## Critical and non-critical jam m ing of frictional grains

Ellak Som fai,<sup>1</sup>, Martin van Hecke,<sup>2</sup> Wouter G. Ellenbroek,<sup>1</sup> Kostya Shundyak,<sup>1</sup> and Wim van Saarloos<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Instituut{Lorentz, Universiteit Leiden, Postbus 9506, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

<sup>2</sup>K am erlingh Onnes Lab, Universiteit Leiden, Postbus 9504, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

(Dated: March 23, 2024)

We probe the nature of the jamming transition of frictional granular media by studying their vibrational properties as a function of the applied pressure p and friction coe cient . The density of vibrational states exhibits a crossover from a plateau at frequencies ! > ! (p; ) to a linear growth for ! < ! (p; ). We show that ! is proportional to z, the excess number of contacts per grains relative to the minimally allowed, isostatic value. For zero and in nitely large friction, typical packings at the jamming threshold have z ! 0, and then exhibit critical scaling. We study the nature of the soft modes in these two limits, and nd that the ratio of elastic moduli is governed by the distance from isostaticity.

PACS num bers: 45.70.-n, 46.65.+g, 83.80Fg

Granular media, such as sand, are conglom erates of dissipative, athem al particles that interact through repulsive and frictional contact forces. W hen no external energy is supplied, these materials jam into a disordered con guration under the action of even a small con ning pressure [1]. In recent years, much new insight has been am assed for the jam ming transition of models of deform able, spherical, atherm al, friction less particles in the absence of gravity and shear [2]. The beauty of such systems is that they allow for a precise study of the jam m ing transition that occurs when the pressure p approaches zero (or, geom etrically, when the particle deform ations vanish). At this jam m ing point J" and forlarge system s, the contact num ber [3] equals the so-called isostatic value  $z_{iso}^0$  (see below), while the packing density  $_{\rm T}^0$  equals random close packing [2, 4]. Moreover, for compressed systems away from the jamming point, the  $Z_{iso}^0$ pressure p, the excess contact number z = z(p)and the excess density <sup>0</sup><sub>J</sub> are related by power-= law scaling relations any of the parameters p; z and is su cient to characterize the distance to jamming.

Isostatic solids are m arginal solids | as soon as contacts are broken, extended  $\setminus$  oppy m odes" com e into play [5]. Approaching this m arginal lim it in friction less packings as p ! 0, the density of vibrational states (DOS) at low frequencies is strongly enhanced | the DOS has been shown to become essentially constant up to some low-frequency crossover scale !, below which the continuum scaling !<sup>d 1</sup> is recovered [2, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. For sm all pressures, ! vanishes z. This signals the occurrence of a critical lengthscale, when translated into a length via the speed of sound, below which the m aterial deviates from a bulk solid [9]. The jam m ing transition for friction less packings thus resem bles a critical transition.

In this paper we address the question whether an analogous critical scenario occurs near the jam m ing transition at p = 0 of frictional packings. The C oulom b friction law states that when two grains are pressed together w ith a norm al force F<sup>n</sup>, the contact can support any tangential friction force  $F^t$  with  $F^t$   $F^n$ , where is the friction coe cient. In typical packings, essentially none of these tangential forces is at the C oulom b threshold  $F^t = -F^n$  [8, 12]. A crucial feature of these packings of frictional particles is that, for p ! 0, they span a range of packing densities and have a nonunique contact num ber  $z_J$  ( ), which typically is larger than the frictional isostatic value  $z_{iso} = d + 1$  [12, 13, 14, 15] (see below). So two questions arise: do frictional system s ever experience a `critical" jamming transition in the sense that ! vanishes when p ! 0? W hat is the nature of the relations between p, , ! , excess contact num ber z (; p)  $z_J$  ( ) and excess density?

The results which we present below give convincing evidence that jam m ing of frictional grains should be seen as a two-step process. The rst step is the selection of z for xed and a given num erical procedure (see Fig. 1a); this has been studied before [12, 13, 14, 15]. Our focus here is on the second step, the fact that the critical frequency of the DOS of vibrations of in nitesim al amplitude is proportional to the distance to the frictional isostatic point  $z \coloneqq z(;p) = z_{iso}$ . The crucial point is that  $z_J()$ and  $z_{iso}$  in general di er. In particular for sm all values of , the contact number saturates at a value substantially above the isostatic limit, ! saturates at a nite value and the system remains far from criticality. For increasing values of , however,  $z_J$  ( ) approaches  $z_{iso}$  , and thus for large friction values, ! evidences an increasingly large scale near the jamming point. The vanishing of! has its origin in the emergence of oppy modes at the isostatic point. We show that sim ilarly to friction less system s, both ! and the ratio of shear to com pression modulus G=K scale as z. In short, the distance to isostaticity, which is well-de ned, governs the scaling of both frictional and friction less system s, providing a unied picture of jam m ing of weakly com pressible particles.

Let us, before presenting our results, recapitulate the well-known counting arguments for the contact number in the limit p ! 0 for dimension d [4]. Since the de-




FIG.1: (a) A verage contact number z as a function of  $p^{1=3}$  for various as indicated. (b-e) V ibrational DOS for granular packings for friction coe cients as indicated, and for pressures approximately 5 10 <sup>6</sup>; 5 10 <sup>5</sup>; 5 10 <sup>4</sup>; 4 10 <sup>3</sup> and 3 10 <sup>2</sup>. For decreasing p the DOS become s steeper for small!, and the crossover frequency !, indicated in (e), decreases with p. The packing with = 0<sup>+</sup> is obtained by rst making a frictionless packing and then turning on the tangential frictional forces in the DOS calculation. As noted in the text, all frequencies are scaled by a factor  $p^{1=6}$ .

form at ion of the spheres vanishes in the  $\lim it p = 0$ , all particles in contact are at a prescribed distance, which gives zN =2 constraints on the dN particle coordinates, leading to z 2d. For the friction less case, the zN = 2norm al contact forces are constrained by N d force balance equations | hence only for z 2d can we generically nd a set of balancing forces [16]. Taken together, this yields z !  $2d = :z_{iso}^0 \text{ as p } ! 0: at the jam m ing tran$ sition, packings of frictionless spheres are isostatic. For frictional packings, there are zdN = 2 contact force com ponents constrained by dN force and d (d 1)N =2 torque balance equations | thus z d + 1, with  $z_{iso} = d + 1$ the isostatic value. Hence, at the jamming transition, frictional spheres do not have to become isostatic but can attain contact num bers between  $z_{iso} = d + 1$  and 2d. W hile it is not well understood what selects the contact num ber of a frictional packing at J, sim ulations for discs in 2 dimensions show that in practice  $z_{T}()$  is a decreasing function of , ranging from 4 at sm all to 3 for large [12, 13, 14]; see also Fig. 1a.

Procedure | Our num erical systems are 2D packings of 1000 polydisperse spheres that interact through 3d Hertz-M indlin forces [17], contained in square boxes with periodic boundary conditions. We set the Young modulus of the spheres E = 1, which becomes the pressure unit, and set the Poisson ratio to zero. Our unit of length is the average grain diam eter, the unit of m ass is set by asserting that the grain material has unit density and the unit of time follows from the speed of sound of pressure waves inside the grains [10]. The packings are constructed by cooling while slow ly in a ting the particle radii in the presence of a linear dam ping force, until the required pressure is obtained. For each value of and p, 20 realizations are constructed (occasional runs with 100 realizations did not im prove accuracy).

Once a packing is made, the additional damping force is switched o and the dynamical matrix is obtained by linearizing the equations for small amplitude motions, which include both rotations and translations. It is im portant to realize the special role of the friction: if the density of contacts that precisely satisfy  $F^{t} = F^{n}$  is negligible, the C oulom b condition  $F^t$   $F^n$  only plays a crucial role during the preparation of a packing. W e will assume that this is the case, and come back to this subtle point later. Under these assumptions, and for arbitrarily small amplitude vibrations, the C oulom b condition is autom atically obeyed and the value of does not play a role anym ore in analyzing the vibrationalm odes. M oreover, the changes in  $F^t$  are then non-dissipative and the eigenm odes of the dynam ical matrix are undam ped. In this picture, the main role of the value of the friction coe cient is in tuning the contact number.

We analyze the density of vibrational states (DOS) of the packings thus obtained. Since for Hertzian forces the elective spring constants scale with the overlap as dF<sup>n</sup>=d  $1^{=2}$  pl<sup>=3</sup> [17], all frequencies will have a trivial pl<sup>16</sup> dependence. To facilitate comparison with data on frictionless spheres with one-sided harmonic springs [2, 8], we report our results in term s of scaled frequencies in which this pl<sup>16</sup> dependence has been taken out.

Variation of z | Anticipating the crucial role of the contact number, we start by presenting z(;p) for our packings. Fig. 1a con m s the earlier observations [12, 13, 14] that the e ective value of  $z_J$ () = : z(;p! 0) varies from about 4 to about 3 when is increased. M oreover, the excess number of contacts z(;p)  $z_J$ () varies with pressure as  $p^{1=3}$  for all values of .

DOS | Figs. 1b-e show our results for the DOS for various values of . For the frictionless case shown in Fig. 1 (b), we recover the gradual development of a plateau in the density of states as the pressure is decreased [2, 8]. For this case  $z \,!\, z_{\rm iso}^0$ , and the crossover frequency ! scales as  $z = z \, z_{\rm iso}^0$  [7, 8, 9] (see below). How ever, as Figs. 1 (c-d) illustrate, as soon as the tangential frictional forces are turned on, this enhancement of the DOS at low frequencies largely disappears, because the frictionless \ oppy modes" are killed. This point is demonstrated most dram atically in Fig. 1 (c), where the underlying packing has been generated for zero friction, and the friction is only \switched on" when calculating the DOS | this represents the lim it of vanishing sm all but nonzero friction, for which the DOS is seen to be




FIG.2: (a) ! for = 10 as function of  $!_{overlap}$  | the regime (1 <  $!_{overlap}$  < 3) corresponds to the crossover regime in the DOS that we focus on here (see text and panel (b)). (b) The rescaled DOS for = 10 exhibit good data collapse in the crossover regime. Here 20 rescaled DOS are shown with p ranging from 9 10<sup>7</sup> to 3 10<sup>2</sup>.

very far from critical. By increasing the friction coe cient, the developm ent of a plateau and the scaling of the crossover progressively reappear (Fig. 1 (e)). The intuitive picture that en erges is that with increasing friction, granulates at the jam m ing point approach criticality.

In order to back this up quantitatively, we perform a scaling analysis of the low-frequency behavior of these DOS. To avoid binning problem s, we work with the in-<sup>!</sup> d!<sup>0</sup>DOS(!<sup>0</sup>). The tegrated density of states I(!) =critical frequencies are then obtained by requiring that the rescaled integrated DOS,  $(!)^{1} I(!=!)$ , collapse. Such collapse is never perfect, in particular since not all DOS have precisely the same  $\shape"$  (Fig. 1). We vary the value of  $!_{overlap} = ! = !$  where we require the rescaled integrated DOS to overlap as Fig. 2a illustrates, this yields precise values for ! as function of  $!_{\rm overlap}$  . Restricting ourselves to the crossover regime  $(1 < !_{overlap} < 3)$ , we obtain by this procedure both an estimate of ! and of its errorbar. As Fig. 2b illustrates, when rescaled with these estim ated values of ! , the collapse of the DOS in the crossover regime is convincing.

Scaling of ! The rst main result of this paper is shown in Fig. 3: ! does not scale in a simple way with p, but the data for all and p collapse onto a single curve when plotted as function of z = z $z_{iso}$ (Fig. 3a,c). Moreover, ! z the plot of z versus p shown in Fig. 3b is essentially equivalent to the plot of ! vs p. In other words, packings with z 1 have many low-lying vibration modes and correspondingly a large enhancement (plateau) in the DOS. The dom inant quantity governing the behavior of frictional granular media is the distance from the frictional \criticalpoint"  $z = z_{iso} = d + 1 = 3$ . This distance can be characterized most conveniently by z | put in these term s, the scaling of! for frictionalm edia is very similar to the scaling for friction less media shown in Fig. 3 (d,e).

Scaling of E lastic M oduli | The contact number for isostatic system s reaches the m inim um needed to rem ain stable | hence additional broken bonds then generate global zero-energy displacem ent m odes, so-called oppy m odes [2, 5]. For frictionless system s, the excess of soft m odes and development of a plateau in the DOS for




FIG.3: (a) ! as function of pressure p for a range of friction coe cients | errorbars are similar or smaller than symbol sizes (b) D eviation from isostaticity for the same range of parameters. (c) ! scales linearly with the distance to isostaticity for frictional packings. (d-e) ! for frictionless packings scales both with p and z 4.D ashed lines indicate power laws with exponents as indicated. For details, see text.

sm all z are intim ately connected to these oppy modes [7, 9, 18]. For frictionless systems they also cause the shearm odulus G to become much sm aller than the bulk modulus K  $\mid$  in fact, G=K z [2, 11, 18].

Our second main result is that we have found num erically that for frictional systems the ratio G =K only depends on z (and not on e.g., ), and for small z it also scales as z. To calculate the moduli, we start from the dynam ical matrix which relates forces and displacements. Calculating, in linear order, the global stress resulting from an imposed deformation, the bulk and shear moduliare deduced [18]. Since for Hertzian forces the e ective spring constants scale as p<sup>1=3</sup>, we have divided out this trivial pressure dependence. The results of our calculations are shown in Fig. 4. As could be expected, the (rescaled) bulk modulus K remains essentially constant. Surprisingly, the shear modulus G becomes much smaller than K for small p and large , and when plotted as function of z, the ratio G = K is found to scale as z, as was predicted in [11]. Hence, in packings of deform able spheres, both ! and G = K scale with  $z_{i}$ regardless of the presence of friction.

Discussion | The sudden change in the DOS when increasing from zero hints at the singular nature of the ! 0 lim it. On the one hand, the nature of the dynam ical matrix suddenly changes in this lim it because the rotational degrees of freedom which are irrelevant for = 0 turn on as soon as  $\bigcirc$  0. On the other hand, we have recently found that the slower the packings are allowed to equilibrate during their preparation, the m ore the density of fully mobilized contacts, i.e., those for which F<sup>t</sup> = F<sup>n</sup>, tends to increase; in fact especially for




FIG.4: Scaling of bulk modulus K and shear modulus G as function of p and | similarly to the data for !, the trivial  $p^{1-3}$  dependence has been divided out. (a) The rescaled bulk modulus K (curve) essentially levels o for small p, while the shear modulus G (symbols as in Fig.3) varies strongly with both p and . (b) G=K scales like the excess contact number for small z.

sm all the fraction of fully mobilized contacts in slow ly equilibrated sam ples becomes very substantial [19, 20]. The e ect of these fully mobilized contacts on the DOS depends on additional physical assumptions. For exam – ple, if we assume that, for some reason, the contacts remain constrained at the C oulomb threshold in the vibrational dynamics, we expect them to have an enhanced DOS for sm all pressures at all . More likely, these contacts would slip, leading to an initial strongly nonlinear response after which no contacts would be fully mobilized anym ore, and our results for the DOS would go through essentially unchanged.

Note that even a small di erence between dynamic and static friction could suppress the e ect of the fully m obilized contacts. Moreover, for realistic values of the friction ( $^>$  0:7, say) these e ects are not very important since there the fraction of fully mobilized contacts is small. Thus, the results of this paper will apply directly to packings with experim entally relevant values of the friction.

A second issue that deserves further attention is the nature of the soft modes. Our scaling result for G = K suggest that for frictional systems these are dominated by shear-like (volum e conserving) deform ations, just as for frictionless systems. Apparently, rotations and particle motions couple such as to allow large scale oppy-mode-like distortions of frictional isostatic packings. Indeed, num erically obtained low frequency eigenmodes of frictional and frictionless systems book remarkably sim ilar. W hether the scaling of !, the scaling of G = K and the nature of oppy modes are similarly related in more general systems, such as packings of friction less or frictional non-spherical particles, is an important question.

Outbook 0 ur study of the density of vibrational states for frictional systems gives strong evidence for a scenario partly analogous to the one for friction less pack-ings: frictional granular media become critical and exhibit scaling when their contact number approaches the

isostatic lim it. But there is an important di erence to the friction less case: while there the isostatic lim it is automatically reached in the hard particle/sm all p lim it, this is not necessarily so for the frictional case | here p and z are not directly related, and only for large friction does z approach isostaticity at sm all pressures. This isostatic point is relevant in practice: m ost m aterials have a value of of order 1, and as F ig. 3 and 4 illustrate, one then observes approxim ate scaling over quite som e range.

W e are grateful to M. Depken, L. Silbert, S. Nagel, D. Frenkel, H. van der Vorst and T. W itten for illum inating discussions. ES acknow ledges support from the EU network PHYNECS, W E support from the physics foundation FOM, and MvH support from NW O/VIDI.

P resent address: D epartm ent of P hysics, O x ford U niversity, 1 K eble R oard, O x ford, O X 1 3N P U nited K ingdom

- [1] A.J.Liu and S.Nagel, Nature 396, 21 (1998).
- [2] C.S.O'Hem et al, Phys. Rev. E 68, 011306 (2003); C. S.O'Hem et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 075507 (2002).
- [3] W e will use the convention that the upper index distuinguish frictionless (0) from frictional () quantities, while low er index indicates whether quantities are taken at the jam ming (J) or isostatic (iso) point.
- [4] C.F.M oukarzel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 1634 (1998).
- [5] S.A lexander, Phys. Rep. 296, 65 (1998).
- [6] A. Tanguy et al, Phys. Rev. B 66, 174205 (2002).
- [7] M.W yart, S.R.N ageland T.A.W itten, Europhys Lett 72, 486 (2005).
- [8] L.E.Silbert, A.J.Liu and S.R.Nagel, Phys.Rev.Lett. 95,098301 (2005); L.E.Silbert, et al. Phys.Rev.E 65, 031304 (2002).
- [9] M .W yart et al. Phys. Rev. E 72, 051306 (2005).
- [10] E.Som faiet al, Phys.Rev.E 72,021301 (2005).
- [11] M .W yart, Ann.Phys.Fr.30, 1-96 (2005).
- [12] A.Kasahara and H.Nakanishi, Phys. Rev. E 70, 051309 (2004).
- [13] T. Unger, J. K ertesz, and D. E. W olf, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 178001 (2005).
- [14] H.P.Zhang and H.A.Makæ, Phys.Rev.E 72, 011301 (2005); H.A.Makæ et al, Phys.Rev.E 70, 061302 (2004).
- [15] G.Y. Onoda and E.G. Liniger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 2727 (1990).
- [16] In the counting argument, N refers to the number of non-rattling particles.
- [17] i.e., normal force  $F^n$   $^{3=2}$  with the overlap between particles, tangential force increment  $dF^t$   $^{1=2}dt$  with dt the relative tangential displacement change, provided  $F^t$   $F^n$ .
- [18] W .G.Ellenbroek et al., cond-m at/0604157 (2006).
- [19] K . Shundyak et al., cond-m at/0610205 (2006).
- [20] W hen fully mobilized contacts are considered to be xed at the C oulom b threshold, gently prepared packings are found to approach a generalized isostaticity line at sm all pressures for any [19], while less gently prepared packings will have less fully mobilized contacts [8, 12].