E xactly solvable $m$ odel for isosp in $S=3 / 2$ ferm ionic atom $s$ on an optical lattice
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#### Abstract

W e propose an exact solution of a m odeldescribing a low energy behavior of cold isospin $S=3 / 2$ ferm ionic atom $s$ on a one-dim ensional optical lattioe. D epending on the band lling the e ective eld theory has a form of a deform ed $G$ ross $-N$ eveu $m$ odel with either $O$ (7) $Z_{2}$ (half lling) or $\mathrm{U}(1) \quad \mathrm{O}(5) \quad \mathrm{Z}_{2}$ sym $m$ etry.


A though high sym $m$ etries do not occur frequently in nature, they deserve attention since every new sym $m$ etry brings w ith itselfa possibility of new physics. A ccording to [1], the $S O$ (5) and $S O$ (7) sym $m$ etries can be realized $w$ ithout ne-tuning of param eters in lattice models of isospin-3/2 ferm ions w th a contact interaction. An experim entalrealization w as found in [2], where a four com ponent gas of ferm ionic $K^{40}$ atom swaskept in an optical trap for 50 seconds. In this letter we w ill lim it ourselves to one dim ensions where the corresponding $m$ odels happen to be exactly solvable. T he appropriate $m$ odel is the one-band generalized H ubbard m odel [1, [3]
where and m take values $=3=2$; $1=2$ and $\mathrm{m}=$ $0 ; 1 ; 2$. The singlet (total spin $S_{T}=0$ ) and quintet ( $S_{T}=2$ ) pairing operators $\mathrm{P}_{0 ; 0}^{+} ; \mathrm{P}_{2 ; \mathrm{m}}^{+}$are de ned through the $C$ lebsch-G ordon coe cients

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{F} ; \mathrm{m}}^{+}(\mathrm{i})=\mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{~h} \frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2} ; \mathrm{F} ; \mathrm{m} \frac{3}{j} \frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2} ; i^{+}(\mathrm{i})+{ }^{+}(\mathrm{i}) ;
$$

where $F=0 ; 2$ and $m=F ; F+1 ;:: E$. In [1] it was show $n$ that this $m$ odel, together $w$ ith its continuous version, have a precise $U$ (1) $S O$ (5) sym $m$ etry at arbitrary lling and SO (7) sym $m$ etry at half lling.
It was dem onstrated later that, at least in the low energy lim it, the total sym $m$ etry of these $m$ odels is greater being $U(1) O(5) \quad Z_{2}$ and $S O$ (7) $\quad Z_{2}$ respectively [4, 5]. Follow ing the standard procedure (see, for exam ple, [6]), at tem peraturesm uch sm aller than the Ferm ienergy one can linearize the ferm ionic spectrum in the vicinity of the right and left Ferm i points and to arrive to the e ective eld theory description. If the band lling is incom mensurate, the charge sector decouples from the other degrees of freedom at low energies. In this lim it the $H$ am iltonian can be decom posed into a sum of the charge and the spin part $H \quad H_{\text {charge }}+H_{\text {spin }}$, where the charge sector is described by the G aussian model. All nontrivial physics is concentrated in the spin H am iltonian. It has SO (5) $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$
sym $m$ etry. The four-ferm ion interaction can be written as a sum of products of the $\mathrm{SU}_{1}$ (4) currents of the right and left chirality [b], though its sym $m$ etry is low er than SU (4). To $m$ ake the true sym $m$ etry $m$ anifest one can em ploy the nonlinear transform ation of elds suggested in [7] and rew rite the H am iltonian density in the spin sector in term sof six species of $M$ a jorana ferm ions (the bosonized form of this H am iltonian was obtained in [4]):

where g $\left(\mathrm{U}_{0}+\mathrm{U}_{2}\right) ; g_{\mathrm{x}} \quad 3 \mathrm{U}_{2}+\mathrm{U}_{0}$. O ne can nun few sim ple checks to justify that above $m$ odel does represent the continuum lim it of (1). First, the interaction in (2) has the right scaling dim ension (in other words, this is a m odelw ith four-ferm ion interaction). Second, at tem peratures $m$ uch higher than the spectral gap but $m$ uch sm aller than the bandw idth, when the interactions can be neglected, the tw o $m$ odels have the sam e speci cheat. $T$ his corresponds to $m$ odel (2) having the right ultraviolet central charge $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{UV}}=3$. T hird, m odel (2) has the sym $m$ etry predicted in [5] (the $Z_{2}$ sym $m$ etry corresponds to $0!\quad 0)$. In the $\lim$ it $g=g_{x}$ the $O(5) Z_{2} m$ odelbecom es the $S O$ (6) $S U(4) G$ ross $N$ eveu ( $G$ N ) m odel. This lim it is also contained in originalm odel (11) (5]. At half
lling, due to the Um klapp processes, the charge m ode is not decoupled. T herefore the entire e ective $H$ am iltonian is given by Eq. (2) w ith seven degenerate M a jorana ferm ions instead of ve (the $O$ (7) $\mathrm{Z}_{2} \mathrm{~m}$ odel).

The $O$ (5) $Z_{2}$ (Eq. (2) and $O$ (7) $Z_{2}$ models (fiurther dow n we refer to them as $(5+1)$ and ( $7+1$ ) m odels) have nontrivialdynam ics for $g>0$, when they scale to strong coupling and spectral gaps are generated. As eld theories they exist in two lim its, both corresponding to integrable $m$ odels [8]. O ne lim it, associated to the largest sym m etry ( $\mathrm{SO}(6)$ or $\mathrm{SO}(8)$ ), is realized when $g=g_{x}$. The corresponding exactly solvable $m$ odel is the $S O(2 N)$ $(\mathbb{N}=3 ; 4) \mathrm{GN}$ m odel [9, $10,11,12]$.

In this letter we discuss the exact solution of the anisotropic version of the $m$ odels $w$ ith $g g_{x}$. M ost
of the calculations are conducted for the (5+1) case; at the end of the paper we brie y discuss the $O$ (7) $Z_{2}$ generalization. W e construct the exact solution using the bootstrap procedure as described, for instance, in [11]. N am ely, guided by the sym $m$ etry of the $m$ odel and the perturbation theory resultsw e suggest the tw o-particlesm atrix. In integrable m odels, where m ulti-particle collisions are representable as a sequence of independent tw oparticle ones (factorizability), such S-m atrix contains all inform ation about the spectrum and the them odynam ics, as well as o -shell properties, such as correlation functions. The two-particle $S-m$ atrix $m$ ust satisfy the crossing, unitarity and the Y ang-B axter conditions [13]. The latter one is a condition of associativity of the algebra of creation (annihilation) operators. This condition, being an overcom plete system of equations for the S-m atrix elem ents, is very restrictive. O nes such solution is found, one has to com plete the bootstrap process by calculating the free energy and com paring it w ith the perturbation theory for the $m$ odel at hand.

A s we shall see, the bootstrap solution we obtain does not contain the fully symmetric (O (6) or O (8)) lim it. $T$ his suggests that the symm etric lim it is unstable and cannot be reached asym ptotically from the exact solution of the asym $m$ etric $m$ odel. This conclusion is supported by the analysis of the renom alization group ( $\mathrm{R} G$ ) equations. The RG equations for the $O(2 N+1) \quad Z_{2} m$ odelare [4, 8,14$]$

$$
\begin{align*}
& g=(2 N \quad 1) g^{2} g_{X}^{2} ; \\
& g_{X}=2 N g_{X} g: \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

They have the follow ing RG invariant: $C=1 g^{2}$ $\left.g_{x}^{2}\right)=j_{x} \int_{j}^{b} ; b=(2 N \quad 1)=N$. From this it follow s that the distance from the symmetric line $j g g_{x} j$ $j \operatorname{lj} \operatorname{lig}_{\mathrm{x}} j^{(N \quad 1)=\mathrm{N}}$ does not decrease for all $\mathrm{N} \quad 1$ under the increase in $g_{x}$ rendering this line unstable.

The integrability of the $O(2 N+1) \quad Z_{2} \mathrm{~m}$ odel is a natural extension of the $\mathrm{N}=1$ case studied in detail in $R$ efs. 15,16 . In that case the two-particle $S-m$ atrix has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{3+1}=\left[\hat{S}^{S U(2)}\right] ; \quad 1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ; are in and out spinon indices, ()$=(e$ i) $=(e+i)$ and $\hat{S}^{S U(2)}$ is the S-m atrix of SU (2) Thirring $m$ odel [11, 17]. A n im portant check of the validity of this $S-m$ atrix is that the $T$ hem odynam ic $B$ ethe ansatz equations constructed from it yield the correct UV central charge $c_{3+1}=2$ and particle $m$ ultiplicity.
$T$ he $S-m$ atrix for the $m$ odel [2] was outlined in [8] as a generalization of the $(3+1)$ case. In this letter we give further details of this solution. T he suggested $S$-m atrix
has the follow ing form:

$$
S_{5+1}=@ \begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \hat{S}_{\mathrm{VV}} & \hat{S}_{\mathrm{SS}} \\
\hat{\mathrm{~S}}_{\mathrm{vs}} & \hat{\mathrm{~S}}^{1}  \tag{5}\\
\hat{\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{ss}}} & \hat{\mathrm{~S}}_{\mathrm{s} 0} & A
\end{array}:
$$

$T$ he indices $s$ and $v$ labelm assive kinks belonging to the 4-dim ensional spinor representation and vector particles (associated to the originalferm ions a ( $a=1 ;::: 5)$ ). The $k$ ink's $m$ ass is $M_{s}$; the vector particles have $m$ asses $M_{v}$. In the present $m$ odel they are not bound states of kinks. $T$ here is an additional singlet particle (corresponding to the original oferm ion) w th m ass $\mathrm{M}_{0}$. All m asses are expenentially sm all in the bare coupling constants. Since there are only tw o couplings in the theory, $M_{s} ; M_{v}$ and $M_{0}$ are not independent, though to determ ine this dependence one needs a m icroscopic derivation. As one m ight have expected, the M a jorana ferm ion 0 acquires a nontrivial phase factor by scattering on a kink:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{S_{\mathrm{s} 0}}()=() \quad ; \quad()=\frac{e^{3} i}{e^{3}+i} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

( ; are in and out kink indiges) and has a trivial S$m$ atrix $w$ th the other vector particles. The rest of the notations are as follow s. $\hat{S}^{v v}, \hat{S}^{v s}$ and $\hat{S^{s s}}$ are $O$ (5) S$m$ atrices of vector and spinor particles. They can be w ritten as a sum of projectors, $\hat{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}}}$, that $m$ ap the tensor product of tw o representations onto the irreducible representation labeled a. For instance, the spinor S-m atrix has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{S}_{\mathrm{ss}}()=S_{\mathrm{ss}}() \hat{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{asym}}}+\frac{+i=3}{i=3} \hat{P}_{\mathrm{v}}+\frac{+i}{i} \hat{P_{0}} ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{\mathrm{P}_{0}} ; \hat{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{v}}} ; \hat{\mathrm{P}}$ asym represent projectors onto singlet, vector and antisym $m$ etric tensor representations. The form of the prefactors, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ss}} ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{sv}} ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{vv}}$, can be in ferred from the kemels (15) via

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a b}()=\exp \quad \frac{\mathrm{Z}}{!} e^{3 i!=} Y_{a b}(!) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for the vector particles can be found in $R$ ef.s [9, 11. For the spinor particles we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{\mathrm{SS}}()=f()=f(\quad) ; f()=  \tag{9}\\
& Y^{\mathbf{Z}} \quad \frac{(2+3 k+3 i=2)(4+3 k+3 i=2)}{(5=2+3 k+3 i=2)(7=2+3 k+3 i=2)}
\end{align*}
$$

It has no poles on the physical sheet, thus, as we have already $m$ entioned, spinors do not create bound states.

The Bethe ansatz equations associated with the S$m$ atrix (5) are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{\operatorname{Mn}_{0} L \sinh u_{a}}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
)^{n_{0}+n_{v}}{ }^{p_{s}} \\
S_{s 0}\left(u_{a} \quad{ }_{b}^{(s)}\right)
\end{array}\right. \\
& \text { b= } 1
\end{aligned}
$$

where the numbers $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{v}}>\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{v}}$ and $\mathrm{n}_{0}$ correspond to physical particles (spinors, $O$ (5) vector and singlet M ajorana ferm ions respectively) and vanish in the ground state. The functions $e_{n}$ have the form $e_{n}(x)=(x+$ in $=3)=(x$ in $=3)$ and the auxiliary particles satisfy the eigenvalue equations for the $O$ (5) transfer $m$ atrix (12]

Taking the continuum lim it ofEq.s (10, 11) and follow ing the standard $m$ ethods we obtain the them odynam ic $B$ ethe ansatz (TBA) equations for the $(5+1) \mathrm{m}$ odel. T he free energy is

$$
\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a=}=0 ; \mathrm{siv}}^{\mathrm{X}} \frac{\mathrm{M}_{a}}{2} \mathrm{Z} \cosh \ln \left[1+e^{a()=T}\right](12)
$$

where the dressed energies a $(a=0 ; v ; s)$ satisfy the nonlinear integral equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0()=M_{0} \cosh \quad T s^{(1=2)} \quad \ln \left[1+e^{s()=T}\right]  \tag{13a}\\
& s()=M_{s} \cosh +T Y_{s s} \quad \ln \left[1+e^{s(1)=T}\right]+T Y_{s v} \quad \ln \left[1+e^{v()=T}\right] \quad T s^{(1=2)} \quad \ln \left[1+e^{0()=T}\right] \\
& \mathrm{T} a_{n}^{(1=2)} \quad \ln \left[1+e^{n_{n}^{(s)}=T}\right]  \tag{13b}\\
& \mathrm{v}\left(\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{v}} \cosh +\mathrm{T} Y_{\mathrm{vv}} \quad \ln \left[1+\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{v}()=\mathrm{T}}\right]+\mathrm{T} Y_{\mathrm{sv}} \quad \ln \left[1+\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{s}(1)=T}\right] \quad \mathrm{T} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad \ln \left[1+\mathrm{e}^{(\mathrm{v})}=\mathrm{T}\right]\right. \tag{13c}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ln \left[1+e^{(s)=T}\right] \quad A_{n ; m}^{(1=2)} \quad \ln \left[1+e^{(s)}=T\right]+s^{(1=2)} \quad A_{n ; 2 m}^{(1=2)} \quad \ln \left[1+e^{(v)}=T\right]=a_{n}^{(1=2)} \quad \ln \left[1+e^{s=T}\right]  \tag{14a}\\
& \ln \left[1+e^{e_{n}^{(v)}=T}\right] \quad A_{n, m} \quad \ln \left[1+e^{(v)}=T\right]+\quad A_{n, m}=2 \quad \ln \left[1+e^{(s)}=T\right]=a_{n} \quad \ln \left[1+e^{v=T}\right] \tag{14b}
\end{align*}
$$

These equations can be solved num erically to get a detailed description of the them odynam ics. For the purposes of this paper it will be su cient to study asym ptotics of the free energy at large and sm all tem peratures. In the above equations $R$ stands for the operation of convolution $f \quad g()=\operatorname{duf}(u) g(u)$ and all kemels are related to the Fourier in ages as $f()=$
${ }^{R} \frac{d!}{2} f(!) \exp { }^{h}$ 3i! $^{i}$. The kemels in Fourier space are

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y_{s s}(!)=\frac{e^{2 j!j}}{4 \cosh (3!=2) \cosh (!=2)}  \tag{15}\\
& Y_{s v}(!)=\frac{e^{j!j}}{2 \cosh (3!=2)} ; Y_{v v}(!)=\frac{\cosh (!=2) e^{j!} j}{\cosh (3!=2)} 1  \tag{1}\\
& \mathbb{a}_{n}(!)=e^{n j!j ; s(!)=1=2 \cosh !; \quad \sim(!)=\frac{\cosh (!=2)}{\cosh !}} \\
& \widetilde{A}_{n m}(!)=\operatorname{coth} j!j e^{j n m i j!j \quad e^{(n+m) j!j}}
\end{align*}
$$

and we used the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{(1=2)}(!)=\Psi^{\sim}(!=2): \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

At M $0=0\left(g_{x}=0\right) \mathrm{m}$ odel (2) decouples into one $m$ ass-
less M a jorana m ode and the O (5) G N m odel. A s usual, we expect that the $m$ assless $m$ ode does not appear in the B ethe ansatz for $m$ assive particles. $W$ e observe that in this lim it the above TBA equations coincide with the ones derived in [18] for the $O(2 N+1) G N m o d e l$ for the case $N=2$. The dressed energies $0, ~ v, ~ s$ correspond to $\mathrm{N} ; 1, \mathrm{~N} 1 ; 0, \mathrm{~N} ; 0$ of [18]. This is an im portant selfconsistency check of our solution. P lease note that while N ; 1 was an auxiliary particle in the O (5) G N m odel, in ourm odel $\left(M_{0} \in 0\right)$ it is a physical particle.
$W$ th the TBA equations one can run two additional checks. First, by calculating the free enerogy at $\mathrm{T} \gg \mathrm{Ma}_{\mathrm{a}}$ (for this end one can use the results of [18]) one nds the universal asym ptotics $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{U} \text { v }} \mathrm{T}^{2}{ }^{2}=6$ such that at $M_{0} \not 0$ one gets $C_{U V}=3$. This is the correct asym $p-$ totics for a theory of 6 M a jorana ferm ions. O n the other hand the $\mathrm{T} \ll \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{a}}$ lim it reproduces another asym ptotics

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=L=T_{a}^{X}{r_{a} M_{a}^{Z}}_{2}^{2} \cosh \quad e^{M_{a} \cosh }=T \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th $r_{s}=4 ; r_{v}=5 ; r_{0}=1$ being the correct particle m ultiplicities.

The generalization for the $(7+1) \mathrm{m}$ odel is straightforward. Here we have 8 kinks, 7+ 1 vector particles and 28 tensor particles. T he S-m atrix has the sam e block structure as (5), but w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
7()=\frac{e^{5} \quad i}{e^{5}+i} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and an additionalblock $S_{t t}$ for the tensor particles. The blocks $S_{\mathrm{vv}}$ and $S_{\mathrm{tt}}$ coincide w ith the vector and tensor $S$ m atrices of the O (7) G N m odel and are described in 18]. $T$ he spinor block $S_{s s}$ is sim ilar in its form to (7) w ith
$=3$ substituted by $=5$. It does not have poles since the vector particles are not bound states of spinors.

The spin sector at arbitrary lling and the entirem odel (1) at half lling are quantum liquids. A way from half
lling the corresponding order param eters and the phase diagram are described in [4, 5]. At m oderate forw ard scattering there are tw o phases corresponding to $D$ ensity W ave or the super uid state of the BCS type. They are distingushed by the sign of coupling $g_{x}$ which does not a ect the excitation spectrum. Both these phases have power law correlations and are separated by the Ising type $Q$ uantum $P$ hase transition. G eneralizing the argum ents given in [4], we conclude that for half lling there are also tw o phases. Just one of them has an order param eter local in term s of the ferm ions: it is $2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}=$ C harge Density $W$ ave (CDW). It condenses at $g_{x}<0$; at $g_{x}>0$ the order param eter is nonlocal corresponding to a hidden (topological) order.
$T$ he fact that the $O$ (6) ( or $O$ (8)) sym m etry is broken down to $O$ (5) $Z_{2}$ (or $O$ (7) $Z_{2}$ ) will play a role for the correlation functions. Indeed, the $O(6)$ and $O$ (8) groups have tw o irreducible spinor representations and $O$ (5) and

O (7) have just one. A s it was show $n$ in [19], in the O (2N ) G N m odel right and left $m$ oving ferm ions transform according to di erent spinor representations $m$ aking it im possible to have a nonzero $G$ reen's function containing right and left ferm ions. H ow ever, since the $O$ (8) sym $m$ etric point in model (2) is unstable, the num ber of kinks in the exact solution is the sam e as for the $O$ (7) group, which has just one irreducible representation. T herefore the $G$ reen's function of the right and left ferm ions $m$ ay be non zero. It is logical to assum e that it does exist in the dim erized phase corresponding to $g_{x}<0 . W$ e intend to discuss this issue in subsequent publications.
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