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#### Abstract

W e show that intensive therm odynam ic param eters associated to additive conserved quantities can be naturally de ned from a statistical approach in far-from -equilibrium steady-state system s , under few assum ptions, and w ithout any detailed balance requirem ent. It $m$ ay apply, e.g., to dissipative system s like granular gases where volum e or mass is still conserved, or to system s w ith periodic boundary conditions where uxes of conserved quantities are present. W e em phasize the usefiulness of this concept to characterize the coexistence of di erent nonequilibrium phases, and discuss the in uence of the contact betw een two di erent system $s$, in relation $w$ ith $m$ easurem ent issues.


PACS num bers: $05.20 .-\mathrm{y}, 05.70 \mathrm{Ln}, 05.10 \mathrm{Cc}$ c

O ne of the essential features of intensive them odynam ic param eters (ITPs), like tem perature, pressure or chem ical potential, is that these param eters take equal values in two di erent system sthat can exchange the conjugated extensive quantity (energy, volum e, or particles) once equilibrium is reached. This is a strong property, since it holds even if the system s put into contact have very di erent m icroscopic dynam ics. This equilibration betw een di erent system sm akes IT P sa pow erfiulconcept to describe the in uence of the environm ent or phase coexistence, and is essential to allow, say, the tem perature of a system to be $m$ easured $w$ ith a them om eter.

Several approaches have been developed in nonequilibrium statistical physics to generalize the notion of ITP, usually focusing on tem perature. For aging system s , an e ective tem perature $m$ ay be de ned from the longtim e slope of the uctuation-dissipation relation (FDR) [1, 衣, nonequilibrium state, IT P s are de ned locally as in equilibrium [ilit. On the other side, phenom enological extensions to far-from-equilibrium regim es $\left.{ }_{\underline{-1}}^{\mathbf{- 1}}\right]$ seem to lack m icroscopic foundations. For such system s , statistical tem peratures have been proposed in speci cmodels, from FD R [G], m axim um entropy principle [ [i] $]$, or generalized $m$ icrocanonical approaches [ig $]$. Yet, $m$ ost of these studies do not discuss the relevance and generality of the sode ned tem perature.

In this Letter, we propose a general de nition of IT Ps conjugated to additive conserved quantities in nonequilibrium system $s$, valid under few assum ptions. This definition, which does not require any detailed balance relation to be ful lled, is illustrated on a stochastic $m$ odel in which a dissipated energy is coupled to a globally conserved $m$ ass. The usefillness of nonequilibrium IT P s to characterize the coexistence of nonequilibrium phases is outlined, on the exam ple of a two-species m ass transport $m$ odel. Finally, we discuss the problem of the contact between two nonequilibrium system $s$ with di erent microscopic dynam ics, as well as the relation w the the large deviation functional approach $[\underline{\underline{9}}]$.

Fram ework and hypotheses.\{ Starting with a general form ulation of the problem, we consider the steady state of a m acroscopic nonequilibrium system for which som e additive quantities $Q_{k}, k=1 ;::: ;{ }^{\prime}$, are globally conserved by the dynam ics. O $n$ generalgrounds, the stationary probability to be in a $m$ icrostate , w ith probability weight $f$, is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P={\frac{f}{Z\left(Q_{1} ;::: ; Q^{\prime}\right)}}_{k=1}^{Y^{`}}\left(Q_{k} \quad Q_{k}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the product of delta functions accounts for the conservation law S , and $\mathrm{Z}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{Q},\right)$ is a nom alization factor, nam ed hereafter a \partition function". Splltting the system into two subsystem $S S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$, so that $=f{ }_{1} ;{ }_{2} \mathrm{~g}$, we introduce the probability $\left.Q_{11} ;::: ; Q_{1} Q_{1} ;::: ; Q,\right)$ that conserved quantities have values $Q_{k 1}$ in $S_{1}$, given their total values $Q_{k}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f Q_{k 1} g j \mathcal{E}_{k} g\right)=\mathrm{X}_{1 ; 2}^{\mathrm{X}} \underset{\mathrm{i} / ;_{2}}{Y^{\prime}}\left(Q_{k 1}^{1} \quad Q_{k 1}\right): \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The key assum ption in the follow ing derivation is that this conditional probability satis es an asym ptotic factorization property, nam ely

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ln \quad\left(f Q_{k 1} g \dot{f} Q_{k} g\right)= & \ln Z_{1}\left(f Q_{k 1} g\right)+\ln Z_{2}\left(f Q_{k} \quad Q_{k 1} g\right) \\
& \ln Z\left(f Q_{k} g\right)+{ }_{N}\left(f Q_{k 1} g ; f Q_{k} g\right)(3)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $Z\left(f Q_{k} g\right)$ refers to subsystem $S$, when isolated, and ${ }_{N}\left(f Q_{k 1} g ; f Q_{k} g\right)$ becom es negligible w ith respect to $\ln Z$ in the large $N$ lim it, $N$ being the num ber of degrees of freedom. A though not obvious in nonequilibrium system $s$ where long-range correlations $m$ ight develop, this factorization property actually holds in som e rather large classes of $m$ odels. It is satis ed, for instance, in lattice m odels like the ZRP [1] $\left.{ }^{1}\right]$, the ARAP $[1]$ eralm ass transport $m$ odels $[12,1,1]$此 w ejoght $f$ factorizes as a product of one-site weights $\mathrm{f}=\underset{\mathrm{i}=1}{\mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}} ;{ }_{i}, \mathrm{w}$ ith $=\mathrm{f}{ }_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g}$. In this case, ${ }_{\mathrm{N}}=0 \mathrm{in}$ Eq. $(\overline{3})$. Besides, this asym ptotic factorization property
also holds in one－dim ensionalm odels $w$ ith conservation law s，for which the stationary probability is given by a $m$ atrix product ansatz with nite－dim ensionalm atrices： $f=\operatorname{Tr} \underset{i=1}{N} M_{i}$ ，where $M_{i}$ is a $m$ atrix associated to the local state ${ }_{\mathrm{O}}{ }_{\mathrm{i}}$（for nonperiodic system s ，one rather has $f=h W j_{j}^{Q} \underset{i=1}{N} M \quad j V i, w i t h$ vectors $h W j$ and $j V i$ determ ined by the boundary conditions）．This approach has been used，e．g．，in the context of the ASEP m odel， and can lead to nite－dim ensional［14，1－1 $]$ or in nite－ dim ensional $m$ atrices $[\overline{[ } \overline{5}, \overline{1} \overline{1}]$ here the argum ent leading to Eq．（⿳亠二口犬），and defer a m ore detailed presentation to a later pubication［ $\left.\overline{1} \bar{F}_{1}\right]$ ．For sim－ plicity，w e consider a generic m odelw ith localvariables $q_{i}$ and one conserved quantity $Q=\underset{i=1}{N} q_{i}$ ．The stationary distribution $P$（ $f q_{i} g$ ）reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(f q_{i} g\right)=\frac{1}{Z(Q)} \operatorname{Tr}_{i=1}^{Y^{\mathbb{N}}} M\left(q_{i}\right) \quad X_{i=1}^{N} \quad q_{i} \quad Q \quad ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M(q)$ is a nite－dim ensionalm atrix．Introducing the $m$ atrix $R(Q)$ such that $Z(Q)=\operatorname{Tr} R(Q)$ ，one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(Q_{1} \mathbb{Q}\right)=\frac{1}{Z(Q)} \operatorname{Tr} \mathbb{R}_{1}\left(Q_{1}\right) R_{2}\left(Q \quad Q_{1}\right)\right] \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

D enoting by $\hat{R}(s)$ and $\hat{M}(s)$ the Laplace transform $s$ of $R(Q)$ and $M(q)$ ，one has $\hat{R}(s)=\hat{M}(s)^{N}$ ．If $\hat{M}(s)$ is in－ vertible，$\hat{M}(s)=\exp (B(s))$ ，so that $\hat{R}(s)=\exp (\mathbb{N} B(s))$ ． $B(s) m$ ay be decom posed as B $(s)=D(s)+L(s)$ where $D(s)$ is diagonalizable，$L(s)$ is nilootent（i．e．$L(s)^{p(s)}=$ 0 ），and $D(s)$ com m utes $w$ th $L(s)$ ．It follow $s$ that $\hat{R}(s)=$ $\exp (\mathbb{N} D(s)) \exp (\mathbb{N} L(s))$ ，where $\exp (\mathbb{N} L(s))$ is a polyno－ $m$ ialin $N L(s)$ ：the dom inant contribution to $\hat{R}(s)$ is pro－ portional to $N^{p(s) 1} \exp \left(\mathbb{N}{ }_{1}(s)\right)$ ，with $1_{1}(s)$ the largest eigenvalue of $D(s)$ ．If $p(s)$ is bounded when $s!0$ ，one can show perform ing an inverse Laplace transform that


For in nite $m$ atrix representations，this argum ent $m$ ay break down as the eigenvalues of $D(s) m$ ight not be bounded．From a physical view point，it is reasonable to think that the factorization property（ $(\underline{Z})$ is related to the presence of a nite correlation length in the system， as large subsystem sthen becom e essentially independent． B oth properties are expected to hold for nite $m$ atrices ［15＇건．Yet，for in nite $m$ atrioes，whether these tw o prop－ erties are actually related or not rem ains an open issue．

De nition of nonequilibrium ITPs．\｛ To de ne a nonequilibrium IT P，we com pute，guided by equilibrium procedures，the derivative of $\ln \quad\left(f Q_{k 1} g j f Q_{k} g\right)$ w ith re－ spect to $Q_{k 1}$ at the $m$ ost probable value $Q_{k 1}$ ．Equating this derivative to zero yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ \ln Z_{1}}{@ Q_{k 1}}=\frac{@ \ln Z_{2}}{@ Q_{k 2}} Q_{k} Q_{k 1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus it is natural to de ne the ITP $k$ of the system as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k} \quad \frac{@ \ln \mathrm{Z}}{@ Q_{k}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

since this param eter，when de ned within subsystem $s$ that can exchange the quantity $Q_{k}$ ，takes equal values in both subsystem $s$ once the steady－state is reached，as
 on a speci c exam ple．In the follow ing，this property is loosely called the \equilibration＂ofsubsystem s ，although we dealw ith nonequilibrium situations．

The above partition into tw o subsystem $s$ is also useful when one subsystem is sm all，but still $m$ acroscopic．T he $e$ ect of the rest of the system，acting as a reservoir of $Q_{k}$ ， $m$ ay then be encoded into the param eters $k$ ．Integrating the distribution $\left[\underline{11}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ over the degrees of freedom of the reservoir leads for the subsystem to

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\ulcorner }=\frac{f}{Z(1 ;::: ;)} \exp X_{k=1}^{\prime}{ }_{k} Q_{k} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which $m$ ay be called a \grand－canonical＂distribution． $N$ ote also that $\ln Z \mathrm{~m}$ ay be interpreted as a nonequilib－ rium them odynam ic potential，and that，as in the equi－ librium form alism，the cum ulents of $Q_{k}$ are given by the successive derivatives of $\ln \mathbb{Z}$ w ith respect to $k$［1］$\underline{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ ．

Illustration on a dissipative $m$ odel．$\{$ Let us ilhustrate on a simple nonequilibrium $m$ odel the de nition ${ }_{1}^{-(\eta)}$ ）of the IT P．W e consider a one－dim ensionalm odelw ith $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}$ dif－ ferent physical quantities，say，an lenergy＂$E=\underset{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{N}=1} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $a \backslash m$ ass＂$M=\underset{i=1}{\mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ．Energy is ow ing through the boundaries，and is partially dissipated in the bulk， $w$ hereas $m$ ass is conserved and cannot ow through the boundaries．The continuous tim e dynam ics is de ned as follow $s$ ．A $n$ am ount of energy ！m ay be either in jected at site $i=1 \mathrm{w}$ ith rate $\mathrm{J}(!), \mathrm{m}$ oved from site i to $\mathrm{i}+1$ w th rate ${ }_{1}\left(!\jmath_{i}{ }_{i} ; \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$（if $\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{N}$ ，the energy ows out of the system ），or dissipated on site iw ith rate（！$j_{i}{ }_{i} ; m_{i}$ ）． Besides，an am ount ofm ass $m$ ay be transported from site $i$ to $j=i \quad 1$（except for $i=1$ or $N$ ，where $j=2$ or $\mathrm{N} \quad 1$ respectively）w ith rate ${ }_{2}\left(J_{i}{ }_{i} ; \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ ，and w ith equal probability for both target sites．To our know ledge，this m odel，which generalizes the cascade m odel introduced in［1］，w was not considered previously in the literature． In order to allow for a factorized distribution，the rate functions are chosen as follow s

$$
\begin{align*}
J(!) & =v_{1}(!) e^{b!}  \tag{9}\\
\prime_{1}\left(!J^{\prime} ; m\right) & =v_{1}(!) \frac{g(" \quad!; m)}{g(" ; m)}  \tag{10}\\
\prime_{2}\left(\jmath^{\prime \prime} ; m\right) & =v_{2}() \frac{g(" ; m}{g(" ; m)}  \tag{11}\\
\left(!J^{\prime} ; m\right) & =\left(e^{a!=N} \quad 1\right) v_{1}(!) \frac{g(" \quad!; m)}{g(" ; m)} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith positive functions $\mathrm{v}_{1}(!), \mathrm{v}_{2}(\mathrm{)}, \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{"} ; \mathrm{m})$ ，and two positive param eters a and b．C learly，due to the presence of ux and dissipation，the above dynam ics cannot satisfy
detailed balance. T he steady-state distribution reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(f_{j} ; m_{j} g\right)=\frac{Q_{N=1} f_{j}\left("_{j} ; m_{j}\right)}{Z(M)} @_{j=1}^{0} \quad \mathrm{X}_{j}^{N} \quad M A \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}}\left({ }_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)=\mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{"}_{\mathrm{j}} ; \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{j}}\right) \exp \left[(\mathrm{aj}=\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{b}) \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{j}}\right]$ on site $j$. Let us choose for the finction $g(" ; m)$ the sim ple form $g(" ; \mathrm{m})=\exp (\mathrm{m} ") \mathrm{w}$ th $>1$ and $>0$. Thepartition function can be com puted in the large $N$ lim it, and one nds $Z(M)=A M^{(11=) N} 1$ with $A$ independent of $M$. This gives for the ITP $=(1)=(\quad) \mathrm{w}$ ith
$=\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{N}$, show ing that depends, through , on the coupling to the nonconserved energy.

Relevance of ITPs for phase coexistence.\{ Let us now discuss the case w here tw o system sw ith the sam em icroscopic dynam ics, but di erent macroscopic states, can exchange some globally conserved quantities. A wellstudied exam ple of such a situation is the condensation transition observed in ZRP $[101,1$ eralm ass transport $m$ odels [131]. This transition occurs when the overall density exceeds a critical value crit, so that a nite fraction of the totalm ass condense onto a given site. In standard approaches [1] $]$, the critical density is obtained by looking for the convergenge radius of a form al grand-canonicalpartition function [22는. Details about the condensed phase need to be studied in the canonical ensemble where the totalm ass is xed [1] [1] ].

In the follow ing, we interpret the condensation as a phase coexistence and show that enforcing the equality of the ITP de ned in Eq. ${ }^{-1}(\mathbf{1})$ ) \{here, a chem icalpotential $\{$ in the tw o phases leads to a naturalquantitative description of the condensation. To this aim, we com pute separately the chem ical potential of the condensate, taken as isolated, and the equation of state of the uid phase. Let us ilhustrate this point on a concrete exam ple. We consider a variant of the model de ned by Eqs. ${ }^{\prime}(\hat{2})$ to (12르) , de ned on a periodic lattioe, where "I is now a conserved quantity (that is, $J(!)=0$ and (! J";m)=0), so that we shall replace the notations " ${ }_{i}$ and $m_{i} w$ th $m_{1 i}$ and $\mathrm{m}_{2 i}$ respectively (both quantities are now $\backslash \mathrm{m}$ asses"). $T$ he continuous tim e dynam ics proceeds by transferring a mass $1_{1}$ or 2 from a random site ito $i+1$, $w$ ith rates
 (11ㄴ) respectively. In dim ension $d>1$, the $m$ ass 1 or 2 $m$ ay also be transferred in any direction transverse to the
ux, w th equal probability. T he steady-state distribution in arbitrary dim ension $d$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(f_{k i} g\right)={\frac{Q_{N}}{Q_{i=1} g\left(m_{1 i} ; m_{2 i}\right)}}_{Z_{1}\left(M_{1} ; M_{2}\right)}^{Y^{2}} \quad X^{N} \quad m_{k i} \quad M_{k} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$G$ uided by the tw o-species ZRP studied in [211], we choose $g\left(m_{1} ; m_{2}\right)=\exp \left(m_{2} m_{1}\right)$, with $>0$. Assum ing that a condensate containing large am ounts $M_{1 c}$ and $M_{2 c}$ form $s$, the partition function of the isolated condensate
is $Z_{c}\left(M_{1 c} ; M_{2 c}\right)=g\left(M_{1 c} ; M_{2 c}\right)$, and the chem icalpotentials $\underset{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{c}}=@ \ln \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{c}}=@ \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{kc}}$ read

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{c}{1}=\frac{M_{2 c}}{M_{1 c}{ }^{+1}} ; \quad \stackrel{c}{2}=\overline{M_{1 c}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hus in the them odynam ic lim it, $\quad \underset{2}{c}=0$. Enforcing the equality of the chem ical potentials in both phases ${ }_{k}^{\mathrm{f}}=$ ${ }_{k}^{c}={ }_{k}$, the densities ${ }_{k}^{f}$ in the uid phase are com puted in the grand-canonicalensem ble, yielding ${ }_{1}^{f}=(+1)={ }_{1}$ and ${ }_{2}^{\mathrm{f}}=(1+2)=\left[(1+)_{1}\right]$. Hence $1>0$, and $M_{1 c} \quad M_{2 c}^{1=(+1)}$. The condensate $M_{1 c}$ is subextensive, and ${ }_{1}^{f}={ }_{1}$. It follows that 1 , and thus ${ }_{2}^{f}$, are xed by the overalldensity 1 . A condensate form $S$ if ${ }_{2}>{ }_{2}^{f}$, $m$ eaning that ${ }_{2}^{f}$ is the critical density ${ }_{2}^{c r i t}$. O ne has $M_{2 c}=N\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & { }_{2}^{c r i t}\end{array}\right)$, and Eq. $(1 \underline{1})$ for ${\underset{1}{c}}_{1}^{c}$ gives

$$
M_{1 c}=\frac{1}{+1} N\left(\begin{array}{l}
2 \tag{16}
\end{array} \quad{ }_{2}^{\text {crit }}\right)^{\frac{1}{+1}}
$$

A ccordingly, the fram ew ork of ITPs provides a sim ple description of the condensation in term $s$ of the (out-ofequilibrium ) \equilibration" of tw o coexisting phases.

C ontact of system $s$ with di erent dynam ics.\{ In this part, we consider the issue of the equalization of ITPs when two system $s$ w th di erent $m$ icroscopic dynam ics are put into contact. This is precisely the type of problem encountered when one wishes to de nea \therm om eter", which in the present m ore general nonequilibrium context, we shall call \IT P m eter". For such an \IT P $m$ eter", one requires tw o essential properties. First, its ITP $m$ ust equalize $w$ th that of the system over which the $m$ easure is perform ed, w thout perturbing this system. Second, one needs to know the equation of state of the \IT P m eter" to relate its IT P to a directly $m$ easurable quantity. T h is is a highly non trivialproblem, which depends on them icroscopic dynam ics ofeach system, but also on the dynam ics at the contact. Let us im agine that two di erent system $s S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$, that separately conserve the sam e physical quantity $Q={ }_{i} q_{i}$, are put into contact. The dynam ics at the contact indeed im poses the distribution $\left(Q_{1} Q\right)$ for the random partition of $Q$ into $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}=Q \quad Q_{1}$ over the tw o system $s . T$ he probabitity distribution of the whole system then reads, assum ing for sim plicity that the probability w eights ofeach system strictly factorize (the index i refers to $S$ )
$P\left(f q_{i} g\right)=\frac{\left(Q_{1} \mathbb{Q}\right)^{Q_{i_{1} ; i_{2}}} f_{1}\left(q_{i_{1}}\right) f_{2}\left(q_{i_{2}}\right)}{Z_{1}\left(Q_{1}\right) Z_{2}\left(Q Q_{1}\right)} \quad X \quad{ }_{i} \quad q_{i} \quad Q \quad l$
$w$ th $Q_{1} \quad i_{1} 2 s_{1} q_{i_{1}}$. The ITPs of the two system $s$ equalize only if $\left(Q_{1}\right.$ D $)=Z_{1}\left(Q_{1}\right) Z_{2}\left(Q \quad Q_{1}\right)=Z(Q)$. This relation is indeed satis ed in equilibrium due to the detailed balance relation, if the H am iltonian is additive $\left[1 \overline{7}_{-1}\right]$. O ut of equilibrium, the above factorization and the
equalization of the IT P s does not hold in general, but are recovered inside som e classes of nonequilibrium system $s$.

To ilhustrate this point, let us consider two single conserved $m$ ass transport $m$ odels $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ w ith siteindependent transport rates ${ }_{1 ; 2}(\mathrm{~m})=\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{I}) \mathrm{f}_{1 ; 2}(\mathrm{~m}$
$)=f_{1 ; 2}(m)$, w th di erent $f_{1}(m)$ and $f_{2}(m)$, but the same $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{)}$. The dynam ics at the contact is de ned as follow s : a $m$ ass leaving a boundary site $m$ ay be transferred to one of the neighboring sites or, w ith the sam e probability, to the other system . T he global probability weights factorize (as $\mathrm{v}\left(\mathrm{)}\right.$ is site-independent $\left.\left[1 \overline{2}_{2}^{\prime}, \overline{1}_{2}^{2} \overline{7}_{1}\right]\right)$, and the IT P s of the two system $s$ equalize. The key point is that the equalization of the ITPs occurs for two di erent $m$ icroscopic dynam ics $\left(f_{1}(m) f_{2}(m)\right.$ ), but belonging to a given class (sam ev( )). Indeed, if $v_{1}() \not v_{2}()$, such an equalization does not hold. This result suggests the existence of classes of system $s$ which m utually \equilibrate", so that any $m$ em ber of a class, $w$ th know $n$ equation of state, $m$ ay be used as an \IT P -m eter" for the otherm em bers, under suitable size ratios.

D iscussion.\{ We have proposed a general de nition of ITPs associated to additive conserved quantities in nonequilibrium steady states, under the asym ptotic factorization assum ption ( ticular if the stationary distribution is factorized or, for one-dim ensional system $s$, if it can be com puted from a $m$ atrix product ansatz $w$ ith nite-size $m$ atrices. Its applicability to $m$ ore general situations rem ains an im portant open issue. Indeed, it would be essential to have a criterion allow ing to determ ine experim entally or num erically whether the factorization property holds, w ithout having to know the stationary probability distribution explicitely. N ote that in equilibrium, the sam e di culty arises in principle, but it reduces there to the \additivity" of the ham iltonian (short-range interactions).

Besides, we note that if $\left(Q_{1} \mathbb{Q}\right) \mathrm{m}$ ay be written as $\left(Q_{1} \mathbb{Q}\right)=\exp [\mathrm{NG}(1 ;)]$, with $1=Q_{1}=N_{1}$ and
$=Q=N$, Eq. (ЗЗ erty for $G(1 ;)$, which som ehow resem bles the additivty principle for the large deviation functionalF (f (x)g) of the density pro le $(x)$ in the open ASEP m odel', [p]. A s the physically relevant states $m$ inim ize $G(1 ;)$ with respect to 1 in the them odynam ic $\lim$ it, $G(1 ;)$ appears as a \free energy" function [23]. In analogy w ith the ASEP where F ( $f(x) g$ ) is a nonlocal functional of
$(\mathrm{x}), \mathrm{G}(1 ;)$ is a nonlocal function as it depends on the global density , due to the conservation law. Still, the physicalorigin of this nonlocality $m$ ay be di erent in the two problem s. A lso, the quantity $Q$ is conserved here, whereas particles are exchanged w ith boundary reservoirs in the ASEP.

From Eq. ( $\left.\bar{\sigma}_{\mathbf{\prime}}^{\mathbf{1}}\right)$, one sees that the equality of ITPs betw een subsystem $s$ is related to the $m$ inim ization ofa nonlocal \free energy" function. N ote how ever that this is already true in equilibrium system s. The speci city of nonequilibrium dynam ics show sup $m$ ostly when the sys-
tem $s$ into contact have di erent $m$ icroscopic dynam ics. In this case, the IT P s of the tw o system sm ay not equalize, due to the essential role played by the dynam ics at the contact \{a role hidden at equilibrium due to detailed balance. Yet, there $m$ ay exist classes of nonequilibrium system $s$ that m utually \equilibrate".
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