E lectrically detected electron spin resonance in a high m obility silicon quantum well

Junya Matsunami, Mitsuaki Ooya, and Tohru Okamoto

Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan

(D ated: today)

The resistivity change due to electron spin resonance (ESR) absorption is investigated in a highm obility two-dimensional electron system formed in a Si/SiG e heterostructure. Results for a specie c Landau level con guration demonstrate that the primary cause of the ESR signal is a reduction of the spin polarization, not the electron heating. The longitudinal spin relaxation time T₁ is obtained to be of the order of 1 m s in an in-plane magnetic eld of 3.55 T. The suppression of the elds due to high-frequency spin precession explains the very long T₁.

PACS num bers: 76.30.-v, 73.21 Fg, 72.25 Rb, 73.43.-f

E lectron spin in sem iconductor devices has recently attracted much attention in the context of the quantum computation and spintronics application [1]. E lectron spin resonance (ESR) is a promising technique to manipulate spins directly, and electrical detection via a change in resistivity is preferable for the read out of the local spin states. However, the origin of the resistivity change due to ESR absorption has not been established. In previous works performed on G aAs two-dimensional electron systems (2DESs) in high magnetic elds [2, 3, 4, 5], the sign of the change $_{xx}$ in longitudinal resistivity $_{xx}$ was found to be the same as that of the derivative of $_{xx}$ with respect to temperature T and the e ect of electron heating has not been excluded.

For fabricating spintronics devices, silicon appears to be a very suitable host material. A ddition to the compatibility with the fabrication technology of integrated circuits, it has the advantage of long electron spin relaxation times due to weak spin-orbit interactions and poor electron-nuclear spin (hyper ne) coupling. Recently, low magnetic eld (' 0:3 T) ESR measurements have been performed in silicon 2D ESs formed in Si/SiG e heterostructures [6, 7, 8, 9]. Both the longitudinal spin relaxation time T₁ and the transverse spin relaxation time T₂ were measured to be of the order of 1 s and were discussed in terms of the D'yakonov-Perel' spin relaxation mechanism [10] due to the Rashba elds [11].

In this Letter, we report electrically detected ESR m easurements on a high-mobility silicon 2DES in a magnetic xx clearly observed for a speeld of 3.55 T.Negative ci c Landau level (LL) con guration in a tilted m agnetic eld dem onstrates that the ESR signal is mainly caused by a reduction of the spin polarization P , not by the electron heating e ect. For a quantitative discussion, m easurements were also performed in the magnetic eld B $_k$ applied parallel to the 2D plane. The T-dependence of the ESR signal is well reproduced with T-independent spin relaxation times. From the amplitude of the ESR signal, T_1 is estimated to be of the order of 1 m swhereas T_2 deduced from the linewidth is about 10 ns. The enhancement of T_1 is explained by the suppression of the e ect of the Rashba elds due to high-frequency spin precession .

We used a heterostructure sample with a 20-nmthick strained Si channel sandwiched between relaxed $Si_{0:8}Ge_{0:2}$ layers [12]. The 2D electron concentration N_s can be controlled by varying the bias voltage of a p-type Si (001) substrate 2.1 m below the channel. The 2DES has a high m obility of 48 m²=V sat N_s = 2:1 10^{15} m² (at the zero substrate bias voltage) and T = 0.31 K. Four-probe ac resistivity measurements (137 Hz) were perform ed for a 600 50 m² Hallbar sam ple. The ESR $_{\rm xx}$ was observed during the magnetic eld sweep signal in the presence of 100 GHz millimeter wave radiation. The sample was mounted inside an oversized waveguide with an 8 mm bore inserted into a pumped ³He refrigerator. W hile the angle of the 2D plane with respect to the magnetic eld generated by a Helm holtz magnet can be controlled by rotating the sam ple, the direction of the source-drain current was xed perpendicular to that of the magnetic eld.

We rst discuss ESR in the quantum Hall systems where the electronic states and transport properties are wellunderstood. In the previous measurements on G aAs 2DESsat = odd, positive $_{\rm xx}$ due to ESR have been reported [2, 5]. Shown in Fig. 1 (a) is a positive ESR signalalso observed at = 2 in our silicon 2DES with the twofold valley degeneracy. In these measurements, the Zeem an splitting E_{Zeem an} is sm aller than the cyclotron gap $h!_{c}$, where $!_{c}$ is the cyclotron frequency. The chem – ical potential lies between the spin-up and spin-down LLs with the same orbital index n. Both electron heating and spin-ips directly induced by ESR increase the num ber of excited carriers in the LLs and thus lead to the positive xx •

To determ ine the mechanism of $_{xx}$ induced by ESR, we made a speci cLL con guration by adjusting the angle of the 2D plane with respect to the magnetic eld. The total strength B_{tot} and the perpendicular component B₂ of the magnetic eld are proportional to E_{Zeem an} and h!_c, respectively. Figure 2 shows Shubnikov-de H aas oscillations for di erent values of B_{tot}=B₂. The minimal value of $_{xx}$ at = 6 increases with B_{tot} while that at = 4 decreases. This indicates that the energy



FIG.1: ESR signals with a millim eter-wave output power of 8 mW. (a) Data for = 2 in a perpendicular magnetic eld (B_{tot}=B_? = 1) at N_s = 1:74 10^{15} m² and T = 2:0 K. (b) Data for = 6 and B_{tot}=B_? = 3:36 at N_s = 1:58 10^{15} m² and T = 1:1 K.



FIG.2: Shubnikov-de H as oscillations for di erent values of $B_{tot}=B_{?}$ at $N_{s} = 1.58 \quad 10^{15}$ m² and T = 0.4 K. Note that the twofold valley degeneracy remains in 2D ESs form ed in Si (001) quantum wells.

separation between the LLs just above and below decreases with increasing $E_{Zeem an}$ at = 6 for this region of $B_{tot}=B_{?}$. We consider that lies between the spin-down LL with n = 0 (LL (#;0)) and the spin-up LL with n = 2 (LL (";2)) as illustrated in Fig. 3(a).

In contrast to the ESR measurements for $E_{Zeeman} < h!_{c}$, we observed negative $_{xx}$ at = 6 for $B_{tot}=B_{?}=$ 3:36 as shown in Fig. 1(b). Since the T-dependence of $_{xx}$ at the minimum is positive, electron heating cannot be the cause of the negative ESR signal. In order to explain it, we consider the relaxation process of photoexcited carriers as illustrated in Fig. 3(a). Since the orbital index n does not change during the spin- ip, the photoexcitation occurs mainly from the lled LL (";1) to the empty LL (#;1). A lthough photoexcited electrons in the LL (#;1) and holes in the LL (";1) can increase $_{xx}$, they are expected to immediately relax to the LL (#;0) and



FIG. 3: (a) Landau level con guration and ESR-induced carrier dynam ics. The LLs are labeled by their spin orientation and orbital index. (b) ESR-induced carrier dynam - ics under an in-plane magnetic eld. Photoexcited carriers immediately relax their energy to the lattice and leads to a downward (upward) shift of the chem ical potential " ($_{\pm}$) for spin-up (spin-down) electrons from the equilibrium chem ical potential . A broadening of the Ferm i distribution function at nite lattice tem peratures is not drawn for clarity.

the LL (";2), respectively, due to electron-phonon interactions. Since the electron-lattice relaxation time $_{e-1}$ is much shorter than the longitudinal spin relaxation time T_1 as described later, the total number of carriers is reduced by the recombination of photoexcited carriers with thermally activated carriers. In this LL con guration, the sign of $_{xx}$ due to a reduction of the spin polarization P is negative.

In order to give a quantitative discussion, we now extend the study to the in-plane magnetic eld con guration. It is well-known that silicon 2DESs show strong positive dependence of resistivity on B_k [13]. Since B_k does not directly a ect the 2D motion of carriers, the B_k -dependence of is attributed to the spin polarization [14]. While there is no consensus on the mechanism of the increase in with P [15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20], we here use as a measure of P. The positive dependence of

on P for a given temperature can be empirically derived from the magnetoresistance curve in the absence of radiation since P is simply calculated as a function of B_k and T from the Fermi distribution of the 2DES [21]. Typical data for vsB_k at low T in the present sample have been presented in Ref. [22]. The critical magnetic eld B_c for the full spin polarization at T = 0 is higher than $B_k = 3.55$ T at which the ESR measurements were performed.

The sign of the resistivity change due to ESR was found to be negative in the experim ental range of T and N_s. Electron heating cannot cause the negative because @=@T is positive [22]. The photoexcited electrons and holes are expected to relax their energy to the lattice immediately without spin relaxation, as in the speci c LL con guration discussed above (see Fig. 3 (b)). From the relationship between the electron temperature and the Joule heating energy, e_{1} is deduced to be of the order of or less than 1 ns [23]. Under continuous wave excitation, the slow spin relaxation allows a downward (upward) shift of the chem ical potential "(#) for spin-up (spin-down) electrons from the equilibrium chem ical potential. This leads to the reduction of P and the negative while the origin of negative @ =@P is not the same as that in the quantum Hall regime.

In Fig. 4 the peak value peak of the negative ESR 10¹⁵ m ² signal is plotted against T at $N_s = 1:43$ with a constant millim eter-wave output power. The am peak decreases with increasing T while the plitude of full width at half the maximum of the ESR signal has a T-independent value of 12 m T. Neglecting the resonant electron heating e ect on , is directly related to the change P in P as = (@ = @ P) P. The partialderivative @ = @P can be evaluated from experim ental data on $Q = QB_k$ in the absence of radiation [24] with calculated $P = QB_k$. The dashed line in Fig. 4 represents the calculation of peak assuming a T-independent value of P=P = 0:08. The general agreem ent with the experin ental data indicates that the T-dependence of P=Pat the peak is weak. The T-dependence of peak is attributed to decreases in @ =@P and P with increasing т.

To obtain the spin relaxation times from P = P, we use the solution of the B loch equations for continuous wave radiation [25]. Substituting Eq. (2.90) into Eq. (2.86a) in Ref. [25] yields

$$\frac{P}{P} = \frac{(B_1)^2 T_1 T_2}{4} \frac{1}{1 + (! B_k)^2 T_2^2}; \quad (1)$$

where is the electron gyrom agnetic ratio, B_1 is the am plitude of the component of the oscillating magnetic eld perpendicular to the static magnetic eld, and ! is 10^{11} s¹), respectively [26]. As its frequency (= 2 expected from Eq. (1), the observed ESR signal has a Lorentzian lineshape. W e obtain an alm ost $\rm T-$ and N $_{\rm s}$ independent value of T_2 ' 10 ns as shown in Fig. 5(a) from the linewidth with an accuracy of 6 % . The weak T-dependence of the peak value of P=P indicates that T_1 is nearly T-independent in the experimental range while it has an error of 30 % arising from that in @ =@P [24]. The value of B_1 can be roughly estimated from its relationship B₁ $E_1n_{Si}=c$ to the amplitude of the oscillating electric eld E₁, where n_{Si} is the refractive index of silicon and c is the speed of light [27]. From electron cyclotron resonance absorption measurements made in a perpendicular static magnetic eld, we ob $tain E_1 = 67 V = m$ for a millim eter-wave output power of 19 mW [23]. In Fig. 5(b), T_1 estimated from Eq. (1) by putting $B_1 = E_1 n_{si} = c (= 0.8 T)$ is shown against N $_{\rm s}$ [28]. The obtained values of T $_1$ (1 3 m s) are ve orders of m agnitude longer than T_2 ' 10 ns, whereas both T_1 and T_2 were reported to be of the order of s



FIG.4: Peak value of the ESR signal in the in-plane m agnetic eld as a function of T at N $_{\rm S}$ = 1:43 10^{15} m² with a m illim eter-wave output power of 19 mW. The dashed line is calculated assuming P=P = 0:08.



FIG.5: Electron spin relaxation times, (a) T_2 and (b) T_1 , in the in-plane magnetic $eld \ of 3.55 \ T$ as functions of N $_{\rm S}$.

in previous studies on lower-mobility Si/SiG e samples in low magnetic elds (B ' 0:3 T) [6, 8, 9].

Spin relaxation in silicon 2DESs is considered to be dominated by the D'yakonov-Perel' mechanism [10] via the Rashba elds, whose direction depends on the electron momentum direction [11]. The spin relaxation rates in the in-plane magnetic eld con guration are given by [25, 29]

$$\frac{1}{T_{1}} = \frac{1}{2} {}^{2}B_{R}{}^{2} {}_{c} \frac{1}{1 + {}^{1}L^{2} {}_{c}{}^{2}}; \qquad (2)$$

$$\frac{1}{T_2} = \frac{1}{2} {}^2 B_R {}^2 {}_c + \frac{1}{2T_1};$$
(3)

where B_R is the amplitude of the Rashba eld, _c is the correlation time of the eld uctuations, and $!_L (= B_k)$ is the Larm or frequency. The factor $1=(1 + !_L^2 c^2)$ in Eq. (2) represents the suppression of the e ect of the

Rashba elds due to high-frequency spin precession. The ratio is expected to be $T_1=T_2$ $!_L^2 c^2$ for $!_L c$ 1 while $T_1=T_2$ 1 for $!_L c^{<1}$. This is consistent with the fact that large values of $T_1=T_2$ are obtained in our high-m obility sample located in a high magnetic eld. The results demonstrate a possible way to attain long T_1 , which is one of the crucial requirements for the sem i-conductor spintronics application [8, 9].

It seems reasonable to assume that $_{c}$ is the same as the momentum scattering time $_{m}$ (= 11 44 ps) determined from (= 1.05 0.10 k at B_k = 3.55 T). However, $!_{L}{}^{2}{}_{m}{}^{2}$ (= 48 760) is much less than the observed $T_{1}=T_{2}$. The longitudinal spin relaxation rate (= $T_{1}{}^{1}$) is related to the Fourier transform of the correlation function G (t) of the Rashba eld [8, 25, 29]. A single exponential decay of G (t) / exp($\pm \pm c$) leads to the Fourier transform of

$$Z_{1} \exp{(i!_{L}t)G(t)dt} / \frac{c}{1 + !_{L}^{2}c^{2}}; \qquad (4)$$

which appears in Eq. (2). This integral is very sensitive to the shape of G (t) particularly for $!_{\rm L~c}$ 1 [30]. One of the important scattering mechanisms in the present Si/SiG e heterostructure sample is the C oulom b scattering by rem ote ionized dopants [12, 31]. It is unlikely that G (t) for scattering in long-range potential uctuations can be described exactly by a simple expression with a single parameter. The deviation of G (t) from a single exponential may cause the discrepancy between $T_1=T_2$ and $!_{\rm L}{}^2 {}_{\rm c}{}^2$.

In the presence of high B₂, the cyclotron motion of electrons is expected to cause averaging of the Rashba elds [8], and Eqs. (2) and (3) should be modiled. This electron increase the spin relaxation times from the values at B₂ = 0. From the linewidths of the ESR signals shown in Fig. 1, enhanced T₂ (= 110 ns) is actually obtained for = 2 (B₂ = 3:55 T) while T₂ = 13 ns for = 6 (B₂ = 1:06 T) is comparable to that in the in-plane m agnetic eld (B₂ = 0). Further studies are required for quantitative analysis at high B₂.

In summary, we have investigated the resistivity change due to ESR absorption in a high-m obility silicon 2DES in a magnetic eld of 3.55 T. Negative $_{xx}$ observed for a speci c LL con guration clearly shows that

 $_{\rm xx}$ is mainly caused by a reduction of P, not by the electron heating e ect. In the in-plane magnetic eld, negative was used for quantitative analysis. It is estimated that T_1 is of the order of 1 ms, which is veorders of magnitude longer than T_2 . This is explained by the suppression of the e ect of the Rashba elds due to high-frequency spin precession.

W e thank Y.Shiraki for providing us with the Si/SiG e sam ple and S.Yam am oto for helpful advice on the use of the millimeter wave system. This work is supported in part by G rants-in-A id for Scienti c Research from the

- I.Zutic, J.Fabian, and S.DasSama, Rev.Mod.Phys. 76, 323 (2004).
- [2] D. Stein, K. v. K litzing, and G. W eim ann, Phys. Rev. Lett. 51, 130 (1983).
- [3] M. Dobers, K. v. K litzing, and G. W eim ann, Phys. Rev. B 38, 5453 (1988).
- [4] R.Meisels et al, Phys.Rev.B 61, 5637 (2000).
- [5] E.Olshanetsky et al., Phys. Rev. B 67, 165325 (2003).
- [6] C.F.O.Grae et al, Phys. Rev. B 59, 13242 (1999).
- [7] Z.W ilam owskiet al., Phys. Rev. B 66, 195315 (2002).
- [8] Z.W ilam owskiand W .Jantsch, Phys. Rev. B 69, 035328 (2004).
- [9] A. M. Tyryshkin et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 126802 (2005).
- [10] M. I.D 'yakonov and V. I. Perel', Sov. Phys. Solid State 13, 3023 (1972).
- [11] Yu.A.Bychkov and E.I.Rashba, J.Phys.C 17, 6039 (1984).
- [12] A. Yutani and Y. Shiraki, Sem icond. Sci. Technol. 11, 1009 (1996); J.Cryst.G rowth 175/176, 504 (1997).
- [13] E. Abraham s, S. V. Kravchenko, and M. P. Sarachik, Rev. M od. Phys. 73, 251 (2001); S. V. Kravchenko and M. P. Sarachik, Rep. Prog. Phys. 67, 1 (2004).
- [14] T.Okam oto et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 3875 (1999).
- [15] P.Phillips et al., Nature (London) 395, 253 (1998).
- [16] V.T.Dolgopolov and A.Gold, JETP Lett. 71, 27 (2000).
- [17] I.F.Herbut, Phys.Rev.B 63, 113102 (2001).
- [18] G.Zala, B.N. Narozhny, and I.L.A leiner, Phys. Rev. B 65, 020201 (R) (2002).
- [19] B.Spiwak, Phys. Rev. B 67, 125205 (2003).
- [20] S.D as Sarm a and E.H.Hwang, Phys. Rev. B 72, 035311 (2005).
- [21] The enhancement of the elective mass and g-factor due to the e-e interactions [22] are taken into account. For example, we obtain P = 0.52 for T = 2 K and P = 0.27 for T = 10 K at B_k = 3.55 T and N_s = 1.43 10^{15} m².
- [22] T.O kam oto et al, Physica E 6, 260 (2000); T.O kam oto et al, Phys. Rev. B 69, 041202 (R) (2004).
- [23] M .O oya, J.M atsunam i, and T.O kam oto (unpublished).
- [24] Since the increase in with B_k at low temperatures is almost saturated for $B > B_c$ where P reaches unity, the orbital e ect of B_k on owing to the nite thickness of the quantum well is small at least for the source-drain current orientation perpendicular to the magnetic eld [22]. We estimate the error in $\ell = \ell P$ due to neglecting the orbital e ect to be less than 30 %.
- [25] C.P.Slichter, Principles of Magnetic Resonance, 3rd ed. (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990).
- [26] The factor of 1/4 in Eq. (1) comes from the de nition of B₁. In Ref. [25] the millimeter wave is taken to be circularly polarized whereas we take it to be linearly polarized.
- [27] Considering multiple re ection in the sample, B₁C=E₁n_{Si} is evaluated within the range of 0.5 to 1.9.
- [28] Sim ilar results are obtained from measurements with a millimeter-wave output power of 8 m W .
- [29] C.Tahan and R.Joynt, Phys.Rev.B 71, 075315 (2005).
- [30] For example, if G (t) / exp($t^2 = c^2$) is used, the integral is proportional to $c \exp(t_z) = 2$.

[31] A.Yutani, PhD thesis, University of Tokyo, 1997.