

Absence of BCS Condensation of Atoms in a Repulsive Fermi Gas of Atoms

Fuxiang Han, Hailing Li, Minghao Lei, and E Wu

Department of Physics, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian, Liaoning 116024, China

(Dated: March 23, 2022)

Possible Bose-Einstein condensation of molecules and Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) condensation of Cooper pairs of atoms in an ultracold Fermi gas of atoms with a repulsive two-body interaction are studied by using the path integral representation of the grand partition function. From the self-consistent equations obtained in the present work for the order parameters, we have found that BCS condensation of atoms can't occur in such a Fermi gas of atoms and that the condensate observed experimentally is composed of condensed molecules or possibly of preformed Cooper pairs. To substantiate our conclusions from the self-consistent equations for the order parameters, the effective atom-atom interaction mediated through molecules has also been computed with the Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation and has been found to be repulsive, which implies a net repulsive two-body interaction between atoms and hence the absence of BCS condensation of atoms.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Ss, 05.30.Fk, 67.90.+z

The experimental realization [1] of an ultracold Fermi gas of atoms marks the beginning of a new era of the scientific research on degenerate quantum Fermi gases. Since then, Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) condensation of fermionic atoms and Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) of diatomic molecules of these atoms as well as the crossover between the BCS and BEC regimes have been under intensive study both theoretically [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8] and experimentally [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14], with the BCS-BEC crossover regime being easily reached with the magnetic-field Feshbach resonance [10].

In the BCS-BEC crossover regime, the nature of condensation, *i.e.*, whether the observed condensation is BCS condensation of Cooper pairs that are bound-states of fermionic atoms in momentum space or it is Bose-Einstein condensation of molecules that are bound-states in real space or it is condensation of preformed Cooper pairs of atoms, is still controversial [5]. In the experimental study of the crossover regime, both the sign and the strength of the two-body interaction between atoms can be tuned with the magnetic-field Feshbach resonance. It was reported that BCS condensation of atoms was observed on both the BCS (attractive two-body interaction) and BEC (positive two-body interaction) sides [10].

In this Letter, we concentrate on the BEC side of the crossover regime and investigate the nature of condensation on this side. The Fermi gas of atoms is described by the generalized Hamiltonian [2]

$$\begin{aligned}
 H = & 2(\nu - \mu) \sum_{\mathbf{p}} a_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} a_{\mathbf{p}} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} (\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu) c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \\
 & + \frac{U}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'\mathbf{p}} c_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p},\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{p},\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{\mathbf{k}'\downarrow} c_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow} \\
 & + \frac{g}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{k}} (a_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger} c_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow} c_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k},\downarrow} + h.c.), \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $2(\nu - \mu)$ is the offset energy of a molecule relative to the chemical potential μ , $a_{\mathbf{p}}^{\dagger}$ and $a_{\mathbf{p}}$ are creation

and annihilation operators of a molecule of momentum \mathbf{p} and energy $2(\nu - \mu)$, $c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^{\dagger}$ and $c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}$ are creation and annihilation operators of a fermionic atom of momentum \mathbf{k} , spin σ , and energy $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = \hbar^2 k^2 / 2m$, U (> 0) is the strength of the two-body interaction between atoms, g is the effective atom-molecule coupling constant, and N is the average number of atoms. Here the spin up and spin down actually represent two different hyperfine levels of atoms. Here we have assumed that there are only two components in the gas.

Notice that the number of atoms N in the system appears in the prefactors of the two-body interaction and atom-molecule coupling terms due to the Fourier transformation of the operators into momentum space. The presence of N in these prefactors in conjunction with the proper change of variables to be performed below will give the self-consistent equations for the order parameters the proper system size dependence.

The aim of the present work is to study the nature of condensation on the BEC side of the crossover regime with the Hamiltonian given in Eq. (1). The nature of condensation is described by the corresponding order parameter. Therefore, to study the nature of condensation, we first set up the self-consistent equations for the order parameters of all possible types of condensation. Here we are concerned with two types of condensation, Bose-Einstein condensation of molecules and BCS condensation of atoms. The order parameter for Bose-Einstein condensation of molecules is the number of molecules in the lowest-energy level, and the order parameter for BCS condensation of atoms is the energy gap in the excitation spectrum of quasiparticles. Our criterion is that a certain type of condensation is said to occur if the corresponding order parameter has a nontrivial solution below a certain temperature.

Our starting point is the path integral representation [15, 16] of the grand partition function $Z = \text{Tr } e^{-\beta H}$. The order parameter for Bose-Einstein condensation is

introduced in the path integral representation of the grand partition function, whereas the order parameter for BCS condensation of atoms is introduced through the Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation [17, 18]. The path-integral representation of the grand partition function corresponding to the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) is given by [15, 16]

$$\begin{aligned}
Z = & \int D[\varphi^*, \varphi; \psi^*, \psi]_\tau \exp \left\{ - \int_0^\beta d\tau \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \left[\varphi_{\mathbf{p}}^*(\tau) \right. \right. \\
& \times [\partial/\partial\tau + 2(\nu - \mu)] \varphi_{\mathbf{p}}(\tau) \\
& + \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \psi_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^*(\tau) [\partial/\partial\tau + (\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \mu)] \psi_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}(\tau) \\
& + \frac{U}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'\mathbf{p}} \psi_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p},\uparrow}^*(\tau) \psi_{\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{p},\downarrow}^*(\tau) \psi_{\mathbf{k}'\downarrow}(\tau) \psi_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow}(\tau) \\
& \left. \left. + \frac{g}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{k}} [\varphi_{\mathbf{p}}^*(\tau) \psi_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow}(\tau) \psi_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k},\downarrow}(\tau) + c.c.] \right] \right\}, \quad (2)
\end{aligned}$$

where *c.c.* denotes the complex conjugate of the proceeding term, $\varphi_{\mathbf{p}}^*(\tau)$ and $\varphi_{\mathbf{p}}(\tau)$ are complex variables corresponding to the creation and annihilation operators $a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger(\tau)$ and $a_{\mathbf{p}}(\tau)$ of a bosonic molecule, and $\psi_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^*(\tau)$ and $\psi_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}(\tau)$ are Grassman variables corresponding to the creation and annihilation operators $c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^\dagger(\tau)$ and $c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}(\tau)$ of a fermionic atom. The integration measure $D[\varphi^*, \varphi, \psi^*, \psi]_\tau$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
D[\varphi^*, \varphi; \psi^*, \psi]_\tau = & \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^M \prod_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} d\psi_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}(j\Delta\tau) d\psi_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^*(j\Delta\tau) \\
& \times \prod_{\mathbf{p}} \frac{d\varphi_{\mathbf{p}}^*(j\Delta\tau) d\varphi_{\mathbf{p}}(j\Delta\tau)}{2\pi i} \quad (3)
\end{aligned}$$

with $\Delta\tau = \beta/M$.

The path-integral representation of the grand partition function in Eq. (2) is in imaginary time space and it can be transformed into imaginary frequency space through Fourier transformations of the complex and Grassman variables

$$\varphi_{\mathbf{p}}(\tau) = \sum_{i\omega_m} e^{-i\omega_m\tau} \varphi_{\mathbf{p}}(i\omega_m), \quad (4a)$$

$$\psi_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}(\tau) = \sum_{i\omega_n} e^{-i\omega_n\tau} \psi_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}(i\omega_n), \quad (4b)$$

where $i\omega_m = i2m\pi/\beta$ and $i\omega_n = i(2n+1)\pi/\beta$, with m and n being integers, are Matsubara imaginary frequencies for bosons and fermions, respectively. After the Fourier transformations, the complex and Grassman variables are denoted succinctly by $\varphi_{\mathbf{p}}$ and $\psi_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}$, respectively, with short notations $\mathbf{p} = (\mathbf{p}, i\omega_m)$ and $\mathbf{k} = (\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n)$ introduced. The BCS order parameter $\Delta_{\mathbf{p}}$ is introduced through the Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation performed with respect to the two-body interaction term

that, for convenience of the performance of this transformation, is recast into the following form

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{U}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'\mathbf{p}} \psi_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{p},\uparrow}^* \psi_{\mathbf{k}'-\mathbf{p},\downarrow}^* \psi_{\mathbf{k}'\downarrow} \psi_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow} \\
& = U \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \psi_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}\downarrow} \psi_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow} \right]^* \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \psi_{\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{k}\downarrow} \psi_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow} \right]. \quad (5)
\end{aligned}$$

For the repulsive two-body interaction term, the Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation must be implemented by making use of the Gaussian integral of the form $e^{-\zeta^* \zeta / \alpha} = (\alpha/2\pi i) \int dz^* dz e^{-\alpha z^* z + \zeta z^* - \zeta^* z}$ with z and ζ complex variables. That the two decoupled terms have different signs is because the two-body term has a minus sign on the exponential in Eq. (2). If an attractive two-body interaction term were to be decoupled, the two decoupled terms would have identical signs. Here the auxiliary field introduced in the Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation is denoted by $\Delta_{\mathbf{p}}$ which is the order parameter for BCS condensation of atoms. It has been found that, to derive self-consistent equations for $\varphi_{\mathbf{p}}$ and $\Delta_{\mathbf{p}}$ with a proper system size dependence, it is necessary to make a change of integration variables $\varphi_{\mathbf{p}} \rightarrow \sqrt{N} \varphi_{\mathbf{p}}$ and $\Delta_{\mathbf{p}} \rightarrow \sqrt{N} \beta U \Delta_{\mathbf{p}}$.

For the purpose of studying Bose-Einstein condensation of molecules and BCS condensation of atoms, it is sufficient to retain only the zero momentum and frequency terms in the atom-molecule coupling term and in the decoupled terms arising from the two-body interaction. Then, the integration over the order parameters $\varphi_{\mathbf{p}}$ and $\Delta_{\mathbf{p}}$ with $\mathbf{p} \neq 0$ can be performed and the summation over $i\omega_m$ can be completed afterwards. And then the Grassman variables can be integrated out.

To integrate out the Grassman variables, we make a change of variables to bring the relevant terms into a diagonal form, with the process similar to the diagonalization of the Hamiltonian of a system of fermions. For this purpose, we reexpress the terms containing the Grassman variables into a matrix form through an introduction of a column matrix of Grassman variables. The resulting 2×2 matrix is to be diagonalized. Since this 2×2 matrix is not Hermitian, a proper care must be given to the finding of its eigenvalues and corresponding right eigenvectors. With the Grassman variables integrated out, the summation over the imaginary frequencies $i\omega_n$ can be performed.

Lastly, we consider only the real parts of the order parameters. For the real order parameters, the imaginary part of the form $i\text{Im}(\varphi^* \Delta - \Delta^* \varphi)$ in the contribution to the action arising from the integration of Grassman variables is identically zero. With this imaginary part identified to be zero, the imaginary parts of the order parameters in the other contributions to the action can be integrated out, which provides a reasonable procedure for retaining only the real parts of the order parameters.

Finally, neglecting all the irrelevant prefactors in the partition function arising from the above-described algebraic manipulations, we obtain the following path-integral representation of the grand partition function

$$Z \sim \int d\varphi d\Delta e^{-S}, \quad (6)$$

where S is referred to as the action and is given by

$$S = \sum_{\mathbf{p} \neq 0} \ln \frac{\sinh(\beta\Delta\nu)}{\beta\Delta\nu} - 2 \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \ln \left(2 \cosh \frac{\beta E_{\mathbf{k}}}{2} \right) + 2N\beta\Delta\nu\varphi^2 + N\beta U\Delta^2 \quad (7)$$

with $\Delta\nu = \nu - \mu$ and

$$E_{\mathbf{k}} = (\xi_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + g^2\varphi^2 - U^2\Delta^2)^{1/2}. \quad (8)$$

Here φ and Δ are the order parameters of zero momentum and frequency. Notice that the summation over \mathbf{p} in the first term on the right hand side of Eq. (7) yields a factor proportional to the number of molecules with $\mathbf{p} \neq 0$.

We now infer information about the order parameters for Bose-Einstein condensation of molecules and BCS condensation of atoms from the above path integral representation of the grand partition function. The integrals in the grand partition function can be performed in principle by using the steepest descent method. The stationary point in the order parameter space in this method corresponds to the Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov approximation to the order parameters. To obtain an effective action, we proceed as follows. With the action on the exponential being expanded as a Taylor series of the order parameters about the stationary point and with the terms up to the second order being kept, the integration in Eq. (7) can be performed. The effective action in the Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov approximation is actually obtained by retaining only the stationary-point contribution to the action.

Taking partial derivatives of the action with respect to φ and Δ and setting them to zero to find the stationary values of φ and Δ , we obtain the self-consistent equations for φ and Δ

$$\varphi = \varphi \frac{g^2}{4N\Delta\nu} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\tanh(\beta E_{\mathbf{k}}/2)}{E_{\mathbf{k}}}, \quad (9a)$$

$$\Delta = -\Delta \frac{U}{2N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\tanh(\beta E_{\mathbf{k}}/2)}{E_{\mathbf{k}}}. \quad (9b)$$

The self-consistent equations of the order parameters φ and Δ in Eqs. (9) are the central results derived in the present work and they provide the basis for our following discussions and conclusions. Noticing that $U > 0$ for the Fermi gas of atoms under our current study and the value of the wavevector sum in Eq. (9b) is positive, we

immediately realize that there exists only a trivial solution for Δ from Eq. (9b), which implies that fermionic atoms can't condense via the mechanism of BCS condensation! However, the self-consistent equation for φ in Eq. (9a) may have a nontrivial solution. We can thus conclude that possible condensation or superfluidity in a repulsive Fermi gas of atoms is that of molecules or preformed Cooper pairs.

Algebraically, the nonexistence of a nontrivial solution for Δ is because there is a minus sign in front of Δ^2 in the excitation spectrum of quasiparticles as can be seen from $E_{\mathbf{k}} = (\xi_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + g^2\varphi^2 - U^2\Delta^2)^{1/2}$. This minus sign leads to a minus sign on the right hand side of the self-consistent equation for Δ .

Physically, the nonexistence of a nontrivial solution for Δ is because of the absence of an effective *attractive* two-body interaction among atoms. From the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1), it is seen that the only possible physical process that might generate an attractive two-body interaction among atoms is the atom-molecule coupling. However, the effect of this coupling is actually pair breaking in that a pair is lift out of its condensed state and transformed into a molecule. The reverse process does not lead to pairing in that it can only be said that a molecule is dissolved into two atoms that occupy two states with opposite momenta. However, for pairing to occur, there must exist an effective attractive interaction between these two atoms.

We now investigate whether an effective attractive interaction can be produced by the atom-molecule coupling. In analogy to the phonon-mediated electron-electron interaction in metals, it can be explicitly shown that the effective interaction between atoms mediated through molecules is repulsive. For this purpose, we consider the following Hamiltonian $H = H_0 + H_1$ with

$$H_0 = 2\Delta\nu a^\dagger a + \sum_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \xi_{\mathbf{k}} c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}, \quad (10a)$$

$$H_1 = \frac{g}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (a^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow} c_{-\mathbf{k}\downarrow} + h.c.). \quad (10b)$$

Here for simplicity we included in the Hamiltonian only the contributions from zero-momentum molecules and we shall consider the effective atom-atom interaction mediated through these molecules. The effective atom-atom interaction mediated through nonzero-momentum molecules is qualitatively similar.

To infer the effective atom-atom interaction mediated through molecules, we adopt the procedure due to Foldy and Wouthuysen [19, 20] and make a canonical transformation to the above Hamiltonian

$$H' = e^{-S} H e^S \quad (11)$$

with S assumed to be of the form

$$S = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} (A_{\mathbf{k}} a^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow} c_{-\mathbf{k}} + B_{\mathbf{k}} a c_{-\mathbf{k}}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow}^\dagger). \quad (12)$$

Expanding H' and keeping terms up to the second order in S , we have $H' \approx H + [H, S] + \frac{1}{2}[[H_0, S], S]$. The coefficients in S are to be determined from the condition that $H_1 + [H_0, S] = 0$. The transformed Hamiltonian is then approximately given by

$$H' \approx H_0 + \frac{1}{2}[H_1, S]. \quad (13)$$

The concerned effective atom-atom interaction mediated through molecules is to be deduced from the second term in the above equation. With only the atom-atom two-body terms retained, the effective atom-atom interaction is given by

$$\frac{g^2}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'} \left(\frac{1}{\Delta\nu - \xi_{\mathbf{k}}} + \frac{1}{\Delta\nu - \xi'_{\mathbf{k}}} \right) c_{-\mathbf{k}\downarrow}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}\uparrow}^\dagger c_{\mathbf{k}'\uparrow} c_{-\mathbf{k}'\downarrow}. \quad (14)$$

From the above equation, it is clearly seen that the effective atom-atom interaction mediated through molecules is repulsive for atoms close to the Fermi surface as long as the molecule offset energy $\Delta\nu = \nu - \mu$ is greater than zero. Since atoms close to the Fermi surface play a dominant role in BCS condensation and since $\Delta\nu > 0$ is satisfied in the concerned Fermi gas of atoms [10], we thus conclude that the effective atom-atom interaction mediated through molecules is repulsive.

For atoms in a repulsive Fermi gas of atoms used in the recent experiments [10], in addition to the repulsive two-body interaction tunable through the Feshbach resonance, there exists also the above-calculated effective interaction mediated through molecules. According to BCS theory [21, 22], the formation and immediate condensation of Cooper pairs of fermionic atoms require that the net two-body interaction between atoms be attractive. From the fact that both the original two-body interaction and the effective two-body interaction mediated through molecules are repulsive, it follows that the net two-body interaction is repulsive, which implies the impossibility of BCS condensation of atoms, in consistency with the conclusions drawn previously from the self-consistent equations for the order parameters. Therefore, the observed condensation on the BEC side can't be BCS condensation of atoms. It may be condensation of molecules or that of preformed Cooper pairs. Notice that condensation of preformed Cooper pairs distinguishes from BCS condensation of atoms in that the former is a two-step process in which Cooper pairs first come into being as bound states of atoms and then condense, while the latter is a single-step process in which the formation and condensation of Cooper pairs occur simultaneously. If condensation on the BEC side observed in the experiment turns out to be that of preformed Cooper pairs, then the mechanism of the formation of these Cooper pairs will certainly shed light on the mechanism of high temperature superconductivity.

To summarize, within the path-integral formalism of the grand partition function, we have derived self-

consistent equations for the order parameters of Bose-Einstein condensation of molecules and BCS condensation of atoms in a Fermi gas of atoms with a repulsive two-body interaction. We have found that there is only trivial solution of the order parameter for BCS condensation of atoms, from which we conclude that BCS condensation of atoms is not achievable in such a Fermi gas of atoms and that the observed condensation must be that of molecules or that of preformed Cooper pairs. The explicit calculation of the effective atom-atom two-body interaction mediated through molecules demonstrates that there is no net attractive interaction between atoms and therefore confirms our conclusions.

-
- [1] B. DeMarco and D. S. Jin, *Science* **285**, 1703 (1999).
 - [2] M. Holland, S. J. J. M. F. Kokkelmans, M. L. Chiofalo, and R. Walser, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 120406 (2001).
 - [3] E. Timmermans, K. Furuya, P. W. Milonni, and A. K. Kerman, *Phys. Lett. A* **285**, 228 (2001).
 - [4] Y. Ohashi and A. Griffin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 130402 (2002).
 - [5] G. M. Falco and H. T. C. Stoof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 130401 (2004).
 - [6] M. Mackie and J. Piilo, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 060403 (2005).
 - [7] G. Baym, *Nucl. Phys. A* **751**, 373c (2005).
 - [8] Q. Chen, J. Stajic, S. Tan, and K. Levin, *Phys. Rep.* **412**, 1 (2005).
 - [9] C. A. Regal and D. S. Jin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 230404 (2003).
 - [10] C. A. Regal, M. Greiner, and D. S. Jin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 040403 (2004).
 - [11] M. W. Zwierlein, C. A. Stan, C. H. Schunck, S. M. F. Raupach, A. J. Kerman, and W. Ketterle, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 120403 (2004).
 - [12] M. W. Zwierlein, C. A. Stan, C. H. Schunck, S. M. F. Raupach, S. Gupta, Z. Hadzibabic, and W. Ketterle, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 250401 (2003).
 - [13] G. B. Partridge, K. E. Strecker, R. I. Kamar, M. W. Jack, and R. G. Hulet, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 020404 (2005).
 - [14] M. Greiner, C. A. Regal, and D. S. Jin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 070403 (2005).
 - [15] J. W. Negele and H. Orland, *Quantum Many-Particle Systems* (Perseus Books Publishing, L.L.C., Reading, Massachusetts, 1998).
 - [16] J. Zinn-Justin, *Quantum Field Theory and Critical Phenomena* (Oxford University Press Inc., New York, NY, 1996), 2nd ed.
 - [17] J. Hubbard, *Phys. Lett.* **39A**, 365 (1972).
 - [18] R. L. Stratonovich, *Sov. Phys. Dokl.* **2**, 416 (1958).
 - [19] L. L. Foldy and S. A. Wouthuysen, *Phys. Rev.* **78**, 29 (1950).
 - [20] J. D. Bjorken and S. D. Drell, *Relativistic Quantum Mechanics* (McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, NY, 1964).
 - [21] L. N. Cooper, *Phys. Rev.* **104**, 1189 (1956).
 - [22] J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper, and J. R. Schrieffer, *Phys. Rev.* **108**, 1175 (1957).