

# Random field spin models beyond one loop: a mechanism for decreasing the lower critical dimension

Pierre Le Doussal and Kay Jörg Wiese

CNRS-Laboratoire de Physique Théorique de l'Ecole Normale Supérieure, 24 rue Lhomond, 75005 Paris, France.  
(Dated: March 23, 2024)

The functional RG for the random field and random anisotropy  $O(N)$  sigma-models is studied to two loop. The ferromagnetic/disordered (F/D) transition fixed point is found to next order in  $d = 4 + \epsilon$  for  $N > N_c$  ( $N_c = 2.8347408$  for random field,  $N_c = 9.44121$  for random anisotropy). For  $N < N_c$  the lower critical dimension  $d = d_{lc}$  plunges below  $d_{lc} = 4$ : we find *two* fixed points, one describing the quasi-ordered phase, the other is novel and describes the F/D transition.  $d_{lc}$  can be obtained in an  $(N_c - N)$ -expansion. The theory is also analyzed at large  $N$  and a glassy regime is found.

It is important for numerous experiments to understand how the spontaneous ordering in a pure system is changed by quenched substrate impurities. One class of systems are modeled by elastic objects in random potentials (so-called random manifolds, RM). Another class are  $O(N)$  classical spin models with ferromagnetic (Ferro) couplings in presence of random fields (RF) or anisotropies (RA). The latter describe amorphous magnets [1]. Examples of RF are liquid crystals in porous media [2], He-3 in aerogels [3], nematic elastomers [4], and ferroelectrics [5]. The XY random field case  $N = 2$  is common to both classes and describes periodic RM such as charge density waves, Wigner crystals and vortex lattices [6]. Larkin showed [7] that the well-understood pure fixed points (FP) of both classes are perturbatively unstable to weak disorder for  $d < d_{lc}$  ( $d_{lc} = 4$  in the generic case). For a continuous symmetry (i.e. the RF Heisenberg model) it was proven [8] that order is destroyed below  $d = 4$ . This does not settle the difficult question of the lower critical dimension  $d_{lc}$  as a weak-disorder phase can survive below  $d_{lc}$ , if associated to a non-trivial FP, as predicted in  $d = 3$  for the Bragg-glass phase with quasi long-range order (QLRO) [9]. For the random field Ising model  $N = 1$  (RFIM) it was argued [10], then proven [11] that the ferromagnetic phase survives in  $d = 3$ . Developing a field theory to predict  $d_{lc}$ , and the exponents of the weak-disorder phase and the Ferro/Disordered (F/D) transition, has been a long-standing challenge. Both extensive numerics and experiments have not yet produced an unambiguous picture. Among the debated issues are the critical region of the 3D RFIM [12] and the possibility of a QLRO phase in amorphous magnets [5, 13, 14].

A peculiar property shared by both classes is that observables are identical to all orders to the corresponding ones in a  $d = 2$  thermal model [15]. This dimensional reduction (DR) naively predicts  $d_{lc} = 4$  for the weak-disorder phase in a RF with a continuum symmetry [16] and no Ferro order for the  $d = 3$  RFIM, which is proven wrong [11]. It also predicts  $d_{lc}^t = 6$  for the F/D transition FP. While there is agreement that multiple local minima are responsible for DR failure, constructing the field theory beyond DR is a formidable challenge. Recent attempts include a reexamination of the  $d = 4$  theory (i.e. soft spins) for the F/D transition near  $d = 6$  [17].

Previous large- $N$  approaches failed to find a non-trivial FP, but a self-consistent resummation including the  $1/N$  corrections hinted at exponents different from DR (without succeeding in computing them) from a solution breaking replica symmetry [18].

As for the pure  $O(N)$  model, an alternative to the soft-spin version (near  $d = 6$ ) is the sigma model near the lower critical dimension (here presumed to be  $d = 4$ ). In 1985 D.S. Fisher [19] noticed that an infinite set of operators become relevant near  $d = 4$  in the RF  $O(N)$  model. These were encoded in a single function  $R(\epsilon)$  for which Functional RG equations (FRG) were derived to one loop, but no new FP was found. For a RM problem [20] it was found that a cusp develops in the function  $R(\epsilon)$  (the disorder correlator), a crucial feature which allows to obtain non-trivial exponents and evade DR. A fixed point for the RF model was later found [9] in  $d = 4 + \epsilon$  for  $N = 2$ . It was noticed only very recently [21] that the 1-loop FRG equations of Ref. [19] possess fixed points in  $d = 4 + \epsilon$  for  $N \geq 3$ , providing a description of the long-sought critical exponents of the F/D transition.

In spite of these advances, many questions remain. Constructing FRG beyond one loop (and checking its internal consistency) is highly non-trivial. Progress was made for RM [22, 23], and one hopes for extension to RF. Some questions necessitate a 2-loop treatment, e.g. for the depinning transition, as shown in [24]. In RF and RA models the 1-loop analysis predicted some repulsive FP in  $d = 4 + \epsilon$  (for larger values of  $N$ ), and some attractive ones [9, 25] in  $d = 4 - \epsilon$ . The overall picture thus suggests a lowering of the critical dimension, but how it occurs remains unclear. Finally the situation at large  $N$  is also puzzling. Recently, via a truncation of exact RG [26] it was claimed that DR is recovered for  $N$  large.

Our aim in this Letter is twofold. We reexamine the overall scenario for the fixed points and phases of the  $O(N)$  model using FRG. This requires the FRG to two loop. Here we present selected results, details are presented elsewhere [27]. We find a novel mechanism for how the lower critical dimension is decreased below  $d = 4$  for  $N < N_c$  at some critical value  $N_c$ . We obtain a description of the bifurcation which occurs at  $N_c$ , and below  $N_c$  we find *two* perturbative FPs. Thanks to 2-loop terms  $d_{lc}$  can be computed in an expansion

in  $N_c = N$ , and the Ferro/Para FP below  $d = 4$  is found. A study at large  $N$  indicates that some glassy behavior survives there.

Let us consider  $O(N)$  classical spins  $\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x})$  of unit norm  $\mathbf{n}^2 = 1$ . To describe disorder-averaged correlations one introduces replicas  $\mathbf{n}_a(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $a = 1, \dots, k$ , the limit  $k = 0$  being implicit everywhere. The starting model is a non-linear sigma model, of partition function  $Z = \int \mathcal{D}[\mathbf{n}] e^{S[\mathbf{n}]}$  and action:

$$S[\mathbf{n}] = \int d^d \mathbf{x} \frac{1}{2T_0} \sum_a [(\partial_\mu \mathbf{n}_a)^2 + (\mathbf{n}_a - \mathbf{n}_0)^2] + \frac{1}{T_0} \sum_a M_0 \mathbf{n}_a + \frac{1}{2T_0^2} \sum_{ab} \hat{R}_0(\mathbf{n}_a \mathbf{n}_b); \quad (1)$$

where  $\mathbf{n}_a = (\mathbf{n}_a; \sim \mathbf{n}_a)$  with  $\mathbf{n}_a(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \sim \mathbf{n}_a(\mathbf{x})^2}}$ . A small uniform external field  $M_0(1; \mathbf{0})$  acts as an infrared cutoff. Fluctuations around its direction are parameterized by  $(N-1)$ -modes. The ferromagnetic exchange produces the 1-replica part, while the random field yields the 2-replica term  $\hat{R}_0(z) = z$  for a bare Gaussian RF. RA corresponds to  $\hat{R}_0(z) = z^2$ . As shown in [19] one must include a full function  $\hat{R}_0(z)$ , as it is generated under RG. It is marginal in  $d = 4$ .

To obtain physics at large scales, one computes perturbatively the effective action  $\Gamma[\mathbf{n}_a(\mathbf{x})]$ . It can be expanded in gra-

dients near a uniform background configuration  $\mathbf{n}_a^0$ , and splitted in 1-, 2- and higher-replica terms. From rotational invariance it is natural to look for in the form (1) with  $\mathbf{n}_a = (\mathbf{n}_a; \sim \mathbf{n}_a)$ ,  $\mathbf{n}_a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - (\sim \mathbf{n}_a)^2}}$ ,  $\mathbf{n}_a = Z \frac{1}{M_R} \mathbf{n}_a$ ,  $T_0 = T_R = T_0 = Z_T$ ,  $M_0 = M_R = M_0$ ,  $Z = Z_T$ ,  $m = \frac{1}{M_R}$  the renormalized mass of the  $\sim \mathbf{n}_a$  modes, and  $\hat{R}_0(\mathbf{n}_a \mathbf{n}_b) = m \hat{R}(\mathbf{n}_a \mathbf{n}_b)$ . Higher vertices generated under RG are irrelevant by power-counting, hence discarded. Renormalization of  $T$  contributes to the flow of  $\hat{R}$ , and one sets  $T = 0$  at the end.

One computes  $Z$ ,  $Z_T$  and  $\hat{R}$  perturbatively in  $\hat{R}_0$  and extracts the functions  $\hat{R}(z) = m \partial_m \hat{R}(z)$ ,  $\partial_m = \partial_m \ln Z$  and  $\partial_T = \partial_m \ln Z_T$ , derivatives taken at fixed  $\hat{R}_0; T_0; M_0$ . Although calculation of the  $Z$ -factors is simplified due to DR, anomalous contributions appear from the non-analyticity of  $\hat{R}(z)$ . To compute  $\hat{R}(z)$ , one chooses a pair of uniform background fields  $(\mathbf{n}_a^0; \mathbf{n}_b^0)$  for each  $(a; b)$ . We use a basis for the fluctuating fields (to be integrated over) such that  $\mathbf{n}_a = (\mathbf{n}_a; \sim \mathbf{n}_a)$ ,  $\mathbf{n}_b = (\mathbf{n}_b; \sim \mathbf{n}_b)$ , where  $\sim$  lies in the plane common to  $(\mathbf{n}_a^0; \mathbf{n}_b^0)$ , and  $\sim \mathbf{n}_a$  along the perpendicular  $N-2$  directions; both have diagonal propagators. Denoting  $\mathbf{n}_a^0 \mathbf{n}_b^0 = \cos \theta_{ab}$ , one has  $\mathbf{n}_a \mathbf{n}_b = \cos \theta_{ab} (\mathbf{n}_a \mathbf{n}_b + \sim \mathbf{n}_a \sim \mathbf{n}_b) + \sin \theta_{ab} (\mathbf{n}_a \sim \mathbf{n}_b - \sim \mathbf{n}_a \mathbf{n}_b) + \sim \mathbf{n}_a \sim \mathbf{n}_b$ . One gets factors of  $(N-2)$  from the contraction of  $\sim$ . Our calculation to 2 loops results in the flow-equation for the function  $R(\cdot) = \hat{R}(z = \cos \cdot)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_T R(\cdot) = & R(\cdot) + \frac{1}{2} R''(\cdot)^2 - R''(0) R''(\cdot) + (N-2) \frac{1}{2} \frac{R''(\cdot)^2}{\sin^2} - \cot R''(\cdot) R''(0) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} (R''(\cdot) - R''(0)) R''(\cdot)^2 + (N-2) \frac{\cot}{\sin^4} R''(\cdot)^3 - \frac{5 + \cos 2}{4 \sin^4} R''(\cdot)^2 R''(\cdot) + \frac{1}{2 \sin^2} R''(\cdot)^3 \\ & - \frac{1}{4 \sin^4} R''(0) [2(2 + \cos 2) R''(\cdot)^2 - 6 \sin 2 R''(\cdot) R''(0) + (5 + \cos 2) \sin^2 R''(\cdot)^2] \\ & - \frac{N+2}{8} R''(0^+)^2 R''(\cdot) - \frac{N-2}{4} \cot R''(0^+)^2 R''(\cdot) - 2(N-2) R''(0) R''(0)^2 + \sim \mathbf{n}_a R''(0^+)^2 R''(\cdot) \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

with  $\partial_1 = \partial_m$ , and the last factor proportional to  $R(\cdot)$  is  $2/T$  and takes into account the renormalization of temperature. Thanks to the anomalous terms, arising from a non-analytic  $R(\cdot)$ , this  $\beta$ -function preserves a (at most) linear cusp (i.e. finite  $R''(0^+)$ ), and reproduces for  $N = 2$  the previous 2-loop results for the periodic RM [22]. For  $N > 2$ , anomalous contributions are determined following [28]. It is found as

$$= (N-1) R''(0) + \frac{3N-2}{8} R''(0^+)^2; \quad (3)$$

either via a calculation of  $\mathbf{n}_a$  [30], or of the mass corrections, a result consistent with the  $\beta$ -function (2) [31]. The determination of  $\partial_T$  is more delicate [32], and we have allowed for an anomalous contribution  $\sim \mathbf{n}_a$ , whose effect is minor, and discussed below. The correlation exponents (standard definition [21]) are obtained as  $\nu = \frac{1}{d-2}$ ,  $\nu_T = \frac{1}{d-2}$  at the FP. (2) has the form:

$$\partial_T R = R + B(R; R) + C(R; R; R) + O(R^4) \quad (4)$$

We now discuss its solution, first in the RF case, and setting  $\sim \mathbf{n}_a = 0$ . The 1-loop flow-equation (setting  $C = 0$ ) admits, in dimensions larger than 4, a fixed point  $R_{F=D}$  with a single repulsive direction, argued by Feldman to describe the F/D zero temperature transition. This is true only for  $N > N_c$ . For  $N < N_c$  this fixed point *disappears* and instead an *attractive*




FIG. 1: (Color online) Phase diagram. D = disordered, F = ferro-magnetic, QLRO = quasi long-range order.




FIG. 2: (Color online) Parametric plot for solutions of (5) for  $N < N_c$  (dots) for RF, equivalent to (6) (solid line, parabola) and flow (arrows).  $f$  parameterizes disorder and only  $f = 0$  is physical. Cmp. with fig. 1, right.

fixed point  $R_{QLRO}$  appears which describes the Bragg glass for  $N = 2$ . We have determined  $N_c = 2.8347408$  and the solution  $R_c(u)$  which satisfies  $B(R_c; R_c)_{\vec{N}=N_c} = 0$ . It is formally the solution at  $\epsilon = 0$ . Since the FRG flow vanishes to one loop along the direction of  $R_c$ , examination of the 2-loop terms is needed to understand what happens at  $N = N_c$ . In particular the F/D transition should still exist for  $N < N_c$ , though it cannot be found at one loop. It is not even clear a priori whether it remains perturbative.

The scenario found is perturbative, accessible within a double expansion in  $\vec{N}$  and  $N - N_c$ . To this aim, we write the leading terms in  $N - N_c$  and of (4), namely

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B} \cdot R &= R + B_c(R; R) + C_c(R; R) + (N - N_c) B_N(R; R) \\ B_c(\cdot; \cdot) &= B(\cdot; \cdot)_{\vec{N}=N_c}; \quad C_c(\cdot; \cdot) = C(\cdot; \cdot)_{\vec{N}=N_c} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

One looks for a fixed-point solution of the form  $R(u) = gR_c(u) + g^2 R(u)$ , with  $g > 0$ ,  $R_c^{\text{reg}}(0) = 1$ , and its flow. This analysis is done numerically and leads to the flow shown schematically on Fig. 1. The RG-flow projected onto the direction of  $g$  is equivalent to

$$\partial_1 g = g + 1.092(N - N_c)g^2 + 2.352g^3 : \quad (6)$$

As a solution of the functional flow near  $N_c$ , its simplicity is surprising. Setting  $g = (N_c - N)f$ , there are three FP:

$$\frac{1}{(N - N_c)^2} (1.092f + 2.352f^2) = 0; \quad \text{or} \quad f = 0 : \quad (7)$$

For  $N > N_c$  the physical branch is  $f < 0$ . As seen in Fig. 1, for  $d > 4$  there is a ferro phase (i.e.  $f = 0$  is attractive) and an unstable FP describing the F/D transition, given by the negative branch of (7). At  $N = N_c$  one sees from (6) that the F/D fixed point is still perturbative, but in a  $\vec{N}$ -expansion for  $g$  (and for the critical exponents). For  $N < N_c$  the physical side is  $f > 0$  and there are two branches on Fig. 2 corresponding to two non-trivial fixed points. One is the infrared attractive FP for weak disorder which describes the Quasi-Ordered ferromagnetic phase; the second one is unstable and describes

the transition to the disordered phase with a flow to strong coupling. These two fixed points exist only for  $N < N_c$  and annihilate at  $N_c$ . The lower critical dimension of the RF-model for  $N < N_c$  is lowered from  $d = 4$  to

$$d_{lc}^{\text{RF}} = 4 - \frac{4}{N_c - 4} \approx 3.1268 (N - N_c)^2 + O((N - N_c)^3) : \quad (8)$$

Note that the mechanism is different from the more conventional criterion  $d = 4 + (d) = 0$  at  $d = d_{lc}$ .

The same analysis for the random anisotropy class yields  $N_c = 9.44121$ . The equivalent of (6) becomes  $\partial_1 g = g + 0.549(N - N_c)g^2 + 47.6g^3$ , leading to  $d_{lc}^{\text{RA}} = 4 - 0.00158(N - N_c)^2$ . Although it yields  $d_{lc}(N = 3) = 3.93$  and no QLRO phase in  $d = 3$ , naive extrapolation should be taken with caution given the high value of  $N_c$ . Numerical values for  $d_{lc}$  are changed for  $a \neq 0$ , but the scenario is robust for  $a < c$  [33].

We now discuss the FRG flow-equations for  $N$  large. From a truncated exact RG Tarjus and Tissier (TT) [26] found: that the linear cusp of the F/D fixed point for  $d > 4$  vanishes for  $N > N_c(d)$ , i.e.  $R^{\text{reg}}(0^+) = 0$ ; and that the non-analyticity becomes weaker as  $N$  increases (as  $j \rightarrow j$  with  $n \rightarrow N$ ). Analytical study of the derivatives of (2) confirms the existence of this peculiar FP to two loop and predicts  $N_c(d; 2p)$  beyond which the set of  $fR^{(2k)}(0)g$  for  $k \geq p$  admits a stable FP, with  $R^{(2k-1)}(0^+) = 0$  for  $k \leq p$  and  $R^{(2k-1)}(0^+) \neq 0$  for  $k > p$ . We find:

$$N_c(d) = N_c(d; 4) = 18 + 49/d = 5 + \dots : \quad (9)$$

which yields a slope roughly twice the one of Fig. 1 of [26]. This remarkable FP raises some puzzles. Although weaker than a cusp its non-analyticity should imply some (weaker) metastability in the system. It is thus unclear whether DR is fully restored: to prove it one should rule out feedback from anomalous higher-loop terms in exponents or the  $\epsilon$ -function. Finally, one also wonders about its basin of attraction. As shown in Fig. 3, the FRG flow for  $R^{\text{reg}}(0)$  is still to large values if its bare value is large enough, indicating some tendency to glassy behaviour.

To explore these effects we now study the F/D phase transition at large  $N$  and  $d > 4$ . We obtain, both at large  $N$  and fixed  $d$  (extending Ref. [23]), and to one loop, the flow equation for the rescaled  $\tilde{R}(z = \cos \epsilon) = N R(\epsilon) = j \rightarrow j$

$$\partial_1 \tilde{R} = \tilde{R} + 2\tilde{R}_1^0 \tilde{R} - \tilde{R}_1^0 \tilde{R}^0 z + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{R}^2 = 0 : \quad (10)$$

We denote  $y(z) = \tilde{R}^0(z)$ ,  $y_0 = \tilde{R}^0(1) = N R^{\text{reg}}(0) = j \rightarrow j$  and  $r_4 = N R^{\text{reg}}(0) = j \rightarrow j$ . There are two analytic FPs  $\tilde{R}(z) = z - 1 = 2$  and  $\tilde{R}(z) = z^2 = 2$ , corresponding both to  $y_0 = 1$  and to  $r_4 = 1$  and  $r_4 = 4$  respectively. This agrees with the flow of the derivatives for analytic  $\tilde{R}(\epsilon)$ :  $\partial_1 y_0 = y_0(y_0 - 1)$ , and at  $y_0 = 1$ :  $\partial_1 r_4 = \frac{1}{3}(r_4 - 1)(r_4 - 4)$ . The first FP is the large- $N$  limit of the TT fixed point, the second is repulsive and divides the region where  $r_4 \neq 1$  (non-analytic  $\tilde{R}(\epsilon)$ ) in a finite RG time  $l_c$  (Larkin scale). For  $y_0 > 1$ , we find a family of NA fixed points with a linear cusp, parameterized by an




FIG. 3: Flow for  $R^{\infty}(0)=j$  for  $d = 4 < d < 4$  as a function of  $N$ . The two branches behave as  $1/N$  and  $4/N$  at large  $N$ .

integer  $n \geq 2$ , s.t.  $y_0 = n/(n-1)$ ,  $z = y/(y_0-1)$  ( $y=y_0$ )<sup>n</sup>. The solutions with  $n$  (i.e.  $z(y)$ ) odd correspond to random anisotropy ( $R^0(\epsilon) = R^0(\epsilon + \epsilon)$ ). The  $n = 2$  RF fixed point is  $R^0(\epsilon) = 2 \cos(\epsilon) + \frac{8}{3} \sin^3(\epsilon) = 2 - \frac{4}{3}$ . To elucidate their role, we obtained the exact solution for the flow both below  $l_c$ , i.e.  $z = \frac{y}{y_0} + (y_0 - 1) \left(\frac{y}{y_0}\right)^\alpha$  parameterizes the bare disorder, ( $l = 0$ ), and above  $l_c$ , with an anomalous flow for  $y_0$ . Matching at  $l_c$  yields the critical manifold for RF disorder, defined from the conditions that  $R^0(w) = R^0(w) = 1$  has a root  $0 < w < 1$ . It is different from the naive DR condition  $y_0 = 1$ , valid for small  $r_4$ . The  $n = 2$  FP corresponds to bare disorder such that the root  $w = 0$ . Hence it is multicritical [34]. Generic initial conditions within the critical F/P manifold flow back to the TT FP i.e. the linear cusp decreases to zero [35]. This however occurs only at an infinite scale, hence we expect a long crossover within a glassy region, characterized by a cusp, and metastability on finite scales [36]. The large- $N$  limit here is subtle. Taking  $N \rightarrow \infty$  at fixed volume on a bare model with  $\hat{R}_0(z) = z$  yields only the analytic FP, equivalent to a replica-symmetric saddle point. Higher monomials  $z^p$  are generated in perturbation theory, at higher order in  $1/N$ . Thus, for  $N$  large but fixed and infinite size, one must first coarse grain to generate a non-trivial function  $\hat{R}_0(z)$ , before taking the limit of  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

In conclusion we obtained the 2-loop FRG functions for the random field and anisotropy  $\epsilon$ -models. We found a new fixed point and a scenario for the decrease of the lower critical dimension. This rules out the scenario left open at one loop that the bifurcation close to  $d = 4$  simply occurs within the (quasi-) ordered phase.

- 
- [1] R. Harris et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **31** (1973) 60.  
[2] D.E. Feldman and R.A. Pelcovits, Phys. Rev. E **70** (2004) 040702.  
[3] K. Matsumoto et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **79** (1997) 253.  
[4] S. V. Fridrikh and E. M. Terentjev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79** (1997) 4661.

- [5] D.E. Feldman, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **15** (2001) 2945.  
[6] G. Blatter et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. **66** (1994) 1125.  
[7] A.I. Larkin, Sov. Phys. JETP **31** (1970) 784.  
[8] M. Aizenman and J. Wehr, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62** (1989) 2503.  
[9] T. Giamarchi and P. Le Doussal, Phys. Rev. B **52** (1995) 1242.  
[10] Y. Imry and S.K. Ma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **355** (1975) 1399.  
[11] J.Z. Imbrie, Phys. Rev. Lett. **53** (1984) 1747; J. Bricmont and A. Kupiainen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59** (1987) 1829.  
[12] D.P. Belanger, and T. Nattermann in A.P. Young, editor, *Spin glasses and random fields*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1997.  
[13] B. Barbara et al., Europhys. Lett. **3** (1987) 1129.  
[14] R. Fisch, Phys. Rev. B **58** (1998) 5684.  
[15] A. Aharony et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **37** (1976) 1364; K.B. Efetov and A.I. Larkin, Sov. Phys. JETP **45** (1977) 1236; G. Parisi and N. Sourlas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **43** (1979) 744.  
[16] Early arguments in favor of a quasi-ordered phase for RA magnets in  $d < 4$ , see A. Aharony and E. Pytte, Phys. Rev. Lett. **45** (1980) 1583, also rely on a DR calculation.  
[17] E. Brezin and C. De Dominicis, Europhys. Lett. **44** (1998) 13, Eur. Phys. J. B **19** (2001) 467.  
[18] M. Mézard and P. Young, Eur. Phys. Lett. **18** (1992) 653.  
[19] D.S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B **31** (1985) 7233.  
[20] D.S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56** (1986) 1964.  
[21] D.E. Feldman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88** (2002) 177202.  
[22] P. Chauve et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **86** (2001) 1785.  
[23] P. Le Doussal and K.J. Wiese, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89** (2002) 125702, Phys. Rev. B **68** (2003) 174202.  
[24] P. Le Doussal et al., Phys. Rev. B **66** (2002) 174201.  
[25] D.E. Feldman, Phys. Rev. B **61** (2000) 382.  
[26] G. Tarjus and M. Tissier, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93** (2004) 267008.  
[27] P. Le Doussal and K.J. Wiese, to be published.  
[28] P. Le Doussal and K.J. Wiese, Phys. Rev. E **68** (2005) 035101(R).  
[29] P. Le Doussal et al., Phys. Rev. E **69** (2004) 026112.  
[30] For  $N = 2$ , one can also use  $\epsilon = \sin$  and a RM calculation with  $h_{ai} = e^{\frac{1}{2}h_{ai}^2}$ , since the field correlator is gaussian up to  $O(\epsilon^4)$  [29].  
[31] Reexpressing (2) in  $\hat{R}$ , (3) is the rescaling term  $z\hat{R}^0(z)$ .  
[32] 1-loop corrections to correlations at non-zero momentum are anisotropic  $\epsilon_{ij}(v)$  (see (13) in [28]) in presence of a background  $\hat{R}_a^0$  here,  $v_a$  there. This yields formally  $\epsilon_a = \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\epsilon_a = (3N-4)/(8(N-2))$ .  
[33] The coefficient in (6) and (7) becomes  $2.35(1 - \epsilon_a = \epsilon_c)$  with  $\epsilon_c = 2.04$ , and similarly for RA  $47.6(1 - \epsilon_a = \epsilon_c)$ ,  $\epsilon_c = 1.23$ , with a corresponding shift  $4 - d_{lc}(\epsilon_a) = (4 - d_{lc}(\epsilon_a = 0)) = (1 - \epsilon_a = \epsilon_c)$ . For  $\epsilon_a > \epsilon_c$  the scenario reverses (fig. 2 is flipped w.r.t. the  $\epsilon$ -axis). The FP, for  $\epsilon < 0$  and  $N < N_c$  are at  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $N > N_c$ . The bifurcation occurs entirely within the Ferro phase, and the QLRO branch survives above  $d = 4$ . This scenario would imply a F/D fixed point inaccessible to FRG, contradicting [21]. It is unlikely, since [32] suggests  $\frac{1}{4} \epsilon_a = \frac{1}{2}$ .  
[34] We thank G. Tarjus and M. Tissier for pointing out this important fact.  
[35] This is true only on one side of the multicritical FP. The other side, if accessible from physically realizable bare disorder, would correspond to a strong disorder regime.  
[36] The physics associated to a similar reentrant crossover for RM for  $d > 4$  is discussed in Appendix H of: L. Balents and P. Le Doussal, Annals of Physics **315** (2005) 213.