

Oxygen isotope effect and phase separation in the optical conductivity of $(\text{La}_{0.5}\text{Pr}_{0.5})_{0.7}\text{Ca}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ thin films

F. P. Mena¹, A. B. Kuzmenko², A. Hadipour¹, J.L.M. van Mechelen², D. van der Marel² and N. A. Babushkina³

¹ *Material Science Center, University of Groningen, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands.*

² *Département de Physique de la Matière Condensée, Université de Genève, quai Ernest-Ansermet CH - 1211 Genève, Switzerland.*

³ *RRC Kurchatov Institute, 123182 Moscow, Russia.*

(Dated: March 23, 2022)

The optical conductivities of films of $(\text{La}_{0.5}\text{Pr}_{0.5})_{0.7}\text{Ca}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ with different oxygen isotopes (^{16}O and ^{18}O) have been determined in the spectral range from 0.3 to 4.3 eV using a combination of transmission in the mid-infrared and ellipsometry from the near-infrared to ultra-violet regions. We have found that the isotope exchange strongly affects the optical response in the ferromagnetic phase in a broad frequency range, in contrast to the almost isotope-independent optical conductivity above T_C . The substitution by ^{18}O strongly suppresses the Drude response and a mid-infrared peak while enhancing the conductivity peak at 1.5 eV. A qualitative explanation can be given in terms of the phase separation present in these materials. Moreover, the optical response is similar to the one extracted from measurements in polished samples and other thin films, which signals to the importance of internal strain.

I. INTRODUCTION

Few compounds manifest a so ample variety of phases as the manganite perovskites when conditions such as magnetic field, temperature, or doping are changed. $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{Ca}_x\text{MnO}_3$, a particular well studied family of manganites, is an example of this as can be seen from its phase diagram given in Fig. 1a.¹ It is evident that the particular ground state of the manganites depends on the ratio of Mn^{3+} to Mn^{4+} given by the hole doping x . At a doping between about 0.17 and 0.5 the low-temperature phase is metallic and ferromagnetic, which is commonly attributed to the double exchange mechanism.²

The ground state also depends on specific lattice characteristics: Mn-O and cation-O bond lengths, and cation-O-cation bond angles. These bond characteristics depend, in turn, on the average cation radius, $\langle r_A \rangle$.³ At a given hole doping, the direct influence of $\langle r_A \rangle$ can be studied by replacing the rare earth with another. However, this replacement can also induce disorder if $\langle r_A \rangle$ exceeds certain critical value.⁴ $(\text{La}_{1-y}\text{Pr}_y)_{0.7}\text{Ca}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ is particularly suitable to study the effect of the average cation radius as it always remains below the critical value.⁵ Neutron diffraction experiments in this system⁵ have revealed the phase diagram shown in Fig. 1b (solid lines). At low temperatures, as the Pr concentration increases, the system goes from a homogeneous ferromagnetic metal to a homogeneous canted antiferromagnetic insulator. Between these two extremes, the neutron diffraction data⁵ suggests the presence in the system of two different phases, one is antiferromagnetic while the other is canted ferromagnetic.

Phase separation seems, indeed, to be a common feature of strongly correlated systems (see Ref. 6 and references therein). For example, the double-exchange model has a natural tendency towards phase separation at low doping.^{6,7,9} The regions formed in this way are one of an undoped antiferromagnet and one of higher electron (or




FIG. 1: Phase diagram of (a) $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{Ca}_x\text{MnO}_3$ (from Ref. 1), and (b) $(\text{La}_{1-y}\text{Pr}_y)_{0.7}\text{Ca}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ (from Ref. 5 for ^{16}O and as suggested in Ref. 10 for ^{18}O). The richness of these phase diagrams is evident. They comprise the following states: canted antiferromagnet (CAF), charge order (CO), ferromagnetic insulator (FI), ferromagnet (FM), and antiferromagnet (AF). The arrow in panel b indicates the composition used in this work. However, it has to be taken into account that due to internal strains, the film actually lies closer to the region of phase separation.¹¹

hole) concentration which is ferromagnetic (or strongly canted) and metallic.⁶ However, the long-range Coulomb forces compete against phase separation forcing the phase domains to be rather small.^{6,7} It is important to mention that there can also be phase separation between electron rich regions and charge ordered regions.⁶ Several experimental techniques yield a strong evidence for phase separation in the manganites (see Refs. 6 and 7 for a review, and Ref. 8 for experiments specific to thin films).

Another striking phenomenon observed for certain compositions is the strong oxygen isotope effect.^{12,13} For instance, the effect of oxygen isotope substitution

($^{16}\text{O} \rightarrow ^{18}\text{O}$) has been studied in various members of the $(\text{La}_{1-y}\text{Pr}_y)_{0.7}\text{Ca}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ family.^{10,13} In the paramagnetic state neither the lattice parameters,¹⁴ nor the DC conductivities^{10,13} are noticeably modified by the isotope exchange. At low temperatures, however, the situation is drastically different. Samples containing heavier ^{18}O atoms present lower T_C 's and less metallic conductivity. In particular, for $y = 0.75$, the isotope substitution does even induce a metal-insulator transition.¹³ Thus the lattice dynamics clearly plays an important role in the charge transport and spin ordering in manganites.

Several mechanisms have been formulated to explain the isotope effect. Alexandrov and Bratkovsky described the isotope dependence in terms of polaron-bipolaron dynamics.¹⁵ Alternatively, it has been attributed to a slight oxygen-mass dependence of the lattice Debye-Waller factor and, therefore, the hopping parameter t and bandwidth W , which is close to the critical value.¹⁶ In order to be so sensitive to the oxygen mass, the system has to be at the verge of the metal-insulator transition. This sensitiveness can also be related to phase separation.⁶ As seen in Fig. 1b, $(\text{La}_{0.25}\text{Pr}_{0.75})_{0.7}\text{Ca}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ (with ^{16}O) seems to be in a phase-separated state. Then, the small changes produced by the isotope substitution would favor one phase over the other producing the metal-insulator transition.

The isotope effect has also been studied in thin films of $(\text{La}_{0.5}\text{Pr}_{0.5})_{0.7}\text{Ca}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ grown in either LaAlO_3 (LAO) and SrTiO_3 (STO).^{11,17} For the films grown in LAO, the isotope substitution produces a metal-insulator transition similar to the one seen in ceramic samples of $(\text{La}_{0.25}\text{Pr}_{0.75})_{0.7}\text{Ca}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$.^{11,17} Although for films grown in STO no metal-insulator transition was observed, the samples with ^{18}O exhibit a much lower T_C and the value of the DC conductivity (σ_{DC}) at low temperatures is one order of magnitude lower than those containing ^{16}O . The sensitivity to the substrate material arises from the different strains they support due to mismatches between the lattice parameters of the film and the substrate.¹¹ When grown in LAO, the films are contracted in plane but stretched perpendicularly. The opposite is true for films grown in STO. These deformations are reflected in the magnitude of the Mn-O-Mn bond angles. Compared to the ceramic samples, this angle is increased for films in STO and decreased for LAO. This structural difference puts the films grown in LAO at an angle closer to the critical value corresponding to localization of carriers (remember that the conduction bandwidth depends on the cosine of the Mn-O-Mn angle).¹¹

Optical spectroscopy, which probes charge dynamics, has played an important role in studying the physics driving the behavior of manganites.¹⁸ It has been found^{19,20} that the metal-insulator transition is accompanied by a large redistribution of optical spectral weight, mainly below ~ 4 eV. It indicates the energy scale of the most important electronic interactions making up the phase diagram, such as the on-site Hund's rule interaction or polaron activation energy. The value of the elec-

tronic kinetic energy, derived from the integrated optical conductivity, has been a key ingredient of the quantitative verification of the double-exchange scenario in manganites.^{18,21}

Optical conductivity has also offered some indications of phase separation occurring at some specific concentrations.⁷ For example, let us consider $\text{La}_{7/8}\text{Sr}_{1/8}\text{MnO}_3$ ²² which remains insulating even below T_C . Its optical conductivity does not show any Drude contribution but a mid-infrared (MIR) peak appeared at around 0.4 eV below T_C .²² This peak was assigned to a small polaron absorption and it was noticed that the temperature dependence of its spectral weight resembles a percolation-type transition.²² In fact, Moskvina et al.²³ have been able to describe the temperature dependence of the optical conductivity in this compound by using an effective medium approximation assuming that metallic spherical regions are embedded into an insulating matrix (LaMnO_3). In this approximation the MIR peak is a geometric resonance whose position is mainly determined by the shape of the metallic regions.²³

Such MIR peak has also been seen in the FM metallic part of the phase diagram.^{24,25,26} It is already visible at high temperatures but below T_C its intensity increases being also accompanied by a narrow Drude peak. This behaviour suggested the change from a small to a large polaron.²⁴ However, in contrast to the experiments performed on polished samples, experiments on cleaved single crystals²⁷ show, the development of only a broad zero-centered peak. The difference in the results emphasize the sensitivity of the manganites to static imperfections and/or structural strain.²⁷

Optical experiments in thin films have also shown the presence of a narrow Drude-like mode and a MIR peak in FM-metallic samples (see, for example, Refs. 20 and 28). These MIR peaks have also been assigned to polaronic absorptions. Particularly, it was possible to distinguish between small and large polarons in $\text{La}_{2/3}\text{Ca}_{1/3}\text{MnO}_3$ and $\text{La}_{2/3}\text{Sr}_{1/3}\text{MnO}_3$, respectively.²⁸ In contrast to the work of Kim et al.²⁴ (discussed above), Hartinger and collaborators made the assignment by fitting the optical conductivity to the corresponding theoretical expressions.²⁸ Evidence of phase segregation has also been inferred from measurements of the absorption coefficient in manganite thin films (see Refs. 29,30,31 and references therein).

In the present article we present for the first time, to our knowledge, the optical conductivity of manganites containing two different oxygen isotopes. Specifically, we studied films of $(\text{La}_{1-y}\text{Pr}_y)_{0.7}\text{Ca}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ ($y = 0.5$) grown on SrTiO_3 . We will see that the isotope substitution produces changes in the optical conductivity at energies much larger than the phonon region. Both samples show a strong MIR infrared peak whose intensity decreases when temperature is increased. The spectral weight lost by this peak is mainly transferred to a peak lying at around 1.5 eV. By a detailed study of their temperature dependence we will argue that this behavior is

consistent with phase separation.

II. EXPERIMENT

A. Sample Preparation

Thin films of $(\text{La}_{0.5}\text{Pr}_{0.5})_{0.7}\text{Ca}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ were grown on SrTiO_3 using the aerosol MOCVD technique (for the details of the preparation and characterization see Ref. 11). The films had a nominal thickness of 60 nm which was extracted more accurately from the optical measurements. For the isotope exchange two strips of $1 \times 8 \text{ mm}^2$ were annealed simultaneously in different atmospheres. One of them was heated in a $^{16}\text{O}_2$ atmosphere, while the other was heated in an oxygen atmosphere containing 85% of $^{18}\text{O}_2$. Hereafter, these samples will be referred to as S16 and S18, respectively. The XRD analysis shows that the films are highly strained.¹¹ As mentioned in the introduction, the STO lattice constants are larger than the film, which produces an in-plane expansion of the perovskite cube. In contrast, perpendicularly to the plane, the film is contracted. In this conditions, a buckling of the MnO_6 octahedrons is expected.¹¹

B. Optical Experiments

1. Transmission

In the frequency region $1000 - 5000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ the optical transmission was measured using a Bruker 113v FT-IR spectrometer. Below this frequency the STO substrate is not transparent. The transmission was calibrated at room temperature against an aperture of the same size. For the temperature dependence of the transmitted intensity a home-built cryostat was used, the special construction of which guarantees the stable and temperature independent optical alignment of the sample. The measured intensities were then normalized to the room-temperature transmission. The results of these measurements are summarized in Fig. 2. The main panels show the absolute transmission measured while the temperature was increased. The insets show the temperature dependence of the transmissions at 2000 cm^{-1} and σ_{DC} measured also in heating mode. σ_{DC} in both films shows hysteresis, being rather large in the S18 sample¹¹, which is probably an indication of the phase separation (see below).

2. Substrate

The optical properties of the STO substrate were determined below 6000 cm^{-1} using the combination of reflectivity and transmission. The complex dielectric function was then determined by numerically inverting




FIG. 2: Transmission of two $(\text{La}_{0.5}\text{Pr}_{0.5})_{0.7}\text{Ca}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ films with ^{16}O (S16) and ^{18}O (S18) (thickness $\sim 60 \text{ nm}$) grown on STO substrates. The spectra presented in the main panels correspond to temperatures between 10 and 296 K every 10 K. *Insets:* Temperature dependence of the transmission at 2000 cm^{-1} and the DC conductivity, both measured in the heating mode with no magnetic field. The vertical dotted line indicates the minimum in σ_{DC} which is associated with the entrance to the ferromagnetic state (we will refer to it as T_C). The inset of the upper panel also shows the temperature dependence of the transmission (shifted down by 30%) of a STO substrate. It is clear that the temperature effects are solely due to the changes in the films.

the corresponding Fresnel equations.³² Moreover, the temperature dependencies of transmission and reflectivity were measured. However, the dependence is much smaller than the changes in the films. As an example, the transmission of STO at 2000 cm^{-1} is shown in the inset of Fig. 2. Above 6000 cm^{-1} , we have used ellipsometry in combination with transmission in order to obtain the dielectric function. The results at room temperature and 7 K are shown in the top panel of Fig. 3.




FIG. 3: (a) Measured complex dielectric function of STO at 296 and 7 K. (b) Optical conductivity of the S18 film obtained from the raw data at 5 K and using the STO dielectric function at 296 (blue) and 7 K (red). (c) Relative difference, expressed in percentage, between the two obtained conductivities. This result indicates the negligible effect of the temperature variation of the substrate.

3. Ellipsometry

Ellipsometry of the samples was performed in the range 6000 to 36000 cm^{-1} . We used a commercial (Woollam VASE32) ellipsometric spectrometer in combination with a home-made ultra high vacuum cryostat working at a pressure of about 10^{-9} mbar. At this pressure, the effects of ice growth were not observable during the experiment.

The ellipsometry experiment was carried out in a grazing reflectivity configuration at an angle of incidence of 80° . The necessary alignments were only performed at room temperature since the special construction of the cryostat allows only a small displacement of the cold-finger (~ 0.1 mm) in the whole temperature range. This displacement is much smaller than the size of the sample ($\sim 5 \times 2$ mm 2). Moreover, the quartz windows used in the cryostat had a very small depolarizing effect of less than 1° for both Ψ and Δ (see below) as it was checked




FIG. 4: Parameters Ψ and Δ for S16 as obtained directly from ellipsometry at an angle of incidence of 80° between 10 and 296 K every 10 K.

separately. This small depolarization is achieved by an special mounting that minimizes mechanical strains.

The outcome of the ellipsometry experiment are the ellipsometric parameters Ψ and Δ that define the ratio between the Fresnel reflection coefficients for the s - and p -polarized light, $\rho \equiv \frac{r_p}{r_s} \equiv \tan(\Psi)e^{i\Delta}$. As an example of the results, we show in Fig. 4 the corresponding ellipsometric parameters for S16. The ratio ρ , obviously, depends on the dielectric functions of both, substrate and film. Since we know the optical properties of the substrate, the complex dielectric function of the film, $\epsilon(\omega) = \epsilon_1(\omega) + i(4\pi/\omega)\sigma_1(\omega)$, was obtained by inverting numerically the analytical expression corresponding to ρ for a two-layer system.³² At different temperatures we used only the room temperature data for the substrate and also assumed a semi-infinite substrate. The real part of the optical conductivity, $\sigma_1(\omega)$, obtained from this inversion is shown in Fig. 5.




FIG. 5: Optical conductivity of the S16 and S18 samples between 10 and 296 K every 10 K. *Insets:* Temperature dependencies of the optical conductivity at 3000 and 12000 cm^{-1} .

4. Optical Conductivity in the MIR Range

Below 6000 cm^{-1} , the complex dielectric function of the film was obtained using a similar approach to the one used in our previous experiments.³³ As a first step we performed a simultaneous fit to the DC conductivity, transmission of the film+substrate system (via the Fresnel equations), and the dielectric function of the film at higher frequencies. The fit used a model dielectric function which is the combination of one Drude and a limited number of Lorentz oscillators:

$$\epsilon(\omega) = \epsilon_{\infty} - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega(\omega + i\Gamma)} + \sum_j \frac{\omega_{p,j}^2}{(\omega_{o,j}^2 - \omega^2) - i\omega\Gamma_j} \quad (1)$$

where ω_o , ω_p (plasma frequency), and Γ (scattering rate) give respectively the position, strength, and width of the oscillator. ϵ_{∞} is the high-frequency dielectric constant. This Drude-Lorentz (DL) fit sets extrapolations below and above the measured range. Finally, a Kramers-Kronig constrained variational fitting of spectra is used where every detail of the measured data is reproduced by

introducing an arbitrary number of oscillators on top of the previous fit³⁴.

The real part of the optical conductivities obtained in this way are plotted in Fig. 5. As in the usual KK transformation, the obtained optical conductivity depends on the extrapolations. Since we have used the dielectric function obtained from ellipsometry at a rather broad energy range, the most influential are the low frequency extrapolations. To estimate the uncertainties coming from this factor, we have repeated the described procedure using different extrapolations (all of them congruent with the DC conductivity). The associated error bars are small for $\sigma_1(\omega)$ though rather large for the low-frequency $\epsilon_1(\omega)$. This is not a surprise since the transmission is mostly determined by the absorptive part of the optical conductivity, $\sigma_1(\omega)$. Moreover, we have repeated the same calculations assuming an error as large as 5% in the measured transmission. The total uncertainty related with both sources of errors is indicated by the error bars of Fig. 5.

The last point we would like to comment in this section is the influence of the temperature-dependent variation of the substrate. This is indeed important as it turns out that the penetration depth of the films is of the order of the film thickness. Therefore, we have made a complete analysis of such effect in our data. With the raw data of the substrate+film system at 5K, we repeated the calculations described above but using the dielectric function of STO at low temperatures. The result of this analysis is presented in the middle and bottom panels of Fig. 3, which shows a variation smaller than 1% of the film optical conductivity. Consequently we may exclude any spurious effect on the dielectric function displayed in Fig. 5 due to the temperature dependence of the substrate.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Optical Conductivity and Assignment of Peaks

The obtained optical conductivities are shown in Figures 5 and 9. The most important observation is that, while above T_C the samples with ^{16}O and ^{18}O have almost identical $\sigma_1(\omega)$ (Fig. 9a), at low temperatures significant differences appear (Fig. 9b). Nevertheless, even at low temperatures, the conductivities of the two isotope-substituted samples demonstrate qualitatively the same set of peaks (Fig. 6). This allows us to discuss the provenance of the main spectral features for the two samples.

In agreement with previous measurements (see, for example, Ref. 20), we observe a significant transfer of spectral weight from the high-frequency region (1 - 4 eV) to low frequencies as the system goes from the paramagnetic to FM state (see the opposite trends in the temperature dependence of $\sigma_1(\omega)$ at 3000 cm^{-1} and 12000 cm^{-1} , shown in the insets of Fig. 5). Also notice that at 3000 cm^{-1} the optical conductivity increase below T_C




FIG. 6: Results of the Drude-Lorentz fit. Optical conductivity (gray circles), fit (thin solid line) and individual oscillators (dashed lines) at (a) 10 K, and (b) room temperature.

is larger in the more metallic S16 sample. Other point to mention is that the frequency dependence of the optical conductivity, at different temperatures, is similar to that obtained from reflectivity measurements on polished samples.^{19,22,24,25,26} In particular, both samples exhibit an MIR peak which seems to be accompanied by a narrow zero-frequency mode in the S16 sample. Note that Takenaka et al.^{27,35,36} claimed that non-polished (cleaved) single crystals do not demonstrate any separation of the Drude and MIR peaks.

The DL oscillator fit described in Section II B allowed us to separate the different contributions to the optical conductivity and their temperature dependencies. At all temperatures and in both samples, we used the same number of oscillators. For example, at 10 and 296 K, the oscillators used in the fit can be seen in Fig. 6 while the fit parameters at 10 K are given in Table I. The limited spectral range of our measurements did not allow us to extract the exact shape of the narrow Drude peak. Therefore we had to keep the width of the Drude peak constant at a small value and adjust its strength according to the value of σ_{DC} . In our case the width of the Drude peak is largely an *ad hoc* assignment but it is in agreement with what has been seen in polished samples²⁴ and thin films²⁸. Nevertheless, it does not affect the main conclusions presented below. We have also fixed the position of the highest-frequency oscillator, which falls outside our spectroscopic window.

To facilitate further discussion, we reproduce in Fig. 7a the energy diagram proposed for the manganites (see the figure caption for details).^{1,20} The possible transitions, depicted in Fig. 7b, are:

- *I*: A transition between different e_g levels in the same site. This transition is not dipole allowed and, therefore, is expected to be weak.^{20,37} However, it has been argued^{38,39} that due to the strong




FIG. 7: Energy diagram of the manganites (adapted from Refs. 1 and 20). (a) The Mn-d levels, due to the crystal field, are split in the so-called t_{2g} and e_g levels. If the e_g level is occupied, this state is further split by E_{JT} , due to the Jahn-Teller distortions of the surrounding O atoms. If the e_g level is empty, its original energy can be shifted by E_B due to a “breathing” distortion which couples to changes in the e_g occupation density. Furthermore, the e_g level can correspond to spin states either parallel or antiparallel to the total spin of the t_{2g} electrons. In the latter case, the energy of e_g is higher by an energy given by the Hund’s coupling, J_H . We have plotted this situation for the case of an occupied e_g level. (b) Possible transitions occurring in the manganites. The initial states (a single-occupied e_g level and a filled O-2p band) are depicted in the center. To determine the final energies, one has to take into account the different situations noted before, the origin of the excited electron (either coming from an e_g or an O-2p state), and the fact that putting an extra electron in an already occupied e_g level costs the on-site Coulomb repulsion energy U . When an excited electron comes from an O-site, the charge transfer energy Δ has to be added.

hybridization between the e_g and O-2p bands, and the strong local distortion of the Mn-O octahedra, these transitions can be allowed.

- *II, III*: Interatomic $e_g \rightarrow e_g$ transitions, i.e. from a Mn^{3+} ion to either another Mn^{3+} ion or to a Mn^{4+} ion. In both cases, the promoted electron can end up being parallel or antiparallel to the t_{2g} core spin.
- *IV, V*: Charge transfer transitions, O-2p $\rightarrow e_g$.

Now we address the possible assignments to the peaks recognized by the DL fit (see Fig. 6). Let us start from the high frequency features. The strong absorption starting at around 2.5 eV is represented by peaks 4 and 5 which, taken together, show a small temperature dependence and almost no isotope effect. There is a general consensus that this feature stems from the charge-transfer transitions (*IV* and *V*) from the O-2p

TABLE I: Parameters, in cm^{-1} (eV), corresponding to the oscillators used to describe the optical conductivity of the two films at 10 K.

Sample	$\omega_{p,1}$	Γ_1	$\omega_{o,2}$	$\omega_{p,2}$	Γ_2	$\omega_{o,3}$	$\omega_{p,3}$	Γ_3
S16	8320	632	2853 (0.35)	21154	7725	13155 (1.63)	12872	9300
S18	2449	632	3054 (0.38)	15999	6132	13559 (1.68)	18091	9426

Sample	$\omega_{o,4}$	$\omega_{p,4}$	Γ_4	$\omega_{o,5}$	$\omega_{p,5}$	Γ_5
S16	32236 (3.99)	29631	16843	43600 (5.41)	55234	47633
S18	30930 (3.84)	31347	18300	43600 (5.41)	53329	24540

band to bands of Mn- d character, namely the unoccupied e_g levels.^{19,20,38}

The most interesting oscillators are the two low-frequency peaks, 2 (~ 0.5 eV) and 3 (~ 1.5 eV), which show a strong temperature dependence (see Fig. 6). At high temperatures, in both samples, only peak 3 is clearly visible and does not significantly change down to T_C . From this point its intensity decreases in favor of peak 2. This transfer of spectral weight is accompanied by a small displacement of peak 2 to low frequencies and some shift of peak 3 towards high frequencies. This process stops at around 100 K in S16 and 75 K in S18. Thus, one can conclude that peak 2 is more favored in the metallic state, while peak 3 is characteristic of the insulating phase.

Peak 2 most likely corresponds to the processes *II* or *III*, where the spin of the final state is parallel to the t_{2g} core. The fact that it does not form a Drude peak is due to its polaronic nature, probably enhanced by strains present in films and polished samples. There has also been some discussion about whether it transforms from small to large polaron or remains small in the whole temperature range (the first has been suggested from studies in $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{Ca}_x\text{MnO}_3$ ²⁴ and several compounds of the form $\text{A}_{0.7}\text{R}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ ($\text{A} = \text{Nd, La}$; $\text{R} = \text{Sr, Ca}$)^{20,37} while the second has been proposed by studying $\text{La}_{2/3}\text{Ca}_{1/3}\text{MnO}_3$ thin films). A careful fit to the corresponding analytical expressions allowed to recognize, at least in $\text{La}_{2/3}\text{Ca}_{1/3}\text{MnO}_3$, as always being a small polaron.²⁸ The temperature dependence of its position was found to be much larger than in the case of $\text{La}_{2/3}\text{Sr}_{1/3}\text{MnO}_3$ where the polaron seems to be large. The temperature dependence of the position of peak 2, in both S16 and S18, is more similar to the one found for the small polaron case.

Peak 3 has been given two interpretations. From studies in various samples of $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{Ca}_x\text{MnO}_3$ ²⁶, it has been assigned to a transition of type *I* (see also the theoretical analysis given in Ref. 39). This kind of transition, though not allowed, can be enhanced by local distortions and strong hybridization. It was also argued that its spectral weight decreases with temperature lowering because lattice distortions also become weaker.³⁸ However, a dipole forbidden transition is unlikely to have such a large spectral weight possessed by peak 3. To our point of view, a more probable candidate is an interatomic transition *II*

or *III* where the final state is antiparallel to the t_{2g} core spin.¹⁹ This gives a rather small value of J_H of about 0.75 eV. Recent dynamical mean-field calculations²¹ of the critical temperature, spin-wave stiffness, and optical spectral weight changes provided a two times larger value of J_H , which is nevertheless much closer to 0.75 eV compared to initial estimates.⁴¹ In a homogeneous fully spin-polarized state the antiparallel process should disappear. However, we observe, that even at low temperatures the intensity of peak 3 remains finite. We will see later, that this can be ascribed to the formation of a phase-separated state, which consists of insulating and conducting domains.

Regarding this assignment of peak 3, we have to mention that in their study of compounds of the form $\text{A}_{0.7}\text{R}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ ($\text{A} = \text{Nd, La}$; $\text{R} = \text{Sr, Ca}$), Quijada et al.²⁰ associated the *antiparallel* transitions with a feature at 3 eV (giving $J_H \sim 1.5$ eV) observed on the differential conductivity spectra. Following the same procedure, we plot the difference between $\sigma_1(\omega)$ at any given temperature and $\sigma_1(\omega)$ at 10 K (see Fig. 8). As in Ref. 20, we can also see that the conductivity in a broad region around 2.7 eV (22000 cm^{-1}) is suppressed below T_C . However, the temperature dependence of conductivity at 2.7 eV, seen in the insets in Fig. 8, does not show any feature at T_C that would be natural to expect from transitions which are so sensitive to the magnetic order. On the other hand, the temperature dependence at about 1.5 eV (12000 cm^{-1}) does show a clear feature at T_C , giving extra support for our assignment.

Another relevant issue is the importance of the correlation (or Hubbard- U) effects. A large value of U would shift the energy of the process of type *III* or *V* to higher energies. For the d^4 configuration, which is the case for the Mn^{3+} atoms, the lower estimate of U is about 1.3 eV if a full metallic screening is assumed.⁴² In manganites the screening is expected to be smaller, thus giving an even larger value of U . The analysis of photoemission and X-ray absorption spectroscopy^{43,44} yields U at least larger than 3 eV. Then we have to conclude that transitions of the type *III* and *V* are located at rather high frequencies. However, in Ref. 21 it has been argued that the integrated conduction-band spectral weight agrees well with the band calculations, meaning that the spectral weight transfer due to the Hubbard- U effects is




FIG. 8: Difference between optical conductivities, $\Delta\sigma_1(\omega, T) \equiv \sigma_1(\omega, T) - \sigma_1(\omega, 10K)$ for the two samples. There are two clear regions where $\sigma_1(\omega)$ decreases below T_C . The insets show the temperature dependencies at 12000 and 22000 cm^{-1} .

small.

B. Phase Separation

Substitution of ^{16}O with ^{18}O makes the system less metallic in the FM state, without affecting it noticeably above T_C . In accordance, as can be seen in Fig. 9b, the conductivity of the sample S18 shows at low temperature a much larger peak at 1.5 eV (characteristic of the insulating state) and much smaller peak at 0.5 eV (characteristic of the ferromagnetic metallic state) compared to the sample S16. What is interesting, however, is that the effect of the isotope exchange on finite-frequency conductivity is yet much smaller than the one on the DC conductivity (the ratio of σ_{DC} 's amounts to more than one order of magnitude for our samples). In view of numerous indications of the phase separation in manganites (see Ref. 7 and references therein; see also Ref. 8), it is reasonable to associate the different scale of the isotope dependence of σ_{DC} with the percolation of conducting




FIG. 9: Isotope substitution effect on the optical conductivity in the paramagnetic (200 K) and ferromagnetic (10 K) states.

domains.

The idea of phase separation is intimately related with the oxygen isotope effect itself. In this scenario,⁶ at high temperatures the insulating phase is dominating. When the temperature is lowered, there is first tendency to charge ordering (with $T_{CO} > T_C$) in the insulating phase. Below T_C , FM metallic droplets start to form until their relative volume ratio stabilizes. The temperature variation of the spectral weights of peaks 2 and 3 are consistent with this interpretation (see Fig. 10). That is, at low temperatures, the intensity of both peaks tends to saturate. Moreover, this temperature dependence is similar to the one seen in the MIR peak of $\text{La}_{7/8}\text{Sr}_{1/8}\text{MnO}_3$ where it was also suggested to be caused by phase separation.²²

The changes in the optical conductivity produced by the isotope substitution are also consistent with phase separation. In this picture, the large isotope effect seen in these compounds results from the fact that the small change induced by the isotope substitution shifts the relative stability of one phase over the other, especially close to the phase boundary.¹⁶ In the present case, the ^{18}O substitution favors the insulating phase. Accordingly, we can see this effect when comparing the strengths of peaks 2 and 3 between S16 and S18 at the lowest temperature.




FIG. 10: Temperature dependence of the (a) position, ω_o , and (b) plasma frequency, ω_p^2 , of the oscillators number 2 and 3 (see Fig. 6). Notice also that in sample S18 above 110 K, peak 2 almost merges with peak 3. Therefore, above this temperature, we have kept $\omega_{p,2}$ constant.

In S18 (the *less* metallic of the two), the former oscillator is weaker while the latter is stronger.

As discussed in Ref. 16, the isotope substitution changes the effective hopping integral, t_{eff} , which actually determines the relative stability of the different phases. The change produced by the isotope substitution is small but can be enhanced if the charge carriers have polaronic nature. This, as we have seen in the previous section, is the case in the samples studied here, and may help to explain the large isotope effect.

We also would like to remark that the phase separation scenario seems to be relevant only to samples with ^{16}O and somewhat low content of ^{18}O .⁴⁵ Studies of the effect of partial ^{16}O - ^{18}O substitution on $(\text{La}_{0.25}\text{Pr}_{0.75})_{0.7}\text{Ca}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ ceramic samples⁴⁵ indicate that the increasing of ^{18}O enrichment produced a percolation-like transition to the insulating at a concentration of around 60%. Moreover, magnetic⁴⁵ and neutron diffraction¹⁴ measurements indicate that above 60% there exists only a pure antiferromagnetic insulating phase. In the same fashion, it is expected that samples of $(\text{La}_{0.5}\text{Pr}_{0.5})_{0.7}\text{Ca}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ with ^{18}O content larger than 85% should be antiferromagnetic without phase separation.

C. Spectral Weight

Finally, we discuss the integrated spectral weight, which can be conveniently expressed in terms of the effective number of carriers:

$$N_{eff}(\omega) = \frac{2mV}{\pi e^2} \int_0^\omega \sigma(\omega') d\omega' \quad (2)$$




FIG. 11: Integrated spectral weight, N_{eff} , in the whole measured range. The insets show the temperature dependence of $\Delta N_{eff} \equiv N_{eff}(T) - N_{eff}(10 \text{ K})$ at two different frequencies.

where m and e are the electron mass and charge, respectively, and V is the volume of one formula unit. By applying this formula to the optical conductivity, we obtain the results presented in Fig. 11. The amount and energy scale of the spectral weight redistribution caused by the metal-insulator transition can be assessed by the comparison of $N_{eff}(\omega)$ for different temperatures. To facilitate this comparison, in the insets of the same Figure we have also plotted the temperature dependence of $\Delta N_{eff} \equiv N_{eff}(T) - N_{eff}(10 \text{ K})$ at two different frequencies.

According to the discussion in the previous sections, at temperatures larger than T_C , the spectral weight is approximately the same for both samples and shows also small temperature dependence. Below their respective T_C , the spectral weight at low frequencies of both samples increase but, at the lowest temperature, is much smaller in S18 which is also the sample with smaller T_C . However, we have not found scaling between N_{eff} at 0.5 eV and T_C as seen for $(\text{La}_{1-y}\text{Pr}_y)_{0.7}\text{Ca}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ samples with different Pr concentrations.²⁵ Another point to notice is that the spectral weight in the S18 sample is recov-

ered in a smaller frequency range than the one containing ^{18}O .

It is also interesting to compare different compounds where the distribution of spectral weight has also been studied. In the manganites studied here, the increase of spectral weight at low frequencies due to the formation of the metallic state is recovered at around 4 eV. This is an indication of the width of the d bands involved in the physical process. In heavy fermion systems, the spectral weight is recovered in an energy range of 0.2 eV which indicates the narrowness of the f -bands participating in the process of forming the heavy fermion coherent state.⁴⁶ On the other hand, in FeSi the spectral weight lost in the formation of the Kondo insulating phase is not recovered below 4 eV. This is also an indication of the width of the d -bands describing its behavior.⁴⁷

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented the optical properties of two $(\text{La}_{0.5}\text{Pr}_{0.5})_{0.7}\text{Ca}_{0.3}\text{MnO}_3$ films, grown on SrTiO_3 substrate, each of one contains different oxygen isotopes. The mismatch between the film and substrate lattice constants makes the films to be under high strains that change their properties and make them more susceptible to phase separate. Evidence of this behavior was found in the observed optical response at low frequencies. In the paramagnetic insulating phase the optical conductivity of both films is similar and is dominated by a large peak at 1.5 eV, most probably corresponding

to the transition $II [e_{g1}(\text{Mn}^{3+}) \rightarrow e_g(\text{Mn}^{3+})]$ where the moved electron ends up being antiparallel to the t_{2g} core. At temperatures below T_C , it loses intensity until it saturates at around 100 K. In the less metallic sample (the one containing ^{18}O), the intensity of this peak at low temperatures is larger than the more metallic one (the one with ^{16}O). On the other hand, the decrease in intensity of this transition is accompanied by the increase of another peak located at about 0.5 eV. This latter peak has been identified as the same kind of transition but where the excited electron ends up being parallel to the spin of the t_{2g} electrons. The intensity of this peak is larger in the more metallic sample. These observations taken together suggest that below T_C the samples separate in two phases, one ferromagnetic metallic and one paramagnetic insulating. The relative volume of these phases is dependent on the isotope content, the metallic phase being more abundant in the sample containing ^{16}O .

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank D. I. Khomskii and A. J. Millis for fruitful discussions, and E. van Heumen for their help in performing the ellipsometry measurements in STO. This investigation was supported by the Netherlands Foundation for Fundamental Research on Matter (FOM) with financial aid from the Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (NWO). It was also partially supported by MaNEP (Switzerland).

-
- ¹ A. J. Millis, *Nature* **392**, 147 (1998).
 - ² C. Zener, *Phys. Rev.* **82**, 403 (1951);
 - ³ H. Y. Hwang, S.-W. Cheong, P. G. Radaelli, M. Marezio, and B. Batlogg, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 914 (1995).
 - ⁴ L. M. Rodriguez-Martinez and J. P. Attfield, *Phys. Rev. B* **54**, R15622 (1996).
 - ⁵ A. M. Balagurov, V. Yu. Pomjakushin, D. V. Sheptyakov, V. L. Aksenov, P. Fischer, L. Keller, O. Yu. Gorbenko, A. R. Kaul, and N. A. Babushkina, *Phys. Rev. B* **64**, 24420 (2001).
 - ⁶ D. Khomskii, *Physica B* **280**, 325 (2000).
 - ⁷ A. Moreo, S. Yunoki, and E. Dagotto, *Science* **283**, 2034 (1999).
 - ⁸ Moon-Ho Jo, N. D. Mathur, N. K. Todd, and M. G. Blamire, *Phys. Rev. B* **61**, 14905R (2000). M. Bibes, Ll. Balcells, S. Valencia, J. Fontcuberta, M. Wojcik, E. Jedryka, and S. Nadolski, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 67210 (2001).
 - ⁹ M. Yu. Kagan, D. I. Khomskii, and M. V. Mostovoy, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **12**, 217 (1999).
 - ¹⁰ N. A. Babushkina, L. M. Belova, A. N. Taldenkov, E. A. Chistotina, D. I. Khomskii, K. I. Kugel, O. Yu. Gorbenko, and A. R. Kaul, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **11**, 5865 (1999).
 - ¹¹ N. A. Babushkina, L. M. Belova, E. A. Chistotina, O. Yu. Gorbenko, A. R. Kaul, B. Güttler, and K. I. Kugel, *Eur. Phys. J. B* **19**, 409 (2001).
 - ¹² G. M. Zhao, K. Conder, H. Keller, and K. A. Mueller, *Nature* **381**, 676 (1996).
 - ¹³ N. A. Babushkina, L. M. Belova, O. Yu. Gorbenko, A. R. Kaul, A. A. Bosak, V. I. Ozhogin, and K. I. Kugel, *Nature* **391**, 159 (1998).
 - ¹⁴ A. M. Balagurov, V. Yu. Pomjakushin, D. V. Sheptyakov, V. L. Aksenov, N. A. Babushkina, L. M. Belova, A. N. Taldenkov, A. V. Inyushkin, P. Fischer, M. Gutmann, L. Keller, O. Yu. Gorbenko and A. R. Kaul, *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, 383 (1999).
 - ¹⁵ A. S. Alexandrov and A. M. Bratkovsky, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **11**, 1989 (1999).
 - ¹⁶ N. A. Babushkina, L. M. Belova, V. I. Ozhogin, O. Yu. Gorbenko, A. R. Kaul, A. A. Bosak, D. I. Khomskii, and K. I. Kugel, *J. App. Phys.* **83**, 7369 (1998).
 - ¹⁷ N. A. Babushkina, L. M. Belova, D. I. Khomskii, K. I. Kugel, O. Yu. Gorbenko, and A. R. Kaul, *Phys. Rev. B* **59**, 6994 (1999).
 - ¹⁸ A. J. Millis, *J. El. Spec. and Rel. Ph.* **114**, 116, 669 (2001).
 - ¹⁹ Y. Okimoto, T. Katsufuji, T. Ishikawa, T. Arima, and Y. Tokura, *Phys. Rev. B* **55**, 4206 (1997).
 - ²⁰ M. Quijada, J. Černe, J. R. Simpson, H. D. Drew, K. H. Ahn, A. J. Millis, R. Shreekala, R. Ramesh, M. Rajeswari,

- and T. Venkatesan, Phys. Rev. B **58**, 16093 (1998).
- ²¹ B. Michaelis and A. J. Millis, Phys. Rev. B **68**, 115111 (2003).
 - ²² J. H. Jung, K. H. Kim, H. J. Lee, J. S. Ahn, N. J. Hur, T. W. Noh, M. S. Kim, and J.-G. Park, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 3793 (1999).
 - ²³ A. S. Moskvina, E. V. Zenkov, Yu. D. Panov, N. N. Loshkareva, Yu. P. Sukhorukov, and E. V. Mostovshchikova, Phys. Sol. St. **44**, 1519 (2002).
 - ²⁴ K. H. Kim, J. H. Jung, and T. W. Noh, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 1517 (1998).
 - ²⁵ K. H. Kim, J. H. Jung, D. J. Eom, T. W. Noh, J. Yu, and E. J. Choi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 4983 (1998).
 - ²⁶ J. H. Jung, K. H. Kim, T. W. Noh, E. J. Choi, and Jaejun Yu, Phys. Rev. B **57**, 11043 (1998).
 - ²⁷ K. Takenaka, K. Iida, Y. Sawaki, S. Sugai, Y. Moritomo, and A. Nakamura, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn **68**, 1828 (1999).
 - ²⁸ Ch. Hartinger, F. Mayr, J. Deisenhofer, A. Loidl, and T. Kopp, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 100403 (2004).
 - ²⁹ A. S. Moskvina, Physica B **252**, 186 (1998).
 - ³⁰ A. S. Moskvina, E. V. Zenkov, Yu. P. Sukhorukov, E. V. Mostovshchikova, N. N. Loshkareva, A. R. Kaul, and O. Yu. Gorbenko, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **15**, 2635 (2003).
 - ³¹ N. N. Loshkareva, Yu. P. Sukhorukov, E. A. Ganshina, E. V. Mostovshchikova, R. Yu. Kumaritova, A. S. Moskvina, Yu. D. Panov, O. Yu. Gorbenko, and A. R. Kaul, J. Exp. Th. Phys. **92**, 462 (2001).
 - ³² For the corresponding Fresnel equations see for example: O. S. Heavens, *Thin Film Physics* (Methuen, London, England, 1970).
 - ³³ F. P. Mena, D. van der Marel, A. Damascelli, M. F  th, A. A. Menovsky, and J. A. Mydosh, Phys. Rev. B **67**, 241101 (2003).
 - ³⁴ A. Kuzmenko, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **76**, 083108 (2005).
 - ³⁵ K. Takenaka, Y. Sawaki, R. Shiozaki, and S. Sugai, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 13864 (2000).
 - ³⁶ K. Takenaka, R. Shiozaki, and S. Sugai, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 184436 (2002).
 - ³⁷ A. J. Millis, R. Mueller, and Boris I. Shraiman, Phys. Rev. B **54**, 5405 (1996).
 - ³⁸ T. W. Noh, J. H. Jung, and K. H. Kim, in *Physics of Manganites*, edited by T. A. Kaplan and S. D. Mahanti (Kluwer Academic, New York, 1999).
 - ³⁹ Y. R. Chen, V. Perebeinos, and P. B. Allen, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 205207 (2002).
 - ⁴⁰ Y. Okimoto and Y. Tokura, J. of Supercond., **13**, 271 (2000).
 - ⁴¹ A. Chattopadhyay, A. J. Millis, and S. Das Sarma Phys. Rev. B **61**, 10738 (2000).
 - ⁴² D. van der Marel and G. A. Sawatzky, Phys. Rev. B **37**, 10674 (1988).
 - ⁴³ T. Saitoh, A. E. Bocquet, T. Mizokawa, H. Namatame, A. Fujimori, M. Abbate, Y. Takeda, M. Takano, Phys. Rev. B **51**, 13942 (1995).
 - ⁴⁴ J.-H. Park, C. T. Chen, S.-W. Cheong, W. Bao, G. Meigs, V. Chakarian, and Y. U. Idzerda, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 4215 (1996).
 - ⁴⁵ N. A. Babushkina, A. N. Taldenkov, L. M. Belova, E. A. Chistotina, O. Yu. Gorbenko, A. R. Kaul, K. I. Kugel, D. I. Khomskii, Phys. Rev. B **62**, 6081R (2000).
 - ⁴⁶ For a review in the optical properties of heavy fermion systems see L. Degiorgi, Rev. Mod. Phys. **71**, 687 (1999).
 - ⁴⁷ Z. Schlesinger, Z. Fisk, H. T. Zhang, M. B. Maple, J. F. DiTusa, and G. Aeppli, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 1748 (1993).