Exactly solvable model for isospin S= 3/2 ferm ionic atom s on an optical lattice

D. Controzzia, and A. M. Tsvelikb

<sup>a</sup> International School for Advanced Studies and INFN, via Beirut 4, 34014 Trieste, Italy,
<sup>b</sup> Condensed M atter Physics and M aterials Science Department,
Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973-5000, USA
(Dated: December 30, 2021)

We propose an exact solution of a model describing a low energy behavior of cold isospin S=3/2 ferm ionic atoms on a one-dimensional optical lattice. Depending on the band lling the elective eld theory has a form of a deformed Gross-Neveu model with either O(7)  $Z_2$  (half lling) or U(1) O(5)  $Z_2$  symmetry.

A Ithough high sym m etries do not occur frequently in nature, they deserve attention since every new sym m etry brings with itself a possibility of new physics. A coording to [1], the SO (5) and SO (7) sym m etries can be realized without ne-tuning of parameters in lattice models of isospin-3/2 ferm ions with a contact interaction. An experimental realization was found in [2], where a four component gas of ferm ionic K  $^{40}$  atoms was kept in an optical trap for 50 seconds. In this letter we will limit ourselves to one dimensions where the corresponding models happen to be exactly solvable. The appropriate model is the one-band generalized Hubbard model [1, 3]

where and m take values = 3=2; 1=2 and m = 0; 1; 2. The singlet (total spin  $S_T$  = 0) and quintet ( $S_T$  = 2) pairing operators  $P_{0;0}^+$ ;  $P_{2;m}^+$  are de ned through the C lebsch-G ordon coe cients

$$P_{F,m}^{+}$$
 (i) =  $\frac{X}{h_{2}^{2}} \frac{3}{2}$ ; F;  $m_{1}^{2} \frac{3}{2} \frac{3}{2}$ ; i + (i) + (i);

where F = 0;2 and m = F; F + 1;::F. In [1] it was shown that this model, together with its continuous version, have a precise U (1) SO (5) symmetry at arbitrary lling and SO (7) symmetry at half lling.

It was dem onstrated later that, at least in the low energy lim it, the total sym metry of these models is greater being U (1) O (5)  $\rm Z_2$  and SO (7)  $\rm Z_2$  respectively [4, 5]. Following the standard procedure (see, for example, [6]), at temperatures much smaller than the Fermienergy one can linearize the fermionic spectrum in the vicinity of the right and left Fermipoints and to arrive to the elective eld theory description. If the band lling is incommensurate, the charge sector decouples from the other degrees of freedom at low energies. In this limit the Hamiltonian can be decomposed into a sum of the charge and the spin part H  $_{\rm charge}$  + H  $_{\rm spin}$ , where the charge sector is described by the G aussian model. All nontrivial physics is concentrated in the spin Hamiltonian. It has SO (5)  $\rm Z_2$ 

symmetry. The four-ferm ion interaction can be written as a sum of products of the  $SU_1$  (4) currents of the right and left chirality [5], though its symmetry is lower than SU (4). To make the true symmetry manifest one can employ the nonlinear transformation of elds suggested in [7] and rewrite the Hamiltonian density in the spin sector in terms of six species of Majorana fermions (the bosonized form of this Hamiltonian was obtained in [4]):

 $(U_0 + U_2); q_X$  $3U_2 + U_0$ . O ne can run few w here q simple checks to justify that above model does represent the continuum lim it of (1). First, the interaction in (2) has the right scaling dimension (in other words, this is a model with four-ferm ion interaction). Second, at tem peratures much higher than the spectral gap but much smaller than the bandwidth, when the interactions can be neglected, the two models have the same specic heat. This corresponds to model (2) having the right ultraviolet central charge  $C_{UV} = 3$ . Third, model (2) has the sym m etry predicted in [5] (the  $Z_2$  sym m etry corresponds to 0). In the  $\lim it g = g_X$  the O (5)  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  m odelbecom es the SO (6) SU (4) G ross-N eveu (GN) model. This lim it is also contained in original model (1) [5]. At half lling, due to the Um klapp processes, the charge mode is not decoupled. Therefore the entire e ective H am iltonian is given by Eq.(2) with seven degenerate Majorana ferm ions instead of ve (the 0 (7)  $Z_2$  model).

The O (5)  $\rm Z_2$  (Eq. 2) and O (7)  $\rm Z_2$  m odels (further down we refer to them as (5+1) and (7+1) m odels) have nontrivial dynam ics for  $\rm g>0$ , when they scale to strong coupling and spectral gaps are generated. As eld theories they exist in two limits, both corresponding to integrable models [8]. One limit, associated to the largest symmetry (SO (6) or SO (8)), is realized when  $\rm g=g_X$ . The corresponding exactly solvable model is the SO (2N) (N = 3;4) GN model [9, 10, 11, 12].

In this letter we discuss the exact solution of the anisotropic version of the models with  $g \in g_X$ . Most

of the calculations are conducted for the (5+1) case; at the end of the paper we brie y discuss the 0 (7) Z<sub>2</sub> generalization. We construct the exact solution using the bootstrap procedure as described, for instance, in [11]. Namely, quided by the symmetry of the model and the perturbation theory results we suggest the two-particle Sm atrix. In integrable m odels, where multi-particle collisions are representable as a sequence of independent twoparticle ones (factorizability), such S-m atrix contains all information about the spectrum and the thermodynam ics, as well as o -shell properties, such as correlation functions. The two-particle S-matrix must satisfy the crossing, unitarity and the Yang-Baxter conditions [13]. The latter one is a condition of associativity of the algebra of creation (annihilation) operators. This condition, being an overcom plete system of equations for the S-m atrix elements, is very restrictive. Ones such solution is found, one has to complete the bootstrap process by calculating the free energy and comparing it with the perturbation theory for the model at hand.

As we shall see, the bootstrap solution we obtain does not contain the fully symmetric (O (6) or O (8)) limit. This suggests that the symmetric limit is unstable and cannot be reached asymptotically from the exact solution of the asymmetric model. This conclusion is supported by the analysis of the renormalization group (RG) equations. The RG equations for the O (2N+1)  $\rm Z_2$  model are [4, 8, 14]

$$g = (2N 1)g^2 g_X^2;$$
  
 $g_X = 2N g_X g:$  (3)

They have the following RG invariant:  $C = (g^2 g_X^2) = jg_X j^3; b = (2N 1) = N$ . From this it follows that the distance from the symmetric line  $jg = g_X j$   $j^{C} jg_X j^{N-1} = N$  does not decrease for all N = 1 under the increase in  $g_X$  rendering this line unstable.

The integrability of the O (2N+1)  $\rm Z_2$  m odel is a natural extension of the N = 1 case studied in detail in Refs. 15, 16. In that case the two-particle S-m atrix has the form

$$S_{3+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{S}^{SU(2)} \end{bmatrix};$$
 (4)

where ; are in and out spinon indices, ( ) = (e i)=(e + i) and  $\hat{S}^{\text{SU}(2)}$  is the S-m atrix of SU (2) Thirring m odel [11, 17]. An important check of the validity of this S-m atrix is that the Therm odynam is Bethe ansatz equations constructed from it yield the correct UV central charge  $c_{3+1}=2$  and particle multiplicity.

The S-m atrix for the model (2) was outlined in [8] as a generalization of the (3+1) case. In this letter we give further details of this solution. The suggested S-m atrix

has the following form:

$$S_{5+1} = {}^{0} \hat{S}_{vv} \hat{S}_{vs} \hat{I}^{1}$$

$$S_{5+1} = {}^{0} \hat{S}_{vs} \hat{S}_{ss} \hat{S}_{s0} A : (5)$$

$$\hat{I} \hat{S}_{s0} 1$$

The indices s and v labelm assive kinks belonging to the 4-dim ensional spinor representation and vector particles (associated to the original ferm ions  $_{\rm a}$  (a = 1;::5)). The kink's m ass is M  $_{\rm s}$ ; the vector particles have m asses M  $_{\rm v}$ . In the present model they are not bound states of kinks. There is an additional singlet particle (corresponding to the original  $_{\rm 0}$  ferm ion) with mass M  $_{\rm 0}$ . All masses are expenentially small in the bare coupling constants. Since there are only two couplings in the theory, M  $_{\rm s}$ ; M  $_{\rm v}$  and M  $_{\rm 0}$  are not independent, though to determ ine this dependence one needs a microscopic derivation. As one might have expected, the M a jorana ferm ion  $_{\rm 0}$  acquires a nontrivial phase factor by scattering on a kink:

$$\hat{S}_{s0}() = () ; () = \frac{e^3 + i}{e^3 + i}$$
 (6)

(; are in and out kink indices) and has a trivial S-matrix with the other vector particles. The rest of the notations are as follows.  $\hat{S}^{vv}$ ,  $\hat{S}^{vs}$  and  $\hat{S}^{ss}$  are 0 (5) S-matrices of vector and spinor particles. They can be written as a sum of projectors,  $\hat{P}_a$ , that map the tensor product of two representations onto the irreducible representation labeled a. For instance, the spinor S-matrix has the form

$$\hat{S}_{ss}() = S_{ss}() \hat{P}_{asym} + \frac{+ i = 3}{i = 3} \hat{P}_{v} + \frac{+ i}{i} \hat{P}_{0}$$
; (7)

where  $\hat{P_0}$ ;  $\hat{P_v}$ ;  $\hat{P_{asym}}$  represent projectors onto singlet, vector and antisymmetric tensor representations. The form of the prefactors,  $S_{ss}$ ;  $S_{sv}$ ;  $S_{vv}$ , can be inferred from the kernels (15) via

$$S_{ab}() = \exp \frac{Z}{\frac{d!}{!}} e^{3i!} Y_{ab}(!) ;$$
 (8)

and for the vector particles can be found in Ref.s 9, 11. For the spinor particles we have

$$S_{ss}() = f()=f(); f() = (9)$$

$$\stackrel{?}{Y} = (2 + 3k + 3i = 2) (4 + 3k + 3i = 2)$$

$$\frac{(5=2 + 3k + 3i = 2) (7=2 + 3k + 3i = 2)}{(5=2 + 3k + 3i = 2)}$$

It has no poles on the physical sheet, thus, as we have already mentioned, spinors do not create bound states.

The Bethe ansatz equations associated with the S- matrix (5) are  $\,$ 

$$e^{\frac{i}{M}_{s}L \sinh \frac{(s)}{a}} = \int_{s}^{r} S_{ss}(\frac{(s)}{a}) \int_{b}^{(s)} S_{sv}(\frac{(s)}{a}) \int_{b}^{r} S_{sv}(\frac{(s)}$$

$$e^{\frac{i}{M}_{v}L \sinh \frac{(s)}{a}} = S_{vv} \begin{pmatrix} (v) & (v) & (v) \\ S_{vv} \begin{pmatrix} (v) & (v) \\ S_{vv} \end{pmatrix} + S_{vv} \begin{pmatrix} (v) & (v) \\ S_{vv} \begin{pmatrix} (v) & (v) \\ S_{vv} \end{pmatrix} + S_{vv} \begin{pmatrix} (v)$$

$$e^{\frac{iM_{0}L \sinh u_{a}}{b}} = ()^{n_{0}+n_{v}} S_{s0} (u_{a} b^{(s)})$$
(10c)

where the numbers  $n_{s,v} > m_{s,v}$  and  $n_0$  correspond to physical particles (spinors, O (5) vector and singlet M ajorana ferm ions respectively) and vanish in the ground state. The functions  $e_n$  have the form  $e_n(x) = (x +$ in =3)=(x in =3) and the auxiliary particles satisfy the eigenvalue equations for the 0 (5) transfer m atrix [12]

Taking the continuum lim it of Eq.s (10, 11) and follow ing the standard methods we obtain the therm odynamic Bethe ansatz (TBA) equations for the (5+1) model. The free energy is

$$F = L = T$$
  $\frac{X}{a = 0; s; v} \frac{M_a}{2}$   $\frac{Z}{2}$   $\frac{X}{2}$   $\frac{M_a}{2}$   $\frac{X}{2}$   $\frac{X}{2}$ 

where the dressed energies a (a = 0;v;s) satisfy the nonlinear integral equations

$$T a_n^{(1=2)} ln [1 + e^{\frac{(s)}{n} = T}]$$
 (13b)

$$_{v}$$
 ( ) =  $M_{v}$  cosh +  $TY_{vv}$   $\ln[1 + e^{v(\cdot)=T}] + TY_{sv}$   $\ln[1 + e^{s(\cdot)=T}]$   $Ta_{n}$   $\ln[1 + e^{\frac{(v)}{n}=T}]$  (13c)

and

$$\ln \left[ 1 + e^{\frac{(s)}{n} = T} \right] \quad A_{n,m}^{(1=2)} \quad \ln \left[ 1 + e^{\frac{(s)}{m} = T} \right] + s^{(1=2)} \quad A_{n;2m}^{(1=2)} \quad \ln \left[ 1 + e^{\frac{(v)}{m} = T} \right] = a_n^{(1=2)} \quad \ln \left[ 1 + e^{\frac{s}{m} = T} \right]$$
 (14a)

$$\ln [1 + e^{(v)}] = T \quad A_{n,m} \quad \ln [1 + e^{(v)}] = T \quad A_{n,m} = 2 \quad \ln [1 + e^{(s)}] = T \quad a_n \quad \ln [1 + e^{(v)}]$$
 (14b)

These equations can be solved numerically to get a detailed description of the therm odynam ics. For the purposes of this paper it will be su cient to study asym ptotics of the free energy at large and small temperatures. In the above equations  $_{\mathsf{R}}$  stands for the operation of convolution f g() = duf(all kernels are related to the Fourier images as f ( ) =

R 
$$\frac{d!}{2}$$
 f'(!) exp  $\frac{h}{3i!}$  i. The kernels in Fourier space are

$$\Upsilon_{ss}(!) = \frac{e^{-2j! \ j}}{4 \cosh(3! = 2) \cosh(! = 2)}$$
 (15)

$$\Upsilon_{\text{SV}}(!) = \frac{e^{j! \ j}}{2 \cosh (3! = 2)}; \quad \Upsilon_{\text{VV}}(!) = \frac{\cosh (! = 2)e^{j!} \ j}{\cosh (3! = 2)} \quad 1$$

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathbf{a}_{n}\;(!\;) = \; e^{\;\;n\;j!\;\;j}; & \mathbf{s}\;(!\;) = \; 1 = 2\; \text{cosh}\;!\;; & \; ^{\sim}(!\;) = \; \frac{\;\;\cosh\;(!\;=2)}{\;\;\cosh\;!} \\ & h & \quad \quad i \\ & \mathbf{A}_{nm}^{\sim}\;\;(!\;) = \;\; \text{coth}\;j!\;\;j\;\;\;e^{\;\;jn\;\;\;m\;\;jj!\;\;j} & e^{\;\;(n+\;m\;)\;j!\;\;j} \end{array}$$

and we used the notation

$$f^{(1=2)}(!) = f(!=2):$$
 (16)

At M  $_0$  = 0 ( $g_X$  = 0) m odel (2) decouples into one m ass-

less M a prana mode and the O (5) G N model. As usual, we expect that the massless mode does not appear in the Bethe ansatz for massive particles. We observe that in this lim it the above TBA equations coincide with the ones derived in [18] for the O (2N+1) G N model for the case N = 2. The dressed energies  $_0$ ,  $_v$ ,  $_s$  correspond to  $_N$ ;  $_1$ ,  $_N$   $_{1;0}$ ,  $_N$ ;  $_0$  of [18]. This is an important self-consistency check of our solution. P lease note that while  $_N$ ;  $_1$  was an auxiliary particle in the O (5) G N model, in our model (M  $_0$   $\stackrel{\leftarrow}{\bullet}$  O) it is a physical particle.

W ith the TBA equations one can run two additional checks. First, by calculating the free energy at T >> M  $_{\rm a}$  (for this end one can use the results of [18]) one inds the universal asym ptotics F=L =  $_{\rm C_{UV}}$  T  $^{2}$   $^{2}$ =6 such that at M  $_{\rm 0}$  6 0 one gets C  $_{\rm UV}$  = 3. This is the correct asym ptotics for a theory of 6 M a prana ferm ions. On the other hand the T << M  $_{\rm a}$  lim it reproduces another asym ptotics

with  $r_s = 4$ ;  $r_v = 5$ ;  $r_0 = 1$  being the correct particle multiplicities.

The generalization for the (7+1) m odel is straightforward. Here we have 8 kinks, 7+1 vector particles and 28 tensor particles. The S-m atrix has the same block structure as (5), but with

$$_{7}()=\frac{e^{5}}{e^{5}+i}$$
 (18)

and an additional block  $S_{tt}$  for the tensor particles. The blocks  $S_{vv}$  and  $S_{tt}$  coincide with the vector and tensor S-m atrices of the O (7) G N m odel and are described in [18]. The spinor block  $S_{ss}$  is similar in its form to (7) with =3 substituted by =5. It does not have poles since the vector particles are not bound states of spinors.

The spin sector at arbitrary lling and the entire model (1) at half lling are quantum liquids. Away from half lling the corresponding order param eters and the phase diagram are described in [4, 5]. At moderate forward scattering there are two phases corresponding to D ensity W ave or the super uid state of the BCS type. They are distingushed by the sign of coupling  $g_X$  which does not a ect the excitation spectrum. Both these phases have power law correlations and are separated by the Ising type Quantum Phase transition. Generalizing the argum ents given in [4], we conclude that for half lling there are also two phases. Just one of them has an order param eter local in term s of the ferm ions: it is  $2k_F$  = Charge Density W ave (CDW). It condenses at  $g_X < 0$ ; at  $g_X > 0$  the order param eter is nonlocal corresponding to a hidden (topological) order.

The fact that the O (6) (or O (8)) symmetry is broken down to O (5)  $\rm Z_2$  (or O (7)  $\rm Z_2$ ) will play a role for the correlation functions. Indeed, the O (6) and O (8) groups have two irreducible spinor representations and O (5) and

O (7) have just one. As it was shown in [19], in the O (2N) GN model right and left moving fermions transform according to dierent spinor representations making it impossible to have a nonzero G reen's function containing right and left fermions. However, since the O (8) symmetric point in model (2) is unstable, the number of kinks in the exact solution is the same as for the O (7) group, which has just one irreducible representation. Therefore the G reen's function of the right and left fermions may be non zero. It is logical to assume that it does exist in the dimerized phase corresponding to  $g_{\rm X} < 0$ . We intend to discuss this issue in subsequent publications.

We are grateful to A. Nersesyan, V. Fateev, F. Sm imov, F. Essler and especially G. Shlyapnikov for discussions and interest in the work and to Abdus Salam ICTP for hospitality. This research was supported by European Commission TMR program HPRN-CT-2002-00325 (EUCLID) and Institute for Strongly Correlated and Complex Systems at BNL (DC) and by USDOE under contract number DE-AC02-98 CH 10886 (AMT).

- [1] C. Wu, J.P. Hu and S.-C Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 186402 (2003).
- [2] B.DeMarco, PhD. dissertation, "Quantum Behavior of an Atomic FermiGas", University of Colorado (2001).
- [3] T.L.Ho and S.K.Y ip, Phys.Rev.Lett.82, 247 (1999); S.K.Y ip and T.L.Ho, Phys.Rev.A59, 4653 (1999).
- [4] C.Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 266404 (2005).
- [5] P. Lechem inant, E. Boulat and P. Azaria, cond-m at/0505617.
- [6] A.M. Tsvelik, \Quantum Field Theory in Condensed Matter Physics", 2nd edition, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [7] J. M. Maldacena and A. W. W. Ludwig, Nucl. Phys.  $B506,565\ (1997)$ .
- [8] D. Controzziand A.M. Tsvelik, Phys. Rev. B72, 035110 (2005).
- [9] A.B. Zam olodchikov and Al.B. Zam olodchikov, Nucl. Phys.B 133,525 (1978).
- [10] R. Shankar and E. W itten, Nucl. Phys. B 141, 349 (1978).
- [11] A.B. Zam olodchikov and Al.B. Zam olodchikov, Ann. Phys. 120, 253 (1979).
- [12] E. O gievetsky, N. Reshetikhin and P.W iegmann, Nucl. Phys. B 280, 45 (1987).
- [13] These equations were rst introduced by J.B.M cGuire, J.M ath.Phys.5,622 (1964).
- [14] H. C. Lee, P. Azaria and E. Boulat, Phys. Rev. B69, 155109 (2004).
- [15] A.M. Tsvelik, Sov. Phys. JETP 66, 754 (1987).
- [16] N.Andreiand A.Jerez, Phys.Rev.Lett.74,4507 (1995).
- [17] B. Berg, M. Karowski, P. Weisz and V. Kurak, Nucl. Phys. B134, 125 (1978).
- [18] P.Fendley and H.Saleur, Phys. Rev. D 65, 025001 (2002).
- [19] R.M. Konik and A.W. W. Ludwig, Phys. Rev. B64, 155112 (2001).