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#### Abstract

The developing structure in system s of com pacting ductile grains were studied experim entally in two and three dim ensions. In both dim ensions, the peaks of the radial distribution function were reduced, broadened, and shifted com pared with those observed in hard disk-and sphere system s. The geom etrical three\{grain con gurations contributing to the second peak in the radial distribution function showed few but interesting di erences between the initial and nal stages of the two dim ensional com paction. The evolution of the average coordination num ber as function of packing fraction is com pared $w$ ith other experim ental and num erical results from the literature. $W$ e conclude that com paction history is im portant for the evolution of the structure of com pacting granular system s .
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## I. IN TRODUCTION

The structure of $m$ ono-disperse granular $m$ edia is known to be very sensitive to the shape of the grains [1], grain interactions such as cohesion [2, 3], and assembling procedure [4]. Packing fractions as low as $c=0: 125$ have been found experim entally in 3D -system $s$ w ith high grain \{grain attraction [5], whereas dense system $s$ of sphericalgrains can be packed to $c>0: 65$ [6] by a carefiully selected tapping procedure.

H istorically, the structure of dense granularm edia was studied during the 1960 s and 70 s as a model of uids and am orphous $m$ aterials [7, 8]. Since then, the complex properties of granular structures have been studied in dense elastic packings w ith $m$ uch focus on com paction dynam ics [6, [0, 10, 11]. The densi cation of granular packings has a variety of applications, and in particular, it takes place in $N$ ature during the slow com paction of sedim ents [12] and the fast event of a landslide. G ranular com paction is also com m only studied in relation to pharm aceutical pow ders and $m$ etal industry [13]. In the com paction of sedim ents and pow ders, only the initial stages can be m odeled by the com paction of hard elastic grain ensembles. W hen the geom etrical structure is jam $m$ ed [14] (i.e., no grain can be geom etrically translated while all others rem ain xed), at packing fractions c 0:64, further com paction can only occur by grain deform ation [12]. For the com paction of sedim ents and the hot isostatic pressing of $m$ etal pow ders, grains deform plastically, thus deform ed regions are relaxed during the com paction.

The developm ent of a dense structure in a ductile gran-

[^0]ular ensemble will depend on grain properties such as friction and cohesion, as well as the deform ation procedure. In such ductile packings, the densest possible structure w ill depend on how pore space can be reduced at high packing fractions. At such packing fractions, unless the granular ensem ble is com pacted in vacuum, gas or uid occupying the pores $m$ ust be transported out or absorbed into the $m$ atrix for further com paction to occur. An example of such a densi cation experim ent is the experim ent by Stephen $H$ ales in the early 1700s [15], in which he lled a glass w ith dried peas and w ater. The peas absorbed water and eventually lled the container as an ensem ble of polyhedra. Very little is known about the structure of plastically deform ing grain ensem bles, although they are extrem ely im portant for a range of industrially and naturally occurring com paction processes.

H ere, we present a series of experim ents on ensem bles of com pacting ductile grains in tw o and three dim ensions. P ositions and coordination num bers have been $m$ easured, and structural $m$ easures such as the radial distribution function and distribution of coordination num bers were obtained. In particular, we were able to study the $10-$ cal geom etry that dom inates the peaks in the radialdistribution function, and the evolution of this geom etry w th packing fraction in two dim ensions. T he results are com pared to sim ilar ndings from the literature of both hard and ductile experim ental grain ensem bles, and to a num erical com paction $m$ odel ( R am page), developed to sim ulate the in itial com paction of sedim ents [16].
$T$ he follow ing tw o sections (II,III) presents the tw o and three dim ensional system $s$. T he discussion then follow s in section [V].

## II. THE TWO-D IM ENSIONAL SYSTEM

The two-dim ensional (2D ) system consisted of 1100 oriented cylinders, which were com pacted at constant rate. From im age analysis, the positions, coordination num bers, and pack ing fractions were found at regular intervals during the com paction.

## A. Experim ent

The 2D-setup consisted of ductile cylindrical grains, which were stacked in a Hele-Shaw cell, and uniaxially com pressed by a piston at a constant rate $v=1 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{h}$. $T$ he grainsw erem ade ofspaghetti, which had been boiled in water for 14.5 m inutes in order to render them soft and deform able. The grains' resistance to deform ation could be controlled by the boiling tim e. A fter boiling, the spaghettis were kept in a clear olive oil to avoid dehydration, then cut into cylinders of length 10 mm , and carefilly stacked in the container (Hele-Shaw cell). T wo sizes of grains were used, but in di erent sections of the m odel. For sim plicity, only the low er section of nearly 600 m ono-disperse grainsw as used in this analysis. T hese grains had (initial) diam eters of $d=\left(\begin{array}{cc}2: 8 & 0: 1\end{array}\right) \mathrm{mm}$.

Thew idth and height of the initialpacking wasw $=83$ mm and $\mathrm{h}=92 \mathrm{~mm}$, corresponding to 29 and 33 grain diam eters, respectively. T he initial height of the 600 low er grains was 55 mm , or 20 grain diam eters. D uring the stacking, olive oil was constantly added to the container so that the grains were im $m$ ersed in oil at all tim es. The oil, apart from preventing dehydration of the grains, also lubricated the walls so that friction did not restrain the com paction. T he depth of the Hele-Shaw cellw as 11 \{12 mm , to ensure a channelat the back of the stacked grains for the oil to escape through. T he oil thus did not a ect the com paction $m$ echanically. Figure 1 (a) ilhustrates the setup of the 2D experim ent, and F ig. contains a closeup of a region in the rst im age. P ictures were taken every 20 m inutes, and a total of 47 pictures w ere taken as the system com pacted at a speed of $v=1 \mathrm{~mm} /$ hour from an initial packing fraction of $c=0: 89$ to the nal $c=0: 99$. The cam era w as an A stroC am C apella, LSR L ife Science Resources, UK, w ith 30002000 pixels, 14 bit resolution. $T$ he spatial resolution $w$ as 23 pixels per $m \mathrm{~m}$.

> B. A nalysis (2D)

The geom etrical center position was obtained for all grains by im age analysis. In the rst im age, i.e., the im age taken im $m$ ediately after com paction started, the center of $m$ ass $w$ as found by the follow ing procedure: considering circles around a particular pixel, the radius of the circle that contained 5 pixels of intensity below a certain threshold was taken to be the shortest distance to the pore space from this particular pixel. The pixel w ithin a grain $w$ th the largest distance to the pore space


F IG . 1: Schem atic ilhustrations of the experim ental setups. h is the lling height, and $d$ is the grain diam eter in both 2D and 3D . (a) The quasitw o-dim ensional arrangem ent. W is the width of the container, and the piston is driven at constant velocity $v$. The grains were cylinders $m$ ade from spaghetti, and stacked such that their length was in the depth of the container. W hen view ed from the front of the container only the circular crossection of the grains w ere seen, of diam eter d. (b) T he three-dim ensional cylindrical ensem ble, consisting of spherical grains of diam eter $d m$ ade of $P$ laydoh. T he inner container diam eter is D.
was taken to be the center position of the rst im age, see Fig. Z for an ilhustration. N one of the disks were much deform ed at this stage, so looking for pore space in circular sections accurately determ ined the center position to a resolution of a fraction of a pixel for $m$ ost grains. H ow ever, due to re ected light from the H ele-Shaw cell, som e positions were only determ ined w thin a few pixels resolution. T he center ofm ass positions found in the
rst im age were traced by pattem recognition (norm alized cross correlation function [17]) in all the follow ing im ages, to a resolution of 1 pixel.

The grains were essentially incom pressible. D uring com paction, the average crossectional area A of each grain decreased 6\%, thus the grains elongated in the direction perpendicular to the im age plane. T he develop$m$ ent of the crossectional area was found $m$ anually for ten speci c grains in the rst and last im age. Of these ten grains, tw o representative grains were selected, and their areas were found (also $m$ anually) in every second im age throughout the experim ent. A best $t w a s m$ ade to the developing average area, as $A_{m}=a\left(1 \quad(m=b)^{2}\right)$, $w$ here $m$ is m age num ber, $a=3: 610^{3}$ square pixels, and $b=195$. The e ective grain diam eterd $(m)$ in each in age was then found as $d(m)=\overline{4 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{m}}=}$. F igure 3 show $s$ the grain diam eter, calculated from the average area of each im age, and the ective diam eterd ( $m$ ) (line) as functions of im age num ber $m$. By using the ective diam eter in all the analysis, the decreasing crossectional area does not a ect the geom etry and structural evolution during the com paction. Thus, the packing fractions and radial distribution functions can be com pared betw een im ages independent of the actual grain diam eters.


FIG. 2: C loseup of a grain in the rst im age. T he procedure of nding the grain center in the rst im age is illustrated: the two circles represent the largest distance from their center pixels at which a m axim um of 5 \dark" pixels are found (see text for details).

## 1. Packing fractions

The packing fraction cof the 2D system was obtained by V oronoianalysis of the position data from each im age. Only disks at a distance of $m$ ore than one grain diam eter from any boundary were used in the calculation to avoid unbounded [18] V oronoicells. The area $A_{\text {vi }}$ of the V oronoicell for each disk œenter iw as found, and as each such œell contains one disk, the local packing fraction $C_{i}$ was given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{i}=d^{2}=4 A_{v i} ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d$ is the average diam eter of a grain in the im age in question. The Voronoitessellation of a region around a certain grain is shown for the rst and last (dashed) im age in F ig. 4 (a), w ith grain centers m arked as bullets ( rst im age) and circles (last im age). The motion relative to the central grain is indicated by lines betw een the grain center positions in the rst and last im age. $F$ igure [4 (b) shows the cum ulative distribution $P(r)$ of distances betw een touching grains for the rst (curve A) and last (curve B) im ages. T he overall packing fraction based on the Voronoi cell division was $\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{hc}_{\mathrm{i}} i$. The 2D com paction spanned packing fractions from $\mathrm{c}=0: 89$ to $\mathrm{c}=0: 99$.


FIG. 3: The e ective grain diam eter, $d(m)$, (line) as finc-
tion of im age num ber, $m .()$ G rain diam eters, $d=\frac{1}{4 A=}$, calculated from the average of the $m$ easured area, $A$, of two grains.


FIG.4: (a) An exam ple of the V oronoistructure in a region of the rst and last (dashed) im ages, used in obtaining the local packing fractions $C_{i}$. T he tessellation of the last in age was translated so that the center of one cell lie undistorted. T he com paction direction is dow nw ard. T he cum ulative distributions of touching neighb or distances are show $n$ in (b), for the rst (A) and last (B, dashed) im ages. The average value of distances betw een touching neighbors is indicated by vertical lines for the rst and last (dashed) im age.

## 2. Radialdistribution function

The radialdistribution function ( RDF ) $g(r)$ is de ned by $g(r)=(r)=h$ i, where $\mathrm{h} i=\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{V}$ is the average num ber density of grain centers in a container of area $V$, and (r) is the average num ber density as a function of distance $r$ from a grain center. The expression for the
num ber density as $m$ easured from grain num ber $i$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underset{\mathrm{i}}{\mathrm{X}}(\mathrm{r})=\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{r})}{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{r})} ;  \tag{2}\\
& N_{i}(r)=r_{i f i} \quad\left(r_{i j}=r\right. \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $V_{n}(r)=2 r$ is the circum ference of a circle of radius $r$, and $N_{i}(r)$ is the num ber of grain centers at distance $r$ from grain $i$. $r_{i j}$ is the distance betw een grains $i$ and $j$, and is the $K$ ronecker delta, $=1$ for $r_{i j}=r$, and otherw ise zero. The average $i(r)$ overN grains gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
(r)=\frac{1}{N}_{i=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{N}}} \quad i(r) ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(r)={\frac{1}{\mathrm{Nh} \mathrm{iV}_{\mathrm{n}}(r)}}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{r}) ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $i$ is sum $m$ ed over allgrain centers of the sam ple. In a nite system the expression for $g(r)$ should be replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(r)={\frac{1}{N h ~ i V_{n}(r ; d r)}}_{i}^{X} N_{i}(r 2[r \quad d r=2 ; r+d r=2]) ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d r$ is the width of the shell, its size depending on the num ber of grains in the system and/or the uncertainty of the position $m$ easurem ents. P erturbations to $d r$ should not a ect $g(r)$ when the proper value ofdr is chosen, as the dependence of $N_{i}$ and $V_{n}$ on dr should cancel in the expression of $g(r)$. The expression for the circular shell becom es $V_{n}(r ; d r)=\left[(r+d r=2)^{2} \quad(r \quad d r=2)^{2}\right]=$ 2 rdr .
$N$ oting that the sum in $E q$. (6) over $N_{i}$ equals tw ioe the num ber of distances $n\left(r_{p} \mathrm{dr}\right)$ of lengths r 2 [ $\mathrm{r} \quad \mathrm{dr}=2$; $r+$ $d r=2]$ in the ensemble, ${ }_{i} N_{i}(r ; d r)=2 n(r ; d r)$, the expression for the RDF of a nite packing becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(r)=2 n(r ; d r) F(r)=\left(\mathbb{N} h i^{2} V_{n}(r ; d r)\right) ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F(r)$ is a nite size correction for the boundaries, as discussed below.

W hen Eq. 7) is used w ithout the norm alization $F$ (r), the boundaries of the ensem bles introduce nite size effects to the RDF of a sm all system. T hese nite size e ects result not only because the structure along the boundaries di er from the interior structure, but because the norm alization over circular shells of areas 2 rdr includes regions outside of the ensem ble. To avoid the latter source of errors, the norm alization function $F(r)$ is introduced based on the speci c rectangular geom etry of the ensemble. $F(r)$ is found as follows: In an in nite system, a grain in a position $R=(x ; y)$ would be surrounded by a circular shell 2 rdr independent of its position. In the nite system of a container, whether all
of the circular shell lies w ithin the container depends on the radius $r$ and the grain's position $R$. The fraction of circular shells of radius $r$ that partly lie outside of the container increases $w$ th $r$ and is a $m$ easure of the error done by disregarding the boundaries. Let the area of the container be $V$. T he integral over $V$ of all circular shell circum ferences 2 r w ith center positions inside the area of the container is 2 rV . Only a part of th is integral represents circum ferences that lie inside the container, thus in nom alizing the RDF of a nite system one should use this fraction instead of the 2 rV . A norm alization function $F$ (r) can be de ned as the ratio of the integrals of circum ferences in the in ${\underset{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{R}}}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{e}$ case to the n ite case:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(r)=\frac{R v^{2} r d^{2} R}{{ }_{v} A(r ; R) d^{2} R} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$A(r ; R)$ is the fraction of the circular shell of radius $r$ centered at $R$ that lies inside the container. For a rectangular container of $w$ idth $w$ and height $h$ the nom alization function is:

$$
\begin{align*}
F(r)=w h \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
w & 2 r
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{h} \quad 2 r
\end{array}\right)+ & \left(\begin{array}{ll}
1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
w+h
\end{array}\right) \\
& +r\left(\begin{array}{ll}
3=2 & 2
\end{array}\right)^{1}: \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

This correction procedure was previously used by M ason [19] for a di erent geom etry (3D sphericalensemble).

## 3. C oordination num bers

The coordination num bers $k$ (num ber of contacts per grain) were found from further in age analysis; a D elaunay triangulation based on the position data w as used to obtain the set of nearest neighbors of each grain. Each D elaunay neighbor connection was then investigated by a thresholding procedure to establish whether it was a touching neighbor: $T$ he intensity values of the array of pixels that form ed the shortest path betw een grain centers $i$ and $j$ showed a distinct dip whenever pore space was present betw een the grains. G rains were considered not touching if the dip was below $2 / 3$ of the intensity value of the grain face intensity. Figure 5 illustrates this procedure. G rains i and $j$, as seen in Fig. 5(a), are not touching as the $m$ inim um value of their center-to-center intensity plot is below $I=850 \quad 2=3=567$, as seen in (b). The intensity values was in the range I 2 [100;1000], where $I=100$ represented black. The typical di erence in intensity valuesbetw een open pore space and the internal of a grain was $I=500$. The coordination num ber distribution $P(k)$ w as found w th this procedure for all the im ages.
C. R esults (2D )

The radialdistribution functions for the rst and last stages of the experim ent are show $n$ in $F$ ig. 6. The structure at $c=0: 89$ show $s m$ any of the characteristic peaks


FIG. 5: The procedure of nding touching neighbors was based on the intensity values of the direct line betw een grain centers. (a) show s a sm all region of the initial com paction state, where i; j, and $k$ are grain centers. The lines i\{j and $i\{k$ are the direct lines betw een the centers, of which the intensities are plotted in (b) as curve A and B, respectively. $T$ he dip shown in curve $B$ was typical when grains did not touch.
of a triangularpacking ofdisks, exœpt that the peaks are broadened and shifted to shorter distances than those ofa crystalline packing. C rystalline correlationspccurring in triangular lattices of hard disks at $r=d=(1 ; \overline{3} ; 2 ; \overline{7} ; 3)$ are shifted to $r=d=(0: 958 ; 1: 695 ; 1: 915 ; 2: 580 ; 2: 875)$. In the RDF of the $m$ ore com pacted system of $c=0: 99$ the peak near $r=d=3$ has vanished, and the double peak near $\bar{P}^{\prime}$, 1:7 has becom e sm oother and broader. A lso the rst peak ( $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{d}=1$ ) is seen to broaden considerably as $m$ any neighbor distances at this stage are less than one e ective grain diam eter. T he inset of $F$ ig. 6 show $s$ the nite size correction function $F(r)$ for the rst im age, which is practically identical to the correction in the last im age.

The geom etrical structures associated w ith the peaks at $r=d=1: 695$ and $r=d=1: 915$ in the RDF are ilhustrated in Fig. 7 by a conditional three-point correlation function [20]. Figure 7(a) was generated by identifying all grain centers at distances $r=d 2$ [1:695 $0: 05]$ to each other in the packing, aligning each pair of such centers along the horizontal axis, and plotting the surrounding grain centers in the corresponding positions. The two
xed grain positions are show $n$ on the horizontal axis at the center of the gure, while the surrounding intensity structure visualizes the probability of having a third grain in any position relative to the two xed ones. The intensity w as norm alized by the num ber of pairs contributing to the plot. F igure 7(b) is generated in the sam em anner as (a), but for grains at a distance of $r=d 2$ [1:915 $0: 05]$ to each other. The upper half of (a) and (b) show s the probability distribution for the initial stage of the com paction ( $c=0: 89$ ), while the low er part show $s$ the distribution at $c=0: 99$. B lack represents a high probability of the third grain, and white represents zero probabil-


FIG. 6: The radial distribution function, $g(r)$, as a function of particle separation $r$ in the ductile 2D experim ent, for packing fractions $c=0: 89()$ and $c=0: 99($, bold line). The curves connecting the data points are produced by splines. The norm alization function for the 2 D setup, $\mathrm{F}(r)$, Eq. (9), is shown in the upper right comer (curve A) for the distances $r=d 2[0 ; 5]$. T he typical geom etrical con gurations that contribute to the peaks at $r=d 2 \quad[1: 695 \quad 0: 05]$ and $r=d 2$ [1:915 0:05] are illustrated.


FIG.7:V isual illustration of the probability of a third grain position for two grains at a given distance (a) $r=1.645\{1.745$ d , and (b) $\mathrm{r}=1.865\{1.965 \mathrm{~d}$. The upper part of the plots show the probability distribution at $c=0: 89$, while the low er give the distributions at $\mathrm{c}=0: 99$.
ty. The black circles $m$ ark the distance of one diam eter from the two xed grain positions. T he intensity pattem suggests a regular structure w ith obvious sym $m$ etries in the packing. At rst glance, the structure $m$ ight seem hexagonal, but this is due to the alignm ent of the two xed centers; $T$ he underlying structure is triangular. A $1-$ though the intensity plot of F ig. 7(a) does not prove the presence of the four-point con guration illustrated in $F$ ig. 6, closer inspection of the con gurations contributing to this peak (at $r=d=1: 695$ ) in the RDF shows that this con guration is dom inant for $r=d=1: 695$. Sim ilarly, the con guration of three grains in a row is seen to contribute


F IG . 8: The distribution $P(k)$ of coordination num bers $k$ as function of packing fraction $c$ in two dim ensions. Curves $A$ and $B$ are projections of $P(k)$ at $c=0: 89$ and 0.99 , respectively. C urves C , for P (5), and D, for P (6), are developm ents of $P$ (5) and $P$ (6) w ith increasing $C$.
m ost to the peak at $r=d=1: 915$ of the RDF, although the center grain is often slightly $m$ isplaced along the axis. $T$ he low er part of F ig. 7(a) contains a sm all probability of a third grain in betw een the two xed grains (barely visible in the gure), which is not seen in the upper part ( $c=0: 89$ ). This very com pressed alignm ent of three grains in a row em phasize the role of ductility of the grains, as this con guration is im possible in packings of hard disks.

The cum ulative distribution $P$ ( $r$ ) of distances betw een touching grains is show $n$ in $F$ ig. [(b). The average distance betw een touching grains decreases from the rst to the last im age, while the width of the distribution increases. The touching grains at distances larger than a grain diam eter are grains aligned perpendicular to the com paction direction, thus the ellipticity of grains increases, especially in the last com paction stage.
$T$ he coordination num ber distribution is show $n$ in $F$ ig. 8 as a three-dim ensional plot of the distribution P (k) as function of coordination num bers $k$ and packing fraction $c$. The distribution is broad at $c=0: 89$, and then narrows and shiffs to higher coordination num bers w th increasing packing fraction. At packing fractions $c=0: 906\{0: 918 \mathrm{a}$ few cases of grains $w$ th only two contacts are observed, but only one or two such grains are present at each packing fraction. C urves A and B in Fig. 8 are pro jections of the rst and last distributions of the experim ent, respectively. C urves C and D are pro jections of the fractions of ve and six coordination num bers w ith increasing packing fraction.

F igure 9 show $s$ the average coordination num ber hki as function ofpacking fraction in the tw o dim ensionalexperim ent. T he circle at coordinates $(1,6)$ represents the endpoint for 2 D system s , as a space lling structure (Voronoi cells) in 2D must have six neighbors on average [21].


F IG .9: M ean coordination num bers hki as a function ofpacking fraction $c$ for the tw o dim ensionalductile experim ent. T he circle represents the 2D space lling structure.

A lso shown are the data for two crystalline structures, the square lattice and the triangular lattice. W e observe that the evolution of the average coordination num ber in the ductile packing closely follow s a straight line betw een the square lattioe and the space lling V oronoistructure.
III. THETHREE-D IMENSIONALSYSTEMS

The three-dim ensional (3D ) system s analyzed here are six experim entalsystem s of com pacting ductile grain ensembles. These are com pared to $F$ inney's experim ent [4] on a random close packed ensemble of hard spheres ( $c=0: 6366$ ), from which the positions w ere kindly $m$ ade available to us. This ensem ble contained nearly 8000 grains in a square box, and the positions of the grains were $m$ easured to a precision of $02 \%$ of the grain diam eter. A num ericalm odelof a com pacting grain ensem ble is also presented, giving possible trajectories for com paction betw een packing fractions $c=(0: 50\{0.64)$.

> A. Experim ents

The 3D experim ental setup consisted of N ductile grains poured into a cylindrical $P$ lexiglas container, approxim ately ten at a tim $e$, to a height $h$. The set of grains w ere then left to com pact under gravity for a tim e $t$, or were m echanically com pacted to a speci c packing fraction. A lid covered the top of the cylinder during the experim ent to avoid dehydration, as the grains contain water and other volatile chem icals. Figure (b) i-
lustrates the setup of the 3D experim ents. The grains were prepared from $P$ lay-D oh (H asbro Int. Inc., UK) to spheres of diam eters $d=\left(\begin{array}{ll}8: 8 & 0: 2\end{array}\right) \mathrm{mm}$. Them aterial is viscous for shear rates in the range ( $100^{3}\left\{10{ }^{6}\right.$ )/s, w ith a viscosity of 310 Pas , as m easured in rotationaltests (P aarP hysica M CR 300, rheom eter). Except from the rst few $m$ inutes and the very end of an experim ent, the strain rate $w$ as $w$ thin this regime. Strain rates down to $10^{7} / \mathrm{s}$ w ere com m on after a week's com paction, but shear tests could not be perform ed at such low rates, and the $m$ aterial properties for these rates are not know $n$.

E ach experim ental system was disassem bled grain by grain while measuring positions and/or coordination numbers of the ensemble. The position coordinates ( $x ; y ; z$ ) of the grains' top point were established with a m echanical arm ( $M$ icroScribe) to an estim ated resolution of $0: 5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ( $6 \%$ of grain diam eter), lim ited by the di culty ofestablishing the center of the grain'stop surface. The num ber of touching neighbors (coordination num ber) w as also counted for each grain during the disassem blage. To ease this procedure, grains of ve di erent colors were used, as grains of di erent colors left m arks on each other in contacting areas. Before a grain was rem oved, the visibly contacting neighbors w ere counted. $T$ he grain was then rem oved, and any form erly hidden contacts becam e visible due to grain deform ation and/or dis-coloring. As a grain was rem oved, all its contacting grains that rem ained in the ensemble were carefully $m$ arked $w$ th a felt pen. Thus, the coordination num ber of a grain consisted of the num ber ofm arks on its upper surface, plus the num ber of visible and hidden contacts established during the rem oval of the grain itself. T he num ber of contacts that each grain had to the cylinder walland/or oorwas also recorded.

Six di erent three dim ensionalensem bles w ere studied: Two of them with 2200 grains in a cylinder of diam eter $D=130 \mathrm{~mm}$, the rest with 150 grains in cylinders of diam eter $D=32 \mathrm{~mm}$. The four sm all system s were prepared sim ultaneously, and left to com pact for di erent am ounts of tim e before each was disassem bled and the positions and coordination num bers of each system m easured. T he resulting packing fractionsw ere c $=0.64,0.64$, 0.66 and $0.68 \quad 0: 01$. T he sm all system size was desirable in order to avoid considerable com paction during the disassem blage for the short com paction tim es. O ne of the big system s was left to com pact for 145 hours before it $w$ as disassem bled, $m$ easuring the positions and coordination num bers of the grains. T he packing fraction went from 0.57 (based on lling height) to 0.701 during the com paction in this ensemble. D ue to a calibration error $w$ ith the $m$ echanicalarm, only the positions of 787 grains in the $m$ id to low er part of the cylinder w ere successfiully $m$ easured, while the coordination num berw as established for 1169 grains. T he second large system w as com pacted to a packing fraction of $c=0: 75$ by gently pushing a piston from the top of the cylinder. C om pacting the system to such high packing fractions would otherw ise have required $m$ onths of gravitational com paction. O nly the
coordination num bers were $m$ easured in this ensemble, for 839 central grains.

## B. The Finney en sem ble

Finney [7] carefully $m$ easured the positions of nearly 8000 m ono-disperse spheres in a box of square crossection. T he spheres were steel ball-bearings of diam eters $1 / 4$ inch, which positions were $m$ easured to $0: 2 \%$ of the grain diam eter. T he central 817 grains were used in this analysis, as these grains had a $m$ inim um distance of ve grain diam eters to any boundary, thus no corrections to the RDF was necessary to $r=d=5$. B ased on this selection, the radialdistribution function and coordination num bers w ere found.

## C. N um erical com paction m odel

A num ericalm odel (Ram page, [16]) was used to sim ulate dry granularm edia. It consisted of random ly placing one thousand $m$ ono-disperse spherical grains of diam eter $d$ in a container of square cross-section. P eriodic boundary conditions applied in the horizontalplane. G rainsw ere random ly positioned untila packing fraction of c $=0: 5 \mathrm{w}$ as obtained. N o considerations about overlapping particles w ere done during this initial lling procedure. T he overlaps w ere then reduced by repositioning grains according to a force balance based on the elastic energy of the overlap region, and gravity. A n overlap of volum $e V_{0}$ resulted in an inter-grain force $f$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}=\frac{\mathrm{Y} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{o}}}{2 \mathrm{~d}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $Y$ is Young's m odulus of the $m$ aterial. W hen gravitationally unstable, a grain would drop or roll until a gravitationally stable condition was obtained. This com paction process went on until a prede ned toleration threshold of average overlap distance lwas reached ( $1=\mathrm{d}<0: 005$ ), while the ensemble was gravitationally stable. At this point further com paction was obtained by tapping, an increm ental (< 0:001h) vertical re-positioning dow nw ard of each particle (at height $h$ ), follow ed by the sam e procedure of reducing overlaps and reaching gravitational equilibrium . P acking fractions of stable num erical ensem bles were in the range $c=0: 55\{$ $0: 64$. This m odel was originally developed for the modeling of sedim ent com paction, and is described in detail in Ref. [16]. T he $m$ odel reproduces statistically $F$ inney's ensemble at c $=0: 636 \mathrm{w}$ th respect to the RD F , the 0 ordination num ber distribution and the distribution of contact angles, see $R$ ef. [16].
D. A nalysis (3D )

## 1. Packing fractions

In three dim ensions, the packing fractions were com puted from the position $m$ easurem ents for all the cases in which these were available, which were $m$ ost of the ductile experim ents, the $F$ inney ensemble, and the num erically generated ensem bles. B oxes of variable square cross-section $l^{2}$ and height $h$ equal to the height of the packing were centered in the granular ensem ble for calculation of the packing fraction. For each of these boxes $j$, the packing fraction was $C_{j}(1)=N_{j} V_{g}=V_{b j}$, where $N_{j}$ is the num ber ofgrains contained in the box, $V_{g}=d^{3}=6$ is the average volume of a grain, and $V_{b j}=l^{2} h$ is the volume of the box. G rains that partially intruded the box, i.e., when their center position was less than one grain diam eter from the box boundary, also contributed to the num ber of grains in the box. The overallpacking fraction cofan ensem ble was obtained by averaging over $\mathrm{n}=10$ boxes in distances $0.5\{1.5$ grain diam eters $d$ from the boundary:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\frac{1}{(n+1)}_{j=0}^{X^{n}} C_{j}\left(l_{0}+j l\right): \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l_{0}$ is the side of the box in a distance 1.5 grain diam eters from any boundary, and $\mathrm{l}=\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{n}$. In the nu$m$ erical $m$ odel, the total volum e of tw o touching grains was sm aller than two grain volum es if the grains overlapped. The overlap volume was not assum ed to be transported to the pore volum e, as this would e ectively change the structure of the packing, thus the calculation of the packing fraction $m$ ust account for the excess overlap volum es in the packing. This was done by reducing the sphere radiiby the $m$ ean overlap distance in the packing, thus reducing the volum e of the grains before calculating c .

## 2. Radial distribution function

The radialdistribution function in three dim ensions is given by Eqs. (6) and (7), but w ith $V_{n}$ as the volum e of a spherical shell of radius $r$ and $w$ idth $d r$ in 3D: $V_{n}=$ $4\left[(r+d r=2)^{3} \quad(r \quad d r=2)^{3}\right]=3=4 r^{2} d r+(d r)^{3}=3$.

Equation (6) was used in the calculation of the RDF in the $F$ inney ensem ble, as an ensem ble average was m ade only over the central 817 grain positions to avoid boundary e ects. These grainswere all in a minim um distance of vegrain diam eters from any boundary, thus the RDF is not a ected by boundaries up to $r=d=5$. In order to calculate the RD Fs of the large ductile ensem ble ( $c=0: 70$ ) , Eq. (7) wasused, as the statistics of the whole ensemble was needed for a su ciently detailed RDF to be found. A nite size correction function $F(r)$ was introduced for the ductile ensem ble in the sam em anner as
for the 2D system, Eq. (8). In 3D, A ( $r$; $R$ ) is the fraction of the spherical shell area (radius $r$, center position at $R=(x ; y)$, height $z)$ which is inside the cylindrical container. $T$ he resulting correction function is

$$
F(r)=\frac{4^{2} r^{2} R^{2} h}{R_{m} \text { in }(h ; r)\left(I_{1}\right.} \begin{align*}
& \left.I_{2}\right) d z \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{1}=2^{2} r(h \quad z) R^{2} ;  \tag{13}\\
& I_{2}=2_{0}^{Z} 4 r\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{h} & \mathrm{z})(\mathrm{R} \quad \mathrm{ux}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \arccos \frac{2 x R \quad u\left(x^{2} \quad 1\right)}{2(R \quad u)} d x ; \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

and $u=P \overline{r^{2}} z^{2} . F(r)$ is presented in the inset of Fig.10. $R$ is here the length ofR, i.e., the radialdistance of grain center from the cylinder axis. T hew idth of the spherical shells w ere chosen so that the standard error of distribution from the $m$ ean was less than tw o per cent of the RDF, for the second peak. This gave the follow ing resolutions of the RDFs; dr=d $=0: 1$ (ductile ensemble) and $d r=d=0: 02$ (Finney).

## 3. C oordination num bers

C oordination num bers in three dim ensions w ere found experim entally for the ductile ensem bles, as described in section IIIA. A s the grains in contact to the walls were know $n$, the distributions of coordination num bers for the ductile ensem bles are based only on the intemal grains. In the second of the large ensembles ( $c=0: 75$ ), only grains at a $m$ inim um distance of three grain diam eters from any boundary w ere included. For the $R$ am page and $F$ inney ensem bles, the coordination num bers w ere based on the position data. In the R am page ensem bles grains in a distance less than one grain diam eter apart were considered touching, as the m odel allow s sm all overlaps betw een grains. In the analysis of the $F$ inney ensem ble, grains w ere considered touching if the center to center distance $r$ was less than 1.02 grain diam eters. The choice of this distance was based on the average contact num ber obtained when di erent r's were assum ed for touching neighbors: If grains were assum ed touching only when their center to center distance was 1 d or less, hki = 0:9. hki rapidly increased as the assum ed distance for touching grains increased, and at 1.02 d , the average coordination num ber was 6.72 . A lso, at 1.02 d , all grains (except for one) had at least three neighboring grains at distances closer or equal to $r=d=1: 02$, which is the stability criteria in 3D for grains shielded by granular bridges.


F IG. 10: The radial distribution functions for an ensem ble of ductile grains (, bold line) and for the Finney ensemble of dry, hard grains (thin line). The bold line was obtained by splines to the data. T he inset show s the norm alization function $F(r)$, see Eq. [12), (curve A) used to correct for the 3D nite size e ects for the ductile system, in the region $r=d 2[0 ; 5]$.

## E. Results (3D )

To calculate RDFs, the center positions of the grains m ust be known, whereas in the 3D ductile experim ents the positions of the top points of the grains were $m$ easured. A though the top points are not the shifted positions of the grain centers, they were used in calculating the RDF, as they represent correlations betw een speci $C$ points in the ensemble in the sam e way that the center positions do. A lso, it should be directly com parable to the RDFs of hard granular ensembles, as in these the top positions are truly linear translations of the center positions. The detailed structure of an ensemble can only appear in the RDF if there is a su cient am ount of grains in the ensem ble. O nly the large ductile system contains enough grains to capture the m ain features of the RDF, thus unfortunately, we can only com pare the ductile system at a packing fraction of $c=0: 70$ to the Finney ensem ble ( $c=0: 636$ ), and only at a resolution of $\mathrm{dr}=0: 1 \mathrm{~d}$. The norm alized RD F of the ductile ensem ble is shown in $F$ ig. 10, as is also the RDF of $F$ inney's ensemble for dry granularm edia. From the gure, we see that the ductile ensem ble is much less ordered than the hard granular ensem ble, as all peaks and valleys in the RDF are sm all com pared to the Finney RDF. We also note that the RDF of the ductile packing has a value for distances $s m$ aller than one grain diam eter, which is not surprising, as this re ects the grains' ability to deform and thus obtain distances closer than one grain diam eter. W e see few er clear peaks for the ductile ensem ble than for the $F$ inney ensem ble, as deform ed grains broaden the peaks. The split second peak in the RD F of the F inney


FIG.11: The distribution of coordination num bers for the di erent ductile ensem bles, as function of packing fraction. $T$ he curves A $\{F$ are splines to the data points of the packings at packing fractions $c=0.64,0.64,0.66,0.68,0.70$, and 0.75 , respectively.
ensem ble is not present in the ductile ensem ble.
T he coordination num ber distributions for the ductile system $s$ are presented in F ig. 11. T he distributions shift to higher coordination num bers as the density increases, as is to be expected. O ne interesting observation is that tw o of the distributions for the sm all ensem bles contain grains of a coordination num ber as low as three. This is possible for grains that are shielded by granular bridges, which are also found in the $F$ inney and $R$ am page packings to a sim ilardegree ( $1: 6 \%$ ofthe intemalgrains). D ue to the cohesion betw een the ductile grains, the e ect of granular bridging $w$ as expected to be $m$ ore dom inant in the looser ductile structures than in the Finney and $R$ am page structures, which is not the case. For the denser ductile structures ( $c>0: 64$ ) all grains have coordination num bers larger than three, but the w idth of the distributions rem ain the sam e w ithin 4\% . Figure 12 show s the $m$ ean coordination num bers as a function of packing fraction for the ensembles presented in this paper, and also for a few cases from the literature. T he com paction of the ductile grain ensembles ( lled circles) start in the neighborhood of the random close packed $F$ inney ensem ble (diam ond), and then hki increases with c. The rst
ve points on this curve w ere obtained from gravitationally com pacted ensem bles, thus their com paction process is the sam e. T he last point, at $c=0: 75$, w as obtained by $m$ echanical com paction of a piston, and therefore does not necessarily represent the natural evolution of the gravitational ensem bles. T he num erical model (Rampage) evolved along the curve represented by open circles in F ig. 12 , during one sim ulation. It ends up also in the neighborkood of the $F$ inney ensem ble. $N$ ote that the


FIG.12: M ean coordination numbers hki as a function of packing fraction c for several kinds of packings: The ductile ensem bles ( ), the F inney ensemble ( ), R am page ensem bles ( ), com pacting bronze pow der [13] ( ), com pacted plasticine [22] (N), hard ellipsoid ensem ble [1] ( + ). The data for som e crystalline structures of hard spheres are show $n$ for reference: Sim ple cubic ( ), B ody centered cubic ( ), and Face centered cubic ( ).
trajectory of the $R$ am page packing does not seem to be continued by the ductile ensem bles, as the curves have di erent slopes at $c=0: 64$. A nother ductile ensemble from the literature is presented in the gure (asterisks): $F$ ischm eister et. al [13] conducted com paction experi$m$ ents on bronze pow der by die com pression at various pressures. The com pacted ensem ble w as forced open after com pression, and the num ber ofcontacts and porosity $m$ easured. For the sm allest packing fraction, the pow der $w$ as sintered in order to m ark the contact points of a non-com pressed ensem ble. T he data at higher packing fractions w ere com pacted at pressures of $02,0.4,0.6,0.8$ and 1.0 GPa , respectively. The pow der had an initial size distribution of $250\{315 \mathrm{~m}$. The packing of hard ellipsoids [1] is $m$ arked as a plus sign in Fig. [12, and the crystalline ensem bles of sim ple cubic, body centered cubic and face centered cubic are plotted as various types of squares.

## IV . D ISC U SSIO N

That the shape of the grains is an im portant param eter for the structure is evident from the experim ent by D onev et al. [1], who studied the coordinations in an ensem ble of ellipsoids and found a $m$ ean coordination num -
ber of 9.82 in an ensem ble of packing fraction $c=0: 685$. $T$ his value is much larger than that found in spherical ensem bles at sim ilar (but lower) c. The com paction of hard spherical grains to higher densities than the random close packed (rcp) value ( $c=0: 63\{0.64$ ) [8, 23]] occurs by increasing the am ount of crystalline regions. $P$ ouliquen et al. [24] obtained a strongly crystalline packing ( $c=0: 67$ ) from $m$ ono-disperse glass spheres by horizontal shaking and slow lling of a container. H ow ever, handling hard spheresw ithout ne-tuning the assem bling procedure com m only results in values around $\mathrm{c}=0: 64$. The com paction of deform able grains allow s larger packing fractions to be obtained than hard grain ensembles do, because the shape of individual grains can change. W hereas the crystallization of com pacting hard grains would show up in the RDF, the evolution of the RDF during com paction is not given in ductile ensembles, as they $m$ ight com pact by structural ordering or grain deform ation. Shape changes from that of a sphere or a disk are expected to cause disordering (e.g., there are no crystalline arrangem ent of pentagons). There are space lㅡ ing crystalline structures (squares, pyram ids), but these are very unstable under any geom etricalperturbation, as $m$ ore than three edges $m$ eet at each vertex of the structure.

The radialdistribution functions in two and three di$m$ ensions as they were studied here, were both seen to lose som e of the structure associated w ith hard grain arrangem ents as high packing fractions were approached. TheRDF in 2D num erical hard disk ensem bles has been show n [25] to develop its structure considerably through packing fractions $c=0: 3\{0.83$. The split second, the third, and the fourth peaks were seen to develop between $c=0: 7\{0.83$, and the RDF at $c=0: 83$ resem bles the RDF of the 2D ductile experim ent in the initial stage ( $F$ ig. 6). O ur 2D ductile system, when further com pacted, gives less distinct splitting of peaks, and the RD F thus loses som e of its detailed structure tow ard $\mathrm{c}=0: 99$ (see Fig. 6). Interestingly, we saw that the peak at $r=d=1: 695$ corresponded to a cluster of four grains in the con guration shown in Fig 6 in the initial part of the experim ent, the sam e con guration that contributes to the peak at $r=d=\overline{3}$ in hard disk ensem bles. Thus, the in itialpart of our experim ent is dom inated by sim ilar con gurations as in hard disk ensembles, but w ith shortened distances. At the end of our experim ent, though, severaldi erent con gurations contribute to this peak in the RDF, thus there are im portant structural changes com pared to hard disk packings.

A sim ilar evolution is expected for 3D ensembles; A certain packing fraction m ust be reached for locally ordered grain arrangem ents to occur, and for details in the RDF structure to em erge. W hen grain deform ation becom es im portant for further com paction, the RD F structure becom es less distinct ( $F$ ig. 10) . T his destructuring should become in uentialin the 3D ductile grain ensem bles at packing fractions close to the random close packing, $c^{\prime} 0: 64$, assum ing that frictional foroes betw een the
grains prevent rearrangem ents. The RDF of the ductile 3D system at $c=0: 70$ has no clear peaks after $r=d=3$, and hasm uch sm aller and broader peaks than that of the 2 D system at $\mathrm{c}=0: 99$. This di erence could be due to the extra degree of freedom introduced by the third di$m$ ension, or possibly the di erences in rheology betw een the $m$ aterials used in 2D and 3D, and how they react to the $w$ ay they were com pacted. A lso a size distribution of the grains would tend to broaden the peaks in the RDF, but the size distribution is sim ilar for our 2D and 3D experim ents ( $3 \%$ and $2.3 \%$, respectively).

T he evolution of the coordination num ber distribution suggests geom etricalordering to take place in the 2D ductile system. T he distribution narrow s considerably, and only the num ber of grainsw ith six contacts increases, see Fig. 8. G rains w ith only tw o contacts occur for a lim ited range of packing fractions $c=0: 906\{0.918$, and these are due to shear $m$ otion, during which tw o neighboring ordered regions align. In three dim ensions, the evolution of the coordination num ber distribution is not as distinct. The average, hki, increases, but the width of the distribution stays practically constant during com paction. $T$ he reason for this could be that the 3D ductile system s are not as com pacted as the 2D system is, thus a m arked narrow ing of the coordination num ber distribution $m$ ight not take place until larger packing fractions are reached. A lso, the $m$ ean coordination num ber in 2D has a maxi$m$ um of six, while no established $m$ axim um exists in 3D. Thus, the distribution ofk in 2D must narrow as the system gets denser, whereas the 3D system does not have this strict constraint.

In 2D, the evolution of the average coordination num ber hki increased w ith packing fraction $c$ tow ard the theoretical value hki $=6$, which applies to 2D space lling structures (Voronoi cells). At the end of the 2D experim ent, 1\% of pore space rem ained, and according to F ig. 9, the increase in average coordination num ber m ust be steep for c in the range $0.99\{1$. The evolution of hki follows the straight line betw een the square lattice ( $h k i=4$ ) and the space 17 ing structure ( $\mathrm{hki}=6$ ) up to $c=0: 97$. A though an increase of hkiw ith cwas expected, the linear evolution was not. T he dom inant crystalline structure is triangular from the start of the experim ent, and regions of dense triangular structures ( $k=6$ ) were thought to develop rapidly during the initial com paction.

3D deform able grains will approach a space lling structure of polyhedra w ith increasing packing fraction. This structure is not necessarily the packing's Voronoi structure, as the interfaces betw een neighboring grains then would have to be norm al to the center-to-center distance. H ow ever, the statistical features of the V oronoi structure m ight be applicable at $\mathrm{c}=1$. $\mathrm{N} \circ$ theoretical value exists for the average coordination at $c=1$ in $3 D$, but a value around 13.6 w as found by Bemal [22] based on an experim ent with com pacted plasticine. The Voronoi tessellation of hard sphere packings at di erent packing fractions have show $n$ that the average num ber of sides
of a Voronoi cell, hf i, decreases w ith increasing packing fraction [26, 27]. From Voronoi analysis of the ductile ensemble at $\mathrm{c}=0: 70$, we nd that hfi $=14: 5$, and presum e that hf $i$ in the ductile ensem bles at $c=1 \mathrm{~m}$ ust be below this value. At a packing fraction of $c=1$, all the faces of the polygon $m$ ust be touching a neighbor, thus hfi $=\mathrm{hki}$ at $\mathrm{c}=1$. T his suggests that had the ductile ensem bles been allow ed to com pact to $\mathrm{c}=1$, their average coordination num ber would be less than 14.5 , and possibly close to Bemal's experim ental value of 13.6.

How the Voronoi cell structure changes during com paction $m$ ust depend on the com paction procedure. If the packing is com pacted isom orphically, the relative positions of the grains are xed w ith respect to each other, and the Voronoistructure is constant. For grains on the square lattice, the Voronoi cells would rem ain squares throughout an isom onphic com paction, thus hki=4 for allc. A random initialpacking, or a non-isom onphic com paction procedure, would instead result in an increase of the average coordination num ber tow ard hfi. Thus the trajectories a com pacting system $m$ akes in the ( $h k i ; c$ )plane is not xed, but is expected to depend on initial con guration and com paction procedure. A s seen from Fig. 12, the ductile P lay-D oh ensem ble com pacts in a self-com pacting trajectory (apart from the last point on the curve), which evolves di erently than the com pacted bronze pow der. T he bronze pow der was com pacted by increasing uniaxial pressure, while our system sustained constant uniaxial pressure of gravity. A lso, the Ram page $m$ odel of com paction has a tra jectory leading into the region where the ductile com paction starts, but has a di erent slope at $c=0: 64$ than the tra jectory of the ductile packings. The di erent com paction procedures $m$ ight be an explanation for these di erent trajectories in the (hki; c)-plane.
$H$ ard spherical ensembles can rather easily be compacted to the rqp value, c' 0:64, and are well represented by Finney's packing in the ( hki ; C)-plane. This point $m$ ight be fairly com $m$ on for spherical ensem bles, considering that both the R am page m odel, and two of the ductile ensem bles plot in the im $m$ ediate neighborhood. Looser initial con gurations $m$ ight be obtained in packings ofhigh cohesion or friction, which w ould change the starting point of the com paction in the ( $k k i ; c$ )-plane. $T$ hat the bronze pow der of $R$ ef. [13] plots at a higher hki than the rop is thought to be due to the wide size distribution of grains, and the sintering procedure used. The hard elliptical grain ensem ble [1] was assem bled in the sam e way as the Finney packing, but produced a m uch higher packing fraction and average coordination num ber. The ability of the grains in the ductile packing to change their shape does not, how ever, take the ductile packing into the ellipsoid value ofhki= 9:8 untilc $>0: 75$ for the $P$ lay-D oh ensem bles, and $c$ 0:9 for the bronze pow der (see Fig. 12) . H ence, the initial grain shape is an im portant factor for the initial com paction state in (hki; c)-plane.

## V. CONCLUSION

W e have perform ed com paction experim ents on twoand three-dim ensionalpackings ofm ono-disperse ductile grains, and studied the evolution of their structure with increasing pack ing fraction. T he radialdistribution finction and coordination num ber distribution were found for both system s . T he radialdistribution function developed broader and sm oother peaks, seem ingly loosing ordered structure in both 2D and 3D.The 2D localcon guration around grains in distances $r=d=1: 695 \quad 0: 05$ revealed that while $m$ ainly one con guration contributed to the corresponding peak in the initial RDF, two con gurations contributed to the sam e peak at the most com pacted stage of the experim ent. T he coordination num ber distribution narrow s considerably in the 2D-packing during the com paction, while no such narrow ing is seen in the 3D-system. The average coordination num ber in 3D evolves beyond that of hard sphericalensem bles, and its evolution is discussed in relation to other granular ensembles, as the com paction procedure and intial grain
shape seem $s$ to be im portant param eters for the com paction tra jectory in the (hki; c)-plane.
$T$ his is a new approach to the study of com paction in granular ensem bles, which em phasizes the im portance of grain ductility on the evolving structure. Further work is necessary to understand the im portance of com paction procedure, initial grain shape, and reology for the nal structure. In particular, 3D in-situ experim ental investigations of evolving geom etry during com paction would give a strong contribution to the understanding of how local structure depends on com paction procedure.
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