M odi ed sem iclassical approximation for trapped Bose gases

V.J. Yukalov

Institut fur Theoretische Physik,
Freie Universitat Berlin, Armim allee 14, D-14195 Berlin, Germany
and

Bogolubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna 141980, Russia

Abstract

A generalization of the sem iclassical approximation is suggested allowing for an essential extension of its region of applicability. In particular, it becomes possible to describe Bose-Einstein condensation of a trapped gas in low-dimensional traps and in traps of low con ning dimensions, for which the standard sem iclassical approximation is not applicable. The results of the modiled approach are shown to coincide with purely quantum -mechanical calculations for harmonic traps, including the one-dimensional harmonic trap. The advantage of the semiclassical approximation is in its simplicity and generality. Power-law potentials of arbitrary powers are considered. Elective thermodynamic limit is defined for any containing dimension. The behaviour of the specific heat, isothermal compressibility, and density uctuations is analyzed, with an emphasis on low containing dimensions, where the usual semiclassical method fails. The peculiarities of the thermodynamic characteristics in the elective thermodynamic limit are discussed.

03.75 Hh, 03.75 Nt, 05.30 Jp, 05.70 Ce, 05.70 Jk

I. IN TRODUCTION

Physics of Bose gases, exhibiting Bose-E instein condensation, is currently a subject of intensive investigations, both experimental and theoretical (see, e.g., the review works [1 { 5]). A very e cient method for describing Bose-E instein condensation of trapped atoms is the sem iclassical approximation, which has been employed for considering the properties of Bose-condensed ideal gases trapped in power-law potentials [2,6 { 11 }. The advantage of the sem iclassical method is its simplicity, as compared to quantum -mechanical calculations, and its generality allowing for the treatment of power-law potentials of arbitrary powers, except those cases, when the elective confining dimension becomes low. The latter happens for the gas of low spatial dimensionality and when the power of the confining potential is large. For instance, the sem iclassical approximation predicts the absence of Bose-E instein condensation at nite temperature in a one-dimensional harmonic trap [2,7,11], while this exists in a quantum-mechanical picture [12].

In the present paper, a modi cation of the semiclassical approximation is advanced, which makes it possible to essentially extend the region of its applicability. Thus, the low-dimensional gases can be successfully described, with conning potentials of arbitrary powers. The denition of an elective thermodynamic limit for trapped atoms, known for the case of a three-dimensional harmonic trap [3], can be generalized to arbitrary space dimensionality and any conning powers. The specion-heat discontinuity at the condensation point can now be studied for all those cases, for which the standard approach does not work. The behaviour of isothermal compressibility and the peculiarity of density uctuations of a nite number of trapped atoms, not studied earlier, are investigated.

Throughout the paper, the system of units is employed, where the P lanck constant h 1 and the Boltzmann constant k_B 1 are set to unity.

II. SEM IC LA SSICAL DEN SITY OF STATES

Let us start with very brie y recalling the basic notions and introducing the necessary notation that will be used in the following sections. We shall consider the ideal Bose gas con ned by means of a trapping potential U (r). The Cartesian vector r=fr g, with =1;2;:::;d, is de ned in a d-dimensional space, so that d=1;2;::: The trapping potential is assumed to be slowly varying in space, such that its characteristic length l_0 be much larger than the thermal wavelength l_0 ,

$$\frac{T}{l_0}$$
 1; T $\frac{s}{mT}$; (1)

where m is atom ic m ass and T, tem perature. C ondition (1) can be rewritten as an inequality for the characteristic frequency of the trapping potential $!_0$,

$$\frac{!_0}{T}$$
 1; $!_0$ $\frac{1}{m l_0^2}$: (2)

Under condition (1) or (2), the sem iclassical approach is applicable $[2,6\{10]]$, described by the density of states

$$(") = \frac{(2m)^{d=2}}{(4)^{d=2}} \int_{U}^{d=2} [" U (r)]^{d=2} dr;$$
 (3)

where d 1 and the integration is over the available volume

In particular, for a one-dim ensional system, one has

$$(") = \frac{p_{\frac{2m}{2m}}}{2} \sum_{x''} \frac{dx}{q_{\frac{m}{m}} U(x)};$$
 (4)

with the turning points x_n given by the equality $U(x_n) = n$. Note that Eq. (4) follows exactly from Eq. (3).

The general form of a power-law con ning potential can be represented as

where !; l, and n are positive parameters. The characteristic trapping frequency and potential length are given by the geometric averages

An important notion, arising for the conning potential (5), is the conning dimension

$$s = \frac{d}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{X^d} \frac{1}{n} :$$
 (7)

The density of states (3), for potential (5), becomes

$$(") = \frac{ms \ 1}{ds} ; \tag{8}$$

where (s) is a gam m a-function. Form (8) is valid for any d 1 and s 1=2. The parameter d is

$$d = \frac{d=2}{2^{s}} Y^{d} = 1 \frac{!^{1=2+1=n}}{(1+1=n)}$$
 (9)

for d = 1. For instance, for d = 1, it is

$$_{1} = \frac{P - \frac{!_{0}}{(1 + 1 = n)} \frac{!_{0}}{2}$$
 (d = 1): (10)

In the case of harm onic traps, when n=2, the con ning dimension (7) coincides with the spatial dimension d=s. Then d=1 for all density of states is

$$(") = \frac{m^{d-1}}{(d)! {\atop 0}}$$
 (d 1):

III. M OD IF IED SEM IC LASSICAL APPROXIMATION

Observable quantities, calculated with the density of states (8), are expressed through the Bose-E instein function $g_s(z)$, in which s is the con ning dimension (7) and z=e is fugacity, with 1=T being inverse temperature and , them ical potential. Below the Bose condensation temperature T_c , one has ! 0 and z ! 1. The Bose-E instein function $g_s(z)$ diverges as z ! 1, if s 1. Those observable quantities that contain $g_s(1)$, with $g_s(2)$ 1, cannot be defined, because of the divergence of $g_s(1)$. This imposes the limits of applicability for the semiclassical approximation.

However the divergence of g_s (1), for s 1, is related to the usage of the therm odynam ic lim it assum ing an in nite system with an in nite number of particles. For this case, integrations over momenta start from zero, which implies that the minimal momentum is zero. But if the system is nite, containing a nite number of particles N, though may be very large, and in addition is conned in space by a trapping potential with a characteristic length l_0 , then the minimal momentum of a particle is not zero, but rather is a nite quantity $k_{m\,in}=1=l_0$. Respectively, the minimal energy is $k_{m\,in}^2=2m$, and the related dimensionless minimal energy is

$$u_{m \text{ in}} = \frac{k_{m \text{ in}}^2}{2m} = \frac{1}{2m} \frac{1}{2m} = \frac{!_0}{2T} :$$
 (11)

The Bose-E instein functions $g_n(z)$, arising in the process of calculating physical quantities, are defined through integrals over the dimensionless energy variable u = ". For a finite connect system, the integration should start from the minimal value (11), which yields

$$g_n(z) = \frac{1}{(n)} \int_{u_{m in}}^{z_{1}} \frac{zu^{n-1}}{e^u} du$$
 (12)

The lower integration limit, according to inequality (2) is small,

$$u_{m in} = \frac{!_0}{2T}$$
 1; (13)

though it is not strictly zero. For n > 1, when the integral (12) converges, the value (13) is negligible and can be replaced by zero. However, for n = 1, when the integral can diverge at z ! 1, the lower integration $\lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \lim$

$$g_{1}(1) = \ln \frac{2T}{!_{0}} \qquad (n = 1);$$

$$g_{n}(1) = \frac{1}{(1 + n)(n)} \frac{2T}{!_{0}} \qquad (0 < n < 1);$$

$$g_{0}(1) = \frac{2T}{!_{0}} \qquad (n = 0):$$
(14)

For the last equality in Eqs. (14), the relation

$$\frac{0}{2} g_n(z) = \frac{1}{z} g_{n-1}(z)$$

is used, being valid for all n. For negative values n < 0, the integral (12) has the form of the second of Eqs. (14). Note that for all n 0, the values $g_i(1)$ are positive, while for 1=2 n < 0, these values become negative, since then (n) < 0.

The total number of particles can be represented as

$$N = N_0 + \frac{T^s}{g_s(z)}; (15)$$

where N $_0$ is the number of condensed atom s. From here, the critical temperature T_c follows as the temperature at which ! 0, z ! 1, and N $_0$! 0, which results in

$$T_{c} = \frac{dN}{g_{s}(1)} = (16)$$

For s>1, one has $g_s(1)=(s)$, with (s) being a Riemann zeta function. In the standard picture, $g_s(1)$ would diverge for all s=1, which would lead to the conclusion that then $T_c! 0$. That is, then a nite condensation temperature would not exist for one-dimensional systems trapped by a potential with the power 2, which includes the harm onic potential [2,7,11]. But, as is explained above, for a nite connect system, we have to take the value $g_n(1)$ given by Eqs. (14).

For s = 1, which happens for a one-dimensional harm onic trap (d = 1, n = 2), we not the critical temperature

$$T_c = \frac{N!_0}{\ln (2T_c = !_0)}$$
 (s = 1); (17)

which is an immediate consequence of Eqs. (14) and (16). Equation (17) exactly coincides with the equation for T_c obtained in a purely quantum—mechanical calculation [12]. Iterating Eq. (17), with taking account of the inequality

$$\frac{T_c}{!_0}$$
 e^{2N} (N 1);

we get the condensation temperature

$$T_c = \frac{N!_0}{\ln{(2N)}}$$
 (s = d = 1; n = 2) (18)

for the one-dim ensional harm onic trap.

In the case of one-dimensional traps, with con ning potentials of powers n > 2, we can have the con ning dimension in the interval 1=2 s < 1. In such a case, the condensation temperature is

$$T_c = \frac{P - (1 \quad s) \quad (s)}{2 \quad (1 + 1 = n)} N!_0 \quad (s < 1) :$$
 (19)

Thus, we come to a conclusion that nite critical temperatures do exist for one-dimensional traps for all powers of the conning potential.

Dealing with harm onic traps, one has s = d, so that for two-and three-dimensional traps, the critical temperatures are

$$T_c = !_0 \frac{N}{(d)}$$
 $(s = d = 2;3)$: (20)

And for a one-dim ensional harm onic trap, T_c is given by Eq. (18).

IV.EFFECTIVE THERMODYNAM IC LIM IT

E ective therm odynam ic lim it for three-dim ensional harm onic traps has been de ned earlier [3]. Here this notion is generalized for all spatial dim ensions d 1 and arbitrary con ning dim ensions s 1=2.

The basic idea in de ning an e ective therm odynam ic \lim it is the comm on agreement that, when the number of particles tends to in nity, $N \ ! \ 1$, the extensive observable quantities must vary proportionally to $N \ . \ 0$ ne of the main extensive observables is the internal energy $E \ . \ H \ ence$, the therm odynam ic \lim it in the most general sense can be understood as the \lim it

$$N ! 1 ; E ! 1 ; \frac{E}{N} ! const;$$
 (21)

where a nonzero constant is assumed. For the internal energy, we have

$$\frac{E}{N} = \frac{sg_{s+1}(z)}{N} T^{s+1} :$$
 (22)

Since s 1=2, the value of $g_{+1}(z)$ is always nite. This means that the limit (21) can be rewritten as

$$N ! 1 ; d! 0; N d! const:$$
 (23)

This is the most general form of the thermodynamic limit, valid for all power-law potentials in any spatial dimensionality.

Let us consider in more detail the case of unipower potentials, for which n=n. Then the conning dimension (7) becomes

$$s = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{n} d: (24)$$

The coe cient (9) takes the form

$$d = \frac{d=2}{d(1+1=n)} = \frac{!_0}{2}$$
 (25)

where $!_0$ is defined in Eq. (6). This shows that $_d$ / $!_0^s$ for all d 1. Thence, the lim it (23) can be represented as the electric thermodynamic lim it

$$N ! 1 ; !_0 ! 0 ; N !_0^s ! const :$$
 (26)

The latter im plies that

$$!_{0} / N^{1=s}$$
 (N ! 1): (27)

In a particular case of a three-dimensional harmonic trap, when s=d=3, the limit (26) reduces to the known result [3]. But, generally, the limit (26) is valid for all conning dimensions (24).

The condensation temperatures, found above, have sense for conned systems with a nite, though very large, number of particles N. The notion of the thermodynamic limit (26) allows one to analyze the behaviour of T_c as N! 1. To this end, from Eq. (16) we have

$$T_c / const$$
 (s > 1): (28)

For the one-dim ensional harm onic trap, Eq. (18) gives

$$T_c / \frac{1}{\ln N} ! 0 (s = 1) :$$
 (29)

And for very low con ning dimensions s, from Eq. (19) we nd

$$T_c / N^{1} = 0$$
 (s < 1): (30)

Equations (28) to (30) demonstrate the behaviour of T_c as N $\,!\,$ 1 . But for nite N , the corresponding values in Eqs. (16), (18), and (19) can be nite and well de ned.

V.SPECIFIC HEAT DISCONTINUITY

Speci cheat, under a xed number of particles, is given by the derivative

$$C_{N} = \frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial E}{\partial T} : \tag{31}$$

W ith the internal energy (22), for the tem peratures above T_c , one has

$$C_N = s(s+1) \frac{g_{s+1}(z)}{g_s(z)} \qquad \hat{s} \frac{g_s(z)}{g_{s-1}(z)} \qquad (T > T_c) :$$
 (32)

One may notice that for a three-dimensional uniform system, when n! 1 and s! 3=2, Eq. (32) reduces to the known expression [13,14]. Below the condensation temperature $T_{\rm c}$, we get

$$C_N = s(s+1) \frac{g_{s+1}(1)}{g_s(1)} \frac{T}{T_c}$$
 (T < T_c): (33)

Expression (33) is nite and positive for all s 1=2. De ning the speci cheat discontinuity at the critical point as

$$C_{N} C_{N} (T_{c} + 0) C_{N} (T_{c} 0);$$
 (34)

we nd

$$C_N = \hat{s} \frac{g_s(1)}{g_{s-1}(1)}$$
: (35)

In the standard approach, because of the divergence of $g_{s-1}(1)$ for s-2, the specic heat discontinuity (35) could be considered [11] only for s>2. Here we extend the analysis for all s.

First, for higher con ning dimensions, we have

$$C_N = \hat{s} - \frac{(s)}{(s-1)}$$
 $(s > 2);$ (36)

which agrees with the previous result [11]. For lower con ning dimensions, which could not be treated earlier, we obtain the following expressions:

$$C_N = \frac{2^2}{3 \ln (2T_c = !_0)}$$
 (s = 2); (37)

$$C_N = \hat{s}(s)(2 - s)(s - 1)\frac{!_0}{2T_c}^{2-s} \qquad (1 < s < 2);$$
 (38)

$$C_{N} = \frac{!_{0}}{2T_{c}} \ln \frac{2T_{c}}{!_{0}}$$
 (s = 1);

$$C_{N} = \frac{s^{2}(2 + s)(s + 1)!_{0}}{2(1 + s)(s)T_{c}}$$
 (s < 1): (40)

For all s 1, the speci c heat jump is negative, which tells that

$$C_N (T_c = 0) > C_N (T_c + 0)$$
 (s 1):

But the sign of the discontinuity changes for s < 1, demonstrating that

$$C_N (T_c 0) < C_N (T_c + 0)$$
 (s < 1):

The jump (40) becomes positive, since (s 1) is negative for 1=2 s < 1.

In the case of harm onic traps, the speci c heat discontinuity C_N is given by Eq. (39) for d=1, by Eq. (37) for d=2, and for a three-dim ensional harm onic trap, one has

$$C_N = \frac{54}{2}$$
 (3) (s = d = 3):

For nite systems, the specic heat is always discontinuous at T_c.

VI.DENSITY FLUCTUATIONS AND COMPRESSIBILITY

Density uctuations in any statistical system can be quantied [13,15] by the isotherm al compressibility

$$_{\rm T} = \frac{{}^{2} (\hat{N})}{{}^{2} {}^{2} {}^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{0}{0} \frac{!}{0} ;$$
 (41)

where is a mean particle density, and which is connected with the number-of-particle dispersion

$$^{2}(\hat{N}) < \hat{N}^{2} > < \hat{N} > ^{2} :$$
 (42)

For the latter, one has

$${}^{2}\left(\hat{N}\right) = T \frac{\partial N}{\partial a} = \frac{TN}{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} : \tag{43}$$

The total number of particles $N = N_0 + N_1$ is a sum of the number of condensed atoms and the number of atoms outside the condensate. In a gauge-symmetric grand canonical ensemble, the uctuations of condensate are known to be anomalous [16,17]. However, as is also perfectly known [16], this is just an artifact that can be easily removed by breaking the gauge symmetry. The most e cient way of gauge-symmetry breaking is by means of the so-called Bogolubov shift [18,19], when the eld operators of condensed particles are replaced by the nonoperator quantities, representing the condensate wave functions. In that way, the operator of the number of condensed particles is replaced by its average, as a result of which only the noncondensed particles contribute to the dispersion

$${}^{2}(\hat{\mathbf{N}}) = {}^{2}(\hat{\mathbf{N}}_{1}) = T \frac{\partial \mathbf{N}_{1}}{\partial} : \tag{44}$$

The necessity of introducing broken gauge sym metry in grand canonical ensemble, in order to elim inate ctitious condensate uctuations, was discussed in great detail by ter Haar [16] and Hohenberg and Martin [20], and carefully explained by Bogolubov [18,19]. The asym ptotic exactness of the Bogolubov shift was proven by G in ibre [21].

The number of noncondensed particles, according to Eq. (15), is

$$N_1 = \frac{T^s}{d} g_s(z)$$
: (45)

From here, the dispersion (44) writes as

$${}^{2}(\hat{N}_{1}) = \frac{T^{s}}{d} g_{s} (z) :$$
 (46)

This, invoking relation (16), can be rewritten as

$${}^{2}(\hat{N}_{1}) = N \frac{g_{s-1}(z)}{g_{s}(1)} \frac{T}{T_{c}}^{s} :$$
 (47)

Involving the notion of the therm odynam ic lim it, particle uctuations can be classi ed into normal and anomalous. This is described more fully in the review article [22]. When ${}^2(\hat{N_1})$ / N, uctuations are called normal, while if ${}^2(\hat{N_1})$ / N, with ${}>$ 1, they are term ed anomalous.

At tem peratures $T > T_c$, above the condensation point, one has $N_1 = N$ and z < 1. For the con ning dimension s 1, particle uctuations are normal, since

$$^{2}(\hat{N}_{1}) / N$$
 (s 1;T > T_c): (48)

Respectively, the compressibility (41) is nite for all N ! 1 . For lower conning dimensions s < 1, we nd

$${}^{2}(\hat{N}_{1}) = \frac{zT}{(1 + z)(1 + s)(s + 1)_{d}} = \frac{2}{!_{0}} {}^{1 + s} :$$
 (49)

Then, because (s 1) < 0 for 1=2 < s < 1, the compressibility (41) becomes divergent and negative,

$$_{\rm T}$$
 / N $^{1+1=s}$ (s < 1; T > T_c): (50)

Such a behaviour of the compressibility means that the system is unstable.

Above the condensation temperature T_{c} , the trapped gas is stable only for the conning dimensions s 1. For harmonic traps, for which s=d, the gas is stable in all spatial dimensions d 1.

The situation is more interesting for the temperatures $T < T_{\rm c}$ below the condensation point. Then the dispersion (46) becomes

$${}^{2}(\hat{N}_{1}) = \frac{T^{s}}{d} g_{s} (1) :$$
 (51)

There exists a rich variety of di erent cases:

$${}^{2}(\hat{N}_{1}) = N \frac{(s 1)}{(s)} \frac{T}{T_{c}}$$
 (s > 2); (52)

$${}^{2}(\hat{N}_{1}) = \frac{N}{(2)} \frac{T}{T_{c}}^{2} \ln \frac{2T}{!_{0}}$$
 (s = 2); (53)

$${}^{2}(\hat{N}_{1}) = \frac{N}{(2 + s)(s)(s + 1)} \frac{2T_{c}}{!_{0}} \frac{2 \cdot s}{T_{c}} \frac{T}{T_{c}}$$
 (1 < s < 2); (54)

$${}^{2}(\hat{N}_{1}) = 2 \frac{T}{!_{0}}$$
 (s = 1):

And for s < 1, the dispersion ${}^2(\hat{N}_1)$ has the same form as in Eq. (54). In the thermodynamic limit, we nd

$${}^{2}(\hat{N_{1}}) / N$$
 (s > 2);
 ${}^{2}(\hat{N_{1}}) / N \ln N$ (s = 2);
 ${}^{2}(\hat{N_{1}}) / N^{2=s}$ (1 < s < 2);
 ${}^{2}(\hat{N_{1}}) / N^{2}$ (s = 1);
 ${}^{2}(\hat{N_{1}}) / N^{2=s}$ (s < 1): (56)

Respectively, the behaviour of the compressibility is

$$_{T}$$
 / const (s > 2);

$$_{T}$$
 / $\ln N$ (s = 2);
 $_{T}$ / $N^{2=s-1}$ (1 < s < 2);
 $_{T}$ / N (s = 1);
 $_{T}$ / $N^{2=s-1}$ (s < 1): (57)

These equations demonstrate that the uctuations are anomalous for all s 2. A negative compressibility for s < 1 implies strong instability of the system. For the conning dimensions in the interval 1 s 2, the compressibility is positive but displays nonthermodynamic behaviour diverging in the thermodynamic limit. An actual divergence of Thappens only for in nite systems, when N ! 1, which would imply instability. When one deals with nite system, with a large, though nite, number of particles N 1, then the compressibility does not really diverge, but becomes very large. This means that there exist very strong density uctuations in the system. Such strong uctuations arise in the case of the low conning dimensions 2, which corresponds to large conning powers n and low spatial dimensions d. Fluctuations are known to be strong in low-dimensional systems [23,24]. For higher conning dimensions > 2, uctuations are always normal, so that for

$$\frac{d}{2} + \frac{x^d}{n} > 2 \tag{58}$$

the system is stable.

Since the standard traps are usually harmonic, let us pay a special attention to the harmonic con ning potentials, when s = d and $d = d = d \cdot d$. Then the dispersion (51) becomes

$${}^{2}(\hat{N}_{1}) = g_{d}(1) \frac{T}{!_{0}}^{d}$$
: (59)

For dierent spatial dimensions, we nd

$${}^{2}(\hat{N}_{1}) = 2 \frac{T}{!_{0}} {}^{2} \qquad (d = 1);$$

$${}^{2}(\hat{N}_{1}) = \frac{T}{!_{0}} {}^{2} \ln \frac{2T}{!_{0}} \qquad (d = 2);$$

$${}^{2}(\hat{N}_{1}) = N \frac{2}{6 (3)} \frac{T}{T_{c}} {}^{3} \qquad (d = 3):$$
(60)

The last expression agrees with the corresponding result by Politzer [25] for a threedim ensional harm onic trap. All other form ulas of this section are new.

D ispersions (60) in the therm odynam ic lim it behave as

$$(\hat{N}_{1}) / N^{2}$$
 (d = 1);
 $(\hat{N}_{1}) / N \ln N$ (d = 2);
 $(\hat{N}_{1}) / N$ (d = 3):

So that the dispersions for d=1 and d=2 are anomalous. The related compressibilities possess the \lim its

$$_{T}$$
 / N (d = 1);
 $_{T}$ / ln N (d = 2);
 $_{T}$ / const (d = 3):

A nom alous values of the compressibilities for low-dimensional harm onic traps signify instability caused by the existence of very strong uctuations in such traps.

VII.CONCLUSION

The sem iclassical approximation is generalized, which makes it possible to essentially extend the region of its applicability. Bose-Einstein condensation in traps of low conning dimensions is described, for which the standard approach could not be used. Trapping potentials of arbitrary power laws are considered. Specicheat discontinuities and isothermal compressibilities are analyzed. Elective them odynamic limit is defined for any spatial dimension and for arbitrary powers of conning potentials. It is shown that the modied semiclassical method for harmonic traps yields the results coinciding with those obtained by means of quantum-mechanical calculations, when these are available.

A cknow ledgem ent

I am grateful to the Germ an Research Foundation for the Mercator Professorship.

REFERENCES

- [1] A S. Parkins and D F. Walls, Phys. Rep. 303, 1 (1998).
- [2] P.W. Courteille, V.S. Bagnato, and V.J. Yukalov, Laser Phys. 11, 659 (2001).
- [3] L. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, Bose-Einstein Condensation (Clarendon, Oxford, 2003).
- [4] J.O. Andersen, Rev. Mod. Phys. 76, 599 (2004).
- [5] K. Bongs and K. Sengstock, Rep. Prog. Phys. 67, 907 (2004).
- [6] V.S. Bagnato, D.E. Pritchard, and D. K. Leppner, Phys. Rev. A 35, 4354 (1987).
- [7] V S. Bagnato and D. Kleppner, Phys. Rev. A 44, 7439 (1991).
- [8] S.G rossm ann and M. Holthaus, Phys. Lett. A 208, 188 (1995).
- [9] S.G rossmann and M. Holthaus, Z. Naturforsch. A 50, 323 (1995).
- [10] S.G rossmann and M. Holthaus, Z. Naturforsch. A 50, 921 (1995).
- [11] Z. Yan, Phys. Rev. A 59, 4657 (1999).
- [12] W . K etterle and N J. van D ruten, Phys. Rev. A 54, 656 (1996).
- [13] K. Huang, Statistical Mechanics (Wiley, New York, 1987).
- [14] F.Y. H.W ang, Am. J. Phys. 72, 1193 (2004).
- [15] V. J. Yukalov, Statistical Green's Functions (Queen's University, Kingston, 1998).
- [16] D. ter Haar, Lectures on Selected Topics in Statistical Mechanics (Pergamon, Oxford, 1977).
- [17] R. M. Zi, G. E. Uhlenbeck, and M. Kac, Phys. Rep. 32, 169 (1977).
- [18] N. N. Bogolubov, Lectures on Quantum Statistics, Vol. 1 (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1967).
- [19] N. N. Bogolubov, Lectures on Quantum Statistics, Vol. 2 (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1970).
- [20] P.C. Hohenberg and P.C. Martin, Ann. Phys. 34, 291 (1965).
- [21] J.G inibre, Commun.Math.Phys.8, 26 (1968).
- [22] V.J. Yukalov, Laser Phys. Lett. 1, 435 (2004).
- [23] U.A.Khawaja, J.O.Andersen, N.P. Proukakis, and H.T.C. Stoof, Phys. Rev. A 66, 013615 (2002).
- [24] U.A.Khawaja, N.P. Proukakis, J.O. Andersen, M.W. J. Romans, and H.T.C. Stoof, Phys. Rev. A 68, 043603 (2003).
- [25] H.D. Politzer, Phys. Rev. A 54, 5048 (1996).