Properties of 1D two-barrier quantum pump with harm onically oscillating barriers

M M .M ahm oodian, L S. B raginskii,^y and M V. Entin^z Institute of Sem iconductor Physics, Siberian D ivision, Russian Academy of Sciences, N ovosibirsk, 630090 Russia

We study a one-dimensional quantum pump composed of two oscillating delta-functional barriers. The linear and non-linear regimes are considered. The harm onic signal applied to any or both barriers causes the stationary current. The direction and value of the current depend on the frequency, distance between barriers, value of stationary and oscillating parts of barrier potential and the phase shift between alternating voltages.

The quantum pump or a device which generate a stationary current under action of alternating voltage was a subject of num erous recent publications (for exam ple,^{1,15}). The quantum pump is essentially analogous to various versions of the photovoltaic e ect, studied in detail from the beginning of the $1980s^{16}$.¹⁹. The di erence is that the photovoltaic e ect is related to the emergence of a direct current in a hom ogeneous m acroscopic medium (the only exception is the m esoscopic photovoltaic e ect), while a pump is a m icroscopic object. From the phenom enological point of view, the emergence of a direct current in a quantum -m echanical object leads to new phenom ena, such as quantization of charge transport²⁰. The quantum pump is a sam ple of phenom ena important in living matter such as active ion transport through the cellm embrane and bacterialm otion (biologicalm otors).

In the recent paper²¹ we have studied the one-dimensional quantum pump with two oscillating delta-like potential barriers or wells. We have found a variety of regimes of the pump operation, depending on the system parameters. In this paper we continue this study, concentrating on the non-considered cases, aim ed especially at low-frequency and nonlinear operation modes of the electronic pump.

Basic Equations

W e study a one-dimensional system with a potential (Fig. 1)



$$U(x) = (u_1 + v_1(t)) (x + d) + (u_2 + v_2(t)) (x d);$$
(1)

where v_1 (t) = $v_1 \sin(!t)$; v_2 (t) = $v_2 \sin(!t+')$, 2d is the distance between -shaped barriers (wells); quantities u and v are measured in units of h=m d (m is the electron mass); momentum p is measured in units of h=d; energy E is measured in units of h²=2m d²; and frequency is measured in units of h=2m d². In the absence of an ac signal, the system has two barriers for positive values of u_1 and u_2 and two wells for negative values of these parameters. This system may be considered as a quantum wire with two narrow gates (see Figure 1) to which alternating voltages are applied. A direct current can be induced only in an asymmetric system. The speci c direction in this system is determined by any of factors: the di erence of static voltages u_1 and u_2 , alternating voltages v_1 and v_2 or the phase shift between alternating voltages. Unlike diode system, the alternating voltages are applied to the pump by the capacitive method.



2

We assume that the electron gas is in equilibrium and the distribution functions are identical in the regions x < d and x > d. The problem is to determ ine the direct current induced by the acel.

The solution to the Schrodinger equation with the potential (1) is searched in the form

Here, $p_n = p + \frac{p}{p^2 + n!}$ and p = E. The wavefunction (2) corresponds to the wave incident on the barrier from the left. (In the nalform ulas, we mark directions of incident waves by indices "! " and " "). Quantities T_n and r_n give the amplitudes of transmission (rejection) with absorption (for n > 0) or emission (for n < 0) of n ac eld quanta, while quantity T_0 determines the amplitude of the elastic process. If the value of p_n becomes imaginary, the waves moving away from the barriers should be treated as damped waves, so that $Im p_n > 0$.

The transm ission amplitudes ${\tt T}_n$ obey the equations

$$v_{1}v_{2}g_{n-1}e^{i'}T_{n-2}^{!} iv_{1}S_{n-1} + v_{2}V_{n}e^{i'}T_{n-1}^{!} 2W_{n} + v_{1}v_{2}g_{n-1}e^{i'} + g_{n+1}e^{i'}T_{n}^{!} + iv_{1}S_{n+1} + v_{2}V_{n}e^{i'}T_{n+1}^{!} + v_{1}v_{2}g_{n+1}e^{i'}T_{n+2}^{!} = 2ip_{n,0};$$

$$v_{1}v_{2}g_{n-1}e^{i'}T_{n-2} iv_{1}S_{n} + v_{2}V_{n-1}e^{i'}T_{n-1} 2W_{n} + v_{1}v_{2}g_{n-1}e^{i'} + g_{n+1}e^{i'}T_{n} + iv_{1}S_{n} + v_{2}V_{n+1}e^{i'}T_{n+1} + v_{1}v_{2}g_{n+1}e^{i'}T_{n+2} = 2ip_{n,0};$$
(3)

Here, $g_n = \sin 2p_n = p_n$,

$$S_n = 2u_2g_n + e^{2ip_n}$$
; $V_n = 2u_1g_n + e^{2ip_n}$; (4)

$$W_n = 2u_1u_2g_n + (u_1 + u_2 \quad ip_n)e^{2ip_n}$$
: (5)

P rovided that the electrons from the right and left of the contact are in equilibrium, and they have identical chem ical potentials , the stationary current is

$$J = \frac{e}{h} dE \left(f_{n}^{!} f_{n}^$$

where f(E) is the Fermi distribution function, and (x) is the Heaviside step function. The current is determined by the transmission coe cients with real p_n only.

At a low tem perature, it is convenient to di erentiate the current with respect to the chem ical potential :

$$G = e \frac{\theta}{\theta} J = G_0 \qquad (+n!) (\mathcal{T}_n^! \, \mathcal{J} \quad \mathcal{T}_n^! \, \mathcal{J})_{p=p_F} :$$
(7)

Here, $G_0 = 2e^2 = h$ is the conductance quantum, h is the Planck constant, and p_F is the Ferm i momentum. The resultant quantity G can be treated as a two-term inalphotoconductance (the conductance for simultaneous change of chem ical potentials of source and drain).

The asym ptotic cases

Let us consider the lim it $v_1;v_2$ $u_1;u_2$. The steady-state problem gives the transmission amplitude

$$T_{0} = \frac{ip}{W_{0}} = \frac{ip^{2}}{2u_{1}u_{2}\sin 2p + (u_{1} + u_{2} - ip)pe^{2ip}}; \quad T_{n} j_{n \in 0} = 0:$$
(8)

The scattering amplitude vanishes for p! 0 and experiences oscillations with a period p = =2. For large values of $u_{1;2}$, quantity T_0 has poles in the vicinity of points p = n=2.

In the zeroth order of perturbation theory, the direct and reverse transmission coe cients coincide; consequently, the current vanishes. The current appears only in the second order of perturbation theory. Second-order corrections to the current come only from quantities T_0 , T_1 , and T_1 . Expanding in the ac signal, we obtain

$$G = G_{0} \frac{p^{2}}{4 y_{0} g^{2}} v_{1}^{2} \frac{j S_{0} g^{2}}{y_{1} g^{2}} \frac{j F_{1} g^{2}}{y_{1} g^{2}} (!) + \frac{j S_{0} g^{2}}{y_{1} g^{2}} \frac{j F_{1} g^{2}}{y_{1} g^{2}}$$

$$v_{2}^{2} \frac{j V_{0} g^{2}}{y_{1} g^{2}} \frac{j V_{1} g^{2}}{y_{1} g^{2}} (!) + \frac{j V_{0} g^{2}}{y_{1} g^{2}} \frac{j V_{1} g^{2}}{y_{1} g^{2}} +$$

$$2v_{1}v_{2} \operatorname{Re} \frac{S_{0}V_{1} S_{1}V_{0}}{y_{1} g^{2}} e^{i'} (!) + \frac{S_{0}V_{1} S_{1}V_{0}}{y_{1} g^{2}} e^{i'} +$$

$$4v_{1}v_{2} \sin' \operatorname{Im} \frac{S_{0}V_{0} S_{1}V_{1}}{w_{0}W_{1}} - \frac{S_{0}V_{0} S_{1}V_{1}}{w_{0}W_{1}} + 2\frac{g_{1} g}{w_{0}} :$$

$$(9)$$

In the particular case when $u_1 = u_2$, the functions S_n and V_n coincide, and the expression (9) obtains the form

$$G = G_{0} \frac{p^{2}}{4 j W_{0} f} v_{1}^{2} v_{2}^{2} \frac{j \tilde{b}_{0} f}{j W_{1} f} (1) + \frac{j \tilde{b}_{0} f}{j W_{1} f} + \frac{j \tilde{b}_{0} f}{j H_{1} f} + \frac{j \tilde{b}_{0} f}{j W_{1} f} + \frac{j \tilde{b}_{0} f}{$$

The current is determined by the corrections T₁ associated with real emission (absorption) of a single photon. In addition, a correction to T₀ associated with the e ect of a virtual single-photon process on the nonradiative channel also exists. Apart from the squares of ac signals v_1 and v_2 , the result for the regime $u_1 = u_2$ contains a bilinear combination; consequently, it is insu cient to consider the response only at one of the signals. The latter contribution is sensitive to the relative phase of the signals.

In the case of the large u_1 and u_2 compared with the Ferm in on entum, the expression (9) yields

$$G = G_{0} \frac{p^{2} v_{1}^{2} \sin'}{8 u_{1}^{7} g_{0}} \frac{(3p_{1} p)(!)}{g_{1}} \frac{(3p_{1} p)(!)}{g_{1}} \frac{(3p_{1} p)}{g_{1}} \frac{(3p_{1} p)}{g_{1}} \frac{(1p_{1}^{2} p_{2}^{2} p_{3}^{2} p_{1}^{2} p_{1}^{2} p_{1}^{2} p_{1}^{2} p_{2}^{2} p_{1}^{2} p_{2}^{2} p_{1}^{2} p_{2}^{2} p_{1}^{2} p_{2}^{2} p_{2}^{2} p_{1}^{2} p_{2}^{2} p_{2}^{2} p_{1}^{2} p_{2}^{2} p_{2}^{2}$$

 $If u_1 = u_2 = 0$,

~ ~

$$G = G_{0}v_{1}v_{2}\sin' \frac{\sin 2(p p_{1})}{p_{1}^{2}} (!) + \frac{\sin 2(p_{1} p)}{p_{1}^{2}} + \frac{2\sin 2p}{p} \frac{\cos 2p_{1}}{p_{1}} (!) \frac{\cos 2p_{1}}{p_{1}} :$$
(12)

The expression (12) tends to in nity at the single photon emission threshold. This singularity can be explained by the resonance with the state of an electron with zero energy: such an "imm obile" state can be interpreted as a bound state.

In addition to the above-mentioned oscillations with period p = -2, the transmission amplitude experiences oscillations with periods $p_1 = -2$. It can be seen from expression (9) that the extrem a in the dependence of the current on p are located in the vicinity of the points corresponding to the minima of functions W_0 and W_1 and are connected with the elastic process as well as with the process involving the absorption or emission of a eld quantum. For $v_2 = 0$ ($v_1 = 0$), the expression for the current contains only one term proportional to v_1^2 (v_2^2).

For $u_1; u_2$ p the oscillations are transformed into sharp peaks corresponding to the transmission resonances. For p 1, the transmission amplitude has a characteristic scale of p $u_i; u_2$. The corresponding structure for small values of u_1 and u_2 can be treated as a resonance at zero energy. For negative values of u_1 and u_2 , resonance at bound states exist (at one or two such states depending on the distance between the wells).

N um erical results.

The Figure 2 shows the dependence of the stationary current J on the Ferm im on entum in a symmetric structure with two -wells $(j_{l_1} j = j_{l_2} j v_1 = v_2; \prime = =2)$. The current oscillate with the Ferm im on entum with the period =2. These oscillations are related to the resonance at quasi-stationary states between the wells. The threshold singularity at $p_F = 5$ is associated with zero-energy one-photon resonance.

The Figure 3 demonstrates the dependence of the quantity G on the Ferm in on entum in the symmetric structure with two identical -wells and - -barriers. These cases diers by the sign of the quantity G and by the small relative shift of the position of the resonance singularities. Really, within the limits of large $u_1 = u_2$ at !! 0 the quantity G / u_1^7 (11), i.e. is odd function of am plitude u_1 and accordingly, changes the sign with the changing of the u_1 sign. The shift of the position of the resonance singularities is connected with the dimence of quasi-stationary energy levels in these cases.

The Figure 4 depicts G as a function of Ferm in on entum for two values of the phase ' in the symmetric device. It demonstrates that G is phase sensitive for small p_F up to p_F 5. The change of phase modiles the curve, in particular visibly shifts the rst deep. For large $p_F > 5$ the curves correspond to the perturbative expression (10).

The Figures 5 and 6 show the evolution of the function G(') in the symmetric device with two barriers (5) or two wells (6) with the value of alternating signal at a xed $p_F = 2$. The case of large $u_{1,2} = v_{1,2}$ corresponds to the perturbative expression (10). This explains the approximative sinusoidal dependence of G on the phase for $ju_{1,2}j > 5$. For relatively small Ferm imomenta $p_F < v_{1,2}$, and if also $u_{1,2} = v_{1,2}$, the harm onic (sinus-like) dependence of G (') is superimposed on the short-period (=2) oscillations conditioned by the resonance in 4th order of the perturbation theory.

The Figure 7 demonstrates the dependence of G on the frequency of the alternating signal in the low-frequency limit. The linear dependence of G in the low-frequency limit agrees with (11). The threshold singularity at ! = 0.5 is related to zero-energy one-photon resonance.



FIG.2: The dependence of the stationary current J (in units $eh=2 m d^2$) on the Ferm imomentum in a symmetric structure $u_1 = u_2 = 1$; $v_1 = v_2 = 0:1$; ! = 25; ' = =2.



FIG.3: The dependence of G on the Ferm im on entum in a symmetric structure $u_1 = u_2 = 1$; $v_1 = v_2 = 0$:1; ! = 1; ' = -2. The solid and dashed curves corresponds to $u_1 = 1$ and $u_1 = -1$, accordingly.

The Figure 8 depicts G for strong low-frequency alternating voltages. The resonance at $p_F = =2$, which presents in the low-signal regime (see the curve a) obtains the photon repetitions. They overlap composing damped (with the number of photons) oscillations. The oscillations rarefy with the increase of the frequency.

Conclusions

The problem of stationary current induced by harmonic signals applied via two gates to one-dimensional system was studied. The considered system is described by the simplied double delta-functional time-dependent barriers. The regimes of weak and strong external voltage were considered. The current experiences oscillations as a function of chemical potential. These oscillations turn into interference resonances if the stationary barriers or the alternating voltages are strong enough. The resonances have m any-photon nature. The current depends on the phase shift between gates.

The work was supported by grants of RFBR Nos. 05-02-16939 and 04-02-16398, Program for support of scientic schools of the Russian Federation No. 593,2003,2 and the D ynasty Foundation.

E lectronic address: m ahm ood@ isp.nsc.ru

^Y Electronic address: brag@isp.n.sc.ni



FIG.4: The dependence of G on the Ferm im on entum $u_1 = u_2 = v_1 = v_2 = 5$; ! = 0:1 for ' = = 2 (solid curve) and ' = = 3 (dashed curve).



FIG.5: The dependence of G on the phase shift ' in a symmetric structure ! = 1; $p_F = 2$; $v_1 = v_2 = 5$; $u_1 = u_2 = 0$; 1;2;3;4;5;6;7:

- ^z E lectronic address: entin@ isp.nsc.ru
- 1 M .M oskalets and M .Buttiker, Phys.Rev.B 68 161311(R) (2003).
- ² JE.Avron, A.Ekgart, GM.Graf, and L.Sadun, Phys. Rev.B 62, R10618 (2000).
- 3 JE.Avron, A.Elgart, G M.Graf, and L.Sadun, Phys.Rev.Lett. 87, 236601 (2001).
- ⁴ O.Entin-W ohlm an and Am non Aharony, Phys. Rev. B 65, 195411 (2002).
- ⁵ D.Cohen, Phys.Rev.B 68, 155303 (2003).
- ⁶ Huan-Qiang Zhou, Sam Young Cho, and Ross H.McKenzie, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 186803 (2003).
- ⁷ M.Moskalets and M.Buttiker, Phys.Rev.B 66, 205320 (2002).
- ⁸ F.Rengozi, T.Brandes, Phys.Rev.B 64, 2045301 (2001).
- ⁹ Shi-Liang Zhu and Z.D.W ang, Phys. Rev. B 65, 155313 (2002).
- ¹⁰ C S. Tang, C S. Chu, Solid State Commun., 120 353 (2001).
- 11 Baigeng W ang, Jian W ang, and Hong Guo, Phys. Rev. B 68, 155326 (2003).
- $^{12}\,$ M . Switkes, C M . M arcus, K . C am pm an, and A C . G ossard, Science 283 1905 (1999).
- 13 T.A ltebaeum er, H Ahm ed, Japanese Journal of Applied Physics, part I, 41, Iss 4B, 2694 (2002).
- ¹⁴ Y.Ono, Y. Takahashi, Applied Physics Lett, 82, 1221 (2003).
- ¹⁵ S.V. Lotkhov, S.A. Bogoslovsky, A.B. Zorin, J.N iem eyer, Applied Physivs Lett., 78, 946 (2001).
- ¹⁶ V J. Belinicher and B J. Sturm an, U sp. Fiz. N auk 130, 415 (1980) [Sov. Phys. U sp. 23, 199 (1980)].
- ¹⁷ M D.Blokh, L.I.M agarill, and M.V.Entin, Fiz.Tekh.Poluprovodn. (Leningrad) 12, 249 (1978) [Sov.Phys.Sem icond.12, 143 (1978)].
- ¹⁸ E M. Baskin, L.I. Magarill, and M.V. Entin, Fiz. Tverd. Tela (Leningrad) 20, 2432 (1978) [Sov. Phys. Solid State 20, 1403 (1978)].
- ¹⁹ E L. Ivchenko and G E. Pikus, Pis'm a Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 27, 640 (1978) [JETP Lett. 27, 604 (1978)].



FIG. 6: The dependence of G on the phase shift ' in a symmetric structure ! = 1; $p_F = 2$; $v_1 = v_2 = 5$; $u_1 = u_2 = 0$; 1; 2; 3; 4; 5; 6:



FIG. 7: The dependence of G on the frequency $u_1 = 1$; $u_2 = 3$; $v_1 = v_2 = 0.1$; ' = -2; $p_F = 0.71$.

²⁰ D J.Thouless, Phys.Rev.B 27, 6083 (1983).

- ²¹ LS.Braginskii, MM.Makhmudian, and M.V.Entin, Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz.127, 1046 (2005) [JETP 100, 920 (2005)].
- ²² R D . A stum ian, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 118102 (2003).
- ²³ S.W. .K im, Phys. Rev. B 66, 235304 (2002).



FIG.8: The dependence of G on the Fermim on entum for dierent small frequencies (shown in the gure); $u_1 = u_2 = v_1 = v_2 = 5$; ' = = 4. The curve a) represents low-signal result for $u_1 = u_2 = 5$; $v_1 = v_2 = 1$; ' = = 4; ! = 0.1.