# P hase transitions of a tethered m em brane m odelon a torus w ith intrinsic curvature 

Isao Endo ${ }^{a}$ and $H$ iroshiK oibuchi ${ }^{\text {b }}$<br>${ }^{a}$ D epartm ent of $E$ lectrical and $E$ lectronic System Engineering, Ibaraki C ollege of Technology, N akane 866, H itachinaka, Ibaraki 312-8508, Japan<br>${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ D epartm ent of M echanical and System s E ngineering, Ibaraki C ollege of Technology, N akane 866, H itachinaka, Ibaraki 312-8508, Japan


#### Abstract

A tethered surface $m$ odel is investigated by using the canonical M onte $C$ arlo sim ulation technique on a torus with an intrinsic curvature. We nd that the model undergoes a rst-orderphase transition betw een the sm ooth phase and the crum pled one.


K ey w ords: P hase Transition, Intrinsic C urvature, E lastic M em branes PACS: $64.60 .-\mathrm{i}, 68.60 . \mathrm{p}, 87.16 \mathrm{D} \mathrm{g}$

1 Introduction

Recently, it has been grow ing that the concem with elastic surface models of $H$ elfrich and Polyakov K leinert [1,2,3,4,5]. A considerable num ber of studies have been conducted on the phase transition betw een the sm ooth phase and the crum pled one over the past tw o decades $[6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,2$

C urvature energies play a crucial role in sm oothing the surface. A ccording to curvature energies, surface $m$ odels can be divided into tw o classes; one $w$ ith an extrinsic curvature and the other with an intrinsic curvature. It is also possible that both extrinsic and intrinsic curvatures are inchuded in a model H am iltonian. Intrinsic curvature is the one that is de ned only by using the $m$ etric tensor ( rst fiundam ental form ) of the surface, and extrinsic curvature is de ned by using the extrinsic curvature tensor (second fundam ental form ) of the surface [37]. B oth of the $m$ ean curvature $H$ and the $G$ aussian curvature

[^0]$K$ de ned by using the extrinsic curvature tensor, are considered as an extrinsic curvature. H ow ever, the G aussian curvature can also be considered as an intrinsic curvature, because of the relation $2 \mathrm{~K}=\mathrm{R}$, where R is the scalar curvature de ned only by using the $m$ etric tensor.

Intrinsic curvature $m$ odels were rst studied by $B$ aillie et. al. in $[38,39,40,41]$. $T$ he shape of surfaces can be strongly in uenced by intrinsic curvatures. Re cently several num erical studies have been $m$ ade on the phase diagram of the m odelw ith intrinsic curvature [23,24]. It was reported that the $m$ odel undergoes a rst-order phase transition betw een the sm ooth phase and the crum pled phase on a sphere [23] and on a disk [24]. A sa consequence the phase structure of the $m$ odel has been partly clari ed: the transition can be seen independent of w hether the surface is com pact or non-com pact.

H ow ever, the model is not yet su ciently understood. Rem aining sub ject to be con med is whether the phase transition and the topology-change are com patible in the surface $m$ odel on com pact surfaces. H ere com patible $m$ eans that both of two phenom ena lead to the sam e result w ithout depending on which phenom enon rstly occurs. If we de ne the surface $m$ odel on com pact surfaces, it is reasonable to consider the topology-change of surfaces. In fact, the partition function for the closed string $m$ odel of Polyakov includes the sum $m$ ation over topology. M oreover, a toroidal vesicle and a genus two vesicle w ith two holes can be observed in biologicalm em branes [4].

Therefore, it is interesting, even in the context of the tethered surfaces, to study whether the rst-order transition can be observed on a torus, as one of the higher genus surfaces. The phase transition $m$ ight be seen only on spherical surfaces, if the phase transition and the topology-change are incom patible.

In this Letter, in order to con $m$ the com patibility we will show that a rstorder transition can be seen in the $m$ odel on a torus $w$ ith intrinsic curvature and that the phase transition is identical to that observed in the $m$ odel on a sphere reported in [23].

## 2 M odel

A triangulated realtorus is obtained by m odifying a triangulated rectangular surface of size $L_{1} \quad L_{2}$ as show $n$ in $F$ ig. 1 (a). B ending the surface and connecting the sides of length $L_{1}$, we have a cylinder of length $L_{1}$. Then the rem aining two-sides of the cylinder are connected as in the rst-step. Thus, we have a realtorus as show $n$ in $F$ ig. 1 (b), which is topologically identical to the surface in $F$ ig. 1 (a) under the periodic boundary condition. The real torus m entioned above will be used in the sim ulations.


## (a)

(b)

Fig. 1. (a) Rectangular surface of size $L_{1} \quad L_{2}=2010$, and (b) the real torus obtained by connecting the opposite sides of the surface in (a).

The real tonus is, therefore, characterized by the ratio $\mathrm{L}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{2}$. Two kinds of tori are used in the sim ulations: $\mathrm{L}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{2}=2$ and $\mathrm{L}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{2}=4$. F igure 1 (b) is the torus of size $\mathrm{N}=200$ of the rst type $\mathrm{L}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{2}=2$, where $\mathrm{L}_{1}=20$ and $\mathrm{L}_{2}=10$. Every vertex has a coordination num ber $=6$ on the tonus.

T he G aussian tethering potential $S_{1}$ and the intrinsic curvature $S_{3}$ are de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}={\underset{(i j)}{X}\left(X_{i} \quad X_{j}\right)^{2} ; S_{3}=X_{i}^{x} \log (i=2) ; ~ ; ~}_{i} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{P}{ }_{\text {(ij) }}$ is the sum over bond (ij) connecting the vertioes $X_{i}$ and $X_{j}$, and $i$ in $S_{3}$ is the sum of angles of the triangles $m$ eeting at the vertex $i$, and ${ }_{i}$ is the sum over vertioes $i$.

The partition function is de ned by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
Z()={ }_{i=1}^{Z} d X_{i} \exp [S(X)] ; \\
S(X)=S_{1}+S_{3} ;
\end{array}
$$

where $N$ is the total num ber of vertioes, which is equal to $L_{1} \quad L_{2}$ as described previously. T he expression $S(X)$ show s that $S$ explicitly depends on the variable X . The coe cient is a m odulus of the intrinsic curvature. T he surfaces are allow ed to self-intersect, and the center of each surface is xed in the partition function $Z()$ to rem ove the translational zero-m ode.

W e note, as described in [23], that the intrinsic curvature term $S_{3}={ }^{P}{ }_{i} \log (i=2)$ com es from the integration $m$ easure ${ }_{i} d X{ }_{i} q_{i}$ [42] in the partition function for the m odel on a sphere, where $q_{i}$ is the co-ordination num ber of the vertex $i$. The term $S_{3}={ }^{P} \log \left({ }_{i}=2\right)$ becom es $m$ inim um $w$ hen $i=2$ for $a l l i$, and hence it becom es $s m$ aller on a sm ooth torus than on a crum pled one. It is also exact that the term $S_{3}={ }_{P}{ }_{i}\left(\begin{array}{ll}i & 2\end{array}\right)^{2}$ can be minim ized on sm ooth con gurations of the tonus. The reason for using the tem $S_{3}={ }_{i} \log (i=2)$, as an intrinsic curvature on the tonus as in the $m$ odel on a sphere [23], is that $S_{3}$ is closely related to the previously $m$ entioned integration $m$ easure.

3 M onte C arlo technique

W e use tw o groups of surfaces classi ed by the ratio $L_{1}=L_{2}$ in the sim ulations as m entioned above. The rst is characterized by $\mathrm{L}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{2}=2$ and is com posed of surfaces of size $N=1800, N=3200, N=5000$, and $N=9800 . T$ he second is characterized by $\mathrm{L}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{2}=4$ and is com posed of surfaces of size $\mathrm{N}=1762$, $N=3600, N=6400$, and $N=10000$.

The variables $X$ are updated by using the canonical $M$ onte $C$ arlo technique so that $X^{0}=X+X$, where the sm all change $X$ is $m$ ade at random in a sm all sphere in $R^{3}$. The radius $r$ of the $s m$ all sphere is chosen at the start of the sim ulations to $m$ aintain the rate of acceptance $r_{X}$ for the $X$ update as $0: 4 \quad r_{X} \quad 0: 6$.

T he totalnum ber ofM C S (M onte C arlo sw eeps) after the therm alization M C S is about $1: 5 \quad 10^{8}$ in the sm ooth phase at the transition point of surfaces of $\mathrm{N} \quad$ 5000, and about $1: 2 \quad 10^{8}$ for the sm aller surfaces. R elatively sm aller num ber of MCS (0:8 $\left.10^{8} \quad 1: 5 \quad 10^{8}\right)$ is titerated in the crum pled phase, because if the surfaces becom e once crum pled they hardly retum sm ooth. This irreversibility was also seen in the model on a sphere [23] and in the m odel on a disk [24].

A random num ber called $M$ ersenne $T$ w ister [43] is used in the M C sim ulations. W e use two sequences of random numbers; one for 3-dim ensional move of vertices $X$ and the other for the $M$ etropolis acaept/reject in the update of $X$.

## $4 \quad \mathrm{R}$ esults

Figures $2(\mathrm{a})$ and $2(\mathrm{~b})$ show $\mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{N}$ against obtained on the type $\mathrm{L}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{2}=2$ surfaces and on the type $\mathrm{L}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{2}=4$ surfaces, respectively. W e nd from these gures that the expected relation $S_{1}=\mathrm{N}=1: 5$ is satis ed. Scale invariance of
the partition function predicts that $S_{1}=\mathrm{N}=1: 5 . \mathrm{W}$ e expect that this relation should not be in uenced by whether the phase transition is of rst order or not.


Fig.2. $\mathrm{S}_{1}=\mathrm{N}$ vs. obtained from the torioftypes (a) $\mathrm{L}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{2}=2$ and (b) $\mathrm{L}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{2}=4$.

The size of surfaces can be re ected in the $m$ ean square size $X^{2}$ de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{2}=\frac{1}{N}_{i}^{X} \quad X_{i} \quad X^{2} ; \quad X=\frac{1}{N}_{i}^{X} X_{i}: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, it is expected that the surfaces becom e sm ooth in the lim it ! 1 and crum pled in the lim it ! 0. In order to see how large the size of surfaces of the type $L_{1}=L_{2}=2$ is, we plot $X^{2}$ against in $F$ ig. 3 (a). Figure 3 (b) show s those for surfaces of the type $\mathrm{L}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{2}=4$. W e nd a phase transition separating the sm ooth phase from the crum pled one both in $F$ igs. 3 (a) and 3 (b). The transition seem s as a rst-order one because $X^{2}$ discontinuously changes at nite .
$F$ igures 4 (a) and $4(b)$ show log-log plots of $X^{2}$ against $N$, obtained just below and above the transition point c where $\mathrm{X}^{2}$ discontinuously changes as show $n$ in Figs. 3 (a) and 3 (b). The straight line denoted by sm ooth (crum pled) is obtained by tting $X^{2}$ in the sm ooth (crum pled) phase just above (below) c by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}^{2} \quad \mathrm{~N}^{2=\mathrm{H}} ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H$ is the $H$ ausdor dim ension. Thus we have

$$
=\begin{array}{ll} 
& \stackrel{8}{\gtrless} 2: 10 \tag{5}
\end{array} \quad 0: 03 ; \text { (sm ooth); }
$$



Fig. 3. $\mathrm{X}^{2}$ vs. obtained on surfaces of the type (a) $\mathrm{L}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{2}=2$ and the type (b) $\mathrm{L}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{2}=4$. T he surfaces in (a) are of size from $\mathrm{N}=1800$ to $\mathrm{N}=9800$, and those in (b) are of size from $N=1764$ to $N=10000$.



Fig. 4. Log-log plots of $X^{2}$ vs. $N$ obtained just above and below the transition point $c$ on surfaces of the types (a) $\mathrm{L}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{2}=4$ and (b) $\mathrm{L}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{2}=4$. The straight lines in (a) and (b) are draw $n$ by tting the data to Eq. (4).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \stackrel{8}{\gtrless} 2: 17 \quad 0: 02 \text {; (sm ooth); } \\
& \text { H }\left(\mathrm{L}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{2}=4\right)=  \tag{6}\\
& ? 14: 0 \text { 0:6; (crum pled): }
\end{align*}
$$

The results $H=2: 10$ (3) in (5) and $H=2: 17$ (2) in (6) im ply that the surfaces are alm ost $s m$ ooth in the $s m$ ooth phase. On the contrary, $H=12.2$ (7) in (5) and $H=14: 0$ (6) in (6) im ply that the surfaces are highly crum pled in the crum pled phase close to the transition point.

The phase transition can also be re ected in the convergence speed of the M C sim ulations. In order to see the convergence speed, we plot the autocorrelation coe cient $A\left(S_{2}\right)$ in $F$ igs. 5 (a) and 5 (b). The autocorrelation coe cient is


Fig.5.T he auto-correlation coe cient $A\left(S_{2}\right)$ in the sm ooth and the crum pled phases on the tori of the types (a) $L_{1}=L_{2}=2$ and (b) $L_{1}=L_{2}=4$. The auto-correlation coe cient $A\left(X^{2}\right)$ obtained on the toriof the types (c) $L_{1}=L_{2}=2$ and (d) $L_{1}=L_{2}=4$. de ned by

$$
\begin{align*}
A\left(S_{2}\right)=\frac{P}{P_{i} S_{2}\left(i_{i}\right) S_{2}(i+1)}  \tag{7}\\
{\left[{ }_{i} S_{2}(i)\right]^{2} }
\end{align*} ;
$$

where $S_{2}$ is the bending energy de ned by $S_{2}={ }^{P}{ }_{i}\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & \mathrm{Cos} \\ \mathrm{i}\end{array}\right)$. The horizontal axes in the gures represent $500 \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}=1 ; 2$; $) \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CS}$, which is a samplingsw eep betw een the sam ples $S_{2}\left({ }_{i}\right)$ and $S_{2}\left({ }_{i+1}\right)$.The coe cients $A\left(S_{2}\right)$ in $F$ igs. 5 (a) and 5 (b) are obtained on surfaces of $\mathrm{L}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{2}=2$ and $\mathrm{L}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{2}=4$ respectively. From these gures, we can nd that the convergence speed for $S_{2}$ in the sm ooth phase is 10 tim es or m ore larger than that in the crum pled phase.

Figures 5 (c) and 5 (d) show the autocorrelation coe cient $A\left(X{ }^{2}\right.$ ) for the $m$ ean square size $X^{2}$. C ontrary to the behavior of $A\left(S_{2}\right)$, there is no di erence in $A\left(X^{2}\right)$ between the crum pled phase and the sm ooth phase. The reason why the convergence speed for $X^{2}$ in the $m$ ooth phase is alm ost identical to the one in the cnum pled phase is that the phase space volum e $\left(R^{3}\right)$, where the vertices $X_{i}$ take their values, is alm ost the sam e both in the $s m$ ooth phase and in the cnum pled phase. The shape of surfaces in the sm ooth phase is
considered to be alm ost xed, although they extend to relatively large region in $R^{3}$. This behavior ofA ( $X^{2}$ ) is very sim ilar to the one in a $m$ odelon a sphere $w$ ith extrinsic curvature [31] but very di erent from the one in the $m$ odel on a disk w ith intrinsic curvature [24], where $A\left(X^{2}\right)$ in the $s m$ ooth phase is quite larger than that in the crum pled phase close to the transition point. T herefore, we understand from $A\left(S_{2}\right)$ and $A\left(X^{2}\right)$ in $F$ igs. 5 (a) \{ $5(d)$ that the toroidal surface can uctuate only locally, and there is no large-deform ation of the surface in the sm ooth phase. It is quite likely that the shape of surface in the sm ooth phase is alm ost unchanged in the $m$ odel on com pact surfaces, and it largely changes in the $m$ odel on non-com pact surfaces so that the phase space volum em ay be relatively large.

The phenom enon of critical slow ing dow $n$ can not be seen both in $A\left(S_{2}\right)$ and in $A\left(X^{2}\right)$ at the transition point. The reason of this is because of irreversibility of the transition. The transition from the crum pled phase to the sm ooth one appears to be irreversible. A smentioned in the chapter 3, if the vertioes once localize to a sm all region in $R^{3}$ at the transition point, they hardly expand to be a sm ooth surface.


Fig.6. $\mathrm{S}_{3}=\mathrm{N}$ vs. obtained on the toriof (a) $\mathrm{L}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{2}=4$ and (b) $\mathrm{L}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{2}=4$.
The intrinsic curvature energy $S_{3}=N$ is shown in $F$ igs. 6 (a) and 6 (b), and it is clear that $S_{3}=\mathrm{N}$ changes discontinuously against. This indicates the existence of a rst-order phase transition. The variation of $\mathrm{S}_{2}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{B}}$ against is show $n$ in $F$ igs. 7 (a) and 7 (b), where $N_{B}$ is the total num ber of bonds, which is given by $N_{B}=3 N . T$ he discontinuous change of $S_{2}=N_{B}$ indicates that the phase transition separates the sm ooth phase from the crum pled phase.

Snapshots of surfaces of the type $L_{1}=L_{2}=4$ are show $n$ in $F$ igs. 8 (a) and 8 (b), which are obtained at $=1000$ and $=1050$. The size of surfaces in F igs. 8 (a) and 8 (b) is of $N=10000$. Sections of the surfaces in $F$ igs. 8 (a) and 8 (b) are show $n$ in $F$ igs. 8 (c) and 8 (d) respectively. It is easy to see that the surface in Fig. 8 (a) is crum pled and that the surface in $F$ ig. 8 (b) is sm ooth. A though the surface in $F$ ig. 8 (b) seem $s$ to be a cylinder or a tube, it is alm ost sm ooth


Fig. 7. $S_{2}=N_{B}$ vs. on the toriof (a) $L_{1}=L_{2}=4$ and (b) $L_{1}=L_{2}=4$, where $N_{B}(=3 N$ ) is the total num ber of bonds.


Fig. 8. Snapshots of surfaces of the type $L_{1}=L_{2}=4$ and of size $N=10000$ at (a)
= 1000, and at (b) = 1050, and (c) a section of the surface in (a), (d) sections of the surface in (b). Figures are show $n$ in the sam e scale.
as can be seen in the section show $n$ in $F$ ig. 8 (d). W e note that the cylindrical surface in $F$ ig. $8(\mathrm{~b})$ is rotationally sym m etric only around the longitudinal axis of the surface.

The appearance of an axis along such cylindrical and sm ooth surface in F ig. 8 (b) does not im ply a spontaneous sym $m$ etry breaking, which can be seen in a surface $m$ odel in [30]. In fact, a realtonus such as the one show $n$ in $F$ ig. 1 (b) is also rotationally sym $m$ etric only along the axis perpendicular to the hole of the torus. In this sense, a sym $m$ etry of the $m$ odel in the sm ooth phase is equivalent to the one of the real torus shown in $F$ ig. 1 (b). On the contrary, the surface in the crum pled phase is expected to have a higher sym $m$ etry just like in the crum pled phase of the $m$ odel on a sphere and on a disk.

## 5 Sum $m$ ary and conclusion

In sum m ary, our attentions were focused on the com patibility of the topology change and the phase transition in a tethered surface $m$ odel on com pact surfaces with intrinsic curvature. In order to see this com patibility, we have studied them odelon two kinds oftoriin $\mathrm{R}^{3}$; one is characterized by $\mathrm{L}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{2}=2$ and the other by $\mathrm{L}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{2}=4$, where $\mathrm{L}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ are the length of two sides of rectangles which are deform ed to be the tori by connecting the sides. $M$ onte $C$ arlo $\operatorname{sim}$ ulations w ere perform ed on surfaces of size up to $N \notin L_{1} L_{2}$ )' $110^{4}$ in both kinds of tori.

W e found that the $m$ odel undergoes a rst-order phase transition separating the $s m$ ooth phase from the crum pled one on both kinds of tori. The sm ooth phase is characterized by H ausdor dim ension H ' 2, which is identicalw ith the topological dim ension of surfaces. The surface in the crum pled phase is com pletely collapsed, and characterized by large values of H ausdor dim ension. T hese results indicate that the phase transition on a torus is identical w th that on a sphere [23] and that on a disk [24]. Thus we can conclude that the topology change and the phase transition is com patible in the tethered $m$ odel of surfaces $w$ ith the intrinsic curvature. M oreover, the autocorrelation coe cients A ( $\mathrm{X}^{2}$ ) obtained on the tori in this paper and on the disk in [24] enable us to consider that com pact surfaces deform very little in the sm ooth phase, and on the contrary, non-com pact surfaces can largely deform in the sm ooth phase.

An interesting problem to be studied is whether the com patibility is present in the $m$ odel $w$ th extrinsic curvature, which exhibits a rst-order transition on a sphere [23]. It is also interesting to see how the phase transition of the intrinsic curvaturem odel is in uenced by the uidity of lateral di usion, which is realized on dynam ically triangulated surfaces.

This work is supported in part by a G rant-in-A id for Scienti c R esearch, No. 15560160.
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