## The Universality Class of Di usion Limited Aggregation and Viscous Fingering

Joachim Mathiesen,<sup>1</sup> Itam ar Procaccia,<sup>2</sup> Harry L. Swinney,<sup>3</sup> and Matthew Thrasher<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>NTNU, Institutt for Fysikk, 7491 Trondheim, Norway

<sup>2</sup>The D epartm ent of C hem ical P hysics, The W eizm ann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel <sup>3</sup>C enter for N onlinear D ynam ics and D epartm ent of P hysics, U niversity of Texas at Austin, Texas 78712

We investigate whether fractal viscous ngering and di usion limited aggregates are in the same scaling universality class. We bring together the largest available viscous ngering patterns and a noveltechnique for obtaining the conform alm ap from the unit circle to an arbitrary singly connected dom ain in two dimensions. These two Laplacian fractals appear di erent to the eye; in addition, viscous ngering is grown in parallel and the aggregates by a serial algorithm. Nevertheless, the data strongly indicate that these two fractal grow th patterns are in the same universality class.

Laplacian fractals are paradigm atic examples for the spontaneous growth of fractal patterns in natural system s. In particular, two such examples have attracted an enormous amount of interest: viscous ngering and di usion limited aggregation (DLA).

V iscous ngering is realized [l] when a less viscous uid displaces a more viscous uid contained in the narrow gap between two glass plates (a Hele-Shaw cell). When the less viscous uid is inserted through an aperture in one of the glass plates, a pattern is form ed, as shown in Fig.1 [2]. The uid velocity v of the displaced uid in a Hele-Shaw cell satis es D arcy's law, v / r p, where p is the pressure. To a very good approximation, the viscous

uid is incompressible (i.e., r = 0), so the pressure in the viscous uid satis as the Laplace equation  $r^2 p = 0$ .

DLA is realized [3] as a computer experiment in which a fractal cluster is grown by releasing a xed size random walker from in nity, allowing it to walk until it hits any particle already belonging to the cluster. Since the particles are released one by one and may take a long time to hit the cluster, the probability eld is stationary and, in the complement of the cluster, we have again r<sup>2</sup>p = 0.




FIG.1: Digitized in age of an experimental viscous ngering pattern. A ir (black) is injected into the oil-lled gap (white). The pattern is approximately 22 cm in diameter, cf. [2].




FIG.2: A di usion limited aggregate with 50,000,000 particles. Im age courtesy of E llak Som fai.

A typicalDLA cluster is shown in Fig. 2.

M athem atically, these problems are similar but not identical. In both cases, one solves the Laplace equation with the same boundary condition at in nity, i.e. rp = const f=rasr! 1. However, in viscous ngering, each point on the fractal's boundary advances at a rate proportional to r p, whereas the DLA accretes one particle at a time, changing the Laplacian eld after each such grow th step. Thus, we refer to viscous ngering and DLA as paralleland serial processes, respectively. In addition, the ultraviolet regularization di ers; in DLA, p = 0 on the cluster and the regularization is provided by the particle size. In viscous ngering, one solves the problem with the boundary condition p = where is the surface tension and is the local curvature. Finally, viscous ngers are grown in a nite gap and are not truly 2-dimensional. Accordingly, one can ask whether these two fractal growth problem are in the same scaling universality class. But to answer this question, one must rst de ne what one means by a \scaling universality class."

De nition of the scaling universality class: The rst test of correspondence between the fractal patterns

has to do with their fractal dimension D<sub>0</sub>. Denote by  $R_n$  the radius of the minimal circle that contains a fractal pattern. The fractal dimension is dened by how the mass M<sub>n</sub> contained within this circle (number of particles for DLA, area for viscous ngers) scales with  $R_n$ ,  $M_n = R_n^{D_0}$ . Measurements of this type indicated a value D<sub>0</sub> 1:71 for both problems, motivating many authors to express the opinion that these two problems are in the same universality class [2, 4]. O by iously, the fractal dimension by itself is not su cient, and a more stringent denition is necessary.

W e propose here that the identity of the scaling properties of the harm onic measure is a su cient test for two Laplacian grow th problem sto be in the same universality class. The harm onic measure is the probability for a random walker to hit the boundary of the fractal pattern. It determ ines the grow th in both problem s, being proportional to r p at the boundary. Suppose that we know the probability measure (s) ds for a random walker to hit an in nitesim alarclength on the fractal boundary. We compute the probability  $P_i()$  i'th box (s) ds, and then de ne the generalized dimensions [5] via

$$D_{q} = \lim_{i \to 0} \frac{\log^{P_{N_{i}}} P_{i}^{q}()}{(q \ 1) \log}; \qquad (1)$$

where N () is the number of boxes of size that cover the fractal boundary. The q>0 branch of this function probes the high probability region of the measure, whereas the q<0 branch stresses the low probabilities. For  $q!\ 0^+$  we nd the fractal dimension  $D_0$ . An equivalently useful description [6] is provided by the scaling indices that determ ine how the measure becomes singular,  $P_i()$ , as  $!\ 0$ . This set of indices is accompanied by f() which is the fractal dimension of the subset of singularities of scaling exponent . The relation of these objects to  $D_q$  is in the form of the Legendre transform

$$(q) = \frac{\theta [(q \ 1) D_q]}{\theta q}; \qquad (2)$$

$$f() = q(q)(q 1) D_q$$
 (3)

In fractalm easures de ned on simple fractals, the values of are usually bounded from above and from below,  $_{\rm m\ ax}$  . W hen the fractal m easure fails to m in exhibit power law scaling everywhere (say,  $P_i$ () е som ew here), one nds a phase transition in this form alism [7] with one of the edge values m in or m ax ceasing to exist. W hen the spectrum of exponents and their frequency of occurrence f() in two problems are the same, we refer to these problems as being in the same universality class. We note that a similar criterion was used to state that di erent dynam ical system s are in the same universality class at their transition to chaos [8], but not m any other physical problem s yielded to such a stringent test, simply because it is not easy to compute with enough precision the scaling properties of fractal m easures. For DLA, such an accurate com putation was

FIG.3: Conform alm ap of the unit circle aim ed to t the pattern in Fig.1. The m ap was constructed using the algorithm proposed in the text, transform ing the unit disc represented by the black area. This gure demonstrates the accuracy of our m ethod for reproducing com plex patterns.

achieved [9]; in this Letter, we report also an accurate computation for experimental viscous ngering patterns, allowing us to test whether the two problems are in the same universality class.

The apparent com plexity of the viscous ngers is discouraging for any attempt to calculate the harm onicm easure reliably. The naive method for computing the harmonic measure for DLA is to \probe" the interface with random walkers and perform frequency statistics [10]. While the statistics at the outer tips is reasonable [11], the deep fjords are visited extrem ely rarely by the random walkers. Sim ilarly, in viscous ngering experiments, the measure is usually estimated from the velocity of the interface [12]. O bviously, this reveals the outer tips which m ovew ith an appreciable velocity, but leaves unmeasured the harm onic measure on the fjords, which alm ost do not m ove at all. O ne has to look for alternative methods.

The harm onic m easure from iterated conform al m aps: The Riem ann theorem guarantees that there exists a conform alm ap from the exterior of the unit circle  $! = e^{i}$  to the exterior of our viscous ngering patterns. Having such a conform alm ap, say (!), the harm onic m easure is simply obtained as  $1=j^{0}(!)$  jup to norm alization. The actual construction of such a map for a given well-developed fractal pattern is, however, far from obvious, and it has never been accomplished before. We will dem onstrate now that the method of iterated conform al m aps [13, 14] can be adapted to solve this problem in a very e cient way. W e use data acquired in experim ents, in which air is in jected into oil from a centralori ce. The oil is con ned between two circular glass plates, 288 mm in diameter and each 60 mm thick [2]. The plates are separated by 0.127 mm and are at to 0.13 m (optically polished to one-quarter of a wavelength). An oil bu er surrounds the plates. The data presented here were obtained with silicone oil with a dynamic viscosity = 345

mPa s and surface tension = 20.9 m N/m at 24C; additional experiments were conducted with silicone oil with a dynamic viscosity = 50.8 m Pa s and surface tension = 20.6 m N/m at 24 C. The pressure dimension of the surface tension tween the injected air and the oil bumer ranged from 0.1 to 1.25 atm. The 1 mm diameter central hole through which the air is injected cannot be seen in Fig. 1, rather the 22 mm diameter central solid circular region (black) is a mask introduced after the images have been digitized. The interface near the injection point could not be extracted because of light contrast limitations.

The images are digitized and each pixel is given an index j from 1 to N . As a rst step, we rescale all the pixels and apply a uniform shift such that the central black region becom es the unit circle. Next, we record the position of each pixel in the com plex plane, denoting it by  $z_1 = x_1 + iy_1$ . Next, we sort the pixels in ascending order with respect to  $\dot{z}_i$ , which is the minimal distance  $r_i$  of the j'th pixel from the interface of the fractal pattern. W e divide the sorted pixels into m groups, such that the k'th group contains the pixels which have absolute values sm aller than those in the (k+1)'th group. W ithin the individual groups, we once again divide the pixels into two subgroups, where the rst subgroup contains the pixels with associated radii  $r_i$  larger than a threshold value  $\cdot$ . Typically, we choose to be ve times the pixel size. We build the conform alm apping from the unit circle to the viscous ngers by expanding stepwise the unit circle in the interior part of the viscous ngers. The size of the expansion is given by  $r_i$ . In other words, in the n'th step we build a conform alm apping <sup>(n)</sup> (w) from the ! complex plane to the z com plex plane. A teach step, we em ploy an auxiliary m apping ' ; that locally perturbs the unit circle with a sem icirgular bum p centered at the position e<sup>i</sup> and of linear size . The construction is done such that we rst expand around the points in the rst subgroup of the second subgroup. Once we have nished expanding around the rst group, we move on to the second group, etc. Most pixels in the vicinity of the pixel z; will be covered when expanding the conform alm apping around  $z_i$ ; therefore, they can be disregarded in all future iterations. In fact, a majority of the pixels will be covered by expansions around nearby points. Thus we need to perform a signi cantly smaller num ber of expansions than the num ber of pixels. The aforem entioned division of the pixels is a simple way to speed up our method, i.e. to reduce the num ber of necessary expansions. We rst expand the mapping around the points  $(n)(z_1)$  with large radii  $(r_1 > 1)$  and close to the unit circle. The conform alm apping is constructed by successive iterations. In each iteration step, the mapping coversm ore and m ore of the viscous ngers, and the num ber of pixels not being covered decreases.

A ssume that we have reached the n'th iteration step. C onsidering the pixels that are not covered, we now use the inverse mapping,  ${}^{(n)} = {}^{(n)}{}^1$ , to map these pixels  $z_j$  to the exterior of the unit circle, i.e. we map them from the z-plane to the w-plane. The size n+1 of the




FIG.4: Log-log plots of  $P_{i=1}^{P_{N(i)}} P_i^q$  () vs. the box size for selected values of q. We nd reliable power law scaling for q 0:25.




FIG.5: f() curves for the harm onic measure of the experimental viscous ngers patterns and its comparison with the f() curve of the harm onic measure of DLA. (a) is averaged over 15 patterns obtained with a silicone oil with a dynamic viscosity = 345m Pa s and (b) is averaged over 15 patterns with = 50.2m Pa s.

(n + 1)'th bum p to be centered at the position  $\boldsymbol{z}_j$  is taken to be

$$p_{n+1} = \frac{r_j}{(n) (e^{j_{n+1}})}; \text{ where } n+1 = arg^{(n)} (z_j):$$
(4)

From the unit circle, we now advance along the shape by expanding around the next  $z_j$ , according to the scheme described above.

The e ciency of this method is surprising; the number




FIG. 6: C lose-up of the f() curves presented in Fig.5 together with the same curve computed from a sampling with random walkers.

of iterations needed to build the conform alm ap remains rather low even for a complex pattern like that in Fig.1. Figure 3 presents the result of our method using the digitalized im age of viscous ngers shown in Fig.1. Indeed, the conform alm ap accurately reproduces the im age. In general, the number of iterations is around 10000-20000 for the maps that we analyze in this Letter.

R esults: W ith the conform alm apping at hand, we have the harm onic measure as  $1=j^{D(n)}j$ . One has to be cautious in evaluating the derivative, since inside the fjords, the measure becomes so small that it is dicult to resolve the fjords on the unit circle. The way to evaluate the derivative involves a carefulbook keeping of the positions of the individual bumps added in each iteration step [14]. In Fig. 4 we present log-log plots of the sum

.

 $P_{i=1}^{N(j)} P_i^{q}()$  vs. the box size for selected values of q. The estimated slopes, (q) = (q 1)D<sub>q</sub>, are very reliable for positive values of q. As seen in Fig. 4, for values of q < 0.25, the power-law scaling is lost. In DLA, the deep fjords become dominant for q < 0.2, and lead to a nonanalyticity in the f() curve, a phenomenon known as a \phase transition" in the therm odynam ic form alism of the fractal measure [7].

We can now use the measured values of (q) to extract the f() curve. The result is shown in Fig. 5 together with the same curve for the harm onic measure of DLA.W hile there is no alternative way to compute this function in its entirety, we can test the accuracy of our results by looking at f() for q > 1, which characterizes the highly probable regions of harm onic measure near the tips. We sam pled the tips of the experim ental data with random walkers, a good method as long as one studies the part of the multifractal spectrum for q > 1. For the sam pling, we use 10<sup>6</sup> random walkers. Increasing this number did not lead to a signi cant change in the results. Figure 6 shows a close-up of the f () curve presented in Fig. 5, alongside the one computed from the random walk samples. There is almost no di erence in the left hand branches, and we thus conclude that our m ethod is fully adequate for representing the tips of the viscous ngers. The estimate of  $m_{in}$  is the same (within the uncertainty of 0:06) as the one com puted for DLA, which was  $m_{in} = 0.67$  [15].

In conclusion, we have presented a powerfulm ethod for the construction of a conform alm ap from the unit circle to com plex fractal patterns. U sing this conform alm ap, we can compute accurately the fractal measure and determ ine its scaling properties. The comparisons shown in Figs. 5 and 6 strongly indicate that viscous ngering and D LA are in the same universality class within the experimental uncertainty. Future study can determ ine whether this correspondence extends to the nonanalyticity in the f () curve.

- [1] G. Daccord, J. N ittm an, and H E. Stanley, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 336 (1986).
- [2] O. Praud and H L. Swinney, Phys. Rev. E 72, 011406 (2005).
- [3] T A.W itten and L M. Sander, Phys. Rev. Lett. 47, 1400 (1981).
- [4] See for exam ple, L. Paterson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 1621 (1984), L M. Sander, N ature (London) 322, 789 (1986), J, N ittm an and H E. Stanley, N ature 321, 663 (1986).
- [5] H.G.E. Hentschel and I. Procaccia, Physica D 8, 435 (1983).
- [6] T.C. Halsey, M.H. Jensen, L.P. Kadano, I. Procaccia, and B. Shraim ann, Phys. Rev. A 33, 1141 (1986).
- [7] D. Katzen and I. Procaccia, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 1169 (1987).
- [8] M.H. Jensen, A. Libchaber, L.P. Kadano, I. Procaccia, and J. Stavans, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55, 2798 (1985).

- [9] B. Davidovitch, M. H. Jensen, A. Levermann, J. Mathiesen, and I. Procaccia, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 164101 (2001).
- [10] C.Am itrano, A.Coniglio, and F.diLiberto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57, 1016 (1986).
- [11] T.C. Halsey, P. Meakin, and I. Procaccia, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 854 (1986).
- [12] K J. M aby, F. Boger, J. Feder, and T. Jossang, in T in e Dependent E ects in D isordered M aterials, edited by R. Pynn and T. Riste (Plenum, New York, 1987), p.111.
- [13] M B. Hastings and L.S. Levitov, Physica D 116, 244 (1998).
- [14] M.H.Jensen, A.Leverm ann, J.M athiesen, and I.Procaccia, Phys. Rev. E 65, 046109 (2002).
- [15] M H. Jensen, J. M athiesen, and I. Procaccia, Phys. Rev. E 67, 042402 (2003).