Note on cond-mat/0510119: Jarzynski equation for adiabatically stretched rotor

Markus Bier

M ax-P lanck-Institut fur M etallforschung, H eisenbergstra e 3,70569 Stuttgart, G em any, and
Institut fur T heoretische und Angewandte Physik,
U niversitat Stuttgart, P fa enwaldring 57,70569 Stuttgart, G em any
(D ated: O ctober 10,2005)

In a recent article (cond-m at/0510119) it has been argued that the Jarzynski equation is violated for adiabatic stretching processes of a three dim ensional rotor system . Here we want to show that the reasoning is not correct. Rather, the Jarzynski equation is full led for this adiabatically stretched rotor.

Consider a particle ofm ass which can freely move on a sphere around the origin with temporal varying radius r(t) changing according to some prescribed protocol. The Ham iltonian in terms of spherical coordinates #; and the conjugate momenta $p_\#$; p_r is

$$H (\#;';p_{\#};p_{'};t) = \frac{1}{2 r(t)^{2}} p_{\#}^{2} + \frac{p_{'}}{\sin \#}^{2} + U (r(t));$$
 (1)

where U is an external potential which depends on the sphere radius r(t) but not on the coordinates # or '. If the system is in contact with a heat bath of temperature 1 and if the sphere radius is constant, r(t) = R, a simple calculation yields the canonical partition function

$$Z(;R) = \frac{2 R^2}{2} \exp(U(R));$$
 (2)

Therefore, the free energy di erence $\, \, F \, ($;R $_1$;R $_0$) between the equilibrium states for sphere radii R $_1$ and R $_0$ fulls

$$\exp (F(;R_1;R_0))
= \frac{Z(;R_1)}{Z(;R_0)}
= \frac{R_1}{R_0} \exp (U(R_1)U(R_0));$$
(3)

which agrees with Ref. [1], Eq. (4).

Now we consider the following stretching process: For t < 0, let the system be in equilibrium with a heat bath of tem perature $^{-1}$ while the sphere radius r(t) is held xed at R_0 . At t=0, the system is decoupled from the heat bath. In the time interval $t \in [0;t_{\rm s}]$, the sphere radius is changed from $r(0)=R_0$ to $r(t_{\rm s})=R_1$. At $t=t_{\rm s}$, the system is again coupled to the heat bath of tem perature $^{-1}$ and the system is equilibrated while keeping the sphere radius at R_1 . A fler equilibration, the free energy has changed by $F(\ ;R_1;R_0)$. On the other hand, by changing the sphere radius from R_0 to R_1 , one has to perform the work W to the system. In general, W depends on the protocol r and the microstate of the system at t=0. Remarkably, for a xed protocol r, the

Jarzynski equation [2] asserts

hexp(
$$W$$
)i = exp($F(;R_1;R_0)$); (4)

where hi denotes the average over the canonically distributed m icrostates at t=0.

It is argued in Ref. [1] that was "W = U (R_1) U (R_0)" which, in conjunction with Eq. (3), would violate the Jarzynski equation (4). In the following, we want to show that this expression for the work W is not correct. Rather the Jarzynski equation (4) is full led for the system under consideration.

From Eq. (1) one deduces the Hamiltonian equations

$$\# = \frac{p_{\#}}{r(t)^{2}}$$

$$' = \frac{p'}{r(t)^{2} \sin{(\#)^{2}}}$$

$$p_{\#} = \frac{\cos{(\#)}p_{r}^{2}}{r(t)^{2} \sin{(\#)^{3}}}$$

$$p_{r} = 0: (5)$$

The time dependence of the kinetic energy

$$T = \frac{1}{2 r(t)^2} p_{\#}^2 + \frac{p'}{\sin \#}^2$$
 (6)

under the Hamiltonian dynamics Eq. (5) is given by

$$\frac{dT}{dt} = \frac{\underline{r}(t)}{r(t)^3} p_{\#}^2 + \frac{p_r}{\sin \#}^2 + \frac{1}{r(t)^2} p_{\#}p_{\#} + \frac{p_r}{\sin (\#)^2} \frac{\cos (\#) \# p_r^2}{\sin (\#)^3} + \frac{\underline{r}(t)}{r(t)^3} p_{\#}^2 + \frac{p_r}{\sin \#}^2 + \frac{p_r}{\sin \#}^2 + \frac{p_r}{\sin \#}^2 + \frac{2}{r(t)} T;$$
(7)

which yields

$$T(r(t)) = \frac{\text{const}}{r(t)^2}:$$
 (8)

The work W performed on the system by stretching the sphere radius of the rotor from R_0 to R_1 is given by

$$W = (T (R_1) + U (R_1)) (T (R_0) + U (R_0))$$

$$= T (R_0) \frac{R_0}{R_1}^2 1 + U (R_1) U (R_0); (9)$$

This expression for the work W disagrees with that given in Ref. [1].

For the rotor initially in contact with a heat bath of temperature 1 , T (\mathbb{R}_{0}) is a Boltzmann distributed stochastic variable with probability density $\exp(T(R_0))$. Therefore, one nds

As the rightmost expressions in Eqs. (3) and (10) are identical, the validity of the Jarzynski equation (4) for the adiabatically stretched rotor is proved.

E lectronic address: bier@ uidsmpi-stuttgartmpg.de

- [1] J. Sung, cond-m at/0510119 (2005).
- [2] C. Jarzynski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 2690 (1997).