Entanglem ent renorm alization

$G \cdot V idal^{1/2}$

 1 School of Physical Sciences, the University of Queensland, QLD 4072, Australia

² Institute for Quantum Information, California Institute for Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125 USA

(D ated: A pril 14, 2024)

In the context of real-space renorm alization group m ethods, we propose a novel scheme for quantum systems de ned on a D-dimensional lattice. It is based on a coarse-graining transformation that attempts to reduce the amount of entanglement of a block of lattice sites before truncating its H ilbert space. Numerical simulations involving the ground state of a 1D system at criticality show that the resulting coarse-grained site requires a H ilbert space dimension that does not grow with successive rescaling transformations. As a result we can address, in a quasi-exact way, tens of thousands of quantum spins with a computational e ort that scales logarithm ically in the system's size. The calculations unveil that ground state entanglement in extended quantum systems is organized in layers corresponding to dierent length scales. At a quantum critical point, each rellevant length scale makes an equivalent contribution to the entanglement of a block with the rest of the system.

PACS num bers:

R enorm alization, one of the conceptual pillars of statistical mechanics and quantum eld theory, revolves around the idea of rescaling transform ations of an extended system [1]. These so-called renorm alization group (RG) transform ations are not only a key theoretical elem ent in the modern form ulation of critical phenom ena and phase transitions, but also the basis of in portant com putationalm ethods for many-body problem s.

In the case of quantum systems de ned on a lattice, W ilson's real-space RG m ethods [2], based on the truncation of the H ilbert space, replaced K adano 's spinblocking ideas β] with a concise m athematical form ulation and an explicit prescription to implement rescaling transformations. But it was not until the advent of W hite's density m atrix renormalization group (DMRG) algorithm [4] that RG m ethods became the undisputed num erical approach for system s on a 1D lattice. M ore recently such techniques have gained renewed momentum under the in uence of quantum information science. By paying due attention to entanglement, algorithm s to sim ulate tim e-evolution in 1D systems [5] and to address 2D systems [6] have been put forward.

The practical value of DMRG and related methods is unquestionable. And yet, they notably fail to satisfy one of the most natural requirements for a RG transformation, namely to have scale invariant systems as xed points. Instead, for such systems the number of degrees of freedom (that is, the Hilbert space dimension) of an effective site increases with each rescaling transformation. This fact conicts with the very spirit of renormalization, but it is not only disturbing from a conceptual view point. The cost of a computation depends on the size of the e ective sites and it becomes una ordable after a su ciently large number of iterations.

In this Letter we propose a real-space RG transformation for quantum systems on a D-dimensional lattice that, by renormalizing the amount of entanglement in the system, aim s to eliminate the growth of the site's H ilbert space dimension along successive rescaling transform ations. In particular, when applied to a scale invariant system the transformation is expected to produce a coarse-grained system identical to the original one. Numerical tests for critical system s in D = 1 spatial dimensions con rm this expectation. Our results also unveil the stratication of entanglement in extended quantum systems, and open the path to a very compact description of quantum criticality.

R eal-space RG and DM RG. { As originally introduced by W ilson [2], real-space RG m ethods truncate the local H ilbert space of a block of sites in order to reduce its degrees of freedom. Let us consider a system on a lattice L in D spatial dimensions and its H ilbert space

$$V_{L} \qquad V_{s}; \qquad (1)$$

where s 2 L denotes the lattice sites and V_s has nite dimension. Let us also consider a block B L of neighboring sites, with corresponding H ilbert space

$$V_B V_s$$
: (2)

In an elementary RG transformation, the lattice L is mapped into a new, e ective lattice L⁰, where each site $s^0 \ 2 \ L^0$ is obtained from a block B of sites in L by coarse-graining. M ore speci cally, the space $V^0_{S^0}$ for site $s^0 \ 2 \ L^0$ corresponds to a subspace S_B of V_B ,

$$V_{s^0}^0 = S_B \quad V_B;$$
 (3)

as characterized by an isom etric tensor w, Fig (1),

$$w : V_{s^0}^0 \mathcal{T} V_B; \quad w^{Y}w = I:$$
(4)

Isometry w can now be used to map a state j i 2 V_L [typically, a ground state] into a coarse-grained state



FIG.1: Isom etries and disentanglers. Left: a standard numerical RG transformation builds a coarse-grained site s^0 , with H ilbert space dimension m, from a block of two sites s_1 and s_2 through the isom etry w of Eq. (4). R ight: by using the disentanglers u_1 and u_2 of Eq. (7), short-range entanglement residing near the boundary of the block is eliminated before the coarse-graining step. As a result, the coarse-grained site s requires a smaller H ilbert space dimension m, m < m.

j ⁰i 2 V_{L⁰}. A thoughtful selection of subspace S_B is essential. On the one hand, its dimension m should be as small as possible, because the cost of subsequent tasks, such as computing expectation values for local observables, grows polynomially with m. On the other, S_B needs to be large enough that j ⁰i retains all relevant properties of j i. W hite identi ed the optim al choice as part of his DM RG algorithm [4]. Let ^[B] denote the reduced density matrix of j i on block B. Then,

$$S_B < j_1 i; \quad m \not j \not j; \quad (5)$$

where $j_i i$ are the m eigenvectors of $P_{j=1}^{[B]}$ with largest eigenvalues p_i , and m is such that 1 $m_{j=1}^{[m]} p_i$, where is a preestablished truncation error, 1.

Notice that m refers to the amount of entanglem ent between B and the rest of the lattice, L B, as characterized by the rank of the truncated Schmidt decom position

ji
$$p_{\overline{p_i}j_ii} j_ii; j_ii2V_{L_B}$$
: (6)

In other words, the perform ance of D M RG -based m eth- ods depends on the am ount of entanglem ent in j i.

Entanglement Renormalization.{ We propose a technique to reduce the amount of entanglement between the block B and the rest of the lattice L while still obtaining a quasi-exact description of the state of the system. This is achieved by deforming, by means of a unitary transformation, the boundaries of the block B before truncating its Hilbert space, see Fig. (1).

Let us specialize, for sim plicity, to a 1D lattice and to a block B m ade of just two contiguous sites s_1 and s_2 . Let r_1 and r_2 be the two sites im m ediately to the left and to the right of B. Then we consider unitary transform ations u_1 and u_2 , the disentanglers, acting on the pairs of sites

 $r_1 s_1$ and $s_2 r_2$,

$$u_{1} : V_{r_{1}} \quad V_{s_{1}} ! \quad V_{r_{1}} \quad V_{s_{1}}; \quad u_{1}^{y}u_{1} = u_{1}u_{1}^{y} = I;$$

$$u_{2} : V_{s_{2}} \quad V_{r_{2}} ! \quad V_{s_{2}} \quad V_{r_{2}}; \quad u_{2}^{y}u_{2} = u_{2}u_{2}^{y} = I: (7)$$

P roperly chosen disentanglers reduce the short-range entanglem ent between the block B and its immediate neighborhood. The original state $B^{]}$ of block B,

$${}^{[B]} = tr_{r_1 r_2} {}^{[r_1 s_1 s_2 r_2]};$$
(8)

is now replaced with a partially disentangled state $\sim^{\mathbb{B}}$,

$$\sim^{[B]} = \operatorname{tr}_{r_{1}r_{2}}^{h} (u_{1} \quad u_{2})^{[r_{1}s_{1}s_{2}r_{2}]} (u_{1} \quad u_{2})^{y}; \quad (9)$$

which has a smaller e ective rank m, m < m.OurRG transform ation consists of two steps:

(i) F irst we decrease or renorm alize the am ount of entanglem ent between block B and the rest of L. This is achieved with disentanglers that act locally around the boundary of B. The block does not become completely disentangled because only entanglem ent localized near its boundaries can be rem oved.

(ii) Then, as in W ilson's proposal, we truncate the H ilbert space of block B, and we do so following W hite's idea to target the support of the block's density m atrix. But instead of keeping the support of the original ^B, we retain the (sm aller) support of the partially disentangled density m atrix \sim^{B_1} .



FIG.2: Left: M ERA for a 1D lattice with periodic boundary conditions. Notice the fractal nature of the tensor network. Translational sym m etry and scale invariance can be naturally incorporated, substantially reducing the computational com – plexity of the num erical simulations. Right: building block of a M ERA for a 2D lattice. D isentanglers and isom etries address one of the x and y spatial directions at a time.

The above steps, characterized by unitary and isometric tensors u and w, produce an alternative coarsegrained lattice Γ and a coarse-grained state $j i 2 V_{\Gamma}$ that contains less entanglement than $j^{0}i 2 V_{L^{0}}$. Importantly, the expectation value h $joj i = tr \circ \mathbb{R}^{j}$, where o is an observable de ned on a small set of sites R L, can be e ciently computed from just j i, u, w and o. There are two ways: (i) as described in [9], \mathbb{R}^{j} can be computed from $\sim^{\mathbb{R}^{j}}$ tr_{e K} $j i h^{-j}$, where \mathbb{R} is the set



FIG.3: Scaling of the entropy of entanglem ent in 1D quantum Ising model with transverse magnetic eld. Up: in a critical lattice h = 1 in Eq. (10)], the unrenormalized entanglem ent of the block scales with the block size L according to Eq. (11). Instead, renormalized entanglem ent remains constant along successive RG transformations, as a clear manifestation of scale invariance. Line (i) corresponds to using disentanglers only in the rst RG transformation. Line (ii) corresponds to using disentanglers only in the rst RG transformation. Line (ii) corresponds to using disentanglers only in the rst and second RG transformation. Down: in a noncritical lattice h = 1.001 in Eq. (10)], the unrenormalized entanglem ent scales roughly as in the critical case until it saturates (a) for block sizes comparable to the correlation length. Beyond that length scale, the renormalized entanglem ent vanishes (b) and the system becom es e ectively unentangled.

of sites of Γ causally connected to R through u and w; (ii) alternatively, we can use u and w to lift o from L to Γ , producing o, and exploit that h joj i = h joj i.

The lifting of linear operators from L to Γ generates, by iteration, a well-de ned RG ow in the space of ham iltonians such that, in the 1D case, an interaction term h acting on at most three consecutive sites of L is mapped into an interaction term \tilde{h} acting also on at most three consecutive sites in Γ [analogous rules apply in D > 1 spatial dimensions]. Thus, in spite of the fact that disentanglers deform the original tensor product structure of V_L, the above rescaling transform ation preserves locality, in that it maps local theories into local theories.

In the sam e w ay as DM RG is related to m atrix product states (MPS) [7], the above RG transform ation is naturally associated to a new ansatz for quantum m any-body states on a D dimensional lattice. The multi-scale entanglem ent renorm alization ansatz (MERA) consists of a network of isom etric tensors (namely the isom etries u and disentanglers w corresponding to successive iterations of the RG transform ation) locally connected in D + 1 dim ensions. The extra direction , related to the RG $\,$ ow , grow s only as the logarithm of the lattice dimensions, see Fig. (2). Several properties of the MERA together with its connection to quantum circuits are described in [9].



FIG. 4: Spectrum of the reduced density matrix of a spin block. Up: as the size L of the spin increases, the number m of eigenvalues fp₁g required to achieve a given accuracy , see Eq. (5), also increases. In particular, m grows roughly exponentially in the number = $\log_2 L$ of RG transform ations. The spectrum resulting from applying disentanglers leads to a signi cantly sm aller m invariant along successive RG transform ations. D own: spectrum of the reduced density matrix of 2 spins in mediately before and after using the disentanglers at the th coarse-graining step. These spectra are essentially independent of the value of = 1; ;14.

E xam ple.{ Figs. (3)-(4) study the ground state of the 1D quantum Ising model with transverse magnetic eld,

$$H = \frac{X}{\substack{s_1, s_2>}} \frac{X}{s_1} + \frac{X}{s_2} + \frac{X}{s_1}$$
(10)

for an in nite lattice [0]. The entanglement entropy between a block B of L adjacent spins and the rest of the lattice, depned in terms of the eigenvalues fpg of ^{B]} as S (B) $_{i}p_{i}\log_{2}p_{i}$, scales at criticality (h = 1) with the block size L as [11]

$$S_{L} = \frac{1}{6} \log_2 L : \qquad (11)$$

On the other hand, o criticality S (B) grows monotonically with L until it reaches a saturation value for a block size comparable to the correlation length in the system. Fig. (3) shows the e ect of disentanglers on the entanglem ent entropy of a block. M ost notably, the renorm alized entanglem ent of a block in the critical case is reduced to a sm all constant value along the RG ow. Correspondingly, as Fig. (4) shows, the H ilbert space dimension m of a block of size L = 2 can be kept constant as we increase , allowing in principle for an arbitrary number of iterations of the scale transformation. Our calculations, involving the reduced density matrix of up to L = 2^{14} = 16;384 spins, have been conducted with H ilbert spaces of dimension m = 8, while keeping the truncation error at each step xed below 5 10^7 . We estimate that without disentanglers an equivalent error requires a H ilbert space of m 500 1000 dimensions for the largest spin blocks. This exemplies the computational advantatges of using disentanglers.

D iscussion. { An appealing picture emerges from the above num erical exploration. Entanglem ent in the ground state of the quantum spin chain is organized in layers corresponding to di erent length scales in the system. The entanglement of a given length scale can be modi ed by means of a disentangler that acts on a region with linear size as large as that length scale. We obserbe two situations: (i) O criticality, the entanglem ent between a block of sites B and the rest of the lattice L consists roughly of contributions from layers of length scale not greater than the correlation length in the system. Therefore, after a su ciently large number of RG transform ations with disentanglers, the e ective ground state becom es a product state, that is, com pletely disentangled. (ii) At criticality, instead, the entanglem ent between R and the rest of L receives equivalent contributions from all length scales (sm aller than the size of B) { see [9] for a justi cation of the logarithm ic scaling of Eq. (11). In this case, by applying disentanglers we obtain a coarse-grained lattice identical to the original one, including an e ective Ham iltonian identical (up to a proportionality constant) to the original one [12]. In either case, a xed point of the RG transform ation is attained after su ciently many iterations. Non-critical theories collapse into the trivial xed point (a product state) while critical theories correspond to a non-trivial

xed point (an entangled state expected to depends on the universality class of critical theory). We conjecture that this picture holds also for quantum lattices in D > 1spatial dimensions. Interestingly, a third type of xed point is possible, in the case of a ground state with either long-range order or topological order [13].

In summary, we have presented a quasi-exact realspace RG transformation that, when tested in 1D systems, produces e ective sites of bounded dimension and have (scale invariant) critical systems as its non-trivial

xed points. The key feature of our approach is a bcal deform ation of the tensor product structure of the H ilbert space of the lattice, that identi es and factorizes out those local degrees of freedom that are uncorrelated from the rest. This deform ation is such that local operators are m apped into local operators, so that relevant exWe conclude with two remarks. First, a scale invariant critical ground state leads to disentanglers u and isom etries w that are the same at each iteration of the RG transformation. A single pair (u;w), depending on 0 (m^4) parameters, is thus seen to specify the ground state, leading to an extrem ely com pact characterization that deserves further study. Second, renormalization group techniques are extensively used also in classical problem s, where the MERA can e ciently represent partition functions of lattice system s, extending the scope of this work beyond the study quantum system s.

The author appreciates conversations with I.C irac, J. I. Latorre, T.O sborne, D.Poulin, J.P reskill and, very specially, with F.Verstraete, whose advise was crucial to

nd a fast disentangling algorithm. USA NSF grant no E IA -0086038 and an Australian Research Council Federation Fellow ship are acknow ledged.

- [1] M E.Fischer, Rev.M od. Phys. 70, 653 (1998).
- [2] See S.R.W hite and R.M. Noack, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 3487 (1992).
- [3] L.P.K adanov, Physics 2, 263 (1966).
- [4] S.R.W hite, Phys.Rev.Lett.69,2863 (1992), Phys.Rev. B 48,10345 (1993).U.Schollwoeck, Rev.Mod.Phys.77, 259 (2005), cond-m at/0409292.
- [5] G. Vidal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 147902 (2003), quant-ph/0301063; ibid. Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 040502 (2004), quant-ph/0310089. S. R. W hite and A. E. Feiguin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 076401 (2004). A. J. Daley et al, J. Stat. M ech.: Theor. Exp. (2004) P04005, cond-m at/0403313.
- [6] F.Verstraete, J.I.Cirac, cond-m at/0407066.
- [7] M. Fannes, B. Nachtergaele and R. F. Werner, Comm. Math. Phys. 144, 3 (1992), pp. 443–490. S. O stlund and S. Rommer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 19 (1995), pp. 3537.
- [8] F. Verstraete, D. Porras, J. I. Cirac Phys. Rev. Lett.
 93, 227205 (2004); D. Porras, F. Verstraete, J. I. Cirac, cond-m at/0504717;
- [9] G.Vidal, quant-ph/0610099.
- [10] here we have obtained an MPS for the ground state of Eq. (10) with the in nite TEBD algorithm [14] and then transformed it using several rows disentanglers and isom etries. An algorithm to compute the ground state at each iteration of the RG transform ation, as well to obtain disentanglers, will be described elsewhere.
- G. Vidal, J.I. Latome, E. Rico, A. Kitaev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90 (2003) 227902, quant-ph/0211074. B.-Q Jin, V E Korepin, J Stat. Phys. 116, 79-95 (2004). P. Calabrese and J. Cardy, J. Stat. Mech. 0406 (2004) P002.
- [12] G. Evenbly and G. Vidal, in preparation.
- [13] M . A guado et al., in preparation.
- [14] G.Vidal, cond-m at/0605597.