


## I. $\operatorname{IN}$ TRODUCTION

The dynamics of the spins in the presence of the , furnent, is, , ${ }_{1} \eta_{1}$, issue of recent intensive interests predicted theoretically con m ed that the $m$ agnetic dom ain wall (DW) m otion is driven by, the spin polarized current in the m, etallic ferrom agnets and also $m$ agnetic sem iconductorg ${ }^{19}$. The basic m echanism of this current driven dynam ics is the spin torque due to the current, which is shown to be related to the Berry phase ${ }^{15}$. In addition to the spin, there is another intemal degree of freedom, i.e., orbital in the strongly correlated electronic system s. T herefore it is natural and interesting to ask what is the current driven dynam ics of the orbital, which we shall address in this paper. In the transition $m$ etal oxides, there often occurs the orbital ordering concom itant w ith the spin ordering. Especially- it is related to the colossal $m$ agnetoresistance $(C M R)^{141}$ in $m$ anganese oxides. O ne can control this ordering by $m$ agnetic/electric eld, and/or the light radiation. Even the current driven spin/orbital order $m$ elting has been observed. On the other hand, an orbital liquid phase has been proposed for the ferrom agnetic $m$ etallic state of $m$ anganite ${ }^{151 .}$ The e ect of the current on this orbital liquid is also an interesting issue.

There are a few essentialdi erences betw een spin and orbital. For the doubly degenerate $e_{g}$ orbitals, the SU (2) pseudospin can be de ned analogous to the spin. H owever, the rotational sym $m$ etry is usually broken in this pseudospin space since the electronic transfer integraldepends on the pair of the orbitals before and after the hopping, and also the spacial anisotropy a ects as the pseudorm agnetic eld. Fortzg system, there are three degenerate orbitals, and hence we should de ne the SU (3) $G$ ell $M$ ann $m$ atrices to represent its orbital state. $T$ here is also an anisotropy in this 8 dim ensional order param eter due to the sam e reason as m entioned above.

In this paper, we derive the generic equation ofm otion of the $S U(N)$ intemal degrees of freedom in the presence of the orbitalcurrent. $\mathrm{N}=2$ corresponds to the $e_{g}$ orbitals and $\mathrm{N}=3$ to the $t_{2 g}$ orbitals. Especially, the anisotropy
of the DW dynam ics is addressed. Surprisingly, there is no anisotropy for the $e_{g}$ case while there is for the $t_{2 g}$ case. B ased on this equation ofm otion, we study the D W dynam ics betw een di erent orbital orderings. T he e ect of the current on the orbital liquid is also mentioned.

## II. $\quad C P^{N}{ }^{1}$ FORMALISM

In this section, we are only interested in the orbital dynam ics. To be general, we consider a system w ith N electronic orbital degeneracy. The model that we investigate is very sim ilar to the lattige CP ${ }^{N} \quad 1$ sigm a m odel w ith anisotropic coupling betw een the nearest-neighbor spinors. In contrary to the prediction in the band theory, the system is an insulator when it is $1=\mathrm{N} \quad$ lled, nam ely one electron per unit cell. Because of the strong onsite repulsive interaction, the double occupancy is not allow ed. Therefore, it costs high energy for electrons to $m$ ove, and the spin degrees of freedom is quenched to form som e spin ordering. D ue to the com plicate spin, orbital, and charge intenplay schem $e$, it is convenient to use the slave-ferm ion $m$ ethod in which we express the electron asd $i_{i}=h_{i}^{Y} z_{i}^{(t)} z_{i}^{(s)}$ where $h_{i}^{Y}, Z_{i}^{(s)}, Z_{i}^{(t)}$ are baptized as holon, spinon, and pseudo spinon (for the orroital) respectively, and the index idenotes the position ${ }^{151}$. Ifholes are introduced into the system, their mobility leans to frustrate the spin ordering and thus leads to a new phase which might possess nite conductivity. Therefore, we consider the follow ing e ective Lagrangian

where $z$ is short for the spinor $z^{(t)}$. The $t_{i j}$ in Eq. [1]) is the transfer integral which is in general anisotropic because of the sym $m$ etry of the orbitals. T herefore, the system does not have $S U(\mathbb{N})$ symm etry in general. To introduce the current, we consider the follow ing $m$ ean eld:

$$
\begin{equation*}
<h_{i} h_{j}>=x e^{i j} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x$ denotes the doping concentration, and ij is the bond current w ith the relation $\mathrm{ij}=\mathrm{ji}$. Then , the

Lagrangian can be written as

$$
L={\underset{i}{i}}_{\substack{X}}^{(1} \quad \text { x) } z_{i} \underline{z}_{i}+{ }_{<i j>}^{X}\left(t_{i j} x e^{i i_{i j}} z_{i} z_{j}+c: c\right):(3)
$$ N ote that the constraint P 立 $i \stackrel{J}{\mathrm{j}}=1$ is in posed, and

the low est energy state $w$ ith in this constraint is realized in the ground state. The $m$ ost com $m$ on state is the orbital ordered state, which is described as the B ose condensation of $z$, i.e., $<z_{i}>60$. In the present language, it corresponds to the gauge sym $m$ etry breaking. O $n$ the other hand, when the quantum and/or them al uctuation is enhanced by frustration etc., the orbital could rem ain disordered, ie., the orbital liquid state ${ }^{151}$. T hen the Lagrangian Eq. $\mathbf{1}_{1}^{(1)}$ ) describes the liquid state $w$ thout the gauge sym $m$ etry breaking. In this case, the gauge transform ation

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
z_{i} & ! & e^{i^{\prime}{ }_{i}} z_{i} \\
z_{i} & ! & e^{i^{\prime}{ }_{i}} z_{i} \tag{4}
\end{array}
$$

is allowed. G iven ij $=x_{i j}$ そ̃, where $x_{i j}=x_{i} \quad \Re_{j}$, the local gauge transform ation in Eq. ( $\bar{U}^{\prime}$ ) w ith ${ }_{i}=x_{i} \tilde{J}$ corresponding to the sim ple shifts of the $m$ om entum from $\widetilde{K}$ to $\widetilde{K}+\tilde{J}$. Therefore, the presence of current does not a ect the state signi cantly since the e ect is canceled by the gauge transform ation. On the other hand, if $z_{i}$ represent an orbital ordering, the current couples to the rst order derivative of $z$ in the continuum lim it. De ne a = iz@ $z$, the second term in Eq. $(\overline{3})$ can be written as $j$ a in the continuum lim it. $N$ am ely, the current couples to the Berry's phase connection induced by the electron hopping. Therefore, we expect som e non-trivial e ect sim ilar to the spin case.

To derive the equation of $m$ otion for the orbital $m$ o$m$ ents, we use the $S U(\mathbb{N})$ form alism. Introducing the SU (N) structure factors

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[{ }^{A} ;{ }^{B}\right] } & =\mathrm{if}_{A B C}{ }^{C}  \tag{5}\\
f^{A}{ }^{B}{ }^{B} g & =d_{A B C}{ }^{C}+g_{A}{ }^{A B} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where ${ }^{A}$ are the generalSU $(\mathbb{N})$ G ell-M ann $m$ atrices, [ ] are the com $m$ utators, and $f g$ are the anti-com $m$ utators. $T$ he $f_{A B C}$ is a totally-anti-symmetric tensor, and $d_{A B C}$ is a totally-sym $m$ etric tensor. Let us express $t_{i j}$ in this basis

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{i j}=t_{i j}^{0} 1+t_{i j}^{A} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e will only consider the nearest-neighbor hopping: $t_{i j}^{A}=t_{<i j>}^{A}$. In the rest of the paper, $t_{i ; i}^{A} \hat{k} w i l l b e w r i t t e n$ as $t_{k}^{A}$, so does $i_{j}$. De ne the C $P^{N}{ }^{1}$ superspin vector as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{A}(i)=z_{i}^{A} z_{i} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation, ofm otion of $T^{A}$ (i) given by Eq. ( $\mathbf{I}_{1}$ (i) can be obtained as ${ }^{10}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{T}^{A}(i)= & \frac{x a}{(1 x)^{\sim}}\left[2 \cos { }_{k} f_{A B C} t_{k}^{B} T^{C}\right. \text { (i) } \\
& 2 \sin k t_{k}^{0} \quad k T^{A}(i) \sin k t_{k}^{B} d_{A B C} \quad k T^{C} \text { (i) } \\
& +\left.f_{A B C} t_{k}^{B} \sin k\right|_{C} ^{k} \text { (i)] } \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where the dum $m y k$ is sum $m$ ed over $x, y$, and $z$ direction, $a$ is the lattioe constant, and the orbital current $\downarrow_{C}$ (i) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\not_{c}(i)=i\left(\sim z_{i}^{c} z_{i} \quad z_{i}^{c} \sim_{z_{i}}\right) ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the second order in . Up to the rst order in , the rst term in the right hand side of the Eq $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{L}}^{(9)}$ (9) is zero ${ }^{\prime}$ provided that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{x}^{A}+t_{y}^{A}+t_{z}^{A}=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is true for $m$ ost of the system $s$ that we are_interested in. C onsequently, the dom inant term sin Eq. $(\underline{\bar{g}})$ w ill be the second and the third ones, which can be sim pli ed as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{T}^{A}(i) & =\frac{x a}{(1 \quad x) \sim}\left[2 \sin k t_{x}^{0} \quad k T^{A}(i)\right. \\
& \left.+\sin k t_{k}^{B} d_{A B C} \quad k T^{C} \quad \text { (i) }\right] ; \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

which is one of the m ain results in this paper. U sing Eq. (121), we w ill discuss the orbitald $W$ m otion in the $e_{g}$ and the $t_{2 g}$ system $s$.

H ere som e rem arks are in order on the mean eld ap-
 noted that the generalized Landau- ifshitz equation obtained by B azaliy et. aE can be reproduced in the present $m$ ean eld treatm ent when applied to the spin problem. A $s$ is know $n$, how ever, there are tw o $m$ echan ism s-af current-induced dom ain wall m otion in ferrom agnets ${ }^{111}$. O ne is the transfer of the spin torque and the other is the $m$ om entum transfer. The latter is due to the backw ard scattering of the electrons by the dom ain wall. O ur presentm ean eld treatm ent and that in B azaliy'spaper' take the form er spin torque e ect correctly, while the latter $m$ om entum transfer e ect is dropped, since the scattering of electrons is not taken into account. H ow ever, the lattere ect is usually sm allbecause the w idth of the dom ain wall is thicker than the lattice constant, and we can safely neglect it.

$$
\text { III. } \quad N=2, e_{g} S Y S T E M
$$

First, we consider the application on the (La,Sr)M no (113 or 214) system ${ }^{4.1}$. W ithout losing the generality, we show the result on the 113 system. T he other one can be obtained in a sim ilar way.

In $\mathrm{LaMnO} 3_{3}$, the valence of Mn ion is $\mathrm{Mn}^{3+} \mathrm{w}$ th the electronic con guration $\left(t_{g}\right)^{3}\left(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{g}}\right)^{1}$. By doping with Sr , one hole is introduced to $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{n}^{3+}$ and m ake it to be $\mathrm{M}^{4+}$. $T$ he transfer integralbetw een $M n$ ions depends on the $M n$ 3d and O 2 p orbitals. A fter integrating over the oxygen $p$ orbitals, the e ective hopping betw een the M n d orbitals can be obtained. If we denote the up state as $d_{3 z^{2}} \quad r^{2}$
and the dow $n$ state $\operatorname{asd}_{x^{2}} y^{2}$, $t_{i j}$ have the follow ing form

$$
\begin{align*}
& t_{x}=t_{0} \quad \frac{\frac{1}{9}}{\frac{\beta_{3}}{4}}{\frac{p_{-}}{\frac{3}{4}}}_{\frac{p_{-}}{4}}^{!}=t_{0}\left(\frac{1}{2} 1 \frac{p_{\overline{3}}}{4} \times \frac{1}{4}{ }^{z}\right) \\
& t_{y}=t_{0}{\frac{p^{4}}{\frac{1}{3}}}_{\frac{p_{\overline{3}}}{4}}^{\frac{3}{4}}=t_{0}\left(\frac{1}{2} 1+\frac{p_{\overline{3}}}{4} \times \frac{1}{4}{ }^{\mathrm{z}}\right) \\
& t_{z}=t_{0} \quad \begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}=t_{0}\left(\frac{1}{2} 1+\frac{1}{2}{ }^{z}\right) \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where ${ }^{i}$ are the $P$ aulim atrioes. For $N=2$, the pseudospin m om ent has the O (3) sym $m$ etry given by $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{z}$. $T$ he consequent equations of $m$ otion is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{@}{@ t}+\frac{x}{1} \frac{a}{x} \frac{t_{0}}{\sim} \sim \sim^{A}(i)=0\right. \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{\sim}$ is ( x ; y ; z ), which can be related to the orbital current as $N_{0}=\frac{x}{1 \times} \underset{\sim}{a} t_{0}{ }^{\sim}$. Taking the continuum lim it, Eq. (14-4) becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{@}{@ t} \quad v_{0} \quad \sim\right) T^{i}(x)=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which suggests the solution to be the form $T^{i}\left(x+v_{0} t\right)$. $T$ he result is sim ilar to spin case. $W$ hile the spin dom ain wall m oves opposite to the spin current ${ }^{131}$, in our case, the orbitaldom ain $w$ allalso $m$ oves opposite to the orbital current.

W e can estim ate the order ofm agnitude of the critical current to drive the orbitalDW. The lattioe constant a is about 3A. The transfer integral constant to is around 2 eV in the LSM O system estim ated from the photoem ission $m$ easurem ent. If we set $v$ around $1 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, the critical current can be estim ated as $e=a^{3} \quad 6 \quad 10^{9} \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$, which is roughly the sam e as the order ofm agnitude of that to drive the spin dom ain wall.

It should be noted that the current only couples to the rst order derivative of $z$. T he double exchange term which is given by the second order derivative is not show n in the equation ofm otion. H ow ever, the double exchange term plays a role to stabilize the D W con guration before the current is sw itched on.
$T$ here are tw $O$ orbitals degenerate to $d_{x^{2}} \quad y^{2}$, which are $d_{y^{2}} z^{2}$ and $d_{z^{2}} x^{2}$. Sim ilarly, $d_{3 y^{2}} r^{2}$ and $d_{3 x^{2}} \quad r^{2}$ are degenerate to $d_{3 z^{2}} r^{2}$. In the $M$ angante system, $d_{3 z^{2}} r^{2}$ and $d_{x^{2}} \quad y^{2} m$ ay have di erent energy due to slight structuraldistortion in the unit cell. Therefore, in $m$ ost cases, dom ain walls are the type of those which separate tw o degenerate dom ains. For exam ple, let's consider the orbital dom ain wall separating $3 y^{2} \quad r^{2}$ and $3 x^{2} \quad r^{2}$. In $F$ ig $\underline{I}_{1}^{11}$, the dom ain $w$ all sits at $y=0$, and suppose the current is along the positive $x$ direction. $3 y^{2} \quad r^{2}$ and $3 x^{2} \quad r^{2}$ orbitals are described by the spinors ( $1=2 ; \quad \overline{3}=2$ ) and ( $1=2 ;{ }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{3}=2$ ) respectively. The con guration given in $F$ ig '1/ is described by the spinor eld ( $\cos \left(x_{1}\right) ; \sin \left(x_{i}\right)$ ) where $\left(x_{i}\right)=2=3\left(2 \cot ^{1} e^{x_{i}=w}+1\right)$, where $w$ is the width of the dom ain wall.

M oreover, due to the special properties of SU (2) algebra, the equation of $m$ otion is isotropic regardless how anisotropic the transfer integral is. Therefore, the motion of orbital dom ain wall is undistorted as in the spin case.


F IG . 1: (C olor online) An exam ple of the dom ain structure in the $e_{g}$ system. The dom ain boundary lies on the $y$-axis.

IV . $N=3, t_{2 g}$ SY STEM

Let us consider the $t_{2 g}$ system $s$, for exam $p l e$, in the Vanadate or $T$ itanate system s . T he $\mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ orbitals contain three orbits $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{xy}}, \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{yz}}$, and $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{zx}}$. The hopping integral $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ betw een the $T i^{3+}$ sites or the $V^{3+}$ ones is given as

$$
\begin{align*}
& t_{x}=t_{0} @ \begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 A \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}=t_{0}\left(\frac{2}{3} 1+\frac{p^{1}}{2} \frac{1}{2}^{2^{\frac{1}{3}}}{ }^{8}\right) \\
& \begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0^{1}
\end{array} \\
& t_{y}=t_{0} @ \begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}=t_{0}\left(\frac{2}{3} 1+P_{\frac{1}{3}}{ }^{8}\right) \\
& 00001^{1} \\
& t_{z}=t_{0} @ \begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 A \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}=t_{0}\left(\frac{2}{3} 1 \quad \frac{P_{\overline{1}}}{2}{ }^{3}{\left.\frac{1}{2^{p}}{ }^{8}\right)}^{8}\right) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where ${ }^{A}$ are $S U(3)$ Gell-M ann $m$ atrioes $w$ th the nor$m$ alization condition $\operatorname{Tr}\left({ }^{A}{ }^{B}\right)=2^{A B}$. The super-spin $T^{A}$ given by $z^{A} z$ is nom alized because $z z=1$ and ${ }^{A} A \quad A \quad 2 \quad \frac{2}{3}$
The equation ofm otion in this case w ill.be anisotropic because $d_{A B C}$ is non-trivial in the $S U$ (3) case. It is inspiring to work on an exam ple to see how it goes. Let's consider the $d_{x y} \quad d_{y z}$ orbital $D W$ shown in the $F i g{ }_{2} \bar{z}_{1}$. Because of the onbital sym $m$ etry, such $D W$ can only be stabilized along the $y$ direction. Sim ilarly, the $d_{x y} d_{z x}$ DW can only be stabilized along the $x$ direction and so on. Therefore, the e ect of current is anisotropic. In the
absence of current, the dom ain wall is stabilized to be

$$
z\left(x_{i}\right)=@^{0} \sin \tan ^{1} e^{y_{i}=w} \begin{align*}
& 1 \\
& \operatorname{costan}{ }^{1} e^{y_{i}=w} A \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

It can be easily seen that it takes no e ect if the current is applied along x or z direction. T he superspin com ponent is given by

W hen the current is applied along the y direction, Eq. (12 $\underline{1}_{1}$ ) for each $T_{k}^{A}$ are decoupled. For $A=1 ; 2 ; 3$, they are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{@}{@ t}+\frac{2 x t_{0}}{(1 \quad x) \sim} y \frac{@}{@ y}\right) T^{1 ; 2 ; 3}(x)=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $A=4:: 8$, they are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{@}{@ t}+\frac{x t_{0}}{(1 \quad x) \sim} y \frac{@}{@ y}\right) T^{4:: 8}(x)=0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

At a glance, we obtain 2 characteristic drift velocity of the dom ain wall. It is not the case, because $T^{4}(x)$ is zero and $\mathrm{T}^{8}(x)$ is constant. Only Eq. (1d) determ ines the m otion of the DW. Furtherm ore, the 8 dim ensional super-spin space reduces to be 2 dim ensional as sum m arized in F ig ${ }_{1}{ }_{1} . \mathrm{T}^{1} \mathrm{~m}$ om ent grow s in the dom ain wall while $T^{3} \mathrm{~m}$ om ent distinguishes two dom ains. In the presence of current along $y$ direction, the wall velocity is $j v j=\frac{2 x t_{0}}{(1 x)^{\sim}} \mathfrak{j} y$. Other type of dom ain structures can be analyzed in a sim ilar way. A s a result, even though the order param eter in the $t_{2 g}$ system $s$ form $s$ an 8 -dim ensional super-spin space, we can alw ays reduce it to be 2 -dim ensionalbecause of the anisotropic nature of the system. Furthem ore, the DW m oves without any distortion just like the isotropic case.

## V. D ISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In thispaper, we form ulated the orbitaldynam icsw hen the spin degree of freedom is quenched. We used SU $(\mathbb{N})$ super-spin $T^{A}$ to describe the orbitalstates and obtained the general equation of $m$ otion for it. W e also show ed som eexam ples for the SU (2) and SU (3) cases corresponding $e_{g}$ and $t_{2 g}$ system $s$, respectively. In the $S U(2)$ case, the orbital dynam ics is very sim ilar to the spin case: undistorted and isotropic. In SU (3) case, the DW structure is anisotropic because of the orbital sym $m$ etry. In


F IG . 2: (C olor online) An exam ple of the dom ain structure in the $t_{2 g}$ system. Only y component of current $w i l l m$ ove the dom ain wall.


F IG. 3: (C olor on line) $d_{x y} \quad d_{y z}$ dom ain $w$ all in the superspin space. The superspin rotates like a XY spin in the superspin space.
addition, the e ective super-spin space is 2 -dim ensional, and the dom ain wallm otion is also undistorted.

Even though the analogy to the spin case can be m ade, one $m$ ust be careful about som e crucial di erences betw een the spin and orbital degrees of freedom. W e have estim ated the critical current to drive the dom ain wall assum ing the uniform current ow in the $m$ etallic system, but $m$ ost of the orbital ordered state is insulating. $T$ his is the $m$ ost severe restriction $w$ hen the present theory is applied to the real system s. A n exam ple of the $m$ etallic orbitalordered state is A -type antiferrom agneitc state w ith $x^{2} \quad y^{2}$ orbitalordering in $N d S n M$ nO $1^{14}$. H ow ever it is insulating along the c-direction, and there is no degeneracy of the orbitals once the lattice distortion is stabilized. T he ferrom agnetic $m$ etallic state in LSM O is orbitaldisomdered. A ccording to the quantum orbital liquid picture ${ }^{151}$, there is no rem arkable currente ect on the orbitals as explained in the Introduction. On the other
hand, when the classical uctuation of the onbital plays the dom inant role for the orbital disordering, the short range orbital order can be regarded as the distribution of the dom ain walls, which show s the translationalm otion due to the current as discussed in this paper. N ote how ever that the current does not induce any anisotropy in the orbitalpseudospin space in the $e_{g}$ case.

O rbital degrees of freedom is not preserved in the vacuum or the usualm etals, where the correlation e ect is not im portant, in sharp contrast to the spin. Therefore the onbitalquantum number can not be transm itted along the long distance and the pseudospin valve phenom enon is unlikely in the orbital case.
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## APPENDIX A: $e_{g}$ ORBITALS

There are tw o class of $e_{g}$ orbitals, i.e., one is $3 x^{2} \quad r^{2}$, $3 y^{2} \quad r^{2}, 3 z^{2} \quad r^{2}$, and the other is $x^{2} y^{2}, y^{2} \quad z^{2}$, and $z^{2} \quad x^{2}$. They can be described by a two-com ponent spinor. If we use $(1 ; 0)$ to describe $3 z^{2} \quad r^{2}$ and $(0 ; 1)$ for $x^{2} \quad y^{2}$, the other degenerate orbitals are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& 3 y^{2} \quad r^{2}:\left(1=2 ; \quad{ }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{3}=2\right) \\
& 3 x^{2} \quad r^{2}:\left(1=2 ;^{p} \overline{3}=2\right) \\
& y^{2} \quad z^{2} \quad:\left({ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{3}=2 ; \quad 1=2\right) \\
& z^{2} \quad x^{2}:\left(\begin{array}{l}
p \\
3 \\
=2 ;
\end{array} \quad 1=2\right) \tag{A1}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ heir pseudospin $m$ om ents given by the transform ation $T^{A}=z_{\frac{A}{2}} z$ are show $n$ as the follow ing

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{T}_{3 \mathrm{x}^{2} 1}=(\mathrm{p} \overline{3}=2 ; 0 ; \quad 1=2) \\
& \mathrm{T}_{3 \mathrm{y}^{2} 1}=(\overline{\mathrm{P}}=2 ; 0 ; 1=2) \\
& \mathrm{T}_{3 \mathrm{z}^{2} 1}=(0 ; 0 ; 1) \\
& \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{x}^{2}} \mathrm{y}^{2}=(0 ; 0 ; 1) \\
& \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{y}^{2} \mathrm{z}^{2}}=(\mathrm{p} \overline{3}=2 ; 0 ; 1=2) \\
& \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{x}^{2}} \mathrm{z}^{2}=(\mathrm{p} \overline{3}=2 ; 0 ; 1=2) \tag{A2}
\end{align*}
$$

APPENDIX B:GELL-MANN MATRICES

The G ell M ann $m$ atrices used in the text are given explicitly in the follow ing:
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