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#### Abstract

W e have calculated long series expansions for self-avoiding walks and polygons on the honeycom b lattice, including series for $m$ etric properties such as $m$ ean-squared radius of gyration as well as series for $m$ om ents of the area-distribution for polygons. A nalysis of the series yields accurate estim ates for the connective constant, critical exponents and am plitudes of honeycom b selfavoiding walks and polygons. The results from the num erical analysis agree to a high degree of accuracy with theoretical predictions for these quantities.


## 1. Introduction

Self-avoiding walks (SAW s) and polygons (SAPs) on regular lattices are am ong the most im portant and classic combinatorial problem $s$ in statistical $m$ echanics. SAW $s$ are often considered in the context of lattice $m$ odels of polym ers while SAPs are used to $m$ odel vesicles. $T$ he fundam ental problem is the calculation (up to translation) of the num ber of SAW $s, C_{n}$, w ith n steps (SAP $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}$, of perim eter n ). A s form any interesting com binatorial problem s , SAW shave exponential grow th, $C_{n}$ A ${ }^{n} n \quad{ }^{1}$, where is the connective constant, is a critical exponent, and A is a critical am plitude. A $m$ ajor challenge (short of an exact solution) is the calculation, or at least accurate estim ation of, critical exponents and am plitudes. Here our focus is on the num erical estim ation of such quantities from exact enum eration data.

The success of series expansions as a num erical technique has relied crucially on several of Tony Guttm ann's contributions to the eld a asym ptotic series analysis. In pioneering the $m$ ethod of di erential approxim ants (see I] for a review and historical notes') Tony Guttm ann has given us an invaluable tool which over the years has been proved to be by far the best (in term $s$ both of accuracy and versatility) m ethod for analysing series. In this paper we use long series expansions for self-avoiding polygons and walks on the honeycomb lattice to test the accuracy of various $m$ ethods for series analysis. For the honeycom blattice the connective constant, critical exponents and $m$ any am plitude ratios are know $n$ exactly, $m$ aking it the perfect test-bed for series analysis techniques.

The rest of the paper is organised as follow s: In section 2 we give precise de nitions of the m odels and the properties we investigate and sum $m$ arise a num ber of exact results. Section 3 contains a very brief introduction to the literature describing the algorithm $s$ used for the exact enum erations. In section 4 we give a brief introduction to the num erical technique of di erential approxim ants and then proceed to analyse the SAP and SAW series clearly dem onstrating how we can obtain very accurate estim ates for the connective constant and critical exponents.


Figure 1. Exam ples of a self-avoiding walk (left panel) and polygon (right panel) on the honeycomb lattice.

Section 5 is concemed w ith the estim ation of am plitudes. $N$ ot only do we obtain very accurate estim ates for the am plitudes, but we also show how an analysis of the asym ptotic behaviour of the series coe cients can be used to gain insight into corrections to scaling. Finally, in section 6 we discuss and sum $m$ arise our $m$ ain results.
2. De nitions and theoreticalbackground

An $n$-step self-avoiding walk ! is a sequence of distinct vertices $!0 ;!1 ;::: ;!n$ such that each vertex is a nearest neighb our of it predecessor. SAW s are considered distinct up to translations of the starting point! ! $W$ W e shall use the symbol $n$ to $m$ ean the set of all SAW $s$ of length $n$. A self-avoiding polygon of length $n$ is an $n$ 1-step SAW such that ! 0 and $!_{n} 1$ are nearest neighbours and a closed loop can be form ed by inserting a single additional step betw een the two end-points of the walk. The two m odels are illustrated in gure 1 . O ne is interested in the num ber of SAW $s$ and SA P s of length $n$, various $m$ etric properties such as the radius of gyration, and for SAP s one can also ask about the area enclosed by the polygon. In this paper we study the follow ing properties:
(a) the num ber of $n$-step self-avoiding walks $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}$;
(b) the num ber of $n$-step self-avoiding polygons $p_{n}$;
(c) the $m$ ean-square end-to-end distance of $n$-step SAW $s h R_{e}^{2} i_{n}$;
(d) the $m$ ean-square radius of gyration of $n$-step $S A W ~ s h R_{g}^{2} i_{n}$;

$(f)$ the $m$ ean-square radius of gyration of $n$-step $S A P s h R^{2} i_{n}$; and
(g) the $m^{\text {th }} \mathrm{m}$ om ent of the area of $n$-step SAP s ha ${ }^{m} i_{n}$.

It is generally believed that the quantities listed above have the asym ptotic form s as $\mathrm{n}!1$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{n}^{1}[1+\mathrm{O}(1)] ;  \tag{1a}\\
& \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{B}^{{ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{n}}{ }^{3}[1+\mathrm{o}(1)] ;  \tag{1b}\\
& h R_{e}^{2} i_{n}=\operatorname{Cn}^{2}[1+o(1)] ;  \tag{1c}\\
& h R_{g}^{2} i_{n}=D n^{2}[1+o(1)] ;  \tag{1d}\\
& h R_{m}^{2} i_{n}=E n^{2}[1+o(1)] ;  \tag{1e}\\
& \mathrm{hR}^{2} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{Fn}^{2}[1+o(1)] ;  \tag{1f}\\
& \text { ha }^{m} i_{n}=G^{(m)} n^{2 m}[1+o(1)]:
\end{align*}
$$

The critical exponents are believed to be universal in that they only depend on the dim ension of the underlying lattice. The connective constant and the criticalamplitudes A \{G ${ }^{(m)}$ vary from lattice to lattice. In tw o dim ensions the critical exponents $=43=32,=1=2$ and $=3=4$ have been predicted exactly, though non-rigorously [2, 3]. In this work Nienhuis also predicted the exact value of the connective constant on the honeycom blattice $=\frac{q}{2+{ }^{\rho} \overline{2}} . \mathrm{W}$ hen analyzing the series data it is often convenient to use the associated generating functions such as

$$
\begin{align*}
& C(x)={ }^{X} C_{n} x^{n} \quad A(x)(1 \quad x) ;  \tag{2}\\
& P(x)={ }_{n=0}^{X} p_{2 n+6} x^{n} \quad B(x)\left(1 \quad{ }^{2} x\right)^{2}:
\end{align*}
$$

In the polygon generating function we take into account that SAPs have even length and the sm allest one has perim eter 6. T he SAW (SAP) generating function has a singularity at the critical point $x=x_{C}=1=\left(x=x_{c}^{2}=1={ }^{2}\right) w$ th critical exponent (2).
$T$ he $m$ etric properties for SAW $s$ are de ned by,

$$
\begin{aligned}
h R_{e}^{2} i_{n} & \left.={\frac{1}{c_{n}}}^{X}{ }_{n}^{n} 20!_{n}\right)^{2} ; \\
h R_{g}^{2} i_{n} & ={\frac{1}{c_{n}}}^{X}{ }_{n}^{n}{\frac{1}{2(n+1)^{2}}}_{i ; j=0}^{X^{n}}\left(!_{i}!_{j}\right)^{25} ; \\
h R_{m}^{2} i_{n} & ={\frac{1}{c_{n}}}_{n}^{X_{n}^{n}} \frac{1}{2(n+1)}_{i=0}^{X^{n} h}\left(!_{0}!_{j}\right)^{2}+\left(!_{n}!_{j}\right)^{i^{\#}} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$w$ ith a sim ilar de nition for the radius of gyration of SAPs.
W hile the am plitudes are non-universal, there are $m$ any universal am plitude com binations. A ny ratio of the $m$ etric SAW am plitudes, e.g. $D=C$ and $E=C$, is expected to be universal [4]. O fparticular interest is the linear com bination [4, 5] (w hich we shall call the C SC P S relation)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H} \quad 2+\frac{\mathrm{Yt}_{\mathrm{t}}}{\mathrm{Yh}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{D}}{\mathrm{C}} \quad 2 \frac{\mathrm{E}}{\mathrm{C}}+\frac{1}{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w h e r e ~ y_{t}=1=$ and $y_{h}=1+=(2)$. In tw $o$ dim ensions $C$ ardy and Saleur 4] (as corrected by C aracciolo, Pelissetto and Sokal [5]) have predicted, using conform al eld theory, that H $=0$. $C$ ardy and Guttm ann [6] proved that $B F=\frac{5}{32^{2}} a_{0}$, where is an integer constant such that $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is non-zero when n is divisible by, so $=2$ for the honeycomb lattice. $\mathrm{a}_{0}=3^{P} \overline{3}=4$ is the area per lattice site on the honeycomb lattice. R ichard, G uttm ann and Jensen [7] con jectured the exact form of the criticalscaling function for self-avoiding polygons and consequently show ed that the am plitude com binations $G{ }^{(k)} \mathrm{B}^{k} 1$ are universal and predicted their exact values. The exact value for $G^{(1)}=\frac{1}{4}$ had previously been predicted by $C$ ardy [8].

The asym ptotic form (1a) only explicitly gives the leading contribution. In generalone w ould expect corrections to scaling so

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=A{ }^{n} n^{1} 1+\frac{a_{1}}{n}+\frac{a_{2}}{n^{2}}+:::+\frac{b_{0}}{n^{1}}+\frac{b_{1}}{n^{1+1}}+\frac{b_{2}}{n^{1+2}}+::: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition to \analytic" corrections to scaling of the form $a_{k}=n^{k}$, where $k$ is an integer, there are \non-analytic" corrections to scaling of the form $b_{k}=n \quad{ }^{1+k}$, where the correction-to-scaling
exponent 1 isn't an integer. In fact one would expect a whole sequence of correction-to-scaling exponents $1<2:::$, which are both universal and also independent of the observable, that is, the sam e for $c_{n}, p_{n}$, and so on. Furtherm ore, there should also be correctionsw ith exponents such as n i+ m j, etc., w ith n and m positive integens. At least two di erent theoretical predictions have been $m$ ade for the exact value of the leading non-analytic correction-to-scaling exponent: $1=3=2$ based on C oulom b-gas argum ents [2, 3] and $1=11=16$ based on conform al-invariance $m$ ethods [9]. In a recent paper [10] the am plitudes and the correction-to-scaling exponents for SAW $s$ on the square and triangular lattioes were studied in great detail. T he analysis provided m num erical evidence that $1=3=2$ as predicted by N ienhuis.

## 3. E num erations

The algorithm we used to enum erate SA P s on the honeycom blattice is based on the nite-lattige $m$ ethod devised by E nting [11] in his pioneering w ork, which contains a detailed description of the original approach for enum erating SA P s on the square lattice. A major enhancem ent, resulting in an exponentially $m$ ore e cient algorithm, is described in som e detail in [12] while details of the changes required to enum erate area-m om ents and the radius of gyration can be found in [13]. A very e cient parallel im plem entation is described in [14]. T he generalisation to enum erations of SAW $s$ is straight forw ard as show $n$ in [15]. A $n$ im plem entation of the basic SA P enum eration algorithm on the honeycom b lattige can be found in [16]. M ost of the enhancem ents we m ade to the square lattice case can also be readily im plem ented on the honeycom blattice. T he only slightly tridky part is the calculation of $m$ etric properties (though the changes are very sim ilar to those required for the triangular lattice [17]).

U sing the a parallel version of our honeycom b lattice algorithm s w e have counted the num ber of self-avoiding walks and polygons to length 105 and 158, respectively. For self-avoiding walks to length 96 we also calculate series for the $m$ etric properties ofm ean-square end-to-end distance, $m$ ean-square radius of gyration and the $m$ ean-square distance of a $m$ onom er from the end points. In fact the algorithm calculates them etric generating functionsw ith coe cients $c_{n} h R_{e}^{2} i_{n}$, $n^{2} c_{n} h R_{g}^{2} i_{n}$, and $n c_{n} h R_{m}^{2} i_{n}$, respectively, the advantage being that these quantities are integer valued. For self-avoiding polygons to length 140 we calculate series for the $m$ ean-square radius of gyration and the rst 10 m om ents of the area. A gain we actually calculate the series w th integer coe cients $8 n^{2} p_{n} h R^{2} i_{n}$ and $p_{n} h a^{k} i_{n}$.

## 4. D i erential approxim ants

$T$ he $m$ a jority of interesting $m$ odels in statistical $m$ echanics and com binatorics have generating functions w ith regular singular points such as those indicated in (2) and (3). The fundam ental problem of series analysis is: $G$ iven a nite num ber of term $s$ in the series expansion for a function $F(x)$ what can one say about the singular behaviour which after all is a property of the in nite series. W thout a doubt the best series analysis technique when it com es to locating singularities and estim ating the associated critical exponents is di erential approxim ants (see I] for a com prehensive review ofdi erential approxim ants and other techniques for series analysis). $T$ he basic idea is to approxim ate the function $F(x)$ by solutions to di erential equations $w$ ith polynom ial coe cients. The singular behaviour of such ODEs is m uch studied (see [18]) and the singular points and exponents are easily calculated.

A $K^{\text {th }}$-order di erential approxim ant (DA) to a function $F(x)$ is form ed by $m$ atching the coe cients in the polynom ials $Q_{i}(x)$ and $P(x)$ of degree $N_{i}$ and $L$, respective, so that (one) of the form al solutions to the inhom ogeneous di erential equation

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{k} Q_{i}(x)\left(x \frac{d}{d x}\right)^{i} F^{r}(x)=P(x)
$$

Table 1. C ritical point and exponent estim ates for self-avoiding polygons.

| $L$ | Second order D A |  | Third order D A |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}$ | 2 | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}$ | 2 |
| 0 | $029289321854(19)$ | $1.50000065(41)$ | $0.29289321865(12)$ | $1.50000040(28)$ |
| 5 | $029289321875(21)$ | $1.50000010(59)$ | $0.29289321852(48)$ | $1.50000041(99)$ |
| 10 | $029289321855(23)$ | $1.50000060(48)$ | $0.29289321878(32)$ | $1.49999999(97)$ |
| 15 | $029289321859(19)$ | $1.50000054(43)$ | $0.29289321861(37)$ | $1.50000035(67)$ |
| 20 | $029289321866(15)$ | $1.50000038(33)$ | $0.29289321860(21)$ | $1.50000049(43)$ |

agrees w th the rst $M=L+{ }^{P}{ }_{i}\left(\mathbb{N}_{i}+1\right)$ series coe cients of $F$. Singularities of $F(x)$ are approxim ated by the zeros $x_{i}$ of $Q_{K}(x)$ and the associated critical exponent $i$ is estim ated from the indicial equation. If there is only a single root at $x_{i}$ this is just

$$
i=K \quad 1 \quad \frac{Q_{K} \quad 1\left(x_{i}\right)}{x_{i} Q_{K}^{0}\left(x_{i}\right)}:
$$

The physical critical point is the rst singularity on the positive real axis.
In order to locate the singularities of the series in a system atic fashion we used the follow ing procedure: We calculate all $\left.\mathbb{L} ; \mathrm{N}_{0} ; \mathrm{N}_{1} ; \mathrm{N}_{2}\right]$ and $\left.\mathbb{L} ; \mathrm{N}_{0} ; \mathrm{N}_{1} ; \mathrm{N}_{2} ; \mathrm{N}_{3}\right]$ second- and third-order inhom ogeneous di erential approxim ants with $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{j} \quad 2$, that is the degrees of the polynom ials $Q_{i}$ di er by at $m$ ost 2. In addition we dem and that the total num ber of term $s$ used by the DA is at least $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax 10 , where $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}$ is the total num ber of term s available in the series. Each approxim ant yields $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{K}}$ possible singularities and associated exponents from the $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{K}}$ zeroes of $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{K}}(\mathrm{x})$ ( m any of these are of course not actual singularities of the series but $m$ erely spurious zeros.) N ext these zeroes are sorted into equivalence classes by the criterion that they lie at $m$ ost a distance $2^{k}$ apart. A $n$ equivalence class is accepted as a singularity if it appears in $m$ ore than $75 \%$ of the total num ber of approxim ants, and an estim ate for the singularity and exponent is obtained by averaging over the included approxin ants (the spread am ong the approxim ants is also calculated). The calculation was then repeated for $k \quad 1, k \quad 2,:::$ untila m inim al value of 8 w as reached. To avoid outputting well-converged singularities at every level, once an equivalence class has been accepted, the data used in the estim ate is discarded, and the subsequent analysis is carried out on the rem aining data only. O ne advantage of this $m$ ethod is that spurious outliers, som e of which w ill alm ost alw ays be present when so m any approxim ants are generated, are discarded system atically and autom atically.

### 4.1. The polygon series

First we apply our di erential approxim ant analysis to the self-avoiding polygon generating function. In table 1 we have listed the estim ates for the critical point $x_{c}^{2}$ and exponent 2 obtained from second-and third-order DAs. We note that all the estim ates are in perfect agreem ent (surely a best case scenario) in that within error-bars' they take the sam e value. From this we arrive at the estim ate $x_{c}^{2}=0: 2928932186$ (5) and $2=1: 5000004$ (10), where the error-bars re ect the spread am ong the estim ates and the individual error-bars (note that DA estim ates are not statistically independent so the nal error-bars exceed the individualones). The nalestim ates are in perfect agreem ent w ith the con jectured exact values $x_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}=1={ }^{2}=1=(2+\overline{2})=0.292893218813:::$ and $2=3=2$.

B efore proceeding we w ill consider possible sou roes of system atic errors. First and forem ost the possibility that the estim ates $m$ ight display a system atic drift as the num ber of term $s$ used is increased and secondly the possibility of num erical errors. The latter possibility is quickly

$F$ igure 2. $P$ lot of estim ates from third order di erential approxim ants for $x_{c}^{2} v$. the highest order term used and 2 vs. $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}$. The straight lines are the exact predictions.
dism issed. The calculations were perform ed using $128-6$ it real num bers. The estim ates from a few approxim ants w ere com pared to values obtained using M A P LE w ith 100 digits accuracy and th is clearly show ed that the program was num erically stable and rounding errors w ere negligible. In order to address the possibility of system atic drift and lack of convergence to the true critical values we refer to gure (this is probably not really necessary in this case but we include the analysis here in order to present the general method). In the left panel of gure 2 we have plotted the estim ates from third-order D A s for $x_{c}^{2}$ vs. the highest order term $N$ used by the DA. Each dot in the gure is an estim ate obtained from a speci capproxim ant. A s can be seen the estim ates clearly settle dow $n$ to the con jectured exact value (solid line) as N is increased and there is little to no evidence of any system atic drift at large N. O ne curious aspect though is the widening of the spread in the estim ates around $\mathrm{N}=140 . \mathrm{W}$ e have no explanation for this behaviour but it could quite possibly be caused by just a few spurious' approxim ants. In the right panelw e show the variation in the exponent estim ates $w$ ith the critical point estim ates. W e notice that the Curve' traced out by the estim ates pass through the intersection of the lines given by the exact values. W e have not been able to determ ine the reason for the apparent branching into two parts. H ow ever, we note that the low er branch' contain $m$ any $m$ ore approxim ants than the upper one.

The di erential approxim ant analysis can also be used to nd possible non-physical singularities of the generating function. A veraging over the estim ates from the DAs show $s$ that there is an additional non-physical singularity on the negative x -axis at $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}=1={ }^{2}=$
$0: 41230(2)$, where the associated critical exponent has a value consistent $w$ ith the exact value $=3=2$. In the left panel of gure3 we have plotted vs. the highest order term used by the DA s and we clearly see the convergence to a value consistent w th $=3=2$. If we take this value as being exact we can get a re ned estim ate of $x$ from the plot in the right panel of
gure3, where we notioe that the estim ates for cross the value $3=2$ for $\mathrm{x}=0: 412305$ (5) which we take as our nalestim ate. From this we then get $=1: 557366(10)$.

### 4.2. The walk series

N ext we apply the di erentialapproxim ant analysis to the self-avoiding walk generating function. In table 2 w have listed the estim ates for the critical point $x_{c}$ and exponent obtained from


Figure 3. P lot of estim ates from third order di erential approxim ants for the location x of the non-physical singularity and the associated exponent. The left shows vs. the highest order term used and right panel vs. x .

Table 2. C ritical point and exponent estim ates for self-avoiding walks.

| L | Second order D A |  | T hird order D A |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{C}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ |  |  |  |
| 0 | $0.541196097(19)$ | $1.34360(36)$ | $0.5411961075(19)$ | $1.3437685(54)$ |
| 5 | $0.5411961066(10)$ | $1.343770(18)$ | $0.5411961025(10)$ | $1.3437583(19)$ |
| 10 | $0.5411961065(12)$ | $1.3437669(53)$ | $0.54119610266(91)$ | $1.3437584(20)$ |
| 15 | $0.541961069(16)$ | $1.343776(68)$ | $0.5411961011(17)$ | $1.3437551(38)$ |
| 20 | $0.5411961059(21)$ | $1.3437646(29)$ | $0.5411961022(26)$ | $1.3437580(59)$ |

second- and third-order D A s. F irstly, we note that estim ates are about an order of m agnitude less accurate than in the polygon case. Secondly, there are now sm allbut nevertheless seem ingly system atic di erences between the second-and third order DAs (in particular the second-order hom ogeneous ( $L=0$ ) approxim ants are $m u c h$ less accurate than the other cases). On general theoretical grounds one w ould expect higher-order inhom ogeneous approxim ants to be better in that they can accom $m$ odate $m$ ore com plicated functional behaviour. So based $m$ ainly on the third-orderDA swe nally estim ate that 0:541196102 (4) and $=1: 343758$ (8). This is consistent $w$ ith the exact values $x_{C}=1==1=\overline{2+{ }^{P} \overline{2}}=0: 541196100146::$ : and $=43=32=1: 34375$, though the central estim ates for are system atically a bit too high (and the second-order DAs are worse).

In addition there is a singularity on the negative x -axis at $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{w}$ ith a critical exponent consistent with the value $1=2$, and a pair of singularities at $x=0: 64215$ (15)iw ith an exponent which is likely to equal $1=2$ (note that the value $0: 64215$ (15) is consistent with $1=$ ). These results help to at least partly explain why the walk series is m ore di cult to analyse than the polygon series. Thewalk series hasm ore non-physicalsingularities and one of these (at $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) is closer to the origin than the non-physical singularity of the polygon series. Furtherm ore, as argued and con $m$ ed num erically in the next section, the walk series has non-analytical corrections to scaling whereas the polygon series has only analytical corrections. A ll of these e ects conspire to $m$ ake the walk series $m$ uch harder to analyse and it is indeed a great testam ent


Figure 4. Plot of estim ates from third order di erential approxim ants for vs. the number of term s used by the DA and vs. $x_{c}$. The straight lines are the exact predictions.
to the $m$ ethod of di erential approxim ants that the analysis given above yields such accurate estim ates despite all these com plicating factors.

In gure4 we have plotted estim ates for, obtained from third-order DAs, against the num ber of term $s$ used by the DA (left panel) and against estim ates for the critical point $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{C}}$ (right panel). The estim ates for display som e rather curious and unexpected variations w ith the num ber of term s. E arly on (around 80 term $s$ ) the estim ates seem $s$ to settle at a value above the exact result. A little later the estim ates start trending dow nw ards so that around 95 term s they are in excellent agreem ent w ith the exact value. H ow ever, the estim ates then unexpectedly start trending upw ards again so that $w$ ith $m$ ore than a 100 term $s$ the agreem ent $w$ ith the exact result is only $m$ arginal. $W$ e also notige that in the right panel estim ates for vs. $x_{C}$ happen to just $m$ iss the intersection betw een the lines $m$ arking the exact predictions. $T$ his behaviour is curious and we have no ready explanation for it other than once again draw ing attention to the quite com plicated functional form of the generating function. T he discrepancies betw een the estim ates and exact values is $m$ arginal and certainly not signi cant enough to raise questions about the correctness of the theoretical predictions.

### 4.3. M etric properties

$F$ inally, we brie $y$ tum our attention to the series for the $m$ etric properties of SAP sand SAW s. $W$ e actually study the $m$ etric generating functions $w$ ith integer coe cients $8 n^{2} p_{n} h R^{2} i_{n}, c_{n} h R_{e}^{2} i_{n}$, $\mathrm{n}^{2} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{hR}{ }_{\mathrm{g}}^{2} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}$, and $\mathrm{nc} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{RR}_{\mathrm{m}}^{2} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{n}}$, which have criticalexponents $(2)=2, \quad(+2)=91=32=$ $2: 84375$, $(+2+2)=155=32=4: 84375$, and $(+\quad+1)=123=32=3: 84375$, respectively (and as usual the polygon series use only the even term s). In table 3 we list the estim ates obtained for the critical point and exponents using averages over third-order DAs. $T$ he exponent estim ates from the SAP series are consistent $w$ th the expected value con m ing $=3=4$ as are the estim ates from the SAW series. The only possible exception is the end-to-end distance series w here the estim ates for both $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{C}}$ and the exponent are system atically a little to high. H ow ever, the discrepancy is not very large and probably not signi cant.

## 5. A m plitude estim ates

N ow that the exact values of and the exponents have been con $m$ ed we tum our attention to the \ ne structure" of the asym ptotic form of the coe cients. In particular we are interested in

Table 3. C ritical point and exponent estim ates for m etric properties of SAP s and SAW s.

| L | SAP radius of gyration |  | SAW end-to-end distance |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{C}}^{2}$ | $+2$ | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | + 2 |
| 0 | 0292893246 (10) | 2.000176 (35) | 0.5411961141 (14) | 2.8438094 (28) |
| 5 | 02928932440 (70) | 2.000169 (24) | 0.5411961136 (31) | 2.8438080 (64) |
| 10 | 0292893245 (24) | 2.000166 (92) | 0.5411961124 (37) | 2.8438054 (82) |
| 15 | 0292893235 (61) | 2.00008 (27) | 0.5411961133 (33) | 2.8438072 (66) |
| 20 | 0292893262 (42) | 2.00019 (11) | 0.5411961113 (25) | 2.8438031 (59) |
| SAW radius of gyration |  |  | SAW distance from end-point |  |
|  | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{C}}$ | $+2+2$ | $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{C}}$ | $+\quad+1$ |
| 0 | 0.541196111 (22) | 4.843788 (47) | 0.5411961013 (28) | 3.8437852 (95) |
| 5 | 0.541196115 (12) | 4.843806 (19) | 0.5411961014 (21) | 3.8437843 (90) |
| 10 | 0.5411961041 (91) | 4.843789 (21) | 0.5411961033 (52) | 3.843789 (11) |
| 15 | 0.5411961021 (77) | 4.843784 (21) | 0.5411961064 (75) | 3.843794 (22) |
| 20 | 0.5411961040 (49) | 4.843794 (10) | 0.5411961049 (40) | 3.8437954 (75) |

obtaining accurate estim ates for the leading critical am plitudes such as A and B. O ur m ethod of analysis consists in tting the coe cients to an assum ed asym ptotic form. Generally wem ust include a num ber of term $s$ in order to account for the behaviour of the generating function at the physical singu larity, the non-physical singu larities as w ell as sub-dom inant corrections to the leading order behaviour. A s we hope to dem onstrate, this m ethod of analysis can not only yield accurate am plitude estim ates, but it is often possible to clearly dem onstrate which corrections to scaling are present.

B efore proceeding w ith the analysis we brie y consider the kind of term s which occur in the generating fiunctions, and how they in uence the asym ptotic behaviour of the series coe cients. At the $m$ ost basic level a function $G(x)$ w ith a pow er-law singularity

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x)={ }_{n}^{x} g_{n} x^{n} \quad A(x)(1 \quad x) \quad ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $A(x)$ is an analytic function at $x=x_{c}$, gives rise to the asym ptotic form of the coe cients
that is we get a dom inant exponential grow th given by , modi ed by a sub-dom inant term given by the critical exponent followed by analytic corrections. T he am plitude $\mathbb{A}$ is related to the function $A(x)$ in (6) via the relation $A \sim A(1=)=()$. IfG ( $x$ ) has a non-analytic correction to scaling such as

$$
\left.G(x)={ }_{n}^{x} g_{n} x^{n} \quad(1 \quad x) \quad \begin{array}{ll}
h  \tag{8}\\
A & (x)+B(x)(1
\end{array} \quad x\right) \quad \text {; }
$$

we get the $m$ ore com plicated form

A singularity on the negative $x$-axis / $(1+\quad x)$ leads to additional corrections of the form

$F$ igure 5. $P$ lots of ts for the self-avoiding polygon am plitude $B$ using in the left panel the asym ptotic form (12) which ignores the singularity at $x=x$, and in the $m$ iddle panel the asym ptotic form (13) which includes the singularity at $x=x$. The right panel gives a closer look at the data form the $m$ iddle panel.

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1)^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }_{\text {i }}^{1} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{X}}=\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{i}}: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Singularities in the com plex plane are m ore com plicated. H ow ever, a pair of singularities in the complex axis at $\equiv$, that is a term of the form $D(x)\left(1+{ }^{2} x^{2}\right)$, generally results in coe cients that change sign according to $a++\quad$ pattem. This can be accom $m$ odated by term $s$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1)^{b n=2 c} n_{n} \underbrace{}_{i}{ }^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{i}}: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

All of these possible contribution must then be put together in an assum ed asym ptotic expansion for the coe cients $g_{n}$ and we obtain estim ates for the unknow $n$ am plitudes by directly
tting $g$ to the assum ed form. That is we take a sub-sequence of term $s g_{n} ; g_{n} 1 ;::: ; g_{n} k g_{\text {, }}$ plug into the assum ed form and solve the $k+1$ linear equations to obtain estim ates for the rst few am plitudes. A s we shall dem onstrate below this allow s us to probe the asym ptotic form .
5.1. E stim ating the polygon am plitude B
$T$ he asym ptotic form of the coe cients $p_{n}$ of the generating function of square and triangular lattioe SA P s has been studied in detail previously [19, 12, 14, 17]. T here is now clear num erical evidence that the leading correction-to-scaling exponent for SAPs and SAW $s$ is $1=3=2$, as predicted by N ienhuis [2, 3]. A s argued in [19] this leading correction term com bined with the $2=3=2$ term of the SAP generating function produces an analytic background term as can be seen from eq. (8). Indeed in the previous analysis of SA P s there was no sign of non-analytic corrections-to-scaling to the generating function (a strong indirect argum ent that the leading correction-to-scaling exponent $m$ ust be half-integer valued). At rst we ignore the singularity at x (since $\dot{x} j>x_{c}^{2}$ it is exponentially suppressed) and obtain estim ates for $B$ by tting pr to the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}={ }^{n} n^{5=2} B+X_{i=1}^{X^{k}} a_{i}=n^{i}: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hat is we take a sub-sequence of term $s f p_{n} ; p_{n} 2 ;::: ; p_{n} 2 k g$ ( $n$ even), plug into the form ula above and solve the $k+1$ linear equations to obtain estim ates for the am plitudes. It is then
advantageous to plot estim ates for the leading am plitude B against $1=n$ for several values of $k$. $T$ he results are plotted in the left panel of gure5. O bviously the am plitude estim ates are not well behaved and display clear parity e ects. So clearly we can't just ignore the singularity at $x$ (which gives rise to such $e$ ects) and we thus try tting to the $m$ ore general form

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}={ }^{n} n^{5=2} B+x_{i=1}^{x^{k}} a_{i}=n^{i}+(1)^{n=2} n_{n}^{5=2}{ }_{i=0}^{x^{k}} b_{i}=n^{i}: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he results from these ts are show $n$ in the $m$ iddle panel of gur司. N ow we clearly have very well behaved estim ates (note the signi cant change of scale along the $y$-axis from the left to the $m$ iddle panel). In the right panel we take a m ore detailed look at the data and from the plot we estim ate that $B=1: 2719299$ (1). W e notige that as more and m ore correction term sare added ( $k$ is increased) the plots of the am plitude estim ates exhibits less curvature and the slope becom e less steep. This is very strong evidence that (13) indeed is the correct asym ptotic form of $p_{n}$.
5.2. Estim ating the walk am plitude A

From the di erential approxim ant analysis we found that the walk generating function has non-physical singularities at $x=x_{C}$ and $x=i=$. In addition we expect from $N$ ienhuis's results (con $m$ ed by extensive num erical work [10]) a non-analytic correction-to-scaling term w th exponent $=3=2$, and since $=43=32$ this correction term does not van ish in the walk case. Ignoring for the $m$ om ent the pair of com plex singularities $w e$ rst try $w$ ith to $t$ to the asym ptotic form

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}={ }^{n} n^{11=32} A+X_{i=2}^{x^{k}} a_{i}=n^{i=2}+(1)^{n} n_{n}^{3=2^{x^{k}}} b_{i=0}=n^{i} ; \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the rst sum starts at $i=2$ because the leading correction is analytic. T he resulting estim ates for the leading am plitude A are plotted in the top left panel of gure6. C learly these am plitude estim ates are not well behaved so we better not ignore the com plex pair of singu larities! $T$ herefore we try again $w$ ith the asym ptotic form

T he estim ates for the leading am plitude A are plotted in the top right panel in gure6. These am plitude estim ates are not wellbehaved either and som ething is not quite right. N ext we try to change the way we included the com plex pair of singularities. In (15) we have assum ed that all term $s$ arising from the complex singularity have exactly the sam e sign-pattem. H ow ever, if we assum e that the analytic correction term $s$ arise from a functional form such as $D(x)\left(1+{ }^{2} x^{2}\right)$, where $D(x)$ is a analytic, then the analytic correction term s w ould actually have a shifted signpattem. W e therefore try tting to the slightly modi ed asym ptotic form

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n}=n^{n} n^{11=32} A+X_{i=2}^{k} a_{i}=n^{i=2}+(1)^{n} n^{n} n_{i=0}^{3=2^{k}} b_{i}=n^{i}+n^{n} n^{3=2} X^{k} \quad(1)^{b(n+i)=2 c} c_{i}=n^{i} ; \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where it should be noted that allwe have done is change the w ay we include the term $s$ from the com plex singu larities so as to shift the sign-pattem by a unit as is increased. T he new estim ates for the leading am plitude are plotted in the bottom left panel of gure6 and quite clearly the convergence is now very much im proved. In the bottom right panel of gure6we show a much $m$ ore detailed look at the data and from this plot we can estim ate that $A=1: 1449355$ (5) .

$F$ igure 6. P lots of ts for the self-avoiding walk am plitude A using di erent asym ptotic form $s$. In the top left panel we show plots using the form (14) which ignores the com plex singularity. In the top right panelwe include the com plex singularity via the form (15) while the bottom left panel includes the com plex singularity via the altemative form (16). The bottom right panel is a m ore detailed look at this latter case.

### 5.3. T he correction-to-scaling exponent

In this section we shallbrie $y$ show how the $m$ ethod of direct tting can be used to di erentiate betw een various possible values for the leading correction-to-scaling exponent 1 (recall the tw o theoretical prediction $\quad 1=3=2$ by $N$ ienhuis and $\quad 1=11=16$ by Saleur). A $s$ already stated there is now $m$ evidence from previous work that the $N$ ienhuis result is correct. H ere we shall present further evidence. D i erent values for 1 leads to di erent assum ed asym ptotic form sfor the coe cients. For the SAP series we argued that a value $1=3=2$ (or indeed any half-integer value) would result only in analytic corrections to the generating function and thus that $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}$ asym ptotically w ould be given by (13). If on the other hand we have a generic value for 1 we would get

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{n}={ }^{n} n^{5=2} B+x_{i=1}^{x^{k}} a_{i}=n^{i}+x_{i=0}^{x^{k}} b_{i}=n^{1+i}+(1)^{n=2} n^{n}{ }_{i=0}^{5=2^{x^{k}}} C_{i}=n^{i}: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fitting to this form we can then estim ate the am plitude bo of the term $1=n{ }^{1}$. W e would expect that if we used a m anifestly incorrect value for 1 then bo should vanish asym ptotically thus dem onstrating that this term is really absent from (17). So we tried tting to this form using


Figure 7. P lots of estim ates for the amplitude of the term $1=n^{1}$. The left panel show s results from ts to the form (18) where only the leading order term $1=n^{1}$ is inchded (as well as analytical corrections). In the m iddle panel additional term sof the form $1=n^{1+i}$ are included and in the right panel term $s$ like $1=n^{m} \quad 1+i$ are included.
the value ${ }_{1}=11=16$. M ore precisely we $t$ to the generic form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}=n_{n}^{5=2^{k}} a_{i}=n^{i}+(1)^{n=2} n n^{5=2^{k}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}=n^{i}: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the rst instance we include only the leading term arising from 1 , that is we use the sequence of exponents $i=f 0 ; 11=16 ; 1 ; 2 ; 3 ;::: g . W$ e also $t$ to $a$ form in $w h$ ich the additional analytical corrections arising from 1 are included leading to the sequence of exponents
$i=f 0 ; 11=16 ; 1 ; 27=16 ; 2 ; 33=16 ; 3 ; 49=16 ;::: g$. A s stated in Section 2m ore generally one w ould also expect term sof the form $1=n^{m} \quad{ }^{1+i} \mathrm{w}$ ith $m$ a non-negative integer. $T$ his leads to ts to the form given above but w th $i=f 0 ; 0: 6875 ; 1 ; 1: 375 ; 1: 6875 ; 2 ; 2: 0625 ; 2: 375 ; 2: 6875 ; 2: 75 ; 3::: 9$. $T$ he estim ates of the am plitude of the term $1=n^{1}$ as obtained from ts to these form $s$ are shown in gure7. A s can be seen from the left panel, where we $t$ to the rst case scenario, the am plitude clearly seem s to converge to 0 , which would indicate the absence of this term in the asym ptotic expansion for $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}$. In the m iddle and right panels we show the results from ts to the $m$ ore general form s . A gain the estim ates are consistent $w$ ith the am plitude being 0 . Though in this case the evidence is not quite as convincing. T his is how ever not really surprising given that the incorrect value $1=11=16$ gives rise to a plethora of absent term $\mathrm{s} w \mathrm{~h}$ ich w ill tend to greatly obscure the true asym ptotic behaviour.
5.4. Am plitude ratios $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{C}$

From ts to the coe cients in the $m$ etric series we nd AC $=1: 0141$ (1), AD $=0: 14225$ (5) and AE $=0: 4458(1)$ and thus the ratios are

$$
D=C=0: 14027(6) \quad \text { and } \quad E=C=0: 43960(15)
$$

$\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{C}$ can also be estim ated directly from the relevant quotient sequence, e.g. $r_{n}=h R_{g}^{2} i_{n}=h R{ }_{e}^{2} i_{n}$, using the follow ing $m$ ethod due to $O$ w czarek et al. [20]: G iven a segruence of the form $g_{n} \quad g_{1}\left(1+b=n+:::\right.$, we construct a new sequence $f h_{n} g$ de ned by $h_{h}=\begin{array}{c}n \\ m=1\end{array} g_{m}$. The associated generating function then has the behaviour $h_{n} x^{n} \quad\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & g_{1} & x\end{array}\right)^{(1+b)}$, and we can now estim ate $g_{1}$ form a di erential approxim ant analysis. In this way, we obtained the estim ates

$$
D=C=0: 1403001(2) \quad \text { and } \quad E=C=0: 439635(1)
$$



Figure 8. P lots of the estim ates for the am plitude com bination 8B F.

These am plitude estim ates leads to a high precision con $m$ ation of the CSCPS relation $\mathrm{H}=0: 000003$ (13).
5.5. Am plitude com bination B F
$N$ ext we study the asym ptotic form of the coe cients $r_{n}=8 n^{2} p_{n} h R^{2} i_{n}$ for the radius ofgyration. The generating function has critical exponent $(+2)=2$, so the leading correction-toscaling term no longer becom es part of the analytic background term. W e thus use the follow ing asym ptotic form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{n} \quad{ }^{n} n^{2} 8 B F+\underbrace{X}_{i 0} a_{i}=n^{1+i=2} 5+(1)^{n=2} n_{i=0}^{n^{k}} b_{i}=n^{i}: \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

In gure8 we plot the resulting estim ates for the am plitude 8 BF . T he predicted exact value [6] is $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{p}}=\frac{5}{32^{2}} \mathrm{a}_{0}=\frac{15^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{3}}{64^{2}}=0: 0411312745:::$, where for the honeycomblattice $=2$ and $a_{0}=3^{P} \overline{3}=4$. C learly extrapolation of these num erical results $y$ ield estim ates consistent $w$ ith the theoretical prediction.
5.6. Am plitude ratios of area-w eighted m om ents
$T$ he am plitudes of the area-w eighted $m$ om ents were studied in [21]. W e tted the coe cients to the assum ed form

$$
\begin{equation*}
n p_{n} h^{m} i_{n} \quad n_{n} n^{2 m}+{ }^{2} m!^{4} G_{m}+{ }_{i 0}^{x^{k}} a_{i}=n^{1+i=25}+(1)^{n=2} n n^{2 m}+2_{i=0}^{X^{k}} b_{i}=n^{i} ; \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the am plitude $G_{m}=G{ }^{(m)} B=m$ ! is related to the am plitude de ned in equation (1g). The scaling function prediction for the am plitudes $G_{m}$ is [7]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.G_{2 m} B^{2 m} \quad 1=\frac{C_{2 m}}{43 m} \frac{(3 m}{3} 2\right)!; \quad G_{2 m+1} B^{2 m}=\frac{C_{2 m+1}}{(3 m} 3\right)!\quad 3 m+12^{6 m+2} ; \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the num bers $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$ are given by the quadratic recursion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}}+(3 \mathrm{~m} \quad 4) \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad 1+\frac{1}{2}_{\mathrm{r}=1}^{\mathrm{rX}} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}}^{1} \quad \mathrm{r} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{r}}=0 ; \quad \mathrm{C}_{0}=1: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 9. P lots of the estim ates for som e of the am plitude com binations $G_{m} B^{m}{ }^{1}$.

In gur $\ddagger 9$ we have plotted the resulting estim ates for som e of the am plitude ratios. C learly the num erical results are fully consistent w ith the theoretical predictions.
6. Sum $m$ ary and conclusions

In this paper we have studied series for self-avoiding walks and polygons on the honeycom b lattioe, including series for $m$ etric properties and $m$ om ents of the area-distribution for polygons. W e used various $m$ ethods from Tony $G$ uttm ann's toolkit to analyse the series. The connective constant, critical exponents and $m$ any am $p$ litude com binations are know $n$ exactly, $m$ aking it the perfect test-bed for series analysis techniques.

In section 4 we used di erential approxim ants to obtain estim ates for the singularities and exponents of the SAP and SAW generating functions. A nalysis of the SAP series (section 4.1] yielded very accurate estim ates for the critical point $x_{c}^{2}=0.2928932186(5)$ and exponent $2=1: 5000004$ (10). The estim ates agree $w$ th the con jectured exact values $x_{c}^{2}=1=\left(2+{ }^{2} \overline{2}\right)=$ $0: 292893218813::$ : and $2=3=2$. In addition we found clear evidence of a non-physical singularity on the negative axis at $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}=0: 412305$ (5) with an associated critical exponent
$=3=2$. The analysis of the SAW series (section 4.2) also yielded estim ates consistent $w$ th the predictions of the exact values. In this case there was a non-physical singularity at $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{c}}$ as well as a pair of com plex singularities at $\mathrm{x}=0: 64215$ (15)i. So the excellent agreem ent is particularly im pressive in light of the quite com plicated functional form of the generating function which has at least three non-physical singularities as well as non-analytical corrections to scaling. So the walk series is obviously much harder to analyse and it is a great testam ent to the $m$ ethod of di erential approxim ants that the analysis nevertheless yields such accurate estim ates.

In section 5 we looked closer at the asym ptotic form of the coe cients. In particular we obtained accurate estim ates for the leading criticalam plitudes A and B.O urm ethod of analysis consisted in tting the coe cients to an assum ed asym ptotic form. In section 5.1. we analysed the SAP series and dem onstrated clearly that in tting to the coe cients we cannot ignore the singularity at $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}$ even though it is exponentially suppressed asym ptotically. A fter inclusion of this term estim ates for the leading am plitude B were well behaved when including only analytic corrections and we found B = 1:2719299 (1). W e argued that this behaviour was consistent with a corrections-to-scaling exponent $\quad 1$ being half-integer valued and in particular consistent w ith the prediction by $N$ ienhuis that $\quad 1=3=2$ (in section 5.3 we show ed the absence of a term with $1=11=16$ ). In the analysis of the SAW series we discovered som e subtleties regarding the inclusion of the term $s$ arising from the com plex pair of singularities. Despite a quite com plicated asym ptotic form (16) taking into account all the singularities and the corrections-to-scaling exponent $1=3=2$ we could still obtain a quite accurate amplitude estim ate $A=1: 1449355$ (5). This analysis clearly show s that it is possible to probe quite deeply
into the asym ptotic behaviour of the series coe cients and in particular to distinguish betw een di erent corrections to scaling.
$\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{m}$ ail or W W W retrieval of series
The series for the generating functions studied in this paper can be obtained via e-m ail by sending a request to IJensen@ m s.unim elb edu au or via the world wide web on the URL http://w w w m s.unim elb edu au/ invan/ by follow ing the instructions.
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