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#### Abstract

W e establish a generalm odel fror the whip-toroid transitions of a sem i exible hom opolym er chain using the path integralm ethod and the $O$ (3) nonlinear sigm a $m$ odel on a line segm ent $w$ th the local inextensibility constraint. W e exactly solve the energy levels of classical solutions, and show that som e of its classical con gurations exhibit toroidal form $s$, and the system has phase transitions from a whip to toroidal states $w$ ith a conform ation param eter $c=\frac{W}{21} \frac{L}{2}^{2} . W$ e also discuss the stability of the toroid states and propose the low -energy e ective $G$ reen function. Finally, with the nite size e ect on the toroid states, predicted toroidal properties are successfully com pared to experim ental results ofDNA condensation.


PACS num bers: 87.14.G g, 87.10.+ e, $64.70 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~d}, 82.35 \mathrm{Lr}$

## I. $\mathbb{N T}$ TRODUCTION

In nature, biological macrom olecules are often found in collapsed states dim ensionalconform ations in the low est energy state (native state), which is of great im portance in its functionality [-4]?. DNA in living cells is often packaged tightly, for instance, inside phage capsids. Recent advances in experim ental techniquesm ean it is now possible to study the conform ational properties of biopolym ers at single
 $m$ edical, and industrial im portance, (bio-)polym ers have drawn m uch attention [14, 14.1

To increase our understanding of their physical properties, a exible hom opolym er chain in a dilute solution, as the sim plest m odel, has been heavily investigated
 is low ered, or the solvent quality is changed from good to poor, the resulting e ective attractive interactions betw een $m$ onom ers can cause the polym er to undergo a coilglobule transition (collapse transition) from an extended coilto a com pact globule state [1]
 of the coil-globule transition of the exible chain are now well understood.

H ow ever, $m$ any biological $m$ acrom olecules such as DNA, $F$-actin, and collagen show large persistence lengths and are classi ed as sem i exible chains $\left[\overline{1}, \overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{2}_{1}^{\prime} \overline{2} \overline{3} \overline{3}^{\prime} 1\right.$. For instance, double stranded DNA in aqueous solution, m ostly w ith segm ent diam eter ' 2 nm , has the persis tence length $l^{\prime} 50 \quad 60 \mathrm{~nm}$. Therefore naturalD N A s behave as sem i exible chainsw hen their contour lengths are
 poor solvent condition, the balance betw een the bending sti ness and surface free energies induces toroidalconformation rather than spherical globule of a exible chain 24, $2=2$
put condensing agents as multivalent cations into DNA solution, it can cause D NA to undergo the condensation from a wor_-like chain (whip or coil) to toroidal states


Tow ards the understanding of the \whip (or coil)-toroid transition" of a sem i exible hom opolym er chain, or of a DNA chain, m any experim ental and theoretical works have been done, in particular, in a poor solvent condi-

 experim ents show ed that collapsed D NA exists in toroid, rod, sphere and spool-like phases with the toroid being
 ing $M$ onte $C$ arlo, Langevin approaches or $G$ aussian variationalm ethod, calculated phase diagram for the sem i ex-
 In theoretical works, existing phenom enologicalm odels balance the bending and surface free energies to estim ate
 It becom es increasingly probable that toroid is the stable low est energy state | the ground state.

W e note, how ever, that the theoretical aspects of the works assum e a priori toroidal geom etry as the stable low est energy state $w$ th no theoretical proof $\left.\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{8}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. M oreover, com pared to the theory of coil-globule transition of a exible chain $\operatorname{lin}_{1}^{1}$ scribed by G_aussian approxim ation and eld theoretical form alism $[1], 1]$ ory, which contains the salient physics to dem onstrate the whip-toroid transition of the sem i exible polym er.

Di culties in formulating theory results speci cally from the \local inextensibility constraint" of the sem iexible chain, which $m$ akes the theory non $-G$ aussian ${ }_{2}^{2} \overline{2} \overline{2}$, and also from the \non-local nature" of the attractive interaction along the polym er chain, which makes the theory analytically intractable. A s a result, even for the sim plest sem i exible chain $m$ odelw thout attraction, i.e. the Ham iltonian ( (ᄌG), only a few equilibrium properties
are analytically tractable such as the m ean square end-to-end distance $h R^{2} i$ of a free chain [22, "46] and that of a sem $i$ exible chain con ned to a spherical surface [47.7.

To overcom e these problem s, we propose a $m$ icroscopic m odel to describe the whip-toroid transitions of a sem iexible hom opolym er chain at low energy | at low tem perature or at large persistence length. To explore the equilibrium distribution (G reen function) of a sem i exible chain, the path integral form ulation is applied rather conventionally. N ote that a sem i exible hom opolym er chain in equilibrium at low energy satis es the local inextensibility constraint. A lso, if the chain satis es the local inextensibility constraint, its H am iltonian becom es equivalent to the $O$ (3) nonlinear sigm a $m$ odel on a line segm ent. T herefore, a sem i exible hom opolym er chain at low energy can be form ulated in the path integral of the $O$ (3) nonlinear sigm a $m$ odel on a line segm ent. It is the rst tim e that the local inextensibility constraint and the non-localattraction in the path integralare em ployed together and are solved clearly. Exploring it in detail, we nd the toroid states as the ground state and the whiptoroid transitions of the sem i exible chain at low energy, which can also be found in our preprint [4d]. We then discuss and test the stability of the toroidal solutions, and propose the low -energy e ective $G$ reen function. W e show, in nal sections, that our predictions on toroidal properties are in su ciently quantitative agreem ent w ith the experim ents $[12 \overline{1}, 1,1]$

The paper is organized as follow s. In sections II and III, a sem i exible polym er chain with a delta-function attractive potential is form ulated in the path integral $m$ ethod. W e then deduce $O$ (3) nonlinear sigm a m odelon a line segm ent with the local inextensibility constraint. In section IV, we derive the classical equations of $m \mathrm{o}$ tion for the nonlinear sigm a model action, and solve them explicitly. We also prove that our solutions represent the general solutions of the equations. T he precise $m$ icroscopic Ham iltonian, or the energy levels, are obtained from the solutions, and the conditions for the stable toroids are given. We also investigate the phase transitions in the presence of the attractive interactions. Section $V$ is devoted to the stability of the toroidal states under the quantum' uctuations aw ay from classicalsolutions. W e also construct the low-energy e ective $G$ reen function from those of the whip and toroid states using perturbation theory. In section V I, the nite size e ect is introduced and the theory is m apped onto physical system s. A ssum ing the hexagonally packed cross sections and van der $W$ aals interactions, we show that ourm icroscopic m odel does $t$ well quantitatively with a macroscopic property of the toroids | them ean toroidalradius in the experim ents $\left[12,1,13_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. In the nalsection, our conclusion sum $m$ arizes the paper and discussions are given w ith respect to the literature and the fiuture prospects. $N$ ote the precise de nition of the delta function potential is given in A ppendix A, and the SO (3) transform ations are described in A ppendix B.
II. POLYMERCHA $\operatorname{CN}$ ASA LINE SEGMENT

In the continuum lim it, the $G$ reen function (end-toend distribution) of a sem i exible polym er chain with attractive interactions can be given by the path integral:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{1}(\mathrm{~L})=\mathrm{R} ; \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{~L})=\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{f}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x(0)=0 ; u(0)=u_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

 $s$ is the proper tim e along the sem i exible polym er chain of total contour length $L$. æ(s) denotes the pointing vector at the tim e's in our three dim ensional space while $\otimes(s) \quad \frac{@ x(s)}{\varrho s}$ corresponds to the unit bond (or tangent) vector at $\mathrm{S} . \mathrm{N}$ is the norm alisation constant ( ${ }_{1}^{2}$ ).

Follow ing Freed et al and K leinert $\left[1 \overline{1}_{1}, 122,1\right.$, the di$m$ ensionless H am iltonian can be written by

$$
\left.\mathrm{H}[x ; \mathrm{G} ; \mathrm{W}]=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{ds} \mathbb{H}(\mathrm{~s})+\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{AT}}(\mathrm{~s})\right]
$$

where $H$ ( $s$ ) and $V_{A T}$ ( $s$ ) are the local free $H$ am iltonian and the attractive interaction term, respectively:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{~s}) & =\frac{1}{2} \frac{@}{@ S} \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~s})^{2} ;  \tag{3}\\
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{AT}}(\mathrm{~s}) & =\mathrm{W}_{0} \mathrm{ds}^{0}(x(\mathrm{~s}) \quad x(\mathrm{~s})): \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

$l$ is the persistence length and $W$ is a positive coupling constant of the attractive interaction betw een polym er segm ents. Them odynam ic $=1=\left(k_{B} T\right)$ is im plicitly included in $l$ and $W$, which can be revived when we consider the them odynam ic behaviours of the system. 1 is assum ed to be large enough to realise its sti ness: $1 \quad l_{b}$, where $l_{0}$ is the bond length. N ote that there has been no consensus about the form of attractions, but people in the literature agree that e ective attractions derive the toroidal geom etry [4] [4]. For exam ple, in DNA condensations, interplay between charges, salt and other unsettled (unknown) elem ents derives extraordinary shortrange dom inante ective attraction in a poor solvent condition. Therefore, we introduce the above delta-function potential $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{A}}$ ( $(\mathrm{s})$ for the modelling of the DNA condensation in a poor solvent condition, again as in Freed et al. [17, takes the non-local form, since the form at $s$ contains inform ation at the other points $s^{0} 2(0 ; s)$. In $V_{A T}(s)$, we om it the sym bolfor the absolute value $j r(s) x(S) j$. (see A ppendix A for the precise de nition of the potential.)

In what follow $S$, we express $x$ by the unit bond vector $w$ and therefore the $H$ am iltonian $H(~(~) ~ i n ~ t e r m ~ s o f ~ G . ~ H ~ e n c e, ~$ the $G$ reen function $G \quad 0 ; R ; \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{f}} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{W}$ becom es a path integral over $w$ w ith the positive coupling constant $W$, regardless of $๕$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\sum_{u_{i}}^{Z_{f}} D[u(s)] \quad R_{0}^{R_{L}} d s u(s) \quad R \quad e^{H[u ; W]} ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used $x(L)=R_{\mathrm{L}}$ dsu(s) and the Jacobian is absonbed by N which is neglected here. T he delta function selects out the end-to-end vector. B asic properties of the G reen function is given below .

D ue to the local inextensibility constraint ju(s) $\}=$ j $\mathrm{j} x(\mathrm{~s}) \hat{\jmath}=1$, the total length of the polym er chain is strictly $L$ for $G(\mathbb{R} ; L ; W)$. Thus, the $G$ reen function as a distribution function exhibits a hard shell at $\mathfrak{F} j=L$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
G O ; R ; \mathfrak{u}_{i} ; \mathfrak{H}_{\mathrm{f}} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{W}=0 \text { for } \mathfrak{F} j>\mathrm{L}: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is

$$
\text { Z } \quad d^{3} R G \quad 0 ; R ; \mathbb{H}_{i} ; \mathbb{H}_{f} ; L ; W \quad=1:
$$

It furtherm eans that the norm alisation constant is given by
III. O (3) NONLINEAR SIGMA MODELON A LINE SEGMENT
$W$ hen $W=0$, our free dim ensionless H am iltonian is given solely by $\begin{gathered}\text { a } \\ \text { eld: }\end{gathered}$

$$
\begin{align*}
H(u) \quad & H(x ; \forall ; W=0) \\
= & \frac{1}{2}{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{ds} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{~d} u(s) \jmath^{2} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ th the constraint $j a(s) j=1$. This can be interpreted as the low energy lim it of a linear sigm a model on a line segm ent, or quantum equivalently a nonlinear sigm a m odel on a line segm ent, rather than som e constrained H am iltonian system.

In this section we consider $O$ (3) nonlinear sigm a m odel on a line segm ent for the path integral formulation of the sem i exible polym er chain. This is nothing but a quantum mechanics of a lim ited times 2 [ $; \mathrm{L}]$ w th a constraint. The constraint juj $=1$ restricts the value of $w$ on a unit sphere $S^{2}$. This can be transform ed into $u_{3}^{2}=1 \quad u_{1}^{2} \quad u_{2}^{2}:$

Substituting this into eq. (9, ${\underset{1}{1}}^{(1)}$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left[u_{1} ; u_{2}\right]=\frac{1}{2}_{0}^{Z} \text { ds } G^{i j} @ u_{i}(s) @ u_{j}(s) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $m$ etric $G^{i j}$ on the unit sphere in three dim en-sionalu-space

$$
G^{i j}\left[u_{1} ; u_{2}\right] \quad @ \quad \begin{align*}
& 0 \frac{1 u_{2}^{2}}{1\left(u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2}\right)} \frac{u_{1} u_{2}}{\left.1 u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2}\right)} A  \tag{11}\\
& \frac{u_{1} u_{2}}{1\left(u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2}\right)} \frac{1 u_{1}^{2}}{1\left(u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2}\right)}
\end{align*}:
$$

$T$ his is called the nonlinear sigm a $m$ odel since the action is $O$ (3) sym $m$ etric but som $e$ of its transform ations are
realised nonlinearly on this $f u_{i} g$ basis. It is also equivalent to the classical $H$ eisenberg $m$ odel $w$ ith a constraint of unit length spins $S_{I}^{2}=1$ in the continuum lim it $\left[5_{1}^{-1}\right]$.

The action can also be expressed in the polar coordinate:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{8}{<} u_{1}=r_{u} \sin { }_{u} \cos ^{\prime}{ }_{u} \stackrel{8}{<} r_{u}=j a j
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& S\left[u ;^{\prime} u\right]=\frac{1}{2}^{Z}{ }^{0} \text { ds }\left(@_{u}\right)^{2}+\sin ^{2} u\left(@_{u}\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}_{0}^{Z_{L}} d s\left[G^{i i}\right] @_{i}(s) @_{i}(s) \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left(1 ;{ }_{2}\right) \quad\left(u ;^{\prime}{ }_{u}\right)$, and the $m$ etric $\mathcal{C}^{i j}$ is given by the diagonalm atrix:

$$
\mathcal{E}^{i j}[1 ; 2] \quad \begin{array}{lc}
1 & 0  \tag{14}\\
0 & \sin ^{2}{ }_{1}
\end{array}:
$$

This is essentially the sam e as ${ }^{i j}\left[\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}\right]$ since both are $m$ etrics on the sam e sphere $S^{2}$. The SO (3) transform ations of the polar coordinates ( $u$;' u) can be expressed by three in nitesim al param eters $g_{i}$ (see A ppendix B):

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=g_{1} \sin u \quad g \cos u ;  \tag{15}\\
& u=\cot u\left(g_{1} \cos u+g_{2} \sin u\right) \quad g:
\end{align*}
$$

The canonical quantisation of the action ( $\overline{1} \mathbf{1}-3)$ is suitable for the investigation of the local nature of the system, but not for its global nature such as toroidal conform ations. Therefore, we focus on the classical solutions of the action (131) and consider the quantum uctuations around the classical solutions using the path integralm ethod. Integrating the action (113) by parts gives

$$
\begin{align*}
S\left[u ;^{\prime} u\right]= & \frac{1}{2}^{Z}{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{ds}{ }_{u} @^{2}{ }_{u}+'_{u} @ \text { sinh } @^{i_{L}}{ }_{u} \\
& + \text { Surface }_{0} \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where stands for the pomposition of the $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{map}$ pings and the surface term Surface $_{i_{L}}^{1_{L}}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{u}_{0} \mathrm{u}^{+}$ $\sin ^{2} u^{\prime} u^{0}{ }^{\prime}{ }_{u}{ }_{0}{ }_{0}$ : The surface term $m$ ight be neglected by taking the north pole of the polar coordinates $\left(\mathrm{u}(0) \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{u}(0)\right)=(0 ; 0)$ and considering the static solutions. By setting the north pole, half of the surface term vanishes. G iven that we have the static solutions, i.e. $\leftrightarrow(s) \quad h a i$ the surface term contribution becom es much sm aller com pared to the bulk term $O \frac{\mathrm{l}_{0}}{\mathrm{~L}}$ where $\mathrm{l}_{0}$ is the constant bond length. M inim izing the action (11) in term $s$ of $u$ and ' $u$ yields the classical equations of m otion:

$$
\begin{align*}
& @^{2}+\frac{\sin 2 u_{u}}{2}\left(@_{u}^{\prime}\right)^{2} u_{u}=0 \\
& @^{2}+2\left(@_{u}\right) \text { cot }{ }_{u} @ \prime_{u}=0: \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

```
IV . CLASSICAL SOLUTIONSAND THE W H IP -TOROID TRANSITION
```

O ur aim in this section is to explore classicalsolutions of eq. $\left(1 \bar{T}_{1}\right)$ and to study the low est energy states and the whip-toroid phase transition in the presence of attractive interactions.

## A . C lassical solutions

C onsider classical solutions of eq. (17 $\left.\overline{7}_{1}\right)$ w ith a trial solution $u=0$. The rst equation of $\left(\overline{1} \bar{T}_{1}\right)$ leads to $\sin 2_{\mathrm{u}}\left(r_{\mu}\right)^{2}=0$. Thus, the solution is either ${ }_{\mathrm{u}}=0 ;$ i $_{2}$; or' ${ }_{\mu 1}=0$. The solutions $u=0 ;$ or' $_{\mu}=0$ with $u=0$ are equivalent to having a constant $u$. A ccordingly, classical solutions reduce to $u=\frac{2}{2}$ or $u=$ const. W hen we substitute $u=\overline{2}$ into the second equation ofm otion $\left(1 \bar{T}_{1}\right)$, we obtain $@^{2 \prime}{ }_{u}=0$. Therefore, we have the two clässical solutions

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(s)=\text { const: } \\
& \text { or } \\
& \quad=\frac{-}{2} \text { and },_{u}=a s+b ; \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

where a;b are constants. $N$ ote that the second classical solution ofeq. (18) is the uniform $m$ otion of free particle on the sphere (see Fig '1, '1. ).
By symmetry argum ent, we state that the solutions (18) represent all the classical solutions. T hat is either a constant $\&$ (s) (rod solution) or a rotation at a constant speed along a great circle on the $S^{2}$ (toroid solution).


F IG . 1: C lassical solutions of eq. (17): (a) constant $w, ~(b) a$ path along a great circle on $S^{2}$.

## Proof)

The theory has O (3) SO (3) global sym m etry. A ccordingly, one can take any initial value of $u(0)$ for a classical solution. In other words, one $m$ ay set $\in(0)$ to
be the north pole for the representatives of the classical solutions, using tw o degrees of freedom of SO (3) rotations. In addition, by $S O$ (2) local rotation sym metry or by one residual degree of freedom of $S O$ (3), we can freely set the orientation of $@ u(0)$. For exam ple, प $(0) ;^{\prime}{ }_{\mu}(0)=(0 ; a)$ or $(a ; 0)$. So, one $m$ ay set the initial values as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathfrak{u}(0)=\left(u(0) ;_{u}^{\prime}(0)\right)=\frac{7}{2} ; 0 ; \\
& d u(0)=u(0) ;^{\prime}=(0)=(0 ; a) ; \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

with a condition a 0 . The non-negative realconstant a tums out to be the only degree of freedom that represents all the classical solutions.

Substituting these in itialvalues to the equations ofm otion ( $\overline{1}_{-1} \bar{Z}_{1}$ ), we obtain at $s=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
@^{2} u=0 ; \quad @^{2 \prime} u=0: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, an in nitesim al change of the variable $s$ yields

$$
\left(u() ;_{u}^{\prime}()\right)=\frac{-}{2} ; a ; \quad \overline{ }() ;^{\prime}{ }_{y 1}()=(0 ; a):(21)
$$

A s one can see in the equations of $m$ otion and in the above, so long as $u(s)=\frac{1}{2}$, eq. (2010 $)$ holds at any $s$. H ence, the intial conditions leads to the pair of conditions, @ $u(s)=0$ and $u(0)=\frac{2}{2}$. In other words, the pair of the conditions exhaust the representatives of the classical solutions. Thus, found solutions $m$ ay well be regarded as the general solutions. ( $Q: \mathbb{D}:$ :)
$N$ ote that the solutions ( $\left(1{ }^{1}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ can be regarded as topological solutions in a sense that they are solltonic solutions.

> B . N on-local attractive interactions as a topological term

N ow we consider the attractive interaction term $\left.\overline{4}_{(1)}^{\overline{4}}\right)$. It is di cult to interpret it in the context of quantum theory due to its non-local nature along the polym er chain. H ow ever, we can solve them with our classical solutions

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{AT}}(\mathrm{~s})=\mathrm{W} \mathrm{Z}_{0}^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{ds}^{0} \quad \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{dtu}(\mathrm{t}) \quad: \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the problem is now reduced $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{s}}$ the one in the u space: nding non-zero values of $\quad s_{s^{0}} d t u(t)$ w the the classical solutions [1] ${ }^{(1)}$. That is to nd $u\left(s^{0}\right)$ for a given
s,which satis es $\begin{gathered}R_{s} \\ s^{0}\end{gathered} d t w=0$. N ote that, exactly speaking, the integration over $s^{0}$ is from 0 to $s \quad w$ ith an in nitesim alpositive constant (see A ppendix A). T hus, we exclude the $s^{0}=s$ case in the follow ing.

In the polar coordinates (12) , this is expressed by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z s } \\
& d t \sin u \cos ^{\prime} u=0 ; \\
& Z^{\mathrm{s}^{0}} \\
& d t \sin u \sin ^{\prime}{ }_{u}=0 ; \\
& \mathrm{s}^{0} \quad \mathrm{Z} \\
& { }_{s^{0}} d t \cos u=0 \text { : } \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

The rst classical solution ( $u$ = const:) does not satisfy these equations and thus derives no attractive interactions. If we substitute the second classical solution of


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Z s } \\
& { }_{Z^{s^{0}}}^{\mathrm{dt}} \cos (\mathrm{at}+\mathrm{b})=\frac{1}{\mathrm{a}}\left(\sin (\mathrm{as}+\mathrm{b}) \quad \sin \left(a s^{0}+\mathrm{b}\right)\right)=0 \text {; } \\
& Z^{\mathrm{s}^{0}}{ }_{\text {s }} \\
& { }_{s^{0}}^{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{dt} \sin (\mathrm{at}+\mathrm{b})=\frac{1}{\mathrm{a}}(\cos (\mathrm{as}+\mathrm{b}) \quad \cos (\mathrm{as}+\mathrm{b}))=0:(25)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have solutions: $s \quad s=2 n=a>0, n 2 z$. W thout any loss of generality, we assume a $>0$ and n $2 Z_{+}$. Introducing $N$ (s) [as=2 ]by $G$ auss' sym bol [ 5 Bnd $]$, we obtain

Therefore, the attractive potential is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{AT}}(\mathrm{~s})=\mathrm{W} \quad \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{~s}): \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ote that N ( L ) represents the winding num ber of the classical solution (12) along a great circle of $S^{2}$ (see $F$ ig $\left.{ }_{(121)}^{\prime 1}\right)$. $F$ inally, an integration over $s$ yields the dim ensionless H am iltonian w ith our classical solutions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{\mathrm{L} 1}{2} \mathrm{a}^{2} \quad \mathrm{WL} \quad \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{~L}) 1 \quad \overline{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~L}(\mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{~L})+1)^{\circ}: \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

The rst term denotes the bending energy, and the second and the third term $s$ are thought of as topological' term $s$ from the winding number. $W$ hen the chain of contour length $L$ winds N (L) tim es we have the N (L) circles of each length $\frac{2}{a}$ and the rest $L \frac{2}{a} N$ (L) :The second and third term $s$ in the second line of eq. (2d) result from the form er and the latter respectively.
C. The toroid and whip states

The non-zero w inding num ber of the classical solution in the $u$ space $m$ eans that the polym er chain $w$ inds in the r space as well. That is, when $a>\frac{2}{-T}$, con gurations around the second classical solution (18, start form ing a toroidal shape since

$$
x(s)=\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \begin{array}{c}
\frac{1}{a} f \sin (a s+b) \\
\\
\\
\\
\\
\frac{1}{a} f \cos (a s+b) \\
\text { const: }
\end{array}
\end{array} \begin{gathered}
\sin (b) g^{1}  \tag{29}\\
\cos (b) g^{A} ;
\end{gathered}
$$

and stabilise itself by attracting neighbouring segm ents. W e call such classical solutions the \toroid states." $W$ henever $a$ increases and passes through the point $\frac{2 n}{L}$ for $n 2 Z_{+}$, another toroid state appears $w$ ith the increased winding num ber $n$. N ote that the radius of the toroid state is given by $\frac{1}{a}$ (see Fig.


FIG. 2: Thewhip $(\mathbb{N}=0)$ and toroid states $(\mathbb{N}$ 1). The value of $b$ is given by the in itial value of the bond vector $w_{i}$.
the chain cannot w ind like the toroid states. B oth ends of the chain are not connected to each other, thus can $m$ ove freely as well as any other parts of the chain uctuate. As long as the total energy of the chain does not exceed the bending energy of $\frac{2^{2} 1}{L}$ at $a=\frac{2}{L}$, they can whip w th zero winding num ber.

W e callsuch low -energy extended coilstates the \w hip states." A lthough the de nition includes uctuations around the classical solutions, unless otherw ise stated, we prim arily refer to the classicalsolutions of such states, which are rather bow strings than whips.

In the next subsection we explore the exact energy levels of the whip and toroid states, and discuss the phase transitions betw een these states.

## D. Favoured vacuum and toroid-w hip transition

The dim ensionless $H$ am iltonian of the second classical solution (18 $\left.1 \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is a function of $1 ; L ; W$ and $a$ :


This matches with the rst classical solution when $\frac{\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{L})}{\mathrm{a}}=0$ for $\mathrm{a}=0$ is de ned. A ccordingly, the above expression is valid for all classical solutions. N ote that, since previous works assum e a priori toroidal shape, no one clearly derived the precise $m$ icroscopic $H$ am iltonian. T hus, we are now in a position to investigate exact energy levels of the whip and toroid states.

Consider rst a case with L, W, and l xed. By de nition, H (a) $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{cl}}(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{l} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{W})$ is continuous in the entire region ofa 0 and is a sm ooth function in each segm ent:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { a } 2 \frac{2 \mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~L}} ; \frac{2(\mathrm{~N}+1)}{\mathrm{L}} \text { for } \mathrm{N} 2 \mathrm{Z} 0 \text { : } \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ow ever, it is not sm ooth at each joint of the segm ents: $\frac{a \mathrm{~L}}{2} 2 \mathrm{Z}_{+}$. Introducing a new param eter $\mathrm{C} \quad \frac{\mathrm{L}}{2}{ }^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~W}}{2 \mathrm{~L}}$ out of three existing degrees of freedom, we plot in $F$ ig's, the energy levels as a function of a for di erent values of $c$, show ing qualitative agreem ent w ith C onw ellet al. for the condensation of 3 kb DNA in various salt solutions [1] ${ }^{1}$ ]. $N$ ote that, in what follow $S$, we call the segm ent ( $\mathrm{Bin}_{1}^{1}$ ) the $\backslash \mathrm{N}$-th segm ent" counting from 0 -th, and we also call c the \conform ation param eter" because the param eter c solely determ ines the shape of this curve.


F IG . 3: The dependence of the energy $H$ (a) on $x=a L=2$ and $c$. $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{a})$ is scaled by the factor of ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{W}} \mathrm{l}$ for convenience.

Suppose $N(L)=N$ is xed, the Ham ittonian $\left.\overline{3} \bar{O}_{1}^{-1}\right)$ takes a minim um at $a=a_{c}(\mathbb{N}) \quad \frac{W}{L 1} N(N+1)^{1=3}$. A ccordingly, each segm ent falls into one of the follow ing three cases:
(i) $W$ hen $a_{c}(\mathbb{N}) \quad \frac{2 N}{L}, H$ (a) is a m onotonic function in the segm ent and takes its $m$ inimum at $a=\frac{2 \mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{~L}}$.
(ii) $W$ hen $\frac{2 N}{L}<a_{C}(\mathbb{N})<\frac{2(\mathbb{N}+1)}{L}, H$ (a) behaves quadratic in $a$ and takes itsm inim um at $a=a_{c}(\mathbb{N})$.
(iii) $W$ hen $\frac{2(\mathbb{N}+1)}{L}<a_{C}(\mathbb{N}), H$ (a) is m onotonic in the segm ent and takes its $m$ inim um at $a=\frac{2(\mathbb{N}+1)}{L}$.

The rst and third cases are physically less relevant since they $m$ ean no ( $m$ eta-) stable point in the segm ent. So, we focus on the second case.
$T$ he condition on $N$ for the second case tums out to be (see Fig. $\cdot \frac{\left.1 \overline{4} \mathbf{I}^{-1}\right)}{1}$


FIG.4: The solid line is the upper bound and the dashed line is the low erbound of $N$ for them inim a, i.e., $N_{U ; L}$ (c) (and $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{U} ; \mathrm{L}}^{(\mathbb{N})}$ ). The asym ptotic values of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{U} ; \mathrm{L}}$ (c) are both $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{U} ; \mathrm{L}}$ (c) $\quad \mathrm{C}$ $\left(c_{U ; L}^{(N)} \quad N\right)$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{c})<\mathrm{N}<\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{U}} \text { (c) for } \mathrm{C} \text { 4; } \\
& 1 \mathrm{~N}<\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{U}} \text { (c) for } 0 \mathrm{c}<4 \text {; } \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}} \text { (c) } \frac{\mathrm{C}}{2} 1 \frac{2}{\mathrm{C}}+\frac{\mathrm{r}}{1 \frac{4}{\mathrm{C}}} \text {; } \\
& \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{U}} \text { (c) } \frac{\mathrm{C}}{2} 1+\frac{\mathrm{r}}{1+\frac{4}{\mathrm{C}}} \text { : } \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

N ote that, by replacing $N$ with $N(L)=[a L=2]$, one can read the condition on a as well.

As one can see in Figs. $\overline{13}, \overline{4} 1$, , there are apparently $m$ ore than one ( $m$ eta-) stable toroid states at most values of $c$. This is because the rst term of bending in eq. (3G) is m onotonically increasing, while the other two term $\bar{s}$ in eq. $\left(\mathbf{B}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ are decreasing but not sm oothly. T his non-sm oothness and the balance betw een tw o factors lead to m ultiple localm inim a and potential barriers betw een them. The num ber of $m$ inim $a$ is roughly given by the $w$ idth of the region for $N$, i.e., $N_{U}$ (c) $N_{L}$ (c). For ex-
ample, when c 4,
$N_{U}$ (c) $N_{L}$ (c) $=1+\frac{c}{\frac{c}{2}} \quad \begin{array}{r}r \frac{4}{C} \\ 1 \frac{4}{C}\end{array}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =1+\frac{c}{2}{ }_{k=0}^{X^{1}} \frac{(1)^{k} \frac{1}{2}_{k}}{k!} \frac{4}{c}^{k} \\
& \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{C}}} \frac{\frac{1}{2} k}{\mathrm{k}!} \frac{4}{\mathrm{C}}^{k!} \\
& =3+2^{X_{k=1}^{k}} \frac{\frac{1}{2} 2 k}{(2)_{2 k}} \frac{4}{C}^{2 k}! \\
& \text { > 3; } \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

where $(a)_{k}=a(a+1) \quad(a+k \quad 1)$ is the P ochham $m$ er sym bol. Therefore, there are at least three $m$ in im a $w$ ith positive winding num bens greater than 1 . W hen $0<$ $c<4$, the condition of having $m$ ore than three $m$ inim a is c $>\frac{9}{4}$. To sum $m$ arise, when $c>\frac{9}{4}$ there exist at least three $m$ inim a $w$ ith positive $w$ inding numbers. It $m$ ight be helpful to $m$ ention that, if we introduce the nite size e ect in section VI, the num ber of $m$ inim a could be reduced in som e cases.

O ne can plot the criticalvalue of c w here the m inim um of the N th segm ent em erges and vanishes. The lower bound of the N th segm ent is

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{L}^{(\mathbb{N})}=\frac{N^{2}}{N+1}<N ; \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the upper bound is

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{U}^{(\mathbb{N})}=\frac{(N+1)^{2}}{N}>N+1: \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, when $c$ satis es the follow ing inequality relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{L}^{(\mathbb{N})}<c<G_{U}^{(\mathbb{N})} ; \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

the $N$-th segm ent has a $m$ inim aland ( $m$ eta-)stable point. For exam ple, when $\frac{1}{2}<c<4$, the rst segm ent a 2 $\left[\frac{2}{\mathrm{~L}} ; \frac{4}{\mathrm{~L}}\right]\left(\right.$ i.e. $\mathrm{N}=1$ ) has a m inim alpoint at $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{C}}(1)$.
N ow we discuss the critical points of the conform ation param eter c at which the conform ational transitions betw een states $m$ ay occur. $W$ hen $N_{U}$ (c) 1 (i.e. c $\frac{1}{2}$ ), the second condition in eq.(32) vanishes and thus the whip states only survive at low energy. In this param eter region, the $\mathrm{a}=0$ rod state w ill be favoured as the ground state w ith vanishing energy. Including quantum ' uctuations around $a=0$, we call this phase the whip phase. Successively, at the critical value of $c=\frac{1}{2}$, the whip phase to whip-toroid co-existence phase transition would occur. On the other hand, when c> $\frac{1}{2}$, there al ways exists at least one ( m eta-) stable toroid state w ith positive winding num ber $N(L)$. A s c grow s over $\frac{1}{2}$, the localm inim um in the rst segm ent decreases from som e positive value. Finally, when the energy of the $\mathrm{N}=1$ stable toroid state balances w ith the ground state of the
whip state (i.e. $H_{c l}=0$ ), the whip-dom inant to toroiddom inant phase transition $m$ ay occur. Such a value of $c$ is $27=16$. Since there is a potential barrier betw een the $a=0 \operatorname{rod}$ and the $\mathrm{N}=1$ stable toroid states, the transition is rst order. W hen c>27=16, the toroid states w illdom inate the action. T he energy plot ( $F$ ig. . show s that the transitions betw een the toroid states are also rst order, if any, as there exist potential barriers betw een two successive minim a. Further discussions on the phase transitions w ill be given in the nal section.

For later convenience, we rew rite the H am iltonian (3"]) and $a_{c}(\mathbb{N})$ in term $s$ of $c$ and the new variable $x \quad \frac{a L}{2}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{cl}}(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{l} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{W})=\frac{\mathrm{WL}}{2} \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{c} ; \mathrm{x}) \\
& =\mathrm{p}_{2^{2} \mathrm{~W} \mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{c}}} \overline{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{c} ; \mathrm{x})=\frac{4^{2} \mathrm{l}}{\mathrm{~L}} \mathrm{cH}(\mathrm{c} ; \mathrm{x}) ; \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(c ; x)=\frac{x^{2}}{2 c}+\frac{1}{x}[x]([x]+1) \quad 2[x]: \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $[\mathrm{x}]=\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{L})$ and $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{C}}([\mathrm{x}])=\frac{\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathbb{N}) \mathrm{L}}{2}=$ fc $[x]([x]+1)^{1}=^{3}$.

## V. STABILITY, QUANTUM FLUCTUATIONS, AND PERTURBATIONS

So far we have dealt w ith the classical solutions, which are derived from the rst derivative of the action. T hus, they $m$ ay correspond to the global/localm inim a of the action in the con guration space. H ow ever, the solutions are not necessarily stable unless we take into account the attraction, since the second derivative test of the action w ith $\mathrm{W}=0$ gives the non-positive H essian. T hat is to say, they seem to be saddle points.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\frac{{ }^{2} S\left[u^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{u}}\right]}{\boldsymbol{\prime}_{\mathrm{u}}^{2}}}_{\mathrm{W}=0}=0 ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{det} H \dot{\mathrm{j}}=0=\begin{array}{l}
@_{1}^{2} S \quad @, @ S \\
@ @, S \quad @^{2} S_{W=0}
\end{array} \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

In fact, the generalwhip states do not need to live in a at plane in $R^{3}$ whereas the classical whip state does. So, the transitions betw een the classical and the nonclassical whip states have the at directions, i.e., they can be seam less w ithout any change ofenergy. Therefore, the stability problem is to be treated carefully $w$ ith and w ithout attraction.
A. Stability and quantum uctuations with attraction

W hen the attraction is tumed on, the toroid states $w$ th the $w$ inding num ber ofm ore than $t w o m$ ay becom $e$ extrem ely ( $m$ eta-)stable under the quantum uctuations aw ay from the classical solutions. It is not easy to show that allsuch second derivatives of the action give positive values and therefore stabilise the states, since the interaction term contains a special function of the quantum variable . H ow ever, there is a much easier way to see the stability.

C onsider any sm all uctuation of a segm ent from such a state. It gives rise to an increase of the energy:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{a}) \quad \mathrm{W} \quad \mathrm{~s}: 1 \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

M ore generally, we can write down the dim ensionless
Valley of the Hamiltonian in the configuration space ( $c=4$ )


FIG.5: A sketch of the energy levelf $\left(x ; l_{\delta}\right)$ w th the attraction. For ease of use, the $m$ axim um value is suppressed at 4 and $l_{s}$ is norm alised by $L$. T he direction along the valley is param eterised by a variable of the classical solution. Its perpendicular direction is the quantum uctuation $l_{s}$ by SO (2) aw ay from the classical solutions. A s one can see, $l_{s}$ is a at direction for the whip states ( $\mathrm{x}<1$ ).

Ham iltonian as a function of $a$, or $x$, and $l_{s}$, that is, the energy levels aw ay from the toroid states. $l_{s}$ is now de ned as the length $l_{s}$ of the polym er segm ent shifted from an end of the toroid. T he shifted polym er segm ent is locally rotated by SO (2) transform ation, for exam ple, by 90 degrees at each node, keeping the local bending energy unchanged.

$$
\begin{align*}
H\left(a ; I_{S}\right)= & W\left(x ; I_{S}\right) \\
= & \frac{W L}{4 c} x^{2}+\frac{W L}{2 x}\left[x\left(1 \quad \frac{I_{S}}{L}\right)\right] \quad\left[x\left(1 \frac{I_{S}}{L}\right)\right]+1 \\
& W L\left[x\left(1 \frac{I_{S}}{L}\right)\right]+W I_{S}\left[x\left(1 \quad \frac{I_{S}}{L}\right)\right] ; \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

 m alsegm ent $\quad b$ is found to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{W} \mathrm{~N} \quad \ddagger ; \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where N is the local num ber of the overlapped segm ents $N=\left[\frac{a\left(L l_{s}\right)}{2}\right]$. When $l_{s}=L$, the energy becom es $E=$ $\frac{\mathrm{Ll}}{2} \mathrm{a}^{2}$ of the bending energy.

T herefore, under perpendicular quantum' uctuations aw ay from the classical solutions, the toroid states which look stable in $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{cl}}$ are also generally ( m eta-) stable. It should be noted here that the uctuation along the classical solutions $m$ ay still be at so that the transitions from one toroid state to another is possible. T hese facts justify our claim s on the phase transitions betw een toroid states and their existence.

A s for the toroid state w ith the w inding num ber one, its stability depends on the value of c. The transition along the classical solutions is alm ost at so that when
 Therefore, it is absolutely unstable. W hen c $>\frac{1}{2}$, one $m$ ay state that it is $m$ eta-stable since attracted parts locally stabilise the state. H ow ever, the non-attracted parts are still free to $m$ ove unless it gives an increase of the total energy. So, the toroid state with $N=1$ is partially stable or $m$ etastable. Its probability is given by summ ing over such quantum uctuations of nonattracted parts that give the energy sim ilar to that of the classicalsolution.

O n the other hand, even w ith the attraction, the whip state is unstable since it is not a ected by the presence of the attraction. T herefore, it would be m eaningless to pick up any particular shape of the whips and estim ate its probability. Instead, one should only estim ate the probability of all the whip states that have the sim ilar energy H ( $a<2=L$ ), by carefiully counting the num ber of such states, or equivalently by estim ating entropy. N ote that, roughly speaking, the whip state is m ore probable than a single rod state $w$ ith $a=0$.

W ith the above reasons, it would be m ore appropriate to state that one of the toroid states ofN $=$ [x] 2 is the ground state when $l$ is much larger than the bond length $h_{b}$ and $c \quad 4$ where the whip states becom e negligible. A though we listed the above reasons, we rem ind that there is a rst order transition betw een the rod $(a=0)$ and the toroid state $(\mathbb{N}=1)$. The potential barrier betw een them is given by $\frac{2^{2} 1}{L}$, thus the transition will be suppressed by the factor ofe ${ }^{1}$ or sm aller when $L<$ $2^{2} \mathrm{l} 1 \mathrm{~m}$ in the case ofDNA with l 50 nm .
B. Perturbation by the classical solutions

In order to com plete the theory at low energy, we construct the low -energy e ective $G$ reen function $G$ eff from those of the toroid and the whip states, in perturbation theory. Tom ake the function $m$ ore accessible, we $x$ the persistence length 1 in what follow s. A ccordingly, $G_{\text {eff }}$ becom es a function of $L$ and $W$, or equivalently, of $c$ and L . In addition, $\mathrm{C}=\frac{\mathrm{W}}{21} \frac{\mathrm{~L}}{}^{2}{ }^{2} \quad 4$ is assum ed to ensure the existence of the stable toroid states at the beginning.

Let us denote the $G$ reen function of the toroid states by
$\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{T}}$, and that of the whip states by $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{w}}$. A swew ould like to sum over alltoroid contributions to $G_{T}$, the end-to-end vector $R$ and the initial and nalbond vectors ( $G_{i} ; H_{f}$ ) will be om itted in $G_{T}$ as well as in $G_{w}$. Therefore, $G_{T}$ is a function only of $c=c()$ where $c()$ is a function of the chain length of the toroidal segm ent. $G_{w}$ is also a function of : the length of the non-attracted whipping segm ent in this case. $N$ ote that, how ever, $G_{w}()$ does not depend on $W$ since the chain segm ent is free by de nition.
$W$ ith these speci cations, the e ective $G$ reen function can be constructed by the follow ing perturbations:

```
\(G_{\text {ef }}^{f_{n}}(\mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{L})=\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{w}}(\mathrm{L})\)
        \(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{m}}\) in
    \(+G_{T}(c(L))+2 \quad d \quad G_{T}(c(L \quad)) G_{V}()\)
            Z
```



```
    " \(\mathrm{Z} \quad{ }^{1+}{ }_{2}<\mathrm{L}^{2} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{m}}\) in
    Z \(\mathrm{L} \quad \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{m}}\) in
    \(+\quad d G_{T}(c(L \quad)) G_{T}()\)
        \(\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{in}_{\mathrm{Z}}\)
        \(+2 \underset{1>\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{m}} \text { in } ; \mathrm{in}_{2}>0 ;}{\mathrm{d}_{1}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{L} & 1 & 2\end{array}\right) \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{T}}(1) \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{w}}(2)\right.\)
```





```
            \(G_{T} \quad C \quad L \quad i \quad G_{T}(2) G_{W}(3)\)
```




```
            \(\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{W}}\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}\right) \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{T}}\left(\mathrm{Z}_{2}\right) \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{W}}\left(\mathrm{Y}^{4}\right) \quad \#\)
```




```
        \(X^{3} \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { i } \\ & \quad G_{W}\left({ }_{2}\right) G_{T}(3) G_{W}(4)\end{aligned}\)
        \(\mathrm{i}=1\)
    + ;
```

where $L_{m}$ in is given by the low erbound of the conform ation param eter $c: c\left(L_{m}\right.$ in $)=1=2$, for the existence of a ( $m$ eta-) stable toroid state. It reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{m \text { in }}=\frac{L}{2 C(L)}=2^{r \frac{l}{W}}: \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst bracket in eq. (44í), gives the contributions from the conform ations w hich contain only one toroid, the second bracket gives the ones with two toroids, and so on. It should be noted that so-called tadpole' conform ation appears in this low energy perturbation as the third term
in eq. (44-1) w ith one toroid and one whip. Schem atically, eq. (44) can be depicted in F ig ${ }_{\text {I }}^{1}$.


FIG.6: Each term in eq. (44) is expressed by a product of the toroids (circles) and the whips (waves). The third term is so called the tadpole' conform ation. Below the forth term, all contributions are of m ulti-tori.

R oughly speaking, in our ideal toroid w ith zero thickness, the $w$ inding num ber $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ of the dom inant toroid state is proportional to c() which is a quadratic function in
. Besides, the $m$ inim um of the $H$ am iltonian is given at $a_{c}\left(\mathbb{N}_{C}\right): M$ in ( $H$ (a)) $\quad \frac{W L N ~}{4} \quad \frac{W^{2}}{41} \frac{L^{2}}{2}{ }^{3}$. Therefore, the ratio of the probabilities of the toroids $w$ th di erent lengths and L can be estim ated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{G_{T}(c())}{G_{T}(c(L))} \quad \frac{e^{\frac{W^{2}}{41}(-)^{3}}}{e^{\frac{W 2}{41}\left(\frac{L}{2}\right)^{3}}}=e^{\frac{W^{2}}{2^{5} 2_{1}}\left(L^{3}\right.}{ }^{3}\right): \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we assum e that $L$ is large enough as $L^{3} \frac{2^{5}{ }^{2} 1}{W^{2}}$, the toroid states $w$ ith $s m$ aller contour length are highly suppressed by the above factor (From c 4, we have $\mathrm{L}^{3} \quad 2^{5}{ }^{2} \mathbb{I} \mathbb{V}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{2^{5} 2^{2}}{W^{2}}$. If W $O(1)$ and 1 , we ob$\left.\operatorname{tain} L^{3} \quad \frac{2^{5}{ }^{2} 1}{W^{2}}\right)$.

For exam ple, this condition holds for the cases ofD N A considered in the next section. H ence, the above perturbation can be justi ed for a large value of c(L). N ote, how ever, that the statisticalw eight for each path, or each conform ation, is not speci ed here, nor for the whip state and the norm alisation factor. M oreover, we have not counted other conform ations such as conventional tad(4 Pples' with overlaying whips. Therefore, and unfortunately, we do not go into the precise com parison of the above term s . Instead, we will m ake som e rem arks on these issues in the nal section.

## VI. COMPARISON W IT H EXPERIMENTS

In previous sections, we have found the toroid states as the classical solutions and found that som e of them are ( $m$ eta-) stable, one of which becom es the ground state at large c. As m entioned, it is pointless to ask $\mathbb{w}_{i} ; \mathfrak{u}_{f}$, and $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{L})$. O ne of the $m$ ost physically $m$ eaningful observable is the radius of the toroid.

For large $c$, the ground state | the dom inant toroid state of the w inding num ber $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ can be estim ated by the inequality relation (371) of c:

$$
C_{L}^{\left(\mathbb{N}_{C}\right)}<c<C_{U}^{\left(N_{C}\right)}:
$$

By its inverse relation, it reads $N_{C}{ }^{\prime} \quad C_{r}$ since $C_{L}^{\left(N_{c}\right)}, N_{C}$ and $C^{(N)}{ }^{(N)}, N_{c}$. U sing this, we can estim ate that the radius of our dom inant ideal toroid behaves

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{C}=\frac{L}{2 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{c}}}=\frac{4 \mathrm{l}}{\mathrm{WL}}: \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result is, however, not directly applicable to the physical system s, because ourm odel has the zero thickness of the chain. That is, every chain segm ent interacts equally w ith all the other segm ents accum ulated on the sam e arc of the toroid.

Therefore in this section, we rst introduce a nite size e ect into our $H$ am iltonian. We then estim ate the m ean radius of toroid and com pare resulting analytical expression w th the experim ents of DNA condensation [ 12,1$]$ [1] $]$. A lso, the $m$ ean radius of the toroid cross section is calculated in the end.

## A. Fin ite size e ect

The nite size e ect of the toroid cross section can be approxim ated by the hexagonally arranged DNA chains w ith van der $W$ aals type interactions, i.e., $w$ th the e ective nearest neighbour interactions. N am ely, if the chains are packed in a com plete hexagonal cross section, the w inding num bers are $\mathrm{N}=7 ; 19 ; 37$, and so on. In such cases, the num ber of van der $W$ aals interactions betw een segm ents can be counted by the links betw een neighbouring pairs in the hexagonal cross section. $W$ e then obtain the num ber as a discrete function $V_{\text {discrete }}(\mathbb{N}) . W$ e can approxim ate it or analytically continue to the follow ing analytic function $V(\mathbb{N})$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{N})=3 \mathrm{~N} \quad 2^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{3} \overline{\mathrm{~N}} \overline{\mathrm{~N}} \quad \frac{1}{4}: \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thereupon, the attractive energy can be expressed by those of $N$ loops and the rest of the chain:

$$
\mathrm{Z}_{0}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{ds}_{\mathrm{A} T}(\mathrm{~s})=\frac{2 \mathrm{~W}}{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~V}(\mathbb{N}) \quad \mathrm{W} L \quad \frac{2 \mathrm{~N}}{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{Gap}(\mathbb{N}) ;(49)
$$

where $G \operatorname{ap}(\mathbb{N}) \quad V(\mathbb{N}+1) \quad V(\mathbb{N})$ is additionally introduced in order to com pensate the continuity of the potential as a function of a. $N$ ote that, up to $N=3$, we need not to introduce this nite size e ect, since there is no di erence between the ideal toroid and the hexagonally arranged case: the num ber of links are the sam e in both cases. Therefore, we assum e $N$ (L) 4 for this e ect. $N$ ote also that the entanglem ent (knotting) e ect of the chain arrangem ent is neglected.
 size e ect leads to the m odi ed H am iltonian:

$$
\begin{align*}
H(a)= & \frac{L l}{2} a^{2} \quad \frac{2 \mathrm{~W}}{a} V(\mathbb{N}(L)) \\
& \frac{2 W}{a} \frac{a L}{2} \quad N(L) \quad G a p(N(L)): \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

M ost physical observables for tightly packed toroids can be quite accurately estim ated using this Ham iltonian. For exam ple, in principle, we can derive the exact value of the radius of the stable toroid. In fact, by the sam e analysis presented in the previous section, we obtain the follow ing \asym ptotic" relation of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ of the dom inant toroid for large c:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{c}, \quad 2^{p} \overline{3} c^{\frac{2}{5}}: \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

B. M apping onto experim entaldata

By $r_{C} \frac{L}{2 \mathrm{~N}_{c}}$, we now estim ate the $m$ ean radius of the toroid (i.e. the average of inner and outer radii) in a physicalsystem. A coupling constant of ( $\overline{4}$ ) can be given by $W=\frac{1}{l_{n}} \frac{k}{k_{B} T} \quad w h e r e k$ is the number of the electric dipoles in a $m$ onom er segm ent, each of which creates van der $W$ aals interaction of the $m$ agnitude. . $\ddagger$ denotes the length of the $m$ onom er along the chain contour, taken to be a halfofpitch per tum (ofhelix) $l_{n}$, $5 \mathrm{bp}=1: 66 \mathrm{~nm}$ in the end. N ote we assum $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{n}}$ b. Substituting $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ of the dom inant toroid state (511) and the above, we obtain

$$
r_{C}^{\prime} \quad(6)^{\frac{1}{5}} L^{\frac{1}{5}} \frac{l^{\frac{2}{5}}}{W}=(6)^{\frac{1}{5}} L^{\frac{1}{5}}\left(l_{\mathrm{n}} 1\right)^{\frac{2}{5}} \frac{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}}:(52)
$$

$T$ he scaling property of the rst equality $m$ atches $w$ ith the one in [25]. N ote that a coupling constant of second nearest néghbour is van ishingly sm all $\mathrm{W}_{2}^{\prime} 2^{6} \mathrm{~W}=$ $\frac{1}{64} \mathrm{~W}$, so that one $m$ ay neglect it in this van der $W$ aals regim e.
$W$ e estim ate the $m$ ean toroidal radius of T 4 DNA in low ionic conditions reported in []] [ U sing $L=57 \mathrm{~m}$, l' 5060 nm , and $\neq$, the $m$ ean radius of the toroid is

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{C}=29: 09 B^{\frac{2}{5}} \quad 31: 29 \mathrm{~B}^{\frac{2}{5}}[\mathrm{~nm}] ; \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B \quad \frac{k}{k_{B} T}$. This is in good agreem ent $w$ th the experim ent $r_{C}{ }^{K_{B}}$ 28:5 nm for $B$ 1:15.

The sam e argum ent for the toroid form ed by Sperm D NA packaged by protam ines [1] the analytic value

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{C}=23: 69 \mathrm{~B} \quad \frac{2}{5} \quad 25: 48 \mathrm{~B} \quad \frac{2}{5} \quad[\mathrm{~nm}] ; \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

which also agrees w ith an experim ental result $r_{C}{ }^{\prime} 26: 25$ nm for $\mathrm{B} \quad 0: 85$. N ote that the form er toroid is densely packed [1] [3], hence hexagonalassum ption could be a good approxim ation. It is therefore well expected to have the
stronger attraction com pared to them al uctuations, $B>1$. The latter has a larger diam eter of the e ective segm ent. T hus, it $m$ ay well be expected to have the weaker but large enough interaction $w$ th sm aller $B$ to $m$ aintain a toroidal conform ation.

Sim ilarly, the $m$ ean radius of the toroid cross section can be calculated for the com plete hexagonal cross section $w$ ith a side of $n m$ onom ers:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\text {cross }}=\frac{2+{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{3}}{4} \text { n } \frac{1}{2} I_{d} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l_{d}$ is the diam eter of the segm ent. Substituting our relation of $n$ with the $w$ inding num ber $N: n=\frac{1}{2}+$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.{ }_{2}^{1} \frac{1}{3} \mathrm{~N} \quad \frac{1}{4} \text {, eq. ( } 5-5 \text {-5 }\right) \text { can be rew ritten as } \\
& r_{\text {cross }}=\frac{2^{p} \overline{3}+3}{12} N^{\frac{1}{2}} 1 \quad \frac{1}{8 N}+0 \quad \frac{1}{N^{2}} \quad I_{d}: \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

U sing this general result, we now specify the type of interactions and derive the expression for the m ean radius of the toroid cross section. In the case of van der $W$ aals interactions, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ is given by eq. ([51'), and therefore w e have

$$
\begin{align*}
r_{\text {cross }} & \frac{3^{p} \overline{3}+6}{12}(6)^{\frac{2}{5}} L^{\frac{2}{5}} \frac{W}{l}{ }^{\frac{1}{5}} I_{d} \\
= & \frac{3^{p} \overline{3}+6}{12}(6)^{\frac{2}{5}} L^{\frac{2}{5}}\left(l_{\mathrm{n}} 1\right)^{\frac{1}{5}} \frac{k}{k_{B} T}{ }^{\frac{1}{5}}: \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote that the scaling property $r_{\text {cross }} \quad L^{\frac{2}{5}}$ is in agree$m$ ent $w$ ith the one in [25] obtained in the asym ptotic lim it.

Sim ilarly, we can form ally consider the case of ideal toroid, although it has zero thickness. In this case with $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{C}_{\boldsymbol{r}}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { r cross } \quad & \frac{2^{p} \overline{3}+3}{24} L \frac{W^{2 l}}{2 l}{ }^{\frac{1}{2}} I_{d} \\
= & \frac{2^{p} \overline{3}+3}{24} L\left(2 \ln _{\mathrm{n}} 1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{k}{k_{B} T}{ }^{\frac{1}{2}} I_{d}: \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

It should be noted that, $w$ th van der $W$ aals interactions, the $m$ ean radius of the toroid scales as $L^{\frac{1}{5}}$ while that of the toroid cross section scales as $L^{\frac{2}{5}}$. In the case of ideal toroid, the $m$ ean radius of the toroid scales as L ${ }^{1}$ while that of the cross section scales as L.
VII. D ISCUSSION AND CONCLUDING REMARKS

W e have show $n$ that the ( $m$ eta-) stable toroid states appear as the classical solutions of the low energy e ective theory of a sem i exible hom opolym er chain | the nonlinear sigm a m odel on a line segm ent. $W$ e have show $n$ in this paper the com plete proof of the statem ent that our
classical solutions represent the general solution. The novelty of the $m$ odel com es from the fact that the difculties of the local inextensibility constraint jaf $=1$ and the delta-fiunction potential are resolved explicitly w ith our solutions in the path integralform ulation (hence our theory goes further beyond the $G$ aussian approxim ation). Together with our $m$ icroscopic $H$ am iltonian, they lead us to the profound analytic curve of the energy levels ( $F$ ig $\bar{N}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) . It is also of interests that the balance betw een the bending energy and the attractive potential creates $m$ ultiple local $m$ inim a at $a=a_{c}(\mathbb{N})$ for each $N$ satisfying eq.(32) . O ne can read o from eq.( $\mathrm{B}_{2} \bar{Z}_{1}$ ) and F ig ', '/ that the num ber of localm inim a is basically three or four in the case of our ideal toroid. A nother work on multiple localm inim a will be m entioned shortly. In search of the ground state, we found that the phase transitions occur at $c=\frac{1}{2}$ and at $c=\frac{27}{16}$, and discovered that such con gurationaltransitions are govemed by the conform ation param eter $\mathrm{c}=\frac{\mathrm{W}}{21} \frac{\mathrm{~L}}{}_{2}{ }^{2}$. The criticalpoint $c=\frac{27}{16}$ indicates the whip-toroid or whip-dom inant to toroid-dom inant transition of the nst order.

In section $V-A$, we have shown the stability of the toroid states and the validity of such phase transitions. W e also calculated the potential barrier of the whiptoroid transition (rod-toroid transition), and indicated that, for the chains of $L<1 \mathrm{~m}$, the $\operatorname{rod}(a=0)$ to $\mathrm{N}=1$ ( m eta-) stable toroid state transition is fairly unlikely. This would explain why it is di cult to observe short DNA toroids in experim ents [511]. In section $V-B$, we have constructed the e ective $G$ reen function from those of the whip and toroid states using perturbation theory at low energy. It naturally contains multi-tori and tadpole' conform ations.

W e nally introduced the hexagonal approxim ation to count the nite size e ect of the toroid cross section and established the $m$ apping onto the experim ental data of the DNA toroid radii. O ur result is even quantitatively in good agreem ent w ith the experim ents [12, "13]. H ence, we conclude that our theory is certainly an analytic theory of DNA condensation and of toroidal condensation of $m$ any other sem i exible polym er chains $w$ ith e ective van der $W$ aals attraction, or equivalently $w$ ith effective short-range dom inant attraction. H ere, we presented only the com parisons w th DNA condensations, but simply by varying the param eters $1 ; W ; L$, and $l_{n}$, our theory and results should $t$ to the sam e problem $s$ in sim ilar biochem ical ob jects.

In analogy to the classical lim it $\frac{1}{\sim}$ ! 1 in quantum $m$ echanics, it is assum ed that the persistence length 1 is large enough for our low energy theory to be valid. T he local inextensibility constraint is originally given by som e bond potential such as (juj 1) w th the spring constant. . N ote the low energy theory becom es invalid when the constraint does not hold in the H am iltonian. That is, 1 and should be su ciently larger than $O$ (1) so that our theory rem ains valid. On the other hand, as lapproaches 1 or 0 , one $m$ ay be able to see the transition from whip-toroid phase to coil-globule or coil-rod phase.

This is beyond our scope in this paper, but the issue will be discussed at the end of this section.

O fparticular interest on multiple localm in m a is the work by $K$ uznetsov and $T$ im oshenko [ ${ }_{3}^{2}$-1 1 ]. U sing $G$ aussian variationalm ethod and o-lattioe $M$ onte $C$ arlo sim ulation, they num erically calculated the phase diagram for a ring sem i exible chain in various solvent conditions. N ote that their m odel H am iltonian is the sam e as ours except for the harm onic spring term connecting adjacent beads. For a given sti ness, upon increasing the m agnitude of two-body attraction, they found toroids w ith largerw inding num bers becom em ore stable. This is consistent w ith our ndings as our conform ational param eter $c$ is a function of the $m$ agnitude of the attraction $W$. $T$ hey also found that several distinct toroid states (i.e. $\mathrm{multiple} m$ inim a) can exist, which are characterized by w inding num bers and are separated by rst order phase transition lines (see Fig. 4 in [38i]). A though they considered a ring polym er, these facts are in good agreem ent w ith our analytic ndings for an open sem i exible chain. It would be of great interest to num erically check the existence ofm ultiple minim a for an open sem i exible chain.

So far, we have only dealt w ith the whip and toroid conform ations, but there are other con gurations to be explored. $N$ um ericalsim ulations show ed that a sem i exible chain takes toroid, collapsed rod or racquet conform ations, depending on chain length, sti ness, $m$ agnitude of interactions, tem perature, and other variables. Of par-
 and Stukan et al. $\left.22_{2}, 4^{2} \overline{1}^{\prime \prime}\right]$ w ho studied the dependence of sti ness on conform ational properties. They observed both toroid and collapsed rod states for som e interm ediate sti ness. U pon increasing sti ness they found toroid states are $m$ ore probable.

Collapsed rods have not been present in our m odel. O ne of the reasons is they are not classical solutions, which can only survive and becom e the only candidates for the ground state at large lor at sm all T . A nother reason $m$ ay be because the inextensibility constraint ja ${ }^{9}=1$ is quite strong orbecause collapsed rods are energetically less favoured. That is, our m odelw ith the constraint is sim ply in the quite sti regim e where the collapsed rods are less likely. M oreover, discrete nature of the chain, $w$ hich are present in $m$ ost num erical $m$ odels, $m$ ight allow sudden hairpins although they are highly disfavoured in som e continuum models. Indeed, when they increase
 com petition in the interm ediate sti ness rem ains an interesting open question.

In addition to toroids and collapsed rods, tadpole like conform ations (i.e. a toroid head with long tail) have been observed in the experim ent by $N$ oguchiet. al. $\left.{ }^{[3} \bar{B}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. $T$ hey also perform ed the $M$ onte $C$ arlo sim ulations and found that this tadpole like structure was realised only tw ice in a hundred runs. W e have also dealt w ith a tadpole' conform ation in the e ective $G$ reen function, but it only includes the sim plest tadpole shape: a toroid $w$ ith a single non-interacting whip. T herefore, we have not
counted tadpoles such as a toroid w th two whips attracting each other or a toroid w ith a collapsed rod or a toroid w ith tw o collapsed rods attracting each other. To com pare them, one should rst estim ate the entropy of the whip and com pare it to the toroids. A lso, relative energy levels and statistical weights of these conform ations (toroid, collapsed rod, tadpole) including_ reported
 be studied in the forthcom ing work. N ote that the whip is de ned by the elongated state at low energy whose upper bound is given tentatively by $\mathrm{E}<\frac{2^{2} 1}{\mathrm{~L}}$. Precisely speaking, it should be the low est bound for a chain to form a loop, which is to be explored in detail as well as the above.

As for the scaling property $r_{c} \quad L$ of the toroid radius, the exponents predicted in the literature in the
 $T$ his agreesw ith our precise asym ptotic result (52) where both the param eter $c$ and the $w$ inding num ber $N_{c}$ of a dom inant toroid are large enough. $N$ ote our $m$ odel has robustness in that it can treat chains of any nite length: a realchain is a nite system.

H ow ever, the exponents are inconsistent $w$ ith the experim entally well know $n$ observation that the radius is independent of the chain length $[11,12,12$ suggest that the realinteraction is not necessarily van der W aals like, or at least is not a single van der $W$ aals type interaction. It should be noted here that com binations of our ideal toroid and its nite size e ect can give a range of $=1 \frac{1}{5}$ in som e region.

A nother interesting rem ark is that when we apply C oulom b like interactions to our approxim ation, we observe the radius rem ains nearly constant as $L$ changes. $T$ his $w$ ill be presented in the near future [52l].

F inally, we rem ark that our m odel can be regarded as the linear sigm a m odel at low energy where it actually reduces to the nonlinear sigm a m odel. The linear sigm a m odel is one of the m ost suitable m odels to describe phase transitions in quantum eld theory. A though we are not formulating quantum eld theory, the modelactually involves a phase transition from a constrained to non-constrained system, that is, from constant-length bonds to spring-like bonds (G aussian exible chain). T his is an interesting m odel to be studied. A though we have listed som e questions to solve, there are obviously a lot of problem s to be investigated. O ur theory could also be extended and applied to the challenging interdisciplinary problem s such as protein folding.
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## APPENDIXA:THEDELTA FUNCTION POTENTIAL

O ur delta function potential expressed in the body is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{AT}}(\mathrm{~s})=\mathrm{W}_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{ds}^{0} \quad(x(\mathrm{~s}) \quad x(\xi)): \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is, how ever, rather schem atic. The precise de nition will be given below, sorting out tw o am biguities in eq. (A Al 1 ). O ne is the de nition of the delta function and the other is its integration contour conceming the selfinteraction contribution. The exact form of the function is given by renorm alising the coupling constant, the measure, or the delta function itself appropriately, and by expecting som e ultraviolet (short-range) cuto $>0$ in the integration contour:

$$
\left.\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{AT}}(\mathrm{~s})=\mathrm{W}_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}}[\mathrm{ds}]_{\text {re }}^{0} \quad \text { (je (s) } \quad x\left(\mathrm{~s}^{0}\right)\right] \text { for }
$$

$$
s ;(\mathbb{A} 2)
$$

otherw ise it vanishes.
$F$ irst, we should intenpret that the delta function is not three-dim ensionalbut one-dim ensional, changing its argum ent from ( $x(s) x(S))$ to $j(s) x(S) j . W$ hen the argum ent of the delta function has som e zeros, it has the follow ing property. Say that the argum ent is given by a function $g(x)$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(g(x))=\underbrace{x}_{x_{i}} \frac{(x \quad x)}{\dot{g}^{0}\left(x_{i}\right) j} \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f x_{i} g$ is the roots of $g(x)$. A ccordingly, at around every zero, the integration overx gives a $j^{0}\left(x_{i}\right) j^{1}$ contribution. By de nition, our potential should not have such a contribution, so that the am plitudem ust be nom alised appropriately. For exam ple, such a renom alisation of the $m$ easure can be achieved by

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{1}[d x]_{r e}(g(x))=Z_{1}[d x]_{r e} X_{x_{i}} \frac{(x \quad x)}{\dot{g}^{0}\left(x_{i}\right) j} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
=x^{Z} d x \dot{g}^{0}\left(x_{i}\right) j \frac{(x \quad x)}{\dot{g}^{0}\left(x_{i}\right) j} \\
=x^{x_{i}} z^{x_{i}} d x
\end{array} \\
& \left.={ }_{x_{i}} \quad d x \text { ( } \begin{array}{lll}
x & x_{i}
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{N} \text { um ber of zeros: } \tag{A4}
\end{align*}
$$

As given in the second line of the above expression, the $m$ easure is renorm alised such that it cancels all the denom inators of the delta function potential.

A nother exam ple is to renorm alise the delta function as follow s :

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{s} \mathrm{ds}^{0} \mathrm{re}\left(g\left(s^{0}\right)\right) & Z_{s} \mathrm{ds}^{0} \frac{\mathrm{dg}\left(s^{0}\right)}{\mathrm{ds} s^{0}}\left(g\left(s^{0}\right)\right) \\
& Z^{Z^{0}} \operatorname{dg}\left(s^{0}\right) \quad\left(g\left(s^{0}\right)\right) ; \tag{A5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $C$ is given by the one-param eter contour of $g\left(s^{0}\right)$ from $s^{0}=0$ to $s^{0}=s$. Therefore, we_im plicitly include one of these renom alisations in eq. (A_1').

The second ambiguity is that, when the integration contour is from 0 to $s$, it arises a self-interaction betw een a point at $s$ and an adjacent point at ( $s \quad$ ) with some in nitesim alpositive param eter. In order to avoid such a self-interaction, we have im plicitly introduced an ultraviolet cuto $(>0)$ in the form of $V_{A}$ ( $(s)$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
Z_{s} & \\
& d s^{0}(\quad): \tag{A6}
\end{array}
$$

N ote that $V_{\text {A }}(s<)$ is de ned as nil.

## APPENDIX B:SO (3) TRANSFORMATION

The dim ension of the generators of SO (3) are three: $T^{i}$ for $i=1$ to 3 and global SO (3) transform ation can be given by its exponentialm apping: $e^{g_{i} T^{i}}$ where $g_{i}$ are som e anbitrary param eters. T he $m$ atrix form of the generators on the fiundam ental representations are antisym $m$ etric $f T^{i}{ }_{j k} g w h e r e j$ and $k$ are $m$ atrix su ces running from 1 to 3 . Its adjoint representation is given by $\left(T^{i}\right)_{j k}={ }_{i j k}$ where $i_{i j k}$ is the com plete antisym $m$ etric tensor.

The SO (3) in nitesim al transform ation of the bond vector $u$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{j}=g_{i} T_{j k}^{i} u_{k} ; \tag{B1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $i ; k$ are sum $m$ ed over and $g_{i}$ are in nitesim al param eters in this case. C learly, the action is invariant under such transform ations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(u^{i}\right)^{0}\left(u_{i}\right)^{0} \quad u^{j} u_{i} & =\left(u^{i}+u^{i}\right)\left(u_{i}+u_{i}\right) \quad j u^{j} \\
& =g_{k}\left(u^{j} T_{j i}^{k}\right) u_{i}+u^{i}\left(T_{i j}^{k} u_{j}\right) \\
& =g_{k}\left(u_{i} T^{k} u_{j}\right)^{T}+\left(u_{i} T^{k} u_{j}\right) \\
& =0 ; \tag{B2}
\end{align*}
$$

since $T^{k}$ is antisymmetric $\left(T^{k}\right)^{T}=\left(T^{k}\right)$. Note that $u_{i}$ and $@ u_{i}$ have the sam e property under $S O$ (3). For simplicity, let us take its adjoint representation $T_{j k}^{i}=$ ijk and write down the transform ation law in the polar coordinates

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{j}=g_{i} i j k u_{k} ; \tag{B3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i ; k$ are sum m ed over. Substituting the polar decom position w ith the constraint juf $=1$, one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{\mathrm{x}}=(\sin \mathrm{u} \cos \mathrm{u})=\left(g_{3} \sin \mathrm{u} \sin \mathrm{u} \quad \Phi \cos \mathrm{u}\right) \\
& \text { ) } u \cos u \cos u \quad u \sin u \sin u \\
& =\left(g_{3} \sin u \sin u \quad 9 \cos u\right) \text {; } \\
& u_{\mathrm{y}}=(\sin \mathrm{u} \sin \mathrm{u})=\left(g_{1} \cos \mathrm{u} \quad g \sin \mathrm{u} \cos \mathrm{u}\right) \\
& \text { ) } u \cos u \sin u+u \sin u \cos u \\
& =\left(g_{1} \cos u \quad g \sin u \cos u\right) \text {; } \\
& u_{z}=(\cos u)=\sin u\left(g_{2} \cos u \quad g \sin u\right) \\
& \text { ) } u=\left(g_{1} \sin u \quad g \cos u\right) \text { : } \tag{B4}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ hus, the transform ations of $u$ is show $n$ in the last line.

From the rst and second transform ations, one nds

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (second) cos u (first) } \sin u \\
& \text { ) } u \sin u=\left(g_{1} \cos u \quad g \sin u \cos u\right) \cos u \\
& (g \sin u \sin u \quad g \cos u) \sin u \\
& u=\left(\cot u\left(g_{1} \cos u+g_{2} \sin u\right) \quad g\right):(B 5)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the SO (3) transform ations are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u=g_{1} \sin u \quad \Phi \cos u ; \\
& u=\cot u\left(g_{1} \cos u+g_{2} \sin u\right) \quad \Phi ; \quad \text { (B6) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$w$ here $g_{i}$ are arbitrary in nitesim al param eters which represent rotations around $i$-axis, i.e., $\mathrm{x}^{-}, \mathrm{y}^{-}$, and z -axes.
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