The Therm odynam ic G lass Transition in Finite D im ensions

M.A.Moore¹ and J.Yeo²

¹School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, Manchester M 13 9PL, U.K.

²Department of Physics, Konkuk University, Seoul 143-701, Korea

(Dated: April 14, 2024)

U sing an elective potential method, a replica form ulism is set up for describing supercooled liquids near their glass transition. The resulting potential is equivalent to that for an Ising spin glass in a magnetic eld. Results taken from the droplet picture of spin glasses are then used to provide an explanation of the main features of fragile glasses.

PACS num bers: 64.70 Pf, 75.10 Nr, 75.50 Lk

Structural glasses have been studied extensively at mean-eld level by relating them to in nite-range pspin spin glass models [1]. The dynamical equations of these spin glass models are sim ilar to those of the modecoupling theory of liquids [2]. These equations predict a dynam icaltransition at a tem perature T_d below which an ergodicity breaking occurs. In the in nite-range p-spin glass models, a discontinuous therm odynam ic transition also takes place at a tem perature T_K and is usually identi ed with the Kauzmann glass transition [3]. $T_{\rm K} < T_{\rm d}$. It is expected that outside the mean-eld limit, there will be no genuine dynam ical transition at T_d due to the fact that the metastable states which trap the system dynamically are unstable in nite dimensions because of activation processes over the nite free-energy barriers which separate the m etastable states. How ever, it is com m only assumed that the therm odynamic glass transition T_K could still exist in principle in nite dimensional systems. This paper is essentially an investigation of this question.

W e start by constructing an e ective H am iltonian H [q] for supercooled liquids near the putative therm odynam ic glass transition in nite dimensions. We nd that H [q] is given by the same generic functional which describes the one-step replica sym metry breaking transition [4] for the p-spin spin glass model. Our derivation is based on the e ective potential method developed by Franz and Parisi [5] for spin glass models. A similar e ective H am iltonian to ours was used in R efs. [6] and [7] for structural glasses, but not explicitly derived.

The next step is the elucidation of the properties of H [g]. There are two coupling constants in the theory, w_1 and w_2 and at mean-eld level, the therm odynam ic transition is discontinuous (rst order) if their ratio $w_2=w_1$ is greater than one and continuous when less than one. There are arguments for a growing lengthscale in structural glasses as the temperature is reduced ([1], [7], Ref. [6] and references therein, and B ouchaud and B iroli [8]) and experimental evidence for a lengthscale of several interparticle diam eters already exists [9]. We feel that it is more natural to study parameters appropriate to the continuous transition rather than the rst-order transition in

order to explain a growing lengthscale. M ost studies of p-spin m odels have focussed on parameters which generate the rst-order transition to the one-step replica symmetry breaking state, simply because in that situation one also has a mechanism for explaining the dynamical transition $T_{\rm d}$. However, it is clear from our derivation of the p-spin functional that the q in it is not simply related to density uctuations so our functional cannot be used to directly study dynamical elects in density correlations functions. In our view, they are best determined by mode-coupling theory [2]. Our p-spin functional is appropriate only for the study of the putative glass transition at $T_{\rm K}$.

Numerical studies have been performed on a p-spin model with p = 3 by Parisi et al. [10], which at mean-

eld level has a continuous transition [11], (because for it the ratio $w_2=w_1 < 1$). They found a rapidly growing lengthscale in this p-spin model as the temperature was reduced and an attempt was even made to obtain its critical exponents in four dimensions. Moore and Drossel [12] later showed that the continuous transition, if any, would be in the same universality class as the Ising spin glass in a eld { the transition usually referred to as the de Almeida-Thouless (AT) transition [13]. However, it has been argued that the AT transition does not actually exists for systems whose dimensionality is less than six [14], but instead there is a crossover line in the

eld-tem perature phase diagram where the growing correlations between the spins saturate. The value of this lengthscale can be estimated from droplet scaling arguments [15]. In the numerical study of Parisi et al. [10] the largest system size studied was of linear dimension six lattice spacings and if the correlation length is larger than the size of the system then the crossoverwould bok like a phase transition. In the work of M oore and D rossel [12] the lengthscale in the (three-dimensional) M igdal-K adano approximation saturated at around 28 lattice spacings at low temperatures. We shall also show using droplet ideas borrowed from spin glasses how this growing lengthscale can produce dynamical behavior of the Vogel-Fulcher type [16].

The calculations start with de ning an overlap $p_{c}(r) =$

 $_{1}$ (r) $_{2}$ (r) between two con gurations of density uctuations = h i in two copies of the liquid. By averaging over the density con gurations of the rst copy while holding the density con gurations in the second copy xed at xed values of the overlap p(r) we obtain a constrained partition function

$$Z [p_{c}(\mathbf{r}); 2(\mathbf{r})] = h (p_{c}(\mathbf{r}) - 1(\mathbf{r}) - 2(\mathbf{r}))i_{1}: (1)$$

The elective potential is given by the average of the free energy with respect to the density congurations in the second copy: $[p_c(r)] = Thln Z [p_i; _2]i_2$. In order to perform the average of the logarithm, we use the replica method and write $ln Z = \lim_{n \ge 0} (Z^n = 1)=n$. Using the integral representation of the delta function in (1), we can write the elective potential as the following averages over _2 and _1 (= 1; ;n):

$$[p_{c}(r)] = T \qquad \begin{array}{c} Z & Y & D & X & Z & \# \\ [p_{c}(r)] = T & \frac{D}{2} & \exp i & dr & (r)p_{c}(r) \\ * * & " & X & & \# + + \\ & \exp i & dr_{1}(r)_{2}(r) & (r) & (2) \end{array}$$

We evaluate the above averages over $_1$ and $_2$ by making successive cumulant expansions. The resulting integral can be expressed in terms of the liquidstate correlation functions G (r_1 ; r_2) = h (r_1) (r_2)i, G (r_1 ; r_2 ; r_3) = h (r_1) (r_2) (r_3)i, etc. K exping terms up to O (3), we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} p_{c}(\mathbf{r}) \end{bmatrix}^{\prime} \quad \mathbf{T} \quad \mathbf{T} \quad \frac{\mathbf{D}}{2} \quad \exp \quad \mathbf{i} \quad \mathbf{dr} \quad (\mathbf{r}) p_{c}(\mathbf{r}) \\ \mathbf{r} \quad \mathbf{r} \quad \mathbf{r} \quad \mathbf{r} \quad \mathbf{r} \quad \mathbf{r} \quad \mathbf{r} \\ \exp \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad \mathrm{d1d2} \, \mathrm{G}^{\,2} \, (1;2) \quad (1) \quad (2) \quad \mathbf{r} \\ \exp \quad \frac{\mathbf{i}}{6} \quad \mathrm{d1d2d3} \, \mathrm{G}^{\,2} \, (1;2;3) \quad (1) \quad (2) \quad (3) \\ \exp \, \mathrm{O} \, (\frac{4}{3}; \frac{2}{3}; 2); \quad (3) \end{bmatrix}$$

where 1;2; denote the position $\operatorname{vect}_2 \operatorname{pr}_2 \operatorname{pr}_2 \operatorname{pr}_2$. Now we de neq (r) = (r) (r) for $\operatorname{\mathfrak{G}}$ and write the e ective potential as a functional integral of the elds q. We insert the following identity inside the above expression:

$$1 = Dq \qquad \frac{Du}{2} \qquad (4)$$

$$2^{<} \qquad < \qquad 3$$

$$exp^{4}i \qquad dru (r) (q (r) (r) (r))^{5}:$$

D ue to the coupling between and u , the integration over generates various terms in u . For example, to the low est order, the quadratic term in u com es from joining two pairs of 's in the two u vertices. Therefore the coe cient of the quadratic term in u involves, to the low est order, the square of the -propagator K (1;2)satisfying

Ζ

d3K
$$(1;3)$$
 G² $(3;2) = (12)$: (5)

There are, of course, other contributions to the O (u^2) term, which come from the higher order vertices in . These just renormalize its coe cient. Two kinds of cubic terms in u are generated, namely, $Tr\,u^3$ and u^3 . The coe cients of these terms will contain in general the contributions from the higher order vertices in . K eeping up to the cubic order in u, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} Z & Y & Z & Y & Du \\ T & Dq & \frac{Du}{2} & (6) \\ \\ exp & i & dru & (r)q & (r) \\ \\ exp & \frac{i}{2} & X & Z \\ \\ exp & \frac{i}{2} & d1d2d3 A & (1;2;3)u & (1)p_{c} & (2)p_{c} & (3) \\ \\ exp & \frac{1}{2} & d1d2 B & (1;2)u & (1)u & (2) \\ \\ exp & \frac{i}{6} & d1d2d3 C & (1;2;3)u & (1)u & (2)u & (3) \\ \\ exp & \frac{i}{6} & d1d2d3 D & (1;2;3)u & (1)u & (2)u & (3) ; \\ \\ exp & \frac{i}{6} & d1d2d3 D & (1;2;3)u & (1)u & (2)u & (3) ; \\ \end{bmatrix}$$

where we dropped the term s in p_c which do not couple to u . The coe cients A;B;C and D are given, to the lowest order, by

B (1;2) ' K² (1;2); (8)

D (1;2;3) '
$$dr_i G^2 (4;5;6)G^2 (7;8;9)$$
 (10)
 $i=4$
K (1;4)K (1;7)K (2;5)K (2;8)K (3;6)K (3;9):

Finally we integrate over u to obtain the e ective H am iltonian in term s of q as follows. First note that in addition to the usual quadratic term in_pq , there are cubic terms in q in the form Trq^3 and q^3 with real coe cients. We also note that the terms involving p_c couple to q in the form $p_c^{2k}q^{k^0}$ with integers k and k^0 . The resulting expression gives the e ective potential $[p_c]$ in terms of a functional integral over the elds q. Since the value of the overlap is determined from the condition $= p_c = 0, \, p_c \, (r) = 0$ is always a solution and describes the liquid phase. We take the e ective H am iltonian H [q] for supercooled liquids as

 $[p_c = 0]$ $[p_c = 0]$

$$H[q] = \frac{2}{dr} \frac{n}{2} \frac{c}{c} \frac{X}{2} (r q (r))^{2} + \frac{t}{2} \frac{X}{2} q^{2} (r)$$
$$\frac{w_{1}}{6} Trq^{3}(r) \frac{w_{2}}{3} \frac{X}{4} q^{3} (r) : (11)$$

The coe cients $c;t;w_1$ and w_2 will be functions of the temperature and density of the liquid, with smooth dependence on them. The study of the rst-order transition which occurs at mean-eld level requires the inclusion of terms quartic in the q elds, but these are 'irrelevant' variables at the presum ed continuous transition in nite dimensions and they will be dropped. At mean-eld level, the transition is continuous if $w_2=w_1$ 1 [4]. In the pspin replicated functional of Eq. (11) the coe cients in it have contributions from all the higher order cum ulants of the density etc.. Fortunately as we are studying a continuous transition, their num erical values will only e ect non-universal features of the putative transition.

The therm alaverage hq i is non-zero even above any supposed transition (when one goes beyond the mean-

eld approximation) because of the term in w_2 in the H am iltonian of Eq. (11). As a consequence the only possible continuous phase transition is one which breaks the replica symmetry of the high-temperature phase. This transition was shown in Ref. [12] to be in the same universality class as that for the transition from the param – agnet to the spin glass phase in a eld, rst discussed by de Almeida and Thouless [13]. The Ham iltonian of an Ising spin glass in a eld is

$$H = \begin{array}{ccc} X & X \\ J_{ij}S_iS_j & h & S_i: \\ {}_{\langle ij \rangle} & i \end{array}$$
(12)

The couplings J_{ij} are quenched random variables connecting (usually) nearest-neighbor sites. The Edwards-Anderson order parameter is $q = \frac{1}{i}hS_ii^2=N$ and in zero

eld becom es non-zero below $T_{\rm s}$, the critical tem perature of the zero- eld spin glass transition. U sing the replica m ethod one can set up a functional analogous to that for structural glasses in Eq. (11) for the spin glass H am ilternian [17]. It di ers only in that the cubic tem $(w_2=3)$ q³ is absent but there is instead a linear term h^2 q . Now when the tem perature T is in the vicinity of the them odynam ic structural glass transition' $T_{\rm K}$, we expect it to be well below $T_{\rm s}$. At such tem peratures w_2 and h^2 can be related by noting that the cubic term could be approximated by w_2 hq^2 iq . hq^2 i will be independent of and as we are working in the replica

symmetric phase, and only slowly varying as a function of temperature so then h^2 / w_2 . Then because w_2 is a function of the temperature and density of the liquid, h^2 in the Ising spin glass analogue will also be a temperature and density-dependent quantity.

The question then of whether there is or is not a structural glass transition then turns on whether there is an AT line in the spin glass analogue. This has been controversial in the past, but num erical [18] and experim ental data [19] favors its absence in three dimensional spin glasses and we further argued in Ref. [14] that for all dimensions d < 6 there would be no AT line. We shall henceforth take it that there is no AT line and hence that there is no therm odynam is glass transition for three dimensional system s. How then can we explain the phenom ena norm ally associated with the glass transition?

The key to understanding this point is to realize that even if there is no AT line there can be a growing lengthscale as the temperature is reduced. The lengthscale is analogous to the 'mosaic' lengthscale of other authors [1, 6, 7, 8], but its physical origin is dierent. In our case, the lengthscale is equal to the size of the droplet in the spin glass analogue which is typically ipped by the application of the eld h. To ip a region of size L in the low-temperature phase of a spin glass typically costs an 'interface' free energy of order (L = (T)), where

(T) is the correlation length associated with the spin glass transition in zero-eld and is an exponent typically 0.2 [15]. Each droplet has a magnetic moment of order $\bar{q}L^{d=2}$ so in a eld of magnitude h the domains are of size L , where

$$(L = (T))$$
 $p - (L)^{d=2}h;$ (13)

in order that the energy gained by ipping a droplet in the eld h just balances the interface free energy cost. This result for L should be valid provided L > (T).

In the droplet picture the free-energy barrier which has to be sum ounted in order to $\$ ip a region of size L is A (L = (T)) , where A is of order of the critical temperature $T_{\rm s}$ [15]. The basic relaxation time $\$ in the system is of A rhenius form :

$$_{0} \exp [A (L = (T)) = T]:$$
 (14)

A las, the value of in three dimensional spin glasses is very poorly determined, with quoted values varying widely.

When T T_s , (T) will be a slow ly varying function of tem perature and of order of the interparticle spacing. q will also then be nearly tem perature-independent. If w_2 is only weakly tem perature dependent, L will be approximately tem perature independent and will then be of the simple A mhenius form. This will be the case then of strong glasses. For fragile glasses we expect that

$$w_2 h^2$$
 (T T); (15)

so that w_2 appears to be going to zero at T_0 . We stress that the elective value of w_2 probably will never vanish, since even if the bare coellicient did go to zero the higher terms in the functional H [g] which break the timereversal invariance will make its elective value non-zero so Eq. (15) is just a simple phenom enological way to obtain a growing lengthscale. Then

$$_{0} \exp \frac{h}{(T T_{0})^{\frac{1}{d-2}}};$$
 (16)

where C denotes a constant. It is clear that this has sim ilarities to the Vogel-Fulcher [16] form for structural relaxation times in the supercooled liquid:

$$_{0}\exp\frac{h}{T}\frac{c}{T}\frac{i}{T_{0}}:$$
 (17)

Note that we have proceeded on the assumption that the exponent for the supercooled liquid and the spin glass are the same. In principle our mapping between liquids and spin glasses is explicit only for equilibrium 'quantities and might not extend to dynamical features. Note that the droplet formula for of Eq. (14) is only expected to hold when L is much larger than the typical distance between the molecules of the glass, and experiments might not have reached the region where it has become valid; in other words the simple exponent of the Vogel-Fulcher t might re-

The simulations of Parisi et al. [10] show that the entropy per spin went to zero apparently as $s_c(T)$ $\mathbb{T}_{\!\!K}$), although no data was obtained close to k_B (T T_K and without a genuine transition the entropy is unlikely to ever vanish completely. A similar behavior is found for the con gurational entropy per particle in fragile glasses. W hat is striking about the simulations is that the tem perature T_K was very close to the tem perature T_0 at which the correlation length was apparently diverging (the di erence was less than 1%). One feature common to fragile glasses is that for m ost of them T_0 extracted from the Vogel-Fulcher t is close to the K auzm ann tem perature $\ensuremath{T_K}$, the tem perature at which the extrapolated entropy of the supercooled liquid apparently falls to that of the crystalline phase. The ratio $T_K = T_0$ lies between $0.9\{1.1 \text{ form any glass form ers am ongst which } T_K \text{ ranges}$ from 50 K to 1000 K [20]. Since we are arguing that there is no genuine transition but only a crossover, the ${\tt T}_0{\,}'$ obtained from the timescale $\,$, the ${\tt T}_0$ of Eq. (15) and T_K need not be precisely the same.

W e should like to acknow ledge the hospitality of the K orea Institute for A dvanced Study during the prepara-

tion of this paper. We are indebted to $D rs G \cdot B$ iroli and J-P Bouchaud for their comments and insights.

- [1] T.R.Kirkpatrick and P.G.Wolynes, Phys.Rev.A 35, 3072 (1987); T.R.Kirkpatrick and D.Thirum alai, Phys. Rev. B 36, 5388 (1987); T.R.Kirkpatrick and P.G. Wolynes, Phys.Rev.B 36, 8552 (1987).
- [2] W. Gotze and L. Sjøgren Rep. Prog. Phys 55241 (1992);
 W. Gotze in Liquid, Fræzing and the Glass Transition, edited by J. P. Hansen, D. Levesque and J. Zinn-Justin, (Elsevier, New York, 1991); J. P. Bouchaud, L. F. Cugliandolo, J. Kurchan and M. Mezard in Spin Glasses and Random Fields, edited by A. P. Young, (W orld Scientic, Singapore, 1997).
- [3] W .Kauzmann, Chem. Rev. 43, 219 (1948).
- [4] M.E.Ferrero, G.Parisi and P.Ranieri, J.Phys. A 29, L569 (1996).
- [5] S.Franz and G.Parisi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 2486 (1997);
 J.Phys. I (France) 5, 1401 (1995).
- [6] M. Dzero, J. Schmalian and P. G. Wolynes, condmat/0502011.
- [7] S.Franz, J.Stat. Mech. P04001 (2005); S.Franz, condm at/0507585.
- [B] J.P.Bouchaud and G.Biroli, J.Chem. Phys. 121, 7347 (2004).
- [9] L. Berthier, G. Biroli, J-P. Bouchaud, L. Cipelletti, D. ElMasri, D. L'Hote, F. Ladieu, and M. Piemo, Science 310, 1797 (2005).
- [10] G. Parisi, M. Picco and F. Ritort, Phys. Rev. E 60, 58 (1999).
- [11] M.A.M oore and J.Yeo, unpublished.
- [12] M.A.Moore and B.D rossel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 217202 (2002).
- [13] J.R.L.de A lm eida and D.J.Thouless, J.Phys. A 11 983 (1978).
- [14] M .A.Moore, J.Phys.A 38, L783 (2005).
- [15] A.J.Bray and M.A.Moore, in Glassy Dynamics and Optimization, edited by J.L.van Hemmen and I.Morgenstern, (Springer, Berlin, 1986); D.S.Fisher and D. A.Huse, Phys.Rev.Lett. 56, 1601 (1986); Phys.Rev. B 38, 386 (1988); W.L.McMillan, J.Phys.C 17, 3179 (1984).
- [16] For recent papers see P.G. D ebenedetti and F.H. Stillinger, N ature 410, 259 (2001); M.A. Ediger Annual Rev. Phys. Chem. 51, 99 (2000).
- [17] A.J.B ray and S.A.R oberts, J.P hys.C 13, 5405 (1980).
- [18] A.P.Young and H.G.K atzgraber, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 207203 (2004).
- [19] J. M attson, T. Jonsson, P. Nordblad, H. Aruga Katori and A. Ito, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 4305 (1995).
- [20] C. A. Angell, Jour. Res. N IST, 102, 171 (1997); R. Richert and C. A. Angell, J. Chem. Phys. 108, 9016 (1998).