

Interplay between Zeeman interaction and spin-orbit coupling in a two-dimensional semiconductor system

Manuel Val n-Rodr guez

Departament de F sica, Universitat de les Illes Balears, E-07122 Palma de Mallorca, Spain

Rashid G. Nazm itdinov

Departament de F sica, Universitat de les Illes Balears, E-07122 Palma de Mallorca, Spain

Max-Planck-Institut f ur Physik komplexer Systeme, D-01187, Dresden, Germany and

Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Russia

(Dated: April 14, 2024)

We analyse the interplay between Dresselhaus, Bychkov-Rashba, and Zeeman interactions in a two-dimensional semiconductor quantum system under the action of a magnetic field. When a vertical magnetic field is considered, we predict that the interplay results in an effective cyclotron frequency that depends on a spin-dependent contribution. For in-plane magnetic fields, we found that the interplay induces an anisotropic effective gyromagnetic factor that depends on the orientation of the applied field as well as on the orientation of the electron momentum.

PACS numbers: PACS 71.70.Ej, 71.70.-d, 73.21.Fg

The effects produced by different spin-dependent interactions in semiconductor structures are currently in the forefront of experimental and theoretical efforts in mesoscopic physics. The explosive activity is motivated by the desire for a deep understanding of quantum coherence phenomena. The other driving force is the hope that the spintronics research would provide novel, low-dissipative microelectronic devices [1, 2].

Most measurements of spin effects in semiconductor microstructures are performed applying a magnetic field. In this case, two intrinsic spin-dependent interactions naturally appear: the well-known Zeeman interaction, which couples the electron spin with the applied field, and the spin-orbit coupling. The latter has been extensively studied starting from two-dimensional electron gas to quantum dots [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8], and constitutes the basis of several proposals for spin-based applications [9, 10, 11].

In a semiconductor, the spin-orbit coupling originates as a relativistic effect caused by electric fields present in the material (cf [12]). Such a system can be described by the Hamiltonian

$$H = T + H_D + H_R + H_Z \quad (1)$$

Here $T = \frac{p_x^2 + p_y^2}{2m}$ is the kinetic energy with the effective mass m of electrons in the conduction band of the sample and p_x, p_y are components of the momentum. The electric field caused by the bulk inversion asymmetry of the crystalline structure contributes to the Hamiltonian, Eq.(1), with the Dresselhaus term, H_D [13]. This term has, in general, a cubic dependence on the momentum of the carriers. For a narrow [0;0;1] quantum well, it reduces to the 2D, linear momentum dependent term $H_D = \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_x p_x - \alpha_y p_y) = \frac{1}{2}\alpha(\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{z}) \cdot \mathbf{z}$. Here, the α 's are the Pauli matrices, and α is the intensity of this interaction (cf Ref.12). The electric field caused by the structure inversion asymmetry (SIA) of the heterostructure generates the Bychkov-Rashba term, H_R [14]. Since in the asymmetric quantum wells the SIA comes from the vertical

direction, the Bychkov-Rashba interaction H_R has the form: $H_R = \frac{1}{2}(\beta_y p_x - \beta_x p_y) = \frac{1}{2}\beta(\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{z}) \cdot \mathbf{z}$, where β is the corresponding strength [12].

The correlation between different spin-dependent interactions is a key point in the understanding of spin phenomena in semiconductors. In this work, we shall study a two-dimensional (2D) semiconductor system in a magnetic field, described by the Hamiltonian (1), paying special attention to the interplay between the Zeeman and spin-orbit interactions. We will show that depending on the orientation of the magnetic field and the strength of the spin-orbit coupling this interplay produces a dramatic effect on the electronic spin structure of a 2D heterostructure.

We first consider the case of $B \parallel [0;0;1]$, i.e., of the magnetic field parallel to the growth direction (z) of most heterostructures. In this case the momentum \mathbf{p} is replaced by a generalized momentum $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{p} + (e/c)\mathbf{A}$, where the vector potential \mathbf{A} of the vertical magnetic field $\mathbf{B} = (0;0;B)$ is taken in the symmetric gauge $\mathbf{A} = B/2(-y; x; 0)$. In the latter case the Zeeman term is given by: $H_Z = g_B B \frac{\sigma_z}{2} = \frac{1}{2}g_B \sigma_z$, where g represents the bulk effective gyromagnetic factor, that is assumed to be constant, $\mu_B = e\hbar/2m_e c$ is the Bohr's magneton and B the intensity of the applied field.

When a single source of spin-orbit coupling is considered, the Rashba or the Dresselhaus one, it can be completely solved [15, 16, 17]. The Hamiltonian commutes with the total angular momentum operator ($J_z = L_z + S_z$) when the Bychkov-Rashba interaction is only considered. On contrary, when the Dresselhaus term presents alone, the symmetry operator is given by $L_z - S_z$ [18]. If both terms are considered, no symmetry is available and a solution is unknown. Below we propose an approximate solution for the full problem, which is one of the main results of the paper.

In the absence of any spin-orbit coupling, one obtains

Landau levels spaced in energy by the cyclotron frequency ($\omega_c = eB/mc$) and having a spin splitting given by the Zeeman interaction. To include the effects of the spin-orbit coupling, we transform the Hamiltonian Eq.(1) to the reference frame where it is diagonal in a spin space up to a second order

$$H^0 = U^\dagger H U; U = e^{i(\hat{O} + \hat{Q})}; \quad (2)$$

The explicit expressions of the operators involved in the unitary transformation read,

$$\hat{O} = P_x x + P_y y; \hat{Q} = P_y x + P_x y; \quad (3)$$

and

$$= \frac{\sim}{\sim \omega_c} \frac{\omega_z}{\omega_z}; = \frac{\sim}{\sim \omega_c + \omega_z}; \quad (4)$$

We expand the transformed Hamiltonian, Eq.(2), up to the second order in the parameters of the transformation. Third order terms can be neglected if the magnitude of the operator involved in the transformation is much smaller than unity. This requirement is satisfied for the spin-dependent terms that are dominated by the orbital effect of the magnetic field. Therefore, the validity of the present approach is roughly restricted to the regime where the energy scale concerning the spin-dependent interactions ($\omega_z; \omega_z$) is much smaller than the energy scale given by the cyclotron frequency ($\sim \omega_c$). Nevertheless, note that no restriction is imposed on the relative weight of the spin-orbit coupling and the Zeeman interaction.

Under the above hypothesis, the resulting transformed Hamiltonian is diagonal in spin space

$$H^0 = \frac{1}{2m} 1 - \frac{m}{\sim^2} \frac{\omega_z^2}{\sim \omega_c} \frac{\omega_z^2}{\sim \omega_c + \omega_z} z (P_x^2 + P_y^2) \text{ where} \\ + \frac{\omega_z}{2} z \frac{m}{\sim^2} \frac{\omega_z^2}{1 - \omega_z \sim \omega_c} \frac{\omega_z^2}{1 + \omega_z \sim \omega_c} \\ + 2 \frac{\omega_z}{\sim^2} \frac{\omega_z^2}{\omega_z^2} \frac{\omega_z^2}{(\sim \omega_c)^2} (P_x P_y + P_y P_x) z$$

and the corresponding eigenstates have their spin aligned in the vertical direction (z). The last term in Eq.(5) represents the contribution of the interplay between the whole set of spin-dependent interactions: Dresselhaus, Bychkov-Rashba and Zeeman. It is diagonal in spin, although it has a crossed dependence on the in-plane components of the generalized momentum. To illuminate the relevance of this term to the spectrum, we apply a second transformation to the Hamiltonian, Eq.(5),

$$U_s = e^{i(P_y^2 P_x^2)}; = \frac{\omega_z}{\sim^2 \sim \omega_c} \frac{\omega_z^2}{(\sim \omega_c)^2} \quad (6)$$

and keep all contributions, up to the second order. This approximation is well justified within our approach, since the magnitude of the term is much smaller than the

corresponding orbitale effect of the magnetic field. In this way, the last term in Eq.(5) is transformed to a kinetic-like one: $\frac{m}{\sim^4} \frac{\omega_z^2}{(\sim \omega_c)^2} \frac{\omega_z^2}{\omega_z^2} (P_x^2 + P_y^2) z$. The intensity of this term has a sixth order dependence on the parameters of the spin-dependent interactions ($\omega_z; \omega_z$). It is much smaller than the first kinetic terms in Eq.(5). As a consequence, this term has no relevant effect on the spectrum and can be safely neglected.

Under this approximation, one can see that the main effect of the spin-orbit coupling and its interplay with the Zeeman interaction is contained in the first term of the Hamiltonian (5). In the transformed reference frame, this term is composed of the initial generalized kinetic term plus a spin-dependent one. The third term is just a constant that redefines the origin of the energy, whereas the second one corresponds to the Zeeman interaction associated with the vertical field.

Comparing with the usual expression for the kinetic energy, one observes that the spin-orbit interaction cause a redefinition of the effective mass of the system. This effective mass (m_{ω_z}) depends now on the spin orientation of the electrons as well as on the parameters characterising the different spin-dependent interactions. In this way we face with a Landau problem of free electron motion (with this effective mass) in the magnetic field.

The spectrum associated with the Hamiltonian, Eq.(5) without the last term, can be obtained straightforwardly and it reads

$$\omega_{nls} = (2n + |j| + 1) \sim \omega_c + \frac{\omega_z}{2} s \\ \frac{m}{\sim^2} \frac{\omega_z^2}{1 - \omega_z \sim \omega_c} \frac{\omega_z^2}{1 + \omega_z \sim \omega_c}; \quad (7)$$

$$\sim \omega_c s = \frac{\sim \omega_c}{2} \frac{m}{\sim^2} \frac{\omega_z^2}{1 - \omega_z \sim \omega_c} \frac{\omega_z^2}{1 + \omega_z \sim \omega_c} s \quad (8)$$

and $s = \pm 1$ denotes the different spin states. In Eq.(7), (5) the expression $'(2n + |j| + 1)'$ is usually replaced by $'(2N + 1)'$ corresponding to the principal Landau level index. The second term is the usual Zeeman contribution and the last one represents the constant shift. Note, that the correction introduced by the spin-orbit coupling in the spectrum, Eq.(8), depends quadratically on the intensities of the different spin-orbit terms, in contrast with the situation at zero magnetic field, where the effect in the spectrum depends linearly on these intensities. For a quantum dot, when only Zeeman and Rashba terms are considered [19], the interplay between the above terms modifies the spin-orbit intensity, while the kinetic term manifests a weak dependence on the spin-orbit coupling. For $g = 0$ (Zeeman term is absent) in a quantum dot, the kinetic term and the spin-orbit coupling remain without modifications.

When the Zeeman term is absent in the 2D system, the resulting spectrum shows, however, an effective spin-dependent cyclotron frequency, $\omega_{c;s} = \omega_c - 2m \omega_z^2$

	g	$m = m_e$	$\mu_z = \mu_B$
GaAs	-0.44	0.067	-0.015
InAs	-14.9	0.023	-0.169
InSb	-51.6	0.014	-0.355
AlAs	1.52	0.15	0.112
In _{0.53} Ga _{0.47} As	-4.38	0.038	-0.082

TABLE I: Characteristic values of the parameters involved in the ratio between the Zeeman energy and the cyclotron frequency for different semiconductor materials.

²) $s = \pm 3$. Materials with the effective $g = 0$ can be created by changing the concentration of one of the components of a sample (see, for example, [20]). Comparing the latter expression with that given by Eq. (8), it can be seen that the different spin-orbit terms (Bychkov-Rashba and Dresselhaus) interact in a different way with the Zeeman one. In both cases, the effect is quantified by the ratio between the Zeeman energy and the conventional cyclotron frequency ($\mu_z = \mu_B$). Note, that this ratio is independent on the strength of the magnetic field, since it can be expressed in terms of the gyromagnetic factor and the effective mass

$$\frac{\mu_z}{\mu_B} = \frac{1}{2} g \frac{m}{m_e} \quad (9)$$

Therefore, the interplay acts, in an effective way, renormalising the intensities corresponding to the different spin-orbit terms. The character of this renormalisation depends as well as on the sign of the gyromagnetic factor of the semiconductor material. If the sign of the gyromagnetic effective factor is negative then the effective strength of the Bychkov-Rashba term is reduced, whereas the corresponding to the Dresselhaus term is enhanced. On contrary, if the sign of 'g' is positive, the effective Bychkov-Rashba term is enhanced and the Dresselhaus one tends to be suppressed. Thus, our analytical results provide a transparent explanation for numerical results obtained for 2D electron gas with Rashba spin-orbit coupling only [21] and predict various new phenomena related to the interplay between both spin-orbit and Zeeman terms.

In table I there are listed the typical values of the effective gyromagnetic factor and the effective mass corresponding to different semiconductor materials. A wide gap material such as GaAs, characterized by a relatively weak spin-orbit interaction, exhibits a small correction of the spin-orbit effect of about 15%. However, in the case of narrow gap semiconductors, which are characterized by strong spin-orbit intensities and g-factors, the interplay between both interactions yields a sizeable correction of the effect corresponding to the different spin-orbit terms of about 17% for InAs and 35% for InSb.

If the magnetic field is parallel to the plane of an electron motion, the situation changes substantially. In 2D systems, the orbital effect of the applied in-plane magnetic field is frozen due to the strong confinement in

the vertical direction. The only effect of the field appears through the Zeeman interaction. In this case, the Hamiltonian, Eq.(1), preserves the translational invariance and the momentum is conserved. The Zeeman term now reads

$$H_Z = \frac{\mu_z}{2} (\cos \alpha + \sin \alpha); \quad (10)$$

where the angle α represents the azimuthal orientation of the magnetic field in the x-y plane. The corresponding spectrum is given by the following analytical expression:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{k_x, k_y, s} &= \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} + s A^2 + B^2 \pm 2 \\ A &= k_y + k_x + \mu_z \cos \alpha \\ B &= k_x + k_y - \mu_z \sin \alpha \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where ' k_x ' and ' k_y ' are the components of the in-plane momentum. This is a contrast to a quantum dot, where the approximate analytical solutions are available only for $H_Z = 0$ and for $H_{R,D} \ll H_Z$ [18].

To elucidate the implications of the above expression, let us analyse some illustrative limit cases. First, we will consider the case when a single source of spin-orbit coupling is present. In the absence of the magnetic field, the spectrum is defined by $\epsilon_k = \hbar^2 k^2 / 2m \pm \mu_k$ ($\mu_k = \mu_B$). The spin splitting ' μ_k ' is isotropic, i.e., it doesn't depend on the orientation of the momentum. However, this symmetry disappears when the Zeeman term is included. In the limit $\alpha = 0$ the spin splitting can be written as

$$s = k + \frac{\mu_z}{2} + \mu_z k (\sin \alpha - 1); \quad (12)$$

where the angle ' α ' represents the azimuthal orientation of the momentum in the x-y plane. Note that, when the momentum is perpendicular to the magnetic field ($\alpha = \pi/2$), the spin-orbit coupling and the Zeeman terms are decoupled in the spectrum. The same happens for the Dresselhaus interaction, but, in this case, when the momentum and the applied field satisfy the condition ($\alpha = 0$). In general, however, the interplay between the Zeeman interaction and the spin-orbit coupling is reflected by means of Eq. (12).

Let us consider the limit of small Zeeman energy compared to ' μ_k ', which is usually satisfied near the Fermi level of typical semiconductor heterostructures. Expanding Eq. (12), we obtain

$$\epsilon_{k_x, k_y, s} \approx \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} + s k + g_{\text{eff}}(\alpha) \frac{\mu_B B}{2}; \quad (13)$$

where

$$g_{\text{eff}}(\alpha) = g \sin(\alpha) \quad (14)$$

is an anisotropic effective gyromagnetic factor originated due to the interplay between the two spin-dependent interactions. Thus, at $B \neq 0$, the splitting partners, determined by the terms $s k$ in Eq.(13), are enriched by

the second term that is linear dependent on the magnetic field. Due to a random orientation of an electron momentum in plane, the borders of such sub-bands are restricted within the range $[-g_B B; +g_B B]$, corresponding to the different momentum orientations θ , instead of the discrete spin states $g_B B = 2$ associated with an isotropic g -factor. Depending on the relative orientation between momentum and the applied field, the value of the effective g -factor can change its sign with respect to the initial bulk value. In fact, in experiments with a tilted magnetic field [22] it was observed that the effective g -factor depends on the tilted angle in the growth direction (z). In this case the increase/decrease of the g -factor may be influenced by the orbital motion alone, since the tilting of the magnetic field affects different components of the orbital momentum. In contrast to this experiment, we provide a transparent mechanism of the anisotropy of the effective g -factor in plane due to the interplay between magnetic field and strong spin-orbit coupling. This phenomenon may serve as a guideline to construct a novel class of read/write devices based on nanostructures with the strong spin-orbit interaction.

In the opposite limit $\mu_B \ll \hbar k$, the spectrum can be approximated by

$$\epsilon_{k_x, k_y, s} \approx \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} + s \hbar k \sin(\theta) + \frac{g_B B}{2} : \quad (15)$$

Consequently, in this limit, there is an angular dependence of the orbital contribution to the spin splitting, which can be attributed to an anisotropic effective strength of the Bychkov-Rashba term.

Another interesting limit is that the intensities of both spin-orbit terms are equal ($j = j$). Assuming $\mu_B = \hbar k$, the spin splitting reads

$$\epsilon_{s, k} = \frac{p}{2} k_{yx} + \frac{\mu_B^2}{2} + \frac{p}{2} \mu_B k_{yx} \cos \theta - \frac{1}{4} \mu_B^2 : \quad (16)$$

where $k_{yx} = k_y - k_x$. When the magnetic field is oriented at $\theta = \pi/4$, the spin-orbit and the Zeeman terms don't couple in the spectrum, independently on the momentum's orientation. In this case the Hamiltonian has a symmetry in a spin space and, consequently, orbital motion and spin are effectively decoupled and the spin-orbit interaction is effectively suppressed. Thus, we generalize the result found at $B = 0$ by Schliemann et al [11] for a nonzero magnetic field.

In summary, we have analytically studied the effect of an applied magnetic field on the interplay between the Zeeman, Bychkov-Rashba and Dresselhaus interactions in a 2D electron system. When the magnetic field is perpendicular to the plane, we found that the spin-orbit interaction induces a renormalization of the effective mass that becomes dependent on the spin orientation of the electrons. This spin-dependent contribution is noticeably influenced by the interplay between the Zeeman interaction and the spin-orbit coupling, which modifies in a different way the effective strength corresponding to each spin-orbit term. The spin-orbit coupling effectively transforms to a spin-dependent cyclotron frequency ($\omega_{c,s} = eB_z/m_s c$) that imposes different spatial scale of an electron motion. Indeed, the spin separation of cyclotron motion has been observed recently in [23] but in case of 2D hole gas, which exhibits a stronger spin-orbit interaction. The magnitude of the interplay between both spin-dependent interactions has a sizeable value for a narrow gap semiconductors with large g -factors. For the in-plane magnetic field, the interplay induces the anisotropic effective gyromagnetic factor that would lead to the observation of a continuum of Zeeman sub-levels in the spin structure of the spectrum. When the Zeeman interaction dominates over the spin-orbit coupling, an anisotropic effective spin-orbit intensity emerges.

This work was partially supported by Grants PRIB-2004-9765 (Govern de les Illes Balears) and BFM 2002-03241 (MEC). R.G.N. acknowledges the support from the Ramon y Cajal programme (Spain).

-
- [1] I. Zutic, J. Fabian and S. Das Sarma, Rev. Mod. Phys. 76, 323 (2004).
 - [2] S. A. Wolf, D. D. Awschalom, R. A. Buhrman, J. M. Daughton, S. von Molnar, M. L. Roukes, A. Y. Chtchelkanova and D. M. Treger, Science 294, 1488 (2001).
 - [3] R. Winkler, Spin-orbit Coupling Effects in Two-Dimensional Electron and Hole Systems (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, p. 222, 2003).
 - [4] C. F. Defeziani, S. E. Ulloa and G. E. Marques, Phys. Rev. B 70, 205315 (2004); Phys. Rev. B 69, 125302 (2004).
 - [5] A. V. Khaetskii and Y. V. Nazarov, Phys. Rev. B 64, 125316 (2001).
 - [6] J. L. Cheng, M. W. Wu and C. Lu Phys. Rev. B 69, 115318 (2004).
 - [7] J. Cserti, A. Csordas, and U. Zulicke, Phys. Rev. B 70, 233307 (2004).
 - [8] O. Voskoboynikov, C. P. Lee and O. Tretiyak, Phys. Rev. B 63, 165306 (2001).
 - [9] S. Datta and B. Das, Appl. Phys. Lett. 56, 665 (1990).
 - [10] J.-I. Ohe, M. Yamamoto, T. Ohtsuki and J. Nitta, Phys. Rev. B 72, 041308(R) (2005).
 - [11] J. Schliemann, J. C. Egues, D. Loss, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 146801 (2003).
 - [12] E. I. Rashba, Physica E 20, 189 (2004).
 - [13] G. Dresselhaus, Phys. Rev. 100, 580 (1955).
 - [14] E. I. Rashba, Fiz. Tverd. Tela (Leningrad) 2, 1224 (1960) [Sov. Phys. Solid State 2, 1109 (1960)]; Y. A. Bychkov and E. I. Rashba, J. Phys. C 17, 6039 (1984).
 - [15] J. Schliemann, J. C. Egues and D. Loss, Phys. Rev. B 67, 085302 (2003).
 - [16] B. Das, S. Datta and R. Reifenberger, Phys. Rev. B 41, 8278 (1990).

- [17] V. I. Falko, Phys. Rev. B 46, R4320 (1992).
- [18] M. Val n-Rodr guez, A. Puente and L. L. Serra, Eur. Phys. J. B 39, 87 (2004).
- [19] M. Val n-Rodr guez, Phys. Rev. B 70, 033306 (2004).
- [20] G. Salis, Y. Kato, K. Esslin, D. C. D riscol, A. S. Gossard, and D. D. Awschalom, Physica E 16, 99 (2003).
- [21] G. U saj and C. A. Balseiro, Phys. Rev. B 70, 041301(R) (2004).
- [22] V. Sih, W. H. Lau, R. C. Myers, A. C. Gossard, M. E. Flatte, and D. D. Awschalom, Phys. Rev. B 70, 161313(R) (2004).
- [23] L. P. Rokhinson, V. Larkina, Y. B. Lyanda-Geller, L. N. Pfei er, and K. W. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 146601 (2004).